

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF STATE
BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS

F I N A L M I N U T E S

MEETING OF:

STATE BOARD OF SOCIAL WORKERS,
MARRIAGE AND FAMILY THERAPISTS,
AND PROFESSIONAL COUNSELORS
VIA TELECONFERENCE

TIME: 11:10 A.M.

July 15, 2020

1 ***

2 State Board of Social Workers,
3 Marriage and Family Therapists
4 and Professional Counselors

5 July 15, 2020

6 ***

7 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State
8 Board of Social Workers, Marriage and Family
9 Therapists, and Professional Counselors was held on
10 Wednesday, July 15, 2020. Joy E. Corby, Ph.D., LMFT,
11 Chair, called the meeting to order at 11:10 a.m.

12 ***

13 Introduction

14 [Chair Corby requested everyone introduce themselves.]

15 ***

16 Report of Board Prosecutorial Division

17 [J. Karl Geschwindt, Esquire, Board Prosecutor,
18 presented the Consent Agreements for Case No. 17-69-
19 02248 and Case Nos. 19-69-010558, 19-69-018626, 20-69-
20 000138, & 20-69-002595.]

21 ***

22 [Colby B. Widdowson, Esquire, Board Prosecutor,
23 presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 18-69-
24 005170.

25 Athena O. Pappas, Esquire, Deasey, Mahoney, &

1 Valentini, counsel for the respondent, was present
2 regarding Case No. 18-69-005170.]

3

4 [Heather J. McCarthy, Esquire, Board Prosecution
5 Liaison, informed the Board of the tentative beginning
6 of engaging an in-house consulting expert to assist
7 prosecutors with social work cases one day a month.
8 She explained the individual would be licensed under
9 the Board and ideally the Board of Psychology.

10 Ms. McCarthy stated the individual would help in
11 the initial stage of reviewing complaints to save time
12 and money. She commented that volume was expected to
13 be minimal, and it would make more sense to have one
14 person in that role, rather than two.]

15

16 Report of Board Counsel

17 [Dana M. Wucinski, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted the
18 Board would be discussing the consent agreements
19 presented today, along with agenda items 5 through 7
20 and 13 through 16 during executive session.

21 Ms. Wucinski also noted she would be discussing
22 Act 53 of 2020 and 16A-6923 regarding general
23 revisions, and Ms. Montgomery would be discussing
24 16A-6919 regarding the child abuse reporting
25 requirements.]

1 ***

2 Report of Regulatory Board Counsel

3 [Cynthia K. Montgomery, Esquire, Deputy Chief

4 Counsel/Regulatory Counsel, Department of State,

5 addressed 16A-6919 regarding the revised child abuse

6 reporting requirement regulations. She stated the

7 Board of Dentistry's version of the regulation had

8 been fully approved and would be published soon.

9 Ms. Montgomery addressed the Board's requested

10 revisions to §§ 47.53, 48.53, and 49.53 concerning to

11 photographs, medical tests, and X-rays of the child

12 subject to the report. She noted changes in both the

13 preamble and the annex.

14 Ms. Montgomery stated the section was renamed to

15 eliminate the medical test and X-rays, where licensees

16 of this Board are not authorized to determine that

17 medical tests and X-rays are clinically indicated as

18 required by the Child Protective Services Law or to

19 order such tests and X-rays.

20 Ms. Cardone recommended stating that clinical

21 social workers should not be doing that work also.]

22 ***

23 MS. MONTGOMERY:

24 The vote would be to promulgate the

25 proposed rulemaking through the

1 regulatory process with that correction.

2 CHAIR CORBY:

3 Do I have a motion?

4 DR. SANTIAGO:

5 I make a motion.

6 CHAIR CORBY:

7 Do I have a second?

8 DR. JORDAL:

9 Second.

10 CHAIR CORBY:

11 All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions?

12 [The motion carried unanimously.]

13 ***

14 Report of Board Counsel (Continued)

15 [Dana M. Wucinski, Esquire, Board Counsel, referred to
16 the enactment of Act 53 of 2020 to create a fair and
17 modern set of rules for consideration of criminal
18 records in occupational licensing and to remove
19 unnecessary barriers in employment.

20 Ms. Wucinski stated the Board does review
21 criminal convictions when looking at applications by
22 looking at moral character and crimes of moral
23 turpitude. She mentioned Act 52 of 2020 replaces that
24 language and creates a whole new set of standards in
25 how the Board will look at criminal records.

1 Ms. Wucinski noted that § 3112 of Act 53 relates
2 restricted licenses for barbers and cosmetologists for
3 the Board's review. She stated many of these
4 licensees actually gain training and licensure through
5 rehabilitation programs in state prisons. She
6 commented that it is difficult to restrict a licensee
7 when they receive their education and training in
8 prison as part of a rehabilitation program. The idea
9 is that when they are released from prison, they
10 should have a chance to be licensed.

11 Ms. Wucinski referred to § 3113 regarding
12 consideration of criminal convictions. She stated
13 this will be the procedure that the Board would follow
14 when determining whether an individual with a criminal
15 conviction qualifies for licensure. She noted that the
16 Board would no longer consider good moral character,
17 crimes of moral turpitude, or unethical or dishonest
18 practice.

19 Ms. Wucinski noted the Board would need to make
20 preliminary determination of whether certain crimes
21 has a direct bearing on the fitness or ability to
22 perform duties of the profession. She stated it will
23 be the responsibility of the Board to promulgate
24 regulations and come up with a comprehensive list of
25 crimes that have a direct bearing on the fitness or

1 ability to practice the profession.

2 Ms. Wucinski stated, if an applicant is convicted
3 of a crime that is on that schedule or list of
4 offenses, the Board will have to conduct an
5 individualized assessment to determine whether
6 licensure of the individual poses a substantial risk
7 to clients, patients, or to the public. She stated,
8 if the crime is on the list, there becomes a
9 rebuttable presumption that the applicant can then
10 challenge.

11 Ms. Wucinski stated, if the applicant is
12 convicted of a crime that is not on the list of
13 offenses, the Board would make an individualized
14 assessment based on the nature of the criminal
15 conviction. She noted that for some professions,
16 there would be a permanent bar for sexual offenses.

17 Ms. Wucinski mentioned the Board currently can
18 impose a 10-year automatic suspension for felony
19 convictions under the drug act, which was going to
20 change slightly under Section 11(e) of the Board's
21 act. She stated the automatic suspensions would only
22 occur if the criminal conviction is for drug
23 trafficking offenses as defined by the Act.

24 Dr. Santiago questioned whether this has any
25 effect on trainees or students who are in programs

1 before they make the application for licensure.

2 Ms. Wucinski stated it would affect applicants
3 when it goes into effect in 180 days. She mentioned
4 it could cause a problem for those with a sexual
5 offense or criminal violence or those who were
6 convicted of crimes that are on the list of offenses
7 that have a direct bearing on the fitness or ability
8 to practice the profession. She noted individuals
9 have more rights with this and would have rebuttable
10 presumption that they can challenge.

11 Dr. Kraus questioned whether cases would go to
12 the Board or be handled by staff,

13 Ms. Wucinski believed it would be handled mostly
14 by Board staff and Board counsel.

15 Dr. Jordal suggested the Board establish a
16 precedent in how to handle the new process, so Board
17 administration has an awareness of what needs the
18 Board's deliberation and what does not.

19 Ms. Wucinski noted the first step was for the
20 Board to create a list of criminal offenses that have
21 a direct bearing on the practice of the profession.
22 She mentioned being familiar with the crime code and
23 would be running things by the Board, possibly at the
24 September meeting.

25 Commissioner Johnson stated many convictions

1 being brought up would already be raised through
2 precedent and looking back on past decisions that
3 other Boards have made, which would go into the
4 database of criminal convictions. He commented that
5 there may be decisions made prior to this Board that
6 are still precedent and have already been established.

7 Dr. Jordal noted it to be helpful to see how the
8 Board's list compares to other Boards. He mentioned
9 the importance of it being clear, especially for
10 individuals who are dual-licensed and covered by
11 different Boards, where it was more difficult to
12 mitigate liability and risk.

13 Ms. Wucinski mentioned working as an office in
14 coming up with the lists and the importance of being
15 consistent with all the Boards. She would be touching
16 base with the Board, and there would be discussions on
17 the matter.]

18

19 Report of Commissioner

20 [K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of
21 Professional and Occupational Affairs, introduced
22 Lindsey Mauldin, Special Assistant to the Secretary of
23 Health, who would be speaking regarding potential
24 opportunities for providing continuing education for
25 contact tracing.

1 Commissioner Johnson noted the need for mental
2 health services, not just for individuals affected by
3 COVID-19 but their family members and friends. He
4 noted the importance of recognizing all of the
5 opportunities the Board has to lead the way in terms
6 of discussion around mental health stability.

7 Lindsey Mauldin, Special Assistant to the
8 Secretary of Health, addressed contact tracing efforts
9 to help stop the spread of infectious disease. She
10 commented that contact tracing was happening among
11 county and municipal health departments and community
12 health nurses who work across the commonwealth.

13 Ms. Mauldin discussed building the infrastructure
14 and integration of social workers into the contact
15 tracing effort. She noted the need for social workers
16 to be part of the squad in contact tracing to help
17 bring this full circle. She noted being in touch with
18 a number of social work programs across the state in
19 identify ways social workers can increase their
20 skills.

21 Ms. Mauldin mentioned offering the continuing
22 education unit (CEU) portion of training for contact
23 tracers to become contact tracers with the state. She
24 noted offering 3 hours of intensive training with the
25 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

1 fellow, which goes over Pennsylvania-specific contact
2 tracing.

3 Ms. Mauldin reported working with various
4 academic institutions, noting Temple has online
5 training that includes some interview practice
6 available on TRAIN PA. She mentioned the intersection
7 between social work and contact tracing and
8 opportunities for social workers moving forward,
9 including continued credits for the training.

10 Dr. Santiago questioned why only social workers
11 are mentioned and professional counselors and licensed
12 marriage and family therapists (LMFTs) were not part
13 of this consideration.

14 Ms. Mauldin commented that she would explore that
15 issue. She stated bringing social workers into
16 contact tracing would provide the interview with an
17 individual after they have been asked to quarantine
18 for 14 days and support for some of the fears and
19 anxieties.

20 Dr. Elberfeld commented that Ms. Mauldin was
21 referring to a Bachelor of Social Work and licensed
22 social workers (LSWs), not clinical social workers as
23 being able to do more case management, rather than the
24 therapeutic counseling. She stated it would be more
25 aligned with what community health workers are doing

1 in the communities rather than actually clinically
2 offering mental health support.

3 Ms. Mauldin stated her aim was to provide a human
4 connection in the process with a community health
5 worker with expansion to include therapeutic support
6 if necessary.

7 Commissioner Johnson noted that the concept of
8 providing the education and expanding it was a way of
9 creating an entry point for mental health
10 professionals to proactively identify through contact
11 tracing individuals who could be provided support. He
12 requested more information about the type of content
13 that would go into the course.

14 Ms. Mauldin explained the course as a very
15 Pennsylvania-specific introduction to COVID-19. She
16 noted it also involves supporting folks asked to
17 quarantine, interviewing them to get the answers and
18 information, talking with them about the challenges
19 they might face in quarantine, and how to address
20 those.

21 Ms. Cardone commented that it sounds like it was
22 equivalent to public health workers who go in so one
23 might think Bachelor of Social Work and LSW. She
24 stated these folks should have the ability to spot
25 potential mental health problems that are surfacing in

1 the midst of someone being quarantined. She noted
2 licensed clinical social workers (LCSWs), licensed
3 marriage and family therapists (LMFTs), and licensed
4 professional counselors (LPCs) are qualified to do
5 that.

6 Commissioner Johnson questioned what type of
7 certification was received once the individual
8 completes the 3-hour course on contact tracing.

9 Ms. Mauldin explained that the training was
10 Pennsylvania-specific, and everyone was given a
11 certification code at the end of the training that was
12 utilized to verify they had completed the course.

13 Dr. Elberfeld expressed her concern with
14 community health workers being trained but not having
15 any paid positions available. She noted agreeing with
16 the need for training professionals as contact tracers
17 but only the number that would actually be able to get
18 employment.

19 Ms. Mauldin explained there was a hybrid of both
20 volunteer and staffing positions. She noted no paid
21 positions yet but would be onboarding positions
22 starting in August.

23 Chair Corby stated the actual contact tracing
24 training seems to be more for case management social
25 workers than clinical people, and depending on what

1 they find could refer them to therapists for clinical
2 work. She commented that she does not see where it
3 was necessary for the clinical people to go through
4 the contact tracing training because they have the
5 clinical training to address the therapeutic issues.
6 Commissioner Johnson questioned the duties of
7 individuals who received the referral.

8 Ms. Mauldin explained that after a positive test,
9 the contact tracer communicates with the person, gets
10 all of their contacts for the past two weeks, and then
11 communicates with all of those people who potentially
12 had contact and communication of the disease.

13 Ms. Mauldin stated contact tracers are a public
14 health arm but noted the importance of adequate
15 testing with quick turnaround time. She noted having
16 that first layer of caseworkers or community health
17 workers be trained and then make referrals to
18 therapists if someone was having mental health issues.

19 Ms. Cardone noted contact tracing to be complex
20 and believed it should be thought of as a tiered issue
21 and an ethical issue. She stated part of the goal was
22 for individuals to be listening with a third ear to
23 figure out what else was going on. She commented that
24 it would not be appropriate for a clinical person to
25 see this person anyway because that would be a

1 conflict of interest, so they have to refer to someone
2 else.

3 Ms. Cardone mentioned the need to think about
4 subtleties that go into this as a way into thinking
5 about how to enhance the role of a contact tracer.

6 Marc Farrell, Deputy Policy Director, Department
7 of State, reported Ms. Mauldin lost connection and
8 would not be able to rejoin. He noted providing
9 additional information and answering questions at some
10 point.

11 Commissioner Johnson thanked Board members for
12 their flexibility and patience throughout the
13 transition to the virtual platform. He also thanked
14 Ms. Matter and Ms. Wucinski regarding applications and
15 undergoing legal reviews and thanked prosecutorial
16 counsel.

17 Commissioner Johnson discussed Governor Wolf's
18 executive order last week to expand the use of video
19 hearings for all administrative proceedings starting
20 this week. He noted prosecution would be seeing a lot
21 more activity with regard to formal proceedings.

22 Commissioner Johnson also thanked all of the
23 attendees, members of the public, and stakeholders for
24 continuing to participate online. He commented that
25 the virtual platform allows for a better opportunity

1 to engage a wider audience and was encouraged by the
2 progress with regard to public outreach as well.

3 Commissioner Johnson commented that all of the
4 healthcare professionals are in the thoughts and
5 prayers of those at the Bureau of Professional and
6 Occupational Affairs (BPOA). He hopes everyone stays
7 safe and healthy as they continue to provide critical
8 services in this time of need.

9 Ms. Cardone questioned Commissioner Johnson on
10 the status of new people for Board vacancies.

11 Commissioner Johnson announced the Senate
12 confirmed about 38 folks with regard to filling
13 vacancies last month, and the Governor's Office was
14 hard at work in getting the next package of folks up
15 to the Senate for confirmation, which would include
16 many reappointments. He noted it would rely on the
17 pace of the Senate but was hopeful for early fall.

18 Johanna Byrd, ACSW, IOM, CAE, Executive Director,
19 National Association of Social Workers, Pennsylvania
20 Chapter, requested an update on the final Independent
21 Regulatory Review Commission (IRRC) regulations
22 concerning the Bachelor of Social Work (BSW)
23 licensure.

24 Commissioner Johnson explained that PALS
25 functionality was being fine-tuned to avoid technical

1 issue.

2 Ms. Matter reported receiving Senate confirmation
3 for Dr. Erika Evans-Weaver, who was an LMFT, and would
4 be attending the September 1 Board meeting.]

5 ***

6 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at
7 12:25 p.m. the Board entered into Executive Session
8 with Dana M. Wucinski, Esquire, Board Counsel, for the
9 purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations on
10 a number of matters that are currently pending before
11 the Board and to receive the advice of Counsel. The
12 Board returned to open session at 12:35 p.m.]

13 ***

14 MOTIONS

15 MS. WUCINSKI:

16 Having returned from executive session,
17 the Board is now going to place their
18 votes on the record.

19 I believe the Board would entertain
20 a motion to approve the Consent
21 Agreement at Case No. 17-69-02248.

22 CHAIR CORBY:

23 Do I have a motion?

24 DR. ELBERFELD:

25 So moved.

1 DR. JORDAL:

2 Second.

3 CHAIR CORBY:

4 All those in favor? All those opposed?
5 Abstentions?

6 [The motion carried unanimously. The Respondent's
7 name is Heather Anne Kramer-Almquist, LPC.]

8 ***

9 MS. WUCINSKI:

10 I believe the Board would entertain a
11 motion to approve the Consent Agreements
12 at Case Nos. 19-69-010558, 19-69-018626,
13 20-69-000138, & 20-69-002595.

14 CHAIR CORBY:

15 Do I have a motion?

16 DR. JORDAL:

17 So moved.

18 DR. SANTIAGO:

19 Second.

20 CHAIR CORBY:

21 All those in favor? Opposed? Any
22 abstentions?

23 [The motion carried unanimously. The Respondent's
24 name is Sean Thomas MacMillen, LPC.]

25 ***

1 MS. WUCINSKI:

2 I believe the Board would entertain a
3 motion to approve the Consent Agreement
4 at Case No. 18-69-005170.

5 CHAIR CORBY:

6 Do I have a motion?

7 DR. KRAUS:

8 So moved.

9 DR. SANTIAGO:

10 Second.

11 CHAIR CORBY:

12 All those in favor? Opposed? Any
13 abstentions?

14 [The motion carried unanimously. The Respondent's
15 name is Denise Lynn Darling, LMFT.]

16 ***

17 MS. WUCINSKI:

18 I believe the Board would entertain a
19 motion to direct Board counsel to send a
20 letter of concern to Jason T. Link and
21 Shawn Cain Clavelle.

22 DR. KRAUS:

23 So moved.

24 CHAIR CORBY:

25 Do I have a second?

1 DR. ELBERFELD:

2 Second.

3 CHAIR CORBY:

4 All those in favor? Opposed?

5 Abstentions?

6 [The motion carried unanimously.]

7 ***

8 MS. WUCINSKI:

9 I believe the Board would entertain a
10 motion to issue an order
11 administratively reinstating the License
12 to Practice Social Work of Mary
13 Elizabeth Semerod, LSW, noting that Dr.
14 Elberfeld is recused.

15 CHAIR CORBY:

16 Do I hear a motion?

17 DR. JORDAL:

18 So moved.

19 DR. KRAUS:

20 Second.

21 CHAIR CORBY:

22 All those in favor? Opposed?

23 Abstentions?

24 [The motion carried. Dr. Elberfeld recused herself
25 from deliberations and voting on the motion. Dr.

1 Santiago opposed the motion.]

2 ***

3 MS. WUCINSKI:

4 I believe the Board would entertain a
5 motion to approve the education of Karen
6 Weiss.

7 CHAIR CORBY:

8 Do I have a motion?

9 MS. PAINTER:

10 So moved.

11 CHAIR CORBY:

12 Do I hear a second?

13 DR. JORDAL:

14 Second.

15 CHAIR CORBY:

16 All those in favor? Opposed?

17 Abstentions?

18 [The motion carried. Dr. Santiago opposed the
19 motion.]

20 ***

21 MS. WUCINSKI:

22 I believe the Board would entertain a
23 motion to provisionally deny the
24 application for a License by Examination
25 to Practice Professional Counseling of

1 Erik Hansen and David J. Heavner, Ed.D.

2 CHAIR CORBY:

3 Do I have a motion?

4 DR. KRAUS:

5 So moved.

6 DR. SANTIAGO:

7 Second.

8 CHAIR CORBY:

9 All those in favor? Opposed?

10 Abstentions?

11 [The motion carried unanimously.]

12 ***

13 MS. WUCINSKI:

14 The Board administrator will send a
15 letter to Sara S. Crowers regarding her
16 supervision.

17 ***

18 Approval of minutes of the June 9, 2020 meeting

19 CHAIR CORBY:

20 Do I have a motion to approve the draft
21 minutes with changes?

22 DR. JORDAL:

23 So moved.

24 DR. KRAUS:

25 Second.

1 CHAIR CORBY:

2 All those in favor? Opposed?

3 Abstentions?

4 [The motion carried unanimously.]

5 ***

6 Report of Board Counsel (cont.)

7 [Dana M. Wucinski, Esquire, Board Counsel, referred to
8 16A-6923 regarding general revisions and changes
9 discussed at previous meetings. She requested a vote
10 today to send it out as an exposure draft for informal
11 comments, noting the Board can make any changes before
12 proposed rulemaking.

13 Ms. Wucinski mentioned one area of concern
14 regarding § 48.3, qualifications for supervisors. She
15 noted removal of the MFT requirement to be a
16 supervisor, where they needed to an American
17 Association for Marriage and Family Therapy (AAMFT)-
18 approved supervisor.

19 Ms. Wucinski stated Chair Corby mentioned the
20 idea of possibly substituting a course in its place.
21 She suggested making a requirement to take the place
22 of the AAMFT-approved supervisors that may be added in
23 under the LPCs and the LCSWs. She noted supervision
24 would be completely electronic and allowing CEs to be
25 done over the Internet permanently.

1 Chair Corby commented that this was a small step
2 that can be taken until there are regulations for
3 supervision. She was not okay with just leaving it
4 totally blank for any licensed person to do
5 supervision.

6 Ms. Wucinski noted it could state that
7 individuals take a Board-approved course and make it
8 more restrictive in the future.

9 Ms. Wucinski also noted it could state
10 individuals require a continuing education course in
11 supervision. She stated it could be something they
12 have to keep with their log when they are logging
13 supervision, so when the log was requested, they would
14 have to send in proof the course was completed. She
15 also mentioned having a verification when they sign
16 off on an applicant's supervision.

17 Ms. Cardone agreed that it may be problematic to
18 figure out the Board-approved course. She questioned
19 what would be required of those who have been
20 supervising for 10 or 15 years.

21 Ms. Wucinski suggested, for those individuals who
22 have been supervising, requiring them to take the
23 course within a certain amount of time or for the next
24 biennial period.

25 Ms. Cardone commented that whatever licensure it

1 is, she assumes 1500 hours has to be by someone in
2 their field and 1500 can be by someone in another
3 field.

4 Ms. Wucinski stated the Board can make decisions
5 after receiving feedback from the exposure draft.

6 Dr. Kraus suggested stating in the revision that
7 someone who holds themselves out to be a clinical
8 supervisor must be able to prove how they did it.

9 Ms. Wucinski suggested individuals also verify
10 that they are competent to provide supervision when
11 they verify they completed the course.

12 Ms. Cardone recommended requiring a 6-hour
13 continuing education course.

14 Chair Corby suggested placing a question on the
15 application for relicensure requiring at least 1
16 course and the addition on the form that supervisors
17 have to sign off on or the people they supervised
18 stating they meet the requirement.

19 Ms. Wucinski stated the renewal form may not be
20 able to be changed in PALS but we could maybe add a
21 verification check box for when the supervisor is
22 signing off on the applicant's supervision hours,
23 where they only check that box when they send in
24 verification of their supervisees' hours. She
25 commented that those who have already taken a

1 supervision course as part of their graduate level
2 education should be enough.

3 Ms. Wucinski stated she would only mention the
4 biennial period for those who are already acting as
5 supervisors. She stated those who are beginning
6 supervision must complete 6 hours of continuing
7 education on supervision. She would have language
8 that it has to be when they sign off on the hours they
9 are verifying.

10 Ms. Byrd commented that NASW would immediately
11 put together a supervision course and offer it on a
12 regular basis.

13 Ms. Cardone referred to § 48.37 regarding other
14 sources of continuing education and then
15 § 48.37(a)(2), where a licensee may accrue up to 15 of
16 the required clock hours per biennium by offering a
17 course or courses in MFT or a related field for a
18 regionally accredited college or university if the
19 course was credit-bearing. She noted the need for a
20 guideline explaining how many CEUs are equivalent to 1
21 semester credit.

22 Chair Corby explained that 1 semester credit was
23 equal to 15 CEUs because 1 semester equals 15 weeks.

24 Ms. Wucinski would add 1 semester credit equals
25 15 CEUs in the language.

1 Chair Corby noted 1 semester credit equals 15
2 CEUs should also be added to § 48.37(a)(4), where a
3 licensee may accrue up to 15 of the required clock
4 hours per biennium.

5 Ms. Cardone referred to § 48.37(a)(2) and
6 recommended changing where it reads, demonstrated
7 holding the Board of certified diplomat by taking out
8 the word "of" and adding an "e" on the end of diplomat
9 for it to read, Board-certified diplomate.]

10 ***

11 MS. WUCINSKI:

12 Can we get a vote to send draft
13 regulation 16A-6923 out for exposure
14 comment with the amendments as discussed
15 in open session?

16 CHAIR CORBY:

17 Do I have a motion?

18 DR. JORDAL:

19 So moved.

20 DR. KRAUS:

21 Second.

22 CHAIR CORBY:

23 All those in favor? Opposed?

24 Abstentions?

25 [The motion carried unanimously.]

1 ***

2 Report of Board Chair
3 [Joy E. Corby, Ph.D., LMFT, announced the Association
4 of Marriage & Family Therapy Regulatory Boards
5 (AMFTRB) Annual Meeting would be held virtually this
6 year in September and requested Board approval for Dr.
7 Jordal and herself.]

8 ***

9 DR. SANTIAGO:

10 I make a motion that we approve Joy and
11 Christian to attend the AMFTRB Annual
12 Meeting.

13 MS. PAINTER:

14 Second.

15 CHAIR CORBY:

16 All in favor? Opposed?

17 [The motion carried unanimously.]

18 ***

19 [Renee J. Cardone, MSW, LCSW, requested the
20 Association of Social Work Boards Annual Meeting in
21 November be put on the next agenda and requested a
22 vote for Dr. Elberfeld to attend.]

23 ***

24 MS. CARDONE:

25 I make a motion that we approve Jeanne

1 Elberfeld to attend the ASWB Annual
2 Delegate Meeting virtually in November.

3 DR. KRAUS:

4 So moved.

5 DR. JORDAL:

6 Second.

7 CHAIR CORBY:

8 We have a motion by Kurt Kraus and a
9 second by Christian Jordal. All in
10 favor? Opposed?

11 [The motion carried unanimously.]

12 ***

13 Miscellaneous

14 [Sandra E. Matter, Board Administrator, noted 2021
15 Board meeting dates for the Board's review and
16 finalization in September. She requested members
17 advise her of any conflicts. She noted no discussion
18 regarding returning to Harrisburg for regular
19 meetings.]

20 ***

21 Adjournment

22 CHAIR CORBY:

23 Do I have a motion to adjourn?

24 MS. CARDONE:

25 So moved.

1 DR. JORDAL:

2 Second.

3 CHAIR CORBY:

4 All those in favor? Opposed?

5 [The motion carried unanimously.]

6 ***

7 [There being no further business, the State Board of
8 Social Workers, Marriage and Family Therapists, and
9 Professional Counselors Meeting adjourned at
10 1:23 p.m.]

11 ***

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Social Workers, Marriage and Family Therapists and Professional Counselors meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Social Workers, Marriage and Family Therapists and Professional Counselors meeting.



Evan Bingaman,

Minute Clerk

Sargent's Court Reporting
Service, Inc.

1 STATE BOARD OF SOCIAL WORKERS,
2 MARRIAGE AND FAMILY THERAPISTS,
3 AND PROFESSIONAL COUNSELORS
4 REFERENCE INDEX

5
6 July 15, 2020
7

8
9 TIME AGENDA
10
11 11:10 Official Call to Order
12
13 11:14 Report of Prosecutorial Division
14
15 11:22 Report of Regulatory Counsel
16
17 11:35 Report of Board Counsel
18
19 11:49 Report of Commissioner
20
21 12:25 Executive Session
22 12:35 Return to Open Session
23
24 12:36 Motions
25
26 12:41 Approval of Minutes
27
28 12:43 Report of Board Counsel (Continued)
29
30 1:20 Report of Board Chair
31
32 1:22 Miscellaneous
33
34 1:23 Adjournment
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50