State Board of Physical Therapy January 16, 2020

BOARD MEMBERS:

Cynthia L. Potter, PT, DPT, Chairperson Sandra L. Campbell, PT, Ph.D., MBA, Vice Chair Tammy S. Frey, PTA, Secretary K. Kalonji Johnson, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs Jeffrey R. Elliott, Esquire, Public Member - Absent Kimberly Early, LSW, Secretary of Health designee Geraldine M. Grzybek, PT, GCS Brandie J. McClinton, DPT Jeremy Robb, Attorney General's Office Designee

17 Christopher Staub, DPT 18 Krista Wolfe, DPT, ATC

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel
Paul J. Jarabeck, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison
Kimberly Adams, Chief of Fiscal Management, Bureau of
Finance and Operations
Michelle Roberts, Board Administrator

ALSO PRESENT:

Scott Voshell, Vice President, Pennsylvania Physical Therapy Association Ted Mowatt, Vice President/Lobbyist, Wanner Associates Barbara Vees, PTA John E. Baughman, Select Medical

3 * * * 1 2 State Board of Physical Therapy 3 January 16, 2020 * * * 4 5 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State 6 Board of Physical Therapy was held on Thursday, January 16, 2020. * * * 9 Official Call to Order 10 [Cynthia Potter, PT, DPT, Chairperson, officially 11 called the meeting to order at 9:01 a.m. K. Kalonji 12 Johnson, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of Professional 13 and Occupational Affairs, and Kimberly Early, LSW, 14 Secretary of Health designee, were not present at the 15 commencement of the meeting.] 16 17 Approval of minutes of the November 14, 2019 meeting CHAIRPERSON POTTER: 18 19 The first item on the agenda is the 20 minutes. Are there any additions or 21 corrections to the minutes? 22 [The Board discussed corrections to the minutes.] 2.3 CHAIRPERSON POTTER: 2.4 Any other additions or corrections? Ιf

not, is there a motion to approve?

```
1
   MS. GRZYBEK:
2
                  So moved.
3
   CHAIRPERSON POTTER:
 4
                  Second?
5
   DR. STAUB:
                  Second.
6
7
   CHAIRPERSON POTTER:
                  It's been moved and seconded to approve
9
                  the minutes. All in favor, say aye.
10
                  Opposed, no? Abstentions?
11
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
12
13
   Report of Prosecutorial Division
14
   [Paul J. Jarabeck, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison,
15
   presented the VRP Consent Agreements for File No. 19-
   65-010021, File No. 19-65-012630, and File No. 19-65-
16
17
   016206.1
                               * * *
18
19
   [Kimberly Early, LSW, Secretary of Health Designee,
20
   entered the meeting at 9:04 a.m.]
21
                               * * *
22
   Report of Board Chairperson - No Report
23
24
   Report of Board Counsel
25
   [Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel, announced
```

that a regulatory status report will now be given at
each meeting. He noted nothing new to report with

16A-6518 regarding child abuse reporting requirements.

He mentioned the Board of Dentistry was still working
on a draft, which will then be copied by the Board and
moved forward.

Mr. Davis referred to 16A-6521 regarding Fees, stating there was no reason to move forward with this fee regulation because the Board was in good shape fiscally.

Mr. Davis addressed 16A-6522 regarding early examinations and CE for clinical instructors. He noted changes to the language to make it gender neutral, per prior discussion, to read the "program director or program director's designee." He stated the preamble had been drafted but had not been through the required rounds of approvals. He noted the reference to ProCert going away would be added to the preamble. He commented that it would be quite some time before the regulation was published in the Pennsylvania Bulletin, but asked the Board to review the Proposed Annex and Preamble uploaded to the Board's agenda.]

* * *

25 MR. DAVIS:

2.3

2.4

I believe the Chair would accept the 1 motion to approve proposed rulemaking 2 3 16A-6522, also known as the early exam and CE for clinical instructors' 4 5 rulemaking, and to direct counsel to 6 promulgate it through the regulatory 7 review process. MS. GRZYBEK: 9 So moved. 10 DR. STAUB: 11 Second. 12 CHAIRPERSON POTTER: 13 Moved and seconded to adopt rulemaking 14 as written by counsel. All in favor, 15 say aye. Opposed, no? Abstentions? [The motion carried unanimously.] 16 17 18 [Ms. Early questioned where the request originates 19 regarding a decrease or increase in fees. Mr. Davis 20 explained that the Board typically receives suggestions from the Budget and Finance Office (BFO. 21 22

BFO typically suggests a fee change, and presents the Board with several options. The Board reviews those options and determines whether a fee change is required, and which option best suits the Board's

23

24

needs.

Mr. Davis referred to Act 41, stating that it inadvertently affects some of the regulations already in the works. He noted 16A-6519, student in a master's program as a statement of policy, and 16A-6520, foreign-trained applicant evaluations, commenting that Act 41 does not affect the master's program but would affect the foreign-trained evaluation. He explained that a significant number of applicants who would have request licensure as "foreign-trained applicants" will now be requesting licensure under Act 41 instead, as long as their home jurisdiction requires licensure.

He questioned whether the Board still desired to move forward with 16A-6520 regarding the foreign-trained evaluation regulation. He noted that 16A-6520 would do away with a large section of the current regulations that suggests what a foreign-trained applicant's education must contain, and instead turns that procedure over to accredited evaluation agencies - naming one.

Chairperson Potter questioned how unlicensed, foreign-trained individuals who currently work in a jurisdiction that does not require licensure could obtain a license in the Commonwealth if the Board were

- 1 to not move forward with 16A-6520. Mr. Davis
- 2 explained that the Board could compare that
- 3 | individual's education to what is required in the
- 4 Commonwealth under the current regulations.
- 5 Alternatively, the Board could require individuals to
- 6 be evaluated by an outside agency.
- 7 Dr. Campbell addressed CAPTE-accredited foreign-
- 8 educated programs, mentioning a small number of
- 9 roughly three to five. He believed the process
- 10 stopped when their accreditation expired, noting that
- 11 the citation for the evaluative criteria was listed as
- 12 2015. She stated this section and standard numbers
- 13 had changed and would need to be updated.
- Dr. Campbell suggested considering the feedback
- 15 from the organization conducting the foreign-education
- 16 evaluation and voting on their recommendation. The
- 17 | Board would then retain authority on the decision.
- 18 | She questioned whether Act 41 would be the way
- 19 somebody obtains their initial license in the United
- 20 States.
- 21 Ms. Frey commented that a foreign-educated
- 22 | physical therapist must have their license in their
- 23 | country of origin before requesting licensure under
- 24 Act 41 in Pennsylvania.
- 25 Ms. Roberts mentioned India as one of the

countries where having a simply obtaining a degree grants the individual the authority to practice.

2.3

Dr. Campbell noted a concern with Indian-trained clinicians receiving their first license in the United States because they are sometimes missing credits.

Ms. Roberts noted all applications would go to the Act 41 committee for review, and the Board would make the final decision on licensure if the committee could not, or if the committee decided licensure may not be granted.

Dr. Staub questioned the need for 16A-6520 in the face of Act 41, and whether implementing the regulation would be beneficial in any way. Mr. Davis noted approximately 130 active regulations, and suggested that it may not be worth the Board's time to try to pass a regulation that does not seem to be beneficial, especially now that Act 41 is in place.

Ms. Roberts noted this was created for individuals who might be short in their general education, where they have multiple years of experience but may be need something to meet that deficiency. Ms. Roberts believed the Federation of State Boards of Physical Therapy (FSBPT), who handles the credentials, requested the change, so Pennsylvania was more in line with everybody else.

Mr. Davis stated individuals seeking licensure

could still contact FSBPT, ask for the evaluation to

be performed, and then provide the information to the

Board for its ultimate decision.

Ms. Roberts mentioned that the Board's policy has been to request that foreign-trained persons have their education credential evaluation completed, and FSBPT was currently the only organization the Board accepts because of their expertise and data from other countries.]

11 ***

5

6

9

10

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

12 [K. Kalonji Johnson, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of 13 Professional and Occupational Affairs, entered the 14 meeting at 9:26 a.m.]

15

16 [Mr. Davis suggested placing the regulation on the agenda for further discussion at the Boards' next session.

Mr. Davis referred to 16A-6519. Section 4(a) of the Act makes it unlawful for an individual to practice physical therapy unless such individual is licensed in accordance with the Act. Section 4(b.3) (1) of the act permits a student who is enrolled in a board-approved school of physical therapy to perform acts of physical therapy incidental to the student's

course of study.

2.3

Mr. Davis noted the Board started putting into place a statement of policy, suggesting schools with a CAPTE-approved program would essentially be those schools or programs in which a student would have to be enrolled in order to perform physical therapy and questioned whether the Board still wanted to move forward with the statement of policy. Mr. Davis noted the trend within the Bureau to draft regulations, rather than statements of policy.

Chairperson Potter suggested drafting a regulation, rather than a statement of policy, to make it more accessible for public awareness and access.

Acting Commissioner Johnson agreed, noting the process provides a public comment period and would be subject to review and commentary by the oversight committee.

Dr. Campbell suggested referring to this as physical therapy students or physical therapy educational programs because everybody from a PT side is at a doctoral level, and there are no master's programs anywhere.

Mr. Davis will change the language to "student in educational programs" and draft a regulation instead of a statement of policy.

Chairperson Potter addressed correspondence from Donald Oliva regarding "CE Broker," questioning whether this was similar to when the Board discussed using the federation for course review. Mr. Davis explained that the Pennsylvania Licensing System (PALS) should be able to eventually handle this for the Board.

2.0

Acting Commissioner Johnson mentioned a concern from an administrative standpoint, where vendors should not be directly contacting the individual Boards. He noted the challenge would be that vendors would still have to follow the procurement process and the guidelines of the Department of General Services.

Dr. Campbell noted having trouble getting reports regarding what courses are approved in the current system and questioned whether there was any movement on getting that information publically available in an easier fashion. Acting Commissioner Johnson commented that technical requirements and stability fixes in PALS were being reviewed.

Ms. Grzybek questioned whether the philosophy regarding outside vendors had changed. Acting Commissioner Johnson explained that only vendors willing to take on the role uniformly were being considered, which would save costs by finding one

vendor to handle all of the BPOA licensing boards rather than having individual vendors for each board.

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Dr. Campbell stated the American Physical Therapy Association (APTA) and state chapters were preapproved continuing education providers and CAPTE-accredited educational institutions were preapproved. She referred to the language found in the Board's regulations stating there was a way for continuing education (con-ed) providers to be preapproved, but she suggested that the process had never been properly defined. She questioned whether it should be revisited at this time.

Ms. Roberts noted the Board's decision was to wait one cycle, note the volume of applications received, and then revisit the matter.]

* * *

17 Appointment - Bureau of Finance and Operations Annual
18 Budget Presentation

[Kimberly Adams, Chief of Fiscal Management, Bureau of Finance and Operations, addressed licensee population

21 from a revenue standpoint. She noted FY 2019-2020 at

22 29,217 licensees with an increase of another 39 to

23 29,256; FY 2015-2016 at 24,995 with an increase in FY

 $24 \mid 2017-2018$ by another 1,086 bringing the total to

25 | 26,081; and FY 2019-2020 with the current licensee

1 count at 29,217 (but really 29,256 as of this 2 morning).

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

Ms. Adams reviewed revenue on a biennial basis, noting 96.5 percent of the revenue was derived from renewals and applications. She noted the biennial total. She also noted renewal fees would be waived for FY 2020-2021.

Ms. Adams provided a categorical breakdown of expenses for FY 2017-2018, FY 2018-2019, and the current budget for FY 2019-2020 with the expenses as of December 31, 2019. She noted a recent increase in expenses. She stated, being halfway through the fiscal year, the budgeted amount was adequate. She mentioned expenses are incurred from direct-based charges, timesheet-based charges, and licensee-based charges.

Dr. Campbell referred to the budget for the current year in regard to enforcement and investigation, mentioning that seems low upon considering the past two years of actual expenses.

Ms. Adams explained the most recent Bureau of Enforcement and Investigation (BEI) expenses, which seemed to be adequate.

Ms. Adams reviewed revenues and expenses for FY 2017-2018 and FY 2018-2019 followed by the budget for

FY 2019-2020. She stated the Board was in good shape due to its licensee growth and the fact that expenses were not exceeding revenue, which was why the Board decided to waive one renewal year.

Ms. Grzybek questioned whether there would be an opportunity at this point or for the next budget cycle to propose additional Board administrative support.

Acting Commissioner Johnson stated the complement was constantly being evaluated to ensure substantial support across all of the boards and commissions.

There currently was not one particular area that would justify adding to the complement. He noted speaking with various Board administrators during busy seasons to make sure there was adequate staff and proper resources, whether it is personnel or technical support.

Ms. Adams informed the Board that staff from the fiscal office will re-examine the numbers every year. She stated the Board's numbers look good and was confident by missing a biennial period with the renewals that the Board would be in good shape.

Acting Commissioner Johnson addressed budget operations and projections, noting that the Policy and Budget Office worked with the Independent Regulatory Review Commission and specifically discussed the

concern related to the fluctuation between various boards in regard to balances. He noted the Independent Regulatory Review Commission (IRRC) felt the bureau needed to do a better job of handling this.

2.0

2.4

Acting Commissioner Johnson stated the fiscal office staff projects three biennial cycles, which was designed to help normalize some of the big fee swings. He mentioned Pennsylvania's application and renewal fees were still some of the lowest in the country. He noted fee increases are instituted fairly so all of the potential licensees are identifying with the affects and not just those who are renewing.

Acting Commissioner Johnson discussed fee increases moving forward, and how, for Boards that require fee increases, those fee increases will most likely be instituted incrementally over a 6-year period. He mentioned that fee increases or waivers would be posted on the website and social media, and associations will be alerted so they may reach out to their members as well.

Acting Commissioner Johnson addressed tracking trends related to licensure, specifically since the Governor's Office was spotlighting professional licensure. He stated the Workforce Development Task Force was tracking factors concerning burdens of

licensure and other structural tools used for oversight to see their effects on workforce development.

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

- Dr. Campbell addressed PT Compact legislation, where there may be a decrease in individuals choosing to keep their Pennsylvania license through the Board versus obtaining licensure through the compact, noting a need for the Board to address the matter when the compact is passed.
 - Chairperson Potter questioned whether a permanent fee decrease may be preferable to a fee waiver. Ms.

 Adams explained the preference to implement a waiver because a decrease or an increase must go through IRRC and approvals beyond the Board.
 - Ms. Adams reviewed Board member expenses, noting that the current budget was adequate and had been carried to FY 2020-2021. She mentioned current expenditures at the end of December. She stated any money not spent will remain in the Board's restricted account.]
- 21 ***
- 22 Report of Acting Commissioner
- 23 [K. Kalonji Johnson, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of
- 24 Professional and Occupational Affairs, referred to a
- 25 memorandum regarding new changes to the travel policy,

```
where all reservations must be made through a new
1
   system effective January 1, 2020. He noted
2
3
   transitioning to a new platform called Concur for all
 4
   board and commission members the second quarter of
5
   this year.
6
        Acting Commissioner Johnson announced a change to
   Management Directive 230.10 and advised members to
   apprise themselves of the changes, where the standard
   reimbursement rate changed from $0.58 per mile to
10
   $0.575 per mile and other vehicles decreased from
11
   $0.20 per mile to $0.17 per mile.]
                              * * *
12
   Report of Board Administrator - No Report
13
14
15
   [K. Kalonji Johnson, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of
16
   Professional and Occupational Affairs, exited the
17
   meeting at 10:19 a.m.]
                              * * *
18
19
   [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at
20
   10:19 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session
   with Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel, for the
21
22
   purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations and
2.3
   to receive advice of Counsel. The Board returned to
24
   open session at 10:40 a.m.]
25
```

19 1 MOTIONS 2 MR. DAVIS: 3 Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the 4 Sunshine Act, the Board met in Executive 5 Session for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations and to 6 receive advice of Counsel on the matters upon which the Board is about to vote. 9 Based on the Board's discussions in 10 Executive Session, I believe the Board 11 would entertain a motion to adopt the 12 Consent Agreements at Case No. 19-65-13 010021, Case No. 19-65-012630, and Case No. 19-65-016206. 14 15 MS. FREY: 16 So moved. DR. STAUB: 17 18 Second. 19 CHAIRPERSON POTTER: 2.0 It's been moved and seconded to adopt 2.1 the motion as read by counsel. All in 22 favor, say aye. Opposed, no? 2.3 Abstentions? 2.4 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 25

20 1 MR. DAVIS: 2 For the record, the licensees names have 3 been withheld because they are entering into a voluntary recovery program, and 4 5 their participation in that program will remain confidential as long as they 6 abide by the terms and conditions of the 8 program. 9 * * * 10 Miscellaneous 11 [Cynthia Potter, PT, DPT, Chairperson, noted the next 12 scheduled meeting date is March 11, 2020.] * * * 13 14 Report of Board Counsel (Continued) 15 [Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel, suggested 16 not moving forward with a fee regulation at this 17 time.1 * * * 18 19 MR. DAVIS: 20 I believe the Chair would entertain a 21 motion to not move forward with the 22 proposed fee regulation at this time. 2.3 MS. GRZYBEK: 24 So moved. 25 DR. CAMPBELL:

```
21
1
                  Second.
2
   CHAIRPERSON POTTER:
3
                  Moved and seconded to not move forward
 4
                  with the fee regulation. All in favor,
5
                  say aye. Opposed, no? Abstentions?
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
6
7
                               * * *
8
   Adjournment
   CHAIRPERSON POTTER:
10
                  Is there a motion to adjourn?
11
   DR. STAUB:
12
                  So moved.
13
   MS. FREY:
14
                  Second.
15
   CHAIRPERSON POTTER:
16
                  Moved and seconded to adjourn the
17
                  meeting. All in favor, say aye.
18
                  Opposed, no?
19
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
20
21
   [There being no further business, the State Board of
22
   Physical Therapy Meeting adjourned at 10:43 a.m.]
2.3
                               * * *
2.4
25
```