## State Board of Psychology October 7, 2019

Secretary, Bureau of Professional and Occupational

Affairs, on behalf of K. Kalonji Johnson, Acting

Vito J. DonGiovanni, Psy.D., Chairman

Catherine S. Spayd, Ph.D., Vice Chair

Katherine Bradley, Ph.D., Secretary

Theodore Stauffer, Legal Assistant/Executive

Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and

## BOARD MEMBERS:

 BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Occupational Affairs

Steven K. Erickson, Ph.D. Donald McAleer, Psy.D.

Richard F. Small, Ph.D.

Dana M. Wucinski, Esquire, Board Counsel Jackie Wiest Lutz, Esquire, Board Counsel Bridget K. Guilfoyle, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison

Nathan C. Giunta, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison Keith E. Bashore, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Mark R. Zogby, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Adam Williams, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Cynthia K. Montgomery, Esquire, Deputy Chief Counsel, Department of State Chris Stuckey, Board Administrator

# ALSO PRESENT:

Alex M. Siegel, J.D., Ph.D., Director of Professional Affairs, Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards

3 \* \* \* 1 2 State Board of Psychology 3 October 7, 2019 \* \* \* 4 5 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State 6 Board of Psychology was held on Monday, October 7, 2019. Vito J. DonGiovanni, Psy.D., Chairman, called the meeting to order at 8:59 a.m. 10 [Chris Stuckey, Board Administrator, reviewed the 11 emergency evacuation procedures for One Penn Center.] \* \* \* 12 Approval of minutes of the August 12, 2019 meeting 13 14 CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI: 15 Let's approve the minutes. 16 everybody have an opportunity to read 17 the minutes that were sent 18 electronically to all of us? 19 Any additions or corrections? 20 Hearing none. I will entertain a motion 21 to approve the minutes. 22 DR. BRADLEY: 2.3 Motion. 2.4 CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI: Dr. Bradley, thank you. 25

```
DR. SPAYD:
1
2
                  Second.
3
   CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI:
 4
                  Second, Dr. Spayd. All those in favor?
5
                  Those opposed?
6
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                              * * *
8
   Report of Board Prosecution
   [Bridget K. Guilfoyle, Esquire, Board Prosecutor,
10
   presented the Consent Agreements for Case No. 19-63-
   006955 and Case No. 19-63-003351.1
11
                              * * *
12
13
   [Keith E. Bashore, Esquire, Board Prosecutor,
14
   presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 15-63-
15
   00633.1
                              * * *
16
17
   [Adam Williams, Esquire, Board Prosecutor, introduced
18
   himself as a new prosecutor for the Board.
19
        Mark R. Zogby, Esquire, Board Prosecutor,
20
   presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 16-63-
21
   14359.1
                              * * *
22
23
   Appointment - Regulatory Process Presentation
24
   [Cynthia K. Montgomery, Esquire, Deputy Chief Counsel,
25
   Department of State, addressed the regulatory process.
```

Ms. Montgomery provided an overview of the eight basic steps to the regulatory process. She explained that it starts with the drafting of the regulations, pre-publication and prepublication review, proposed regulation published for public comment, Legislative Committee and the Independent Regulatory Review Commission (IRRC) review, revision of the final rulemaking, post-publication review, Legislative Committee and IRRC review part 2, and then the final regulation publication.

Ms. Montgomery stated the idea for drafting a regulation can come from legislature, the court, the public, the bureau, the prosecution division, and the Board.

Ms. Montgomery stated Board Counsel generally will draft the annex, which is the text of the regulation or the amendment to the existing regulation. She commented that an exposure draft is then sent out, noting Executive Order 1996-1 from Governor Ridge's administration, that requires all regulations be drafted and promulgated with early and meaningful input from the public to stakeholders and interested parties who have an interest in the Board's regulatory agenda to announce that the Board is considering this regulation.

Ms. Montgomery stated the Board looks at any comments that were received, and once the Board is satisfied with the text of the regulations, staff will draft a preamble. She noted the preamble to be an explanatory document of the regulation. She explained that the Office of General Counsel (OGC) determines what goes into the preamble and includes an effective date, background needed for the regulation, a description of the regulation, an analysis of fiscal impact, paperwork requirements, and then boilerplate details about the regulatory review process and the submission of formal comments by interested parties during the review process.

2.0

Ms. Montgomery stated counsel also has to complete a document called the Regulatory Analysis Form required by the IRRC under the Regulatory Review Act, which contains what IRRC considers to be pertinent information for the Board to consider in determining whether the regulation is in the public interest.

Ms. Montgomery outlined the pre-publication review process through the Office of Chief Counsel to confirm appropriate statutory authority, if the regulation is consistent with legislative intent, and if it conforms with the Legislative Reference Bureau

1 of Style Manual.

1.3

2.0

2.4

Ms. Montgomery stated, after the internal review is finished, the regulation goes to the Office of General Counsel and Governor Wolf's Office of the Budget and Office of Policy and Planning. She explained that the Office of the Budget does a fiscal note on every regulation and any agency publishing, and the Office of Policy and Planning reviews it to confirm the regulation is in the public interest.

Ms. Montgomery explained a process called tolling, where OAG can stop the 30-day review period if there are any questions or objections, and the regulation will be sent back to the agency for a resolution.

Ms. Montgomery stated, once the Office of
Attorney General has approved the regulation, it goes
back to the agency for a process called delivery,
which is under the Regulatory Review Act and the
regulations of the Independent Regulatory Review
Commission. She noted that the regulation is
delivered personally by staff to the Legislative
Committee, House, Senate, House Professional Licensure
Committee, and the Senate Consumer Protection &
Professional Licensure Committee, Independent
Regulatory Review Commission, and the Legislative

1 Reference Bureau on the same day.

2.2

Ms. Montgomery addressed a new electronic delivery process that will be moved out to other agencies if successful.

Ms. Montgomery explained the Commonwealth

Documents Law that requires all agencies to publish

and note its intention to promulgate, repeal, or amend
a regulation in the Pennsylvania Bulletin.

Ms. Montgomery addressed a review by IRRC under the Regulatory Review Act, which was enacted in 1982 to address a concern by the legislature that executive agencies were promulgating a law out of regulations. She also noted that the House and Senate Committees have an opportunity to review and convey their comments up until delivery of the final-form rulemaking.

Ms. Montgomery noted that the regulation is then reviewed by the Independent Regulatory Review

Commission, where it is published about 10 days later with a 30-day public comment period and 30 days until IRRC's comments, which is a 70-day process once it is delivered. She stated the regulation will be deemed approved by IRRC if they do not comment.

Ms. Montgomery stated, after the final-form regulation is delivered, IRRC may not take action for

at least 20 days to allow the committees to comment on the final-form rulemaking and has until its next scheduled public meeting, which is no less than 30 days from the day it was delivered, to take action.

Ms. Montgomery noted that Board counsel or regulatory counsel will present the rulemaking to the commission, answer any questions, and try to convince IRRC that the regulation is in the public interest and get an affirmative vote. She commented that it gets submitted to the Office of Attorney General for final review for form and legality once approved by IRRC.

Ms. Montgomery addressed the disapproval process, where IRRC issues a disapproval order and states their reasoning for disapproving the regulation, noting that the Board has 40 days upon receipt of that disapproval order to bring it back to the Board. She noted that the Board can either withdraw the rulemaking, submit the regulation with revisions that respond to IRRC's disapproval order, or submit unchanged.

Ms. Montgomery stated, after the regulation is approved, it goes to the Attorney General for approval, the Legislative Reference Bureau again, and is published as final rulemaking.

Ms. Montgomery mentioned that the proposed rulemaking part can be omitted in some circumstances,

where everybody subject to the rulemaking receives
actual notice, but most of the circumstances do not

pertain to the agency. She mentioned couple of
regulations preventing the wide distribution of Narcan
(naloxone), and because it was contrary to public
interest, it went straight to final rulemaking in
order to make exceptions for naloxone.

Ms. Montgomery discussed Sine Die, which is the final adjournment of a two-year legislative session that will happen again in 2020. She stated that proposed rulemaking can be delivered to IRRC for their comments and can be published for public comment but not to the committee. She noted that counsel has to be cognizant of those time frames to ensure the regulation does not timeout during that two-year deadline.

Ms. Montgomery noted that final regulations cannot be delivered during Sine Die and have to wait until the next session, where they have 20 days to review, but if the session is stopped early, they get no less than 10 days to finish their review.

Chairman DonGiovanni questioned what happened to regulations that the Board promulgated and voted on in July 2014 regarding general regulations and redoing the whole "green book" regarding ethics and

qualifications. Ms. Montgomery commented that the regulations went out to OGC, Office of the Budget, and Office of Policy. She stated the regulations will need to be updated, including the Regulatory Analysis Form and the Code of Ethics along with the guidelines of the Pennsylvania Psychological Association (PPA). She also suggested adding parenting coordination, which is now permitted. She estimated that it takes four to five years to get a regulation through the whole process.

Ms. Montgomery noted that the regulatory counsel in this agency monitors the regulations, which she is handing over to Jacqueline Wolfgang. She stated that there is a regulatory coordinator at the Office of General Counsel who keeps track of all the regulations that go to OGC, budget, and policy and tries to keep ahead of those.

Chairman DonGiovanni commented that the law has changed regarding CE requirements in terms of 1 credit in suicide and 2 credits in child abuse mandated by law, noting that not to be in the regulations now but questioned whether it can be added to the regulations in that process as final omitted.

Ms. Montgomery referred to the Matt Alder Suicide Prevention Continuing Education Act, noting it to be

1 simple enough to do final omitted because it is 1

2 credit. She stated the child abuse has already been

3 implemented based on the authority of the Child

4 Protective Services Law.

5 Ms. Montgomery commented that 16 Boards had to do

6 regulations, so the Office of General Counsel

7 determined that the Dental Board should go first to

8 identify the comments and that one is in final

9 rulemaking. She stated, once that is completed, all

10 the other 15 Board are going to be consistent and run

11 at one time.

12 Chairman DonGiovanni questioned whether the

13 process can be expedited to get it into all the other

14 regulations if the final Dental Board goes through.

15 Ms. Montgomery noted the need for approval from

16 OGC and the OAG. 1

17

18 [The Board recessed from 10 a.m. until 11:06 a.m.]

19 \*\*\*

20 Report of Board Counsel

21 | [Dana M. Wucinski, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted

22 | matters for discussion during Executive Session.

Ms. Wucinski next introduced Jackie Wiest Lutz,

24 | Esquire, as the Board's new Board Counsel. Ms. Wiest

25 Lutz provided a summary of her professional

1 background. She specifically noted her previous

2 service to this Board.

Ms. Wucinski noted the Recusal Guidelines and
Advisory Opinions and Press Inquiries for the Board's
review. She cautioned the Board to not provide
advisory opinions and stated all press inquiries
should be directed to the Office of Communications and
Press, specifically Wanda Murren, Press Secretary.

9 Ms. Wucinski also outlined the Clean Slate 10 Requirements for the Board's information.]

11

12 Report of Board Chairman

13 [Vito J. DonGiovanni, Psy.D., Chairman, officially

14 acknowledged that the Board was well served by

15 Ms. Wucinski and wished her well in the future. He

16 officially welcomed Ms. Lutz back to the Board.

17 Chairman DonGiovanni thanked participants of the
18 Regulatory Committee meeting in September and reminded
19 members to submit their renewals.

20 Chairman DonGiovanni noted that Dr. McAleer will 21 be attending the Association of State and Provincial

22 Psychology Boards (ASPPB) Annual Meeting in

23 Minneapolis, October 16-20, 2019.

Chairman DonGiovanni noted that next year's

25 Pennsylvania Psychological Association (PPA)

```
Conference is in Lancaster, where the Board will be presenting "Lunch with the State Board of Psychology" again. He noted positive reviews from the "Lunch with the State Board of Psychology", which was held in June 2019. He noted discussion regarding a mock hearing which might be helpful but takes too much time. He noted that the Board could just review the hearing process.
```

Chairman DonGiovanni noted a comment from PPA regarding whether the Board would consider adding CE credits for someone who attends a Board meeting.

Chairman DonGiovanni mentioned the possibility of a new public member, Dean Maynard from Spartansburg.]

14

10

11

12

13

15 Report of Acting Commissioner - No Report

16 \*\*

17 Report of Board Administrator - No Report

18

19 Report of Board Members - No Report

20 \*\*\*

[Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 10:20 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session with Dana M. Wucinski, Esquire, Board Counsel, and Jackie Wiest Lutz, Esquire, Board Counsel, for the

ouchie micros in a quille, in a

25 purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations on

1 | a number of matters that are currently pending before

- 2 the Board and to receive advice of counsel. The Board
- 3 | returned to open session at 11:31 a.m.]
- 4 \*\*\*
- 5 | [Richard F. Small, Ph.D., exited the meeting at
- 6 | 11:31 a.m.]
- 7 \*\*\*
- 8 | Appointment ASPPB Psychology Licensure Universal
- 9 System Presentation
- 10 [Alex M. Siegel, J.D., Ph.D., Director of Professional
- 11 Affairs, ASPPB, provided handouts regarding the
- 12 | Psychology Licensure Universal System. He announced
- 13 Northern Mariana Islands as the 65th jurisdiction to
- 14 join ASPPB.
- Dr. Siegel addressed the Psychology License
- 16 Universal System (PLUS), which is similar to the
- 17 universal college application, where an applicant
- 18 | could apply to a thousand different schools. He
- 19 stated 16 jurisdictions have adopted PLUS. Virginia
- 20 and Hawaii will also adopt PLUS by the end of this
- 21 | year with 4 or 5 other jurisdictions the following
- 22 year.
- Dr. Siegel noted that there is a \$200 cost
- 24 associated with PLUS. He also noted that the American
- 25 | Psychological Association of Graduate Students (APAGS)

```
16
   Committee has endorsed it. He stated that PLUS does
1
   not serve the Board's role to determine who is
2
3
   qualified for licensure but helps to gather the
 4
   information for the Board to make that determination.
5
   He noted working with all jurisdictions and
6
   applications for licensure to create a composite that
   made sure all of the information on licensing was
   captured for a universal system for use by all
   jurisdictions.]
10
11
   [Richard F. Small, Ph.D., reentered the meeting at
12
   11:42 a.m.]
                              * * *
13
   [Dr. Siegel stated PLUS is used for doctoral level and
14
15
   a master's supervised licensure and can help if
   someone is licensed in one jurisdiction and has a
16
   Certificate of Professional Qualification (CPQ) who is
17
18
   going to facilitate licensure into another state.
19
        Dr. Siegel noted that 600 applicants used it in
2.0
   2018 and liked it because once the process is started
21
   and the Board approves them to sit for the Examination
2.2
   for Professional Practice in Psychology (EPPP), they
23
   go to ASPPB's website to fill out forms to apply to
2.4
   take the EPPP. He stated, because information has
```

already been gathered in a secure network with

25

PSY/PRO, it is an easier process to apply for the EPPP or CPQ and in those compacts to apply for any passport under the auspicious of Psychology Interjurisdictional Compact (PSYPACT).

2.0

2.4

Dr. Siegel commented that the goal is to help with portability and mobility of licensure as well as develop an international exam to confirm continuity and consistency across the states and provinces. He noted dedicated PLUS specialists who are familiar with Board rules and the application process.

Dr. Siegel addressed PSY/PRO, where information is gathered and could be sent either electronically or be downloaded to present to the Board to evaluate for Commonwealth standards.

Dr. Siegel addressed the Credentials Bank through PSY/PRO, noting that 30,000 people have opened a Credentials Bank to start the process. He stated Jeff Baker is the Executive Director of the Association of Postdoctoral and Psychology Internship Centers (APPIC).

Dr. Siegel stated students apply for internship, fill out the Application for Psychology Internship (APPIC), and within the next six months will be hooked into the Credentials Bank and licensing to help facilitate their process by not having to reenter

information already submitted in the APPIC.

2.4

Dr. Siegel stated ASPPB helps with mobility and portability for those licensed in Pennsylvania who want to move to another state and have a CPQ can be fast tracked for licensure into that other state or province. He stated individuals would still have to take the jurisprudence exam and submit three letters of recommendation but would not have to go back and get their postdoctoral supervisor's signature or internship signature because it will be primary source verified that the Board will accept the CPQ.

Dr. Siegel addressed PSYPACT, noting current legislation in Pennsylvania in the Senate. He explained that individuals in a compact state that takes legislative action and who want to utilize telepsychology into another compact state can do that under the auspicious of the compact without being licensed in the latter state.

Dr. Siegel stated individuals who want to go physically into another compact state can temporarily do that for up to 30 days per calendar year and is primarily meant for industrial/organizational I/O psychologists, forensic psychologists, consulting psychologists, and temporary practice.

Dr. Siegel stated the Credentials Bank is the

start by gathering the information. He noted that 1 jurisdictions that use PLUS can go into PLUS, and if 2 3 someone wants to apply for CPQ or E.Passport, all that information will migrate into those documents. 5 noted that CPQ facilitates documentation and would be accepted if all other documentation is lost. 6

4

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Dr. Siegel addressed optional and mandatory mechanisms. He explained the mandatory mechanism where every applicant has to use PLUS, noting that some states do not want to push this burden on everybody because of the \$200 fee, and their application fee to apply is \$1,000.

Dr. Siegel addressed the operational process, where the applicant would contact the Board and start the process, and the Board would notify ASPPB that this person has started the process of applying for licensure and an application has been started. stated ASPPB would then contact the applicant, noting that ASPPB is in contact with the Board of Psychology in Pennsylvania and how to proceed using PLUS in PSY/PRO with the assistance of a licensed specialist who would talk to that individual.

Dr. Siegel noted that a couple of jurisdictions wanted to not be in the beginning of the process and wanted applicants to contact ASPPB first and start the process there, where ASPPB notifies the colleges that someone is starting the process.

2.4

Dr. Siegel noted no cost to the Commonwealth and gave a timeline of two to nine months from the beginning to it being up and running.

Dr. McAleer questioned what type of logistical issues have come up in getting this up and running in various jurisdictions. Dr. Siegel noted difficulties early on in the process but it recently has been a seamless process.

Chairman DonGiovanni questioned what ASPPB would send to Ms. Stuckey. Dr. Siegel explained that the packet would include the PLUS application, the postdoctoral supervisor completing the forms, and their internship and a description of the internship to make a determination as to whether or not an applicant met the criteria to be licensed in the Commonwealth as a psychologist. He stated the PLUS form would take the place of the application form.

Dr. Siegel also noted the requirement of applicants to put each course they have in each of the categories because some states will look at that even though it is there from an American Psychological Association (APA) - or Canadian Psychological Association (CPA) -accredited program.

Dr. Siegel mentioned migrating this into the American Board of Professional Psychology (ABPP), where it will be kind of a horizontal monopoly starting off with internship, licensure, and Board certification.

Dr. Siegel noted being optimistic that in the next three to four years the majority of states and provinces will use this.

Chairman DonGiovanni thanked Dr. Siegel for his presentation and is hopeful that Dr. McAleer will bring back information from Minneapolis next week.]

\* \* \*

[Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 12:08 p.m. the Board entered into Executive Session with Dana M. Wucinski, Esquire, Board Counsel, and Jackie Wiest Lutz, Esquire, Board Counsel, for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations on a number of matters that are currently pending before the Board and to receive advice of counsel. The Board returned to open session at 12:34 p.m.]

[Theodore Stauffer, Legal Assistant/Executive Secretary, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs, on behalf of K. Kalonji Johnson, Acting Commissioner, entered the meeting during the Executive Session.]

```
22
                               * * *
1
2
   MOTIONS
3
   MS. WUCINSKI:
                  I believe the Board will entertain a
 4
5
                  motion to adopt the Consent Agreement at
                  Case No. 19-63-006955.
6
7
   DR. BRADLEY:
                  I'll motion.
9
   CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI:
10
                  Dr. Bradley.
11
   DR. ERICKSON:
12
                  Second.
   CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI:
13
14
                  Dr. Erickson. All those in favor?
15
                  Opposed?
16
   [The motion carried unanimously. The name in that
17
   case is John Thomas Stacey, Ph.D.]
                               * * *
18
19
   MS. WUCINSKI:
20
                  I believe the Board will entertain a
21
                  motion to adopt the Consent Agreement at
22
                  Case No. 19-63-003351.
2.3
   CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI:
24
                  I need a motion.
25
   DR. MCALEER:
```

```
23
                   I move.
 1
 2
   CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI:
 3
                   Dr. McAleer. Second?
   DR. ERICKSON:
 4
 5
                   Second.
 6
   CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI:
                   Dr. Erickson. All those in favor?
   [The motion carried unanimously. The name in that
   case is John H. Edgette, Psy.D.]
                                 * * *
10
11
   MS. WUCINSKI:
12
                   I believe the Board will entertain a
13
                   motion to adopt the Consent Agreement at
                   Case No. 15-63-00633.
14
15
   DR. SMALL:
16
                   So moved.
17
   CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI:
18
                   So moved, Dr. Small.
19
   DR. BRADLEY:
20
                   Second.
21
   CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI:
22
                   Second, Dr. Bradley. All those in
23
                   favor? Those opposed?
24
   [The motion carried unanimously. The name in that
25
   case is <a href="Steve S. Carter">Steve S. Carter</a>, <a href="Ph.D.">Ph.D.</a>]
```

24 \* \* \* 1 2 MS. WUCINSKI: 3 I believe the Board will entertain a 4 motion to adopt the Consent Agreement at 5 Case No. 16-63-14359. 6 DR. ERICKSON: 7 So moved. CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI: So moved, Dr. Erickson. 10 DR. BRADLEY: 11 Second. 12 CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI: 13 Second, Dr. Bradley. All those in favor? Opposed? 14 15 [The motion carried unanimously. The name in that 16 case is Lisa Altman Young, Ph.D.] \* \* \* 17 MS. WUCINSKI: 18 I believe the Board will entertain a 19 20 motion to direct Board counsel to draft 21 an Adjudication and Order in accordance 22 with discussions in Executive Session 2.3 for James Dale Harrington, M.A., Case 24 Nos. 11-63-05399 & 11-63-05413. DR. MCALEER: 25

```
25
                  So moved.
1
2
   CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI:
3
                  So moved, Dr. McAleer.
   DR. BRADLEY:
4
5
                  Second.
6
   CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI:
                  Second, Dr. Bradley. All those in
8
                  favor? Those opposed?
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
10
11
   MS. WUCINSKI:
                  I believe the Board will entertain a
12
13
                  motion to adopt the draft Adjudication
14
                  and Order with changes as discussed in
15
                  Executive Session for Bruce Edward
16
                  Mapes, Ph.D., Case No. 16-63-05143.
17
   DR. BRADLEY:
                  I'll motion.
18
19
   CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI:
20
                  We have several recusals. Dr. McAleer
21
                  recuses, Dr. Small recuses, and
22
                  Dr. Spayd recuses.
2.3
   DR. ERICKSON:
24
                  Second.
25
   CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI:
```

```
26
                  Dr. Bradley has made the motion to
1
2
                  adopt. Dr. Erickson seconded.
                  those in favor?
3
4
   [The motion carried. Mr. Stauffer was present for the
5
   motion. Dr. McAleer, Dr. Small, and Dr. Spayd recused
   themselves from deliberations and voting on the
6
   motion.]
                              * * *
   [Theodore Stauffer, Legal Assistant/Executive
10
   Secretary, Bureau of Professional and Occupational
11
   Affairs, on behalf of K. Kalonji Johnson, Acting
   Commissioner, exited the meeting 12:36 p.m.]
12
                              * * *
13
14
   MS. WUCINSKI:
15
                  I believe the Board will entertain a
16
                  motion to grant the Motion to Deem Facts
17
                  Admitted and to direct Board counsel to
18
                  draft an Adjudication and Order
19
                  consistent with discussions in Executive
20
                  Session for Neal Houston, Case No. 17-
21
                  63-012585.
22
   DR. MCALEER:
2.3
                  So moved.
2.4
   CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI:
25
                  So moved, Dr. McAleer.
```

```
27
1
   DR. SPAYD:
2
                  Second.
3
   CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI:
4
                  Second, Dr. Spayd. All those in favor?
5
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
6
7
   MS. WUCINSKI:
                  I believe the Board will entertain a
9
                  motion to direct Board counsel to draft
10
                  a final order consistent with
                  discussions in Executive Session for
11
12
                  Cynthia Ann Spanier, Ph.D., Case No. 19-
                  63-001314.
13
   DR. ERICKSON:
14
15
                  So moved.
16
   CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI:
                  Second?
17
   DR. MCALEER:
18
19
                  Second.
20
   CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI:
                  Second, Dr. McAleer. All those in
21
22
                  favor? Those opposed?
23
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
24
25
   MS. WUCINSKI:
```

28 1 Item No. 18 has been tabled. \* \* \* 2 3 MS. WUCINSKI: I believe the Board will entertain a 4 5 motion to approve the supervised experience of Kalpana Sammantham, Ph.D. 6 7 DR. SMALL: So moved. 9 CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI: 10 So moved, Dr. Small. 11 DR. MCALEER: 12 Second. CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI: 13 14 Second, Dr. McAleer. All those in 15 favor? Opposed? 16 [The motion carried unanimously.] \* \* \* 17 18 MS. WUCINSKI: I'll note that agenda Item No. 20 has 19 been tabled. The Board has directed the 2.0 2.1 Board administrator to send a letter to 2.2 Alison Rebecca Cutler, Psy.D., to gather 2.3 additional information about her 2.4 supervised experience. \* \* \* 25

29 1 MS. WUCINSKI: 2 I believe the Board will entertain a 3 motion to approve the supervised 4 experience of Natalia Christine Orloff, 5 Ph.D. 6 CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI: 7 The motion is to approve. DR. SMALL: 9 I'll make a motion. 10 CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI: Second? 11 12 DR. ERICKSON: 13 Second. CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI: 14 15 Second, Dr. Erickson. All those in 16 favor? Opposed? [The motion carried unanimously.] 17 \* \* \* 18 19 MS. WUCINSKI: 20 I believe the Board will entertain a 21 motion to approve the following 22 fictitious names: Wayfaring 2.3 Psychological Wellness, PLLC; Start Here 2.4 Testing LLC; Resolve to Change PLLC; 25 Dr. Cloyd's Clinical Psychology and

```
30
                  Neuropsychology Practice.
1
2
   DR. BRADLEY:
3
                  So moved.
   CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI:
4
5
                  So moved, Dr. Bradley.
6
   DR. MCALEER:
7
                  Second.
   CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI:
9
                  Second, Dr. McAleer. All those in
10
                  favor? Opposed?
11
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
12
   MS. WUCINSKI:
13
                  I believe the Board will entertain a
14
15
                  motion to deny the request of Claudia B.
16
                  Garcia-Leeds, Ph.D., to reconsider her
17
                  licensure status and waive the EPPP.
   DR. BRADLEY:
18
19
                  So moved.
20
   CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI:
21
                  So moved, Dr. Bradley.
22
   DR. SPAYD:
23
                  Second.
24
   CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI:
25
                  Second, Dr. Spayd. All those in favor?
```

```
31
1
                  Opposed?
2
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
3
4
   MS. WUCINSKI:
5
                  I believe the Board will entertain a
6
                  motion to grant the request of Kristi
                  Musick, Ph.D., to complete her
8
                  continuing education credits for the
9
                  2017-2019 biennial renewal period by
10
                  home study.
11
   DR. ERICKSON:
12
                  So moved.
   CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI:
13
14
                  So moved, Dr. Erickson.
15
   DR. MCALEER:
16
                  Second.
17
   CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI:
18
                  Second, Dr. McAleer. All those in
19
                   favor? Opposed?
20
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
21
22
   FYI Items
23
   [Vito J. DonGiovanni, Psy.D., Chairman, noted FYI
2.4
   items for the Boards review.]
                               * * *
25
```

1 Discussion Items

2 [Vito J. DonGiovanni, Psy.D., Chairman, referred to

3 practicum guideline information from Linda Knauss,

4 Ph.D. He noted that APA Guidelines for practicum

5 experience changed in September 2015 and believed a

6 vote of the Board was required to allow verification

7 of practicum experience occurring after September

8 2015.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

Ms. Stuckey explained that the prior special notice indicated that the Board was waiving it, which is still the case. She noted the need for a letter from the director of clinical training at the doctoral program to show the Board the dates and how many hours were completed to ensure they had at least 1,750 hours.]

\* \* \*

17 CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI:

The Board will review and verify the APA doctoral program experience with a letter from the director of clinical training confirming that the applicant began the program after September 2015 and providing the dates and hours of the practicum experiences that were completed.

25

1 | Would somebody like to move that?

- 2 DR. BRADLEY:
- 3 I'll move.
- 4 CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI:
- 5 Dr. Bradley moved that. Thank you.
- 6 DR. ERICKSON:
- 7 Second.
- 8 CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI:
- 9 Dr. Erickson, second. All those in
- 10 favor? Those opposed?
- 11 [The motion carried unanimously.]
- 12 \*\*\*
- 13 [Chris Stuckey, Board Administrator, referred to an
- 14 email regarding a program that has the status of
- 15 accredited on contingency, which is the status from
- 16 APA, and whether the Board would accept that as being
- 17 | from an APA-accredited program. She noted the
- 18 definition on APA's website as programs that have been
- 19 judged by the Commission on Accreditation (CoA) to be
- 20 consistent substantially and procedurally with the
- 21 | Standards of Accreditation (SoA) but have not provided
- 22 | adequate and appropriate proximal and distal data.
- 23 These programs must provide outcome data for trainees
- 24 in the program and program graduates within a
- 25 requisite timeframe based on the type of program.

Dr. McAleer stated the issue with this particular program is that they will not have enough graduates from their program to meet the statistical requirements of APA's Committee on Accreditation, where they are doing a fine job but still need to send more data. He noted that programs could be placed on probation or suspension.

Dr. McAleer explained that looking at it distally means people who received their license and what type of positions they took, did anybody want additional Board certifications. He commented that data is being collected, but there is not enough of it yet. He also stated they are accredited if they are accredited on contingency.

Chairman DonGiovanni commented that it would be highly unlikely for any program to have gone through the massive amount of studies and preparation for this to not continue to collect data for the coming years of their programs, because they would want to maintain their accreditation.]

21 \*\*\*

22 Upcoming Meeting Dates

2.0

[Vito J. DonGiovanni, Psy.D., Chairman, noted that the

24 next scheduled Board meeting is December 2, 2019.

\* \*

```
35
1
   Adjournment
2
   CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI:
3
                  I entertain a motion to adjourn.
4
   DR. MCALEER:
5
                  So moved.
6
   CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI:
                  So moved, Dr. McAleer.
   DR. SPAYD:
                  Second.
10
   CHAIRMAN DONGIOVANNI:
11
                  Second, Dr. Spayd. All those in favor?
12
                  Opposed? Hearing none. We are
13
                  adjourned.
14
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
15
16
   [There being no further business, the State Board of
17
   Psychology Meeting adjourned at 12:49 p.m.]
                               * * *
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

### CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Psychology meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Psychology meeting.

Evan Bingaman,

Minute Clerk

Sargent's Court Reporting

Service, Inc.

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

		3	37
1 2 3		STATE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY REFERENCE INDEX	
$\begin{smallmatrix} 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4$		October 7, 2019	
	TIME	AGENDA	
	8:59	Official Call to Order	
	9:02	Approval of Minutes	
	9:03	Report of Prosecutorial Division	
	9:18	Appointment - Cynthia K. Montgomery, Esquire, Deputy Chief Counsel, Regulatory Process Presentation	
	10:00 10:06	Recess Return to Open Session	
	10:06	Report of Board Counsel	
	10:10	Report of Board Chairman	
	10:20 11:31	Executive Session Return to Open Session	
	11:32	Appointment - Alex Siegel, J.D., Ph.D., Director of Professional Affairs, Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards Presentation	
	12:08 12:34	Executive Session Return to Open Session	
	12:34	Motions	
	12:40	FYI Items	
	12:40	Discussion Items	
	12:48	Upcoming Meeting Dates	
	12:49	Adjournment	
49 50			