# State Board of Osteopathic Medicine June 12, 2019

## BOARD MEMBERS:

7 9 10

1

2

3 4 5

6

11 12

13 14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

27 28 29

30 31

32 33

34 35 36

41 42 43

44

45 46 47

48 49 50 Randy G. Litman, D.O., Chairman

Kalonji Johnson, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs - Absent William B. Swallow, D.O., Vice Chairman Burton T. Mark, D.O., Secretary - Absent Carolyn Byrnes, M.P.H., C.P.H., Secretary of Health designee, on behalf of Rachel Levine, M.D., Physician General/Secretary of Health John B. Bulger, D.O. Christopher S. Poggi, D.O. Frank M. Tursi, D.O.

# BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Kenneth J. Suter, Esquire, Board Counsel Kerry E. Maloney, Esquire, Board Counsel Anita P. Shekletski, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison Jason T. Anderson, Esquire, Board Prosecutor

Keith E. Bashore, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Adam Williams, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Aaron Hollinger, Board Administrator

#### ALSO PRESENT:

Andy Sandusky, Executive Vice President, Public Policy and Association Affairs, Pennsylvania Osteopathic Medical Association

Michael DePalma, PA-C, Pennsylvania Society of Physician Assistants

Brenda R. Dill, Director, Communications and Education, Pennsylvania Osteopathic Medical Association

Michael Siget, JD, MPA, Legislative & Regulatory Counsel, Pennsylvania Medical Society

3 \* \* \* 1 2 State Board of Osteopathic Medicine 3 June 12, 2019 \* \* \* 4 5 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State 6 Board of Osteopathic Medicine was held on Wednesday, June 12, 2019. Randy G. Litman, D.O., Chairman, called the meeting to order at 9:29 a.m. 10 Approval of minutes of the April 10, 2019 meeting 11 CHAIRMAN LITMAN: 12 Do I have a motion to approve the 13 minutes from April 10? 14 DR. SWALLOW: 15 So moved. 16 DR. POGGI: 17 Second. CHAIRMAN LITMAN: 18 All in favor? 19 20 [The motion carried unanimously.] 21 \* \* \* 22 Report of Prosecutorial Division 23 [Keith E. Bashore, Esquire, Board Prosecutor, 24 presented the Consent Agreements for File No. 18-53-004420 and File No. 18-53-00476.] 25

```
4
                               * * *
1
2
   [Adam Williams, Esquire, Board Prosecutor, presented
3
   the Consent Agreement for File No. 18-53-002682.]
                               * * *
 4
5
   [Jason T. Anderson, Esquire, Board Prosecutor,
6
   presented Consent Agreements for File No. 18-53-04133
   and File No. 18-53-007315.]
                               * * *
8
9
   [Jason T. Anderson, Esquire, Board Prosecutor, on
10
   behalf of Mark R. Zogby, Esquire, Board Prosecutor,
11
   presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 18-53-
12
   002465.1
                               * * *
13
14
    [Christopher S. Poggi, D.O. and John B. Bulger, D.O.,
15
   exited the meeting at 9:37 a.m. for recusal purposes.]
                               * * *
16
17
   [Jason T. Anderson, Esquire, Board Prosecutor, on
18
   behalf of Mark R. Zogby, Esquire, Board Prosecutor,
   presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 19-53-
19
20
   003362.1
                               * * *
21
22
   [Anita P. Shekletski, Esquire, Board Prosecution
23
   Liaison, presented the Consent Agreements for File No.
   16-53-11839.]
2.4
25
                               * * *
```

1 [Christopher S. Poggi, D.O. and John B. Bulger, D.O.,

2 reentered the meeting at 9:40 a.m.]

3

4 | [Anita P. Shekletski, Esquire, Board Prosecution

5 Liaison, presented the Consent Agreements for VRP File

6 No. 19-53-001578, File No. 19-53-002097, VRP File No.

7 | 19-53-002114, VRP File No. 19-53-002525, and VRP File

8 No. 19-53-007570.1

10

11

18

21

Ms. Shekletski provided an overview of the new mediation program, noting it to be a cost-saving measure with no cost to either party or the Board.

12 She stated the Office of General Counsel has trained

13 mediators who are attorneys and a few prosecutors in

14 the office who have gone through the training program

15 and have done mediation for other agencies.

Ms. Shekletski encourage mediation and believes
that it would be good in a situation where prosecution

is not sure what the Board would want. She noted

19 mediation to be totally voluntary. She stated

20 mediation cases cannot be appealed. She noted that

the Board would still be free to accept or reject it

22 like an ordinary consent agreement.]

23 |

24 Report of Board Counsel

25 | [Kenneth J. Suter, Esquire, Board Counsel, provided

guidelines regarding the Pennsylvania Sunshine Act for the Board's review. He discussed exceptions to the Sunshine Act that include seeking legal advice, consent agreements, and personnel issues that are to

5 be discussed in executive session.

Mr. Suter provided guidelines regarding recusal for the Board's review. He discussed mandatory recusal, where individuals had some role in a prosecution matter or have a direct personal or financial interest in the outcome of the proceeding.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

10

6

Mr. Suter discussed strongly suggested recusal matters, where individuals have a personal affection for somebody directly involved in the proceedings or knowledge from the outside.

Mr. Suter also discussed discretionary situations, where individuals do not feel they can be impartial in a proceeding.

Mr. Suter encouraged the Board to call or email him for his assistance if they are ever uncertain about a situation.

22 \*\*\*

[Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 9:55 a.m. the Board entered into executive session with Kenneth J. Suter, Esquire, Board Counsel, and

```
1 Kerry E. Maloney, Esquire, Board Counsel, for the
2 purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations and
3 to receive legal advice from Board counsel. The Board
4 returned to open session at 11:07 a.m.]
```

\* \* \*

## 6 MOTIONS

5

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

2.3

24

MR. SUTER:

As the Chair indicated, the Board was in executive session. The purpose of the executive session was to discuss items 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, and 18 on the agenda.

I will also note that in Item No. 9, Item No. 10, and Item No. 16, the following members were not present in the room and were recused: Dr. Poggi and Dr. Bulger.

As a result of executive session, I understand that the Board will entertain some motions. The first motion is to approve Item No. 3 on the agenda, File No. 18-53-004420.

DR. TURSI:

So moved.

25 DR. POGGI:

8 Second. 1 2 CHAIRMAN LITMAN: 3 All in favor? 4 [The motion carried. The Respondent's name in this 5 case is Robert J. Fanning, D.O.] \* \* \* 6 7 MR. SUTER: The next item is Item No. 4 on the 9 agenda. It is File No. 18-53-00476. 10 I understand the Board will 11 entertain a motion to approve this 12 Consent Agreement. DR. POGGI: 13 14 So moved. 15 DR. TURSI: 16 Second. 17 CHAIRMAN LITMAN: All in favor? 18 19 [The motion carried unanimously. The Respondent's 20 name is Scott D. Gordon, D.O.] \* \* \* 21 22 MR. SUTER: 23 The next item is Item No. 5. It is File 24 No. 18-53-002682. 25 I understand the Board will

```
9
                  entertain a motion to approve this
1
2
                  Consent Agreement.
3
   DR. POGGI:
4
                  So moved.
5
   DR. TURSI:
6
                  Second.
7
   CHAIRMAN LITMAN:
                  All in favor?
   [The motion carried unanimously. The Respondent's
10
   name is Gabriel G. Ruggiero, D.O.]
                               * * *
11
   MR. SUTER:
12
13
                  The next item is Item No. 6 on the
                  agenda. It is File No. 18-53-04133.
14
15
                        I understand the Board will
16
                  entertain a motion to approve this
17
                  Consent Agreement.
   DR. TURSI:
18
19
                  So moved.
20
   DR. POGGI:
21
                  Second.
22
   CHAIRMAN LITMAN:
2.3
                  All in favor?
24
   [The motion carried unanimously. The Respondent's
25
   name is Murray Soss, D.O.]
```

```
10
                               * * *
1
2
   MR. SUTER:
3
                  The next item on the agenda is Item No.
                  7. It is File No. 18-53-007315.
 4
5
                        I understand the Board will
6
                  entertain a motion to approve this
7
                  Consent Agreement.
   DR. POGGI:
                  So moved.
10
   DR. TURSI:
11
                  Second.
12
   CHAIRMAN LITMAN:
13
                  All in favor?
14
   [The motion carried unanimously. The Respondent's
15
   name is Michael A. Alexander, D.O.]
                               * * *
16
17
   MR. SUTER:
18
                  The next item on the agenda is Item No.
                   8. It is Case No. 18-53-002465.
19
20
                        I understand the Board will
21
                  entertain a motion to approve this
22
                  Consent Agreement.
2.3
   DR. TURSI:
24
                  So moved.
25
   DR. POGGI:
```

11 Second. 1 2 CHAIRMAN LITMAN: 3 All in favor? 4 [The motion carried unanimously. The Respondent's 5 name is David James Girardi, D.O.] \* \* \* 6 7 MR. SUTER: Next, we will consider a motion to 9 approve all of the VRP Agreements listed 10 in items 11, 12, 13, 14, and 15. They 11 are respectively File No. 19-53-001578, 12 File No. 19-53-002097, File No. 19-53-002114, File No. 19-53-002525, and File 13 No. 19-53-007570. 14 15 DR. TURSI: 16 Motion to approve. 17 DR. POGGI: 18 Second. 19 CHAIRMAN LITMAN: 20 All in favor? 21 [The motion carried unanimously.] 22 \* \* \* 2.3 MR. SUTER: 2.4 Next is Item No. 17 on the agenda, Case 25 No. 14-53-02415, Dennis Erik Von Kiel,

```
12
1
                  D.O.
2
                        I understand the Board will
3
                   entertain a motion to approve the
 4
                  proposed Adjudication and Order.
5
   DR. TURSI:
6
                  So moved.
7
   DR. POGGI:
                   Second.
9
   CHAIRMAN LITMAN:
10
                  All in favor?
11
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
12
13
   MR. SUTER:
14
                   The next item is Item No. 18, Bryan
15
                   Douglas Moles, File No. 17-53-06219.
16
                        The Board is directing their
17
                   counsel to make appropriate changes to
                  the proposed Adjudication and Order.
18
19
   DR. TURSI:
20
                  So moved.
21
   DR. POGGI:
22
                   Second.
23
   CHAIRMAN LITMAN:
24
                  All in favor?
25
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
```

13 \* \* \* 1 2 MR. SUTER: 3 We need to vote on the items where individuals were recused. The first 4 5 item is Item No. 9. It is Case No. 19-6 53-003362. I understand the Board will entertain a motion to approve this 9 matter. Dr. Poggi and Dr. Bulger 10 recused themselves. 11 DR. TURSI: 12 So moved. DR. SWALLOW: 13 14 Second. 15 CHAIRMAN LITMAN: All in favor? 16 [The motion carried. Dr. Poggi and Dr. Bulger recused 17 18 themselves from deliberations and voting on the 19 motion. The Respondent's name is Richard I. Mintz, 20 D.O.] 21 \* \* \* 22 MR. SUTER: 2.3 Next, the Board will entertain a motion 24 to approve File No. 16-53-11839. I 25 understand that several Board members

14 will recuse on this. Dr. Poggi and 1 2 Dr. Bulger recused themselves. 3 DR. TURSI: 4 Motion to accept. 5 DR. SWALLOW: Second. 6 7 CHAIRMAN LITMAN: All in favor? [The motion carried. Dr. Poggi and Dr. Bulger recused 10 themselves from deliberations and voting on the 11 motion. The Respondent's name is Mahmoud Ghaderi, 12 D.O.] \* \* \* 13 14 MR. SUTER: 15 The next item is Item No. 16, File No. 18-53-01843, Jes<u>sica L. Carroll, PA-C</u>. 16 17 I understand the Board will 18 entertain a motion to approve this 19 proposed Adjudication and Order and that 2.0 certain members of the Board will 21 recuse. Dr. Poggi and Dr. Bulger 22 recused themselves. 2.3 DR. TURSI: 24 Motion to accept. 25 DR. SWALLOW:

```
15
1
                  Second.
2
   CHAIRMAN LITMAN:
3
                  All in favor?
   [The motion carried. Dr. Poggi and Dr. Bulger recused
4
5
   themselves from deliberations and voting on the
6
   motion.]
                               * * *
   MR. SUTER:
                  Next, we are going to move on to the
10
                  applications. The first application is
11
                  Item No. 22 on the agenda, Sharagim
12
                  Sarah Kemp.
13
                        This is a motion to approve the
14
                  Application for an Unrestricted License.
   DR. POGGI:
15
16
                  So moved.
17
   DR. TURSI:
18
                  Second.
19
   CHAIRMAN LITMAN:
20
                  All in favor?
21
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
22
                               * * *
2.3
   MR. SUTER:
2.4
                  Next is Item No. 23 on the agenda,
25
                  Roya Masoud, D.O.
```

```
16
                        I understand the Board will
1
2
                   entertain a motion to approve this
3
                  application as well.
   DR. POGGI:
4
5
                  So moved.
6
   DR. TURSI:
                   Second.
   CHAIRMAN LITMAN:
                  All in favor?
10
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
11
   MR. SUTER:
12
13
                  The next item is Item No. 24 on the
14
                   agenda, Adam Morrow, D.O.
15
                        I would like the Board to entertain
16
                  a motion to table this application.
17
   DR. TURSI:
18
                   So moved.
19
   DR. SWALLOW:
20
                  Second.
21
   CHAIRMAN LITMAN:
22
                  All in favor?
23
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
24
25
   MR. SUTER:
```

```
17
1
                  Item No. 25 on the agenda is an
2
                  Application for a Written Agreement
3
                  Deviation filed by Caleb Nwosu, D.O.
                        I understand the Board will entrain
 4
5
                  a motion to deny this application.
   DR. POGGI:
6
                  So moved.
   DR. TURSI:
9
                  Second.
10
   CHAIRMAN LITMAN:
11
                  All in favor?
12
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
13
                               * * *
   MR. SUTER:
14
15
                  Item No. 26 on the agenda is a Written
16
                  Agreement Job Duty Change by Stephen
17
                  Purcell, D.O.
18
                        I understand the Board will
19
                  entertain a motion to deny this
20
                  application.
21
   DR. POGGI:
22
                  So moved.
2.3
   DR. TURSI:
24
                   Second.
25
   CHAIRMAN LITMAN:
```

All in favor?

2 [The motion carried unanimously.]

3 \*\*\*

4 | Miscellaneous

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

5 | [Brenda R. Dill, Director, Communications and

6 | Education, Pennsylvania Osteopathic Medical

7 | Association, requested clarification from the Board on

8 the status of the exposure draft that was put before

9 the Board in August 2018 regarding licensure

10 qualifications.

Mr. Suter stated the Board's first priority in terms of regulations has been the osteopathic medicine fee package, which is going through internal review.

Mr. Suter stated the piece of regulations that
Ms. Dill is addressing had been drafted in terms of
the regulation but is being drafted in terms of the
package. It will not be put forward until approved by
the Governor's Office as well as the Office of General
Counsel. He reviewed the regulatory process to get
through the Independent Regulatory Review Commission
(IRRC).

Dr. Tursi noted confusion regarding whether

Pennsylvania is going to accept Resolution 42 and the

need to give direction to staff. He questioned what

could be done with people who are caught in the middle

of old regs, new regs, and regs to come. He commented that the Board should be very liberal on their interpretation of accepting people for licensure.

2.0

Mr. Suter commented that the Board was free to interpret their regulations the way they wish to interpret those or even waive their regulations. He stated he preferred the regulatory route because everybody is on notice and they have notice of what the Board requires. He noted the importance of informing all of the Board's enforcement of certain provisions.

Dr. Tursi stated Pennsylvania is about the only state left that does not recognize Resolution 42 and may be a detrimental effect for the patients of Pennsylvania. He noted concern when rules are interpreted differently, where Boards may check to see if internships are approved by the American Osteopathic Association (AOA), not realizing that Pennsylvania is the exception to Resolution 42.

Mr. Suter discussed creating a nonbinding policy statement in the interim, which may be easier than getting a regulation through.

Dr. Bulger addressed the Board's rules that state individuals must successfully complete an approved internship and the pragmatic reality of getting a

"yes" or "no" answer, where staff have relied on AOA as their primary source for years in Pennsylvania.

Dr. Bulger commented on the need to clarify the confusion for people and students regarding the developments in Pennsylvania.]

\*\*\*

[Dr. Tursi addressed unification with the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME), commenting that they do not really recognize the term internship anywhere. He noted that the definition changed but questioned how can you say you must do an AOA internship when there are no AOA internships. He noted the point now of being too antiquated, especially with unification.

Dr. Tursi commented that it is time for even
Pennsylvania to say an approved residency, which will
be unified as of next year anyway, is enough criteria.

Mr. Suter noted that approved internship is defined as an osteopathic rotating internship program approved by the AOA and the Board.

Dr. Bulger commented that AOA has delegated their authority to the ACGME that any ACGME program is an approved program, noting the issue of there being no separate first year in an AOA program and it being too loose to interpret.

Mr. Suter requested a definition from the Board of an approved internship.

2.0

2.4

Dr. Bulger stated AOA approval is necessary if it was prior to a certain date; and after a certain date, individuals would need an osteopathic-recognized PGY1 or have completed a residency. He stated this is what is in the current regulations, except rotations are more specific. He suggested getting rid of the specifics of the rotations, which would make it easier.

Michael Siget, JD, MPA, Legislative & Regulatory Counsel, Pennsylvania Medical Society, offered a possible solution by taking existing regulations and doing an interpretation, not through a statement of policy but in the Pennsylvania Bulletin as a notice. He referred to a notice in the Pennsylvania Bulletin by the Department of Health at 40 Pa.B. 7160 in 2010 regarding electronically transmitted prescriptions for the Board's review.

Mr. Suter referred to Senate Bill 699 for osteopathic medicine, which allows a third party to enter information into the Pennsylvania Licensing System (PALS) for a written agreement, will be voted on today in the Senate and sent to the House.]

\* \* \*

- 1 Report of Regulatory Counsel
- 2 | [Kenneth J. Suter, Esquire, Regulatory Counsel,
- 3 addressed House Bill 15 of 2019 regarding
- 4 telemedicine.
- 5 Chairman Litman addressed common points of
- 6 agreement when researching telemedicine that included
- 7 | the physician providing the service is credentialed,
- 8 carries insurance, and has an association with a
- 9 referring party within the Commonwealth for patients
- 10 who are evaluated and need follow-up care. He
- 11 discussed interpretations in terms of what areas of
- 12 medicine should be covered by telemedicine.
- 13 Mr. Suter commented that the standard of care
- 14 does not change when utilizing telemedicine.]
  - \* :
- 16 | For the Board's Information/Discussion New Business
- 17 | [Randy G. Litman, D.O., Chairman, addressed a letter
- 18 | from the Pennsylvania Society of Physician Assistants,
- 19 PSPA President Kathryn Kugler, making reference to a
- 20 bill in process. She also made the request for a
- 21 physician assistant to have a permanent seat on the
- 22 Osteopathic Board.

15

- Chairman Litman recommended scheduling a formal
- 24 presentation before the Board to evaluate interests
- 25 and to get an idea of the structure of the bill. He

also suggested that they give a proposition for presence and a seat on the Board and what it would do to benefit citizens.

Mr. Suter stated the Board could weigh in to support or oppose the position, but the ultimate decision is done on a departmental level.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Dr. Tursi discussed the importance of following criteria based on CPT Code 99212 and 99213 concerning supervising and cosigning charts.

Michael DePalma, PA-C, Pennsylvania Society of
Physician Assistants, would appreciate the opportunity
to speak concerning licensure, approval process
issues, and streamlining issues with the agreements.

Mr. Suter referred to existing language in the act in Section 2.1(a), as one member appointed by the governor who shall be a respiratory therapist, perfusionist, physician assistant, or licensed as an athletic trainer are appointed for a four-year term on a rotating basis. He stated the department would be interested in the Board's perspective regarding representation on the Board.

Chairman Litman welcomed a PSPA presentation before the Board to address concerns and provide a decision at the departmental level.]

\*

1 Report of Vice Chair - No Report

\* \*

3 Report of Acting Commissioner - No Report

4 \*\*\*

5 Report of Board Administrator - No Report

\*\*\*

7 Report of Department of Health

8 | [Carolyn Byrnes, M.P.H., C.P.H., Secretary of Health

9 designee, on behalf of Rachel Levine, M.D., Physician

10 | General/Secretary of Health, informed the Board that

11 | the Opioid Command Center continues to meet weekly,

12 and patients and physicians are continuing to be

13 registered for medical marijuana.

14 Ms. Byrnes mentioned that Dr. Levine has been on

15 | a visiting tour at all of the children's hospitals in

16 | the state to highlight maternal and child health

17 issues. She stated the Lyme disease and tobacco

18 cessation awareness prevention campaign began for the

19 summer.1

2

20 \*\*\*

21 Report of Board Chair - No Report

22 \*\*\*

23 Adjournment

24 CHAIRMAN LITMAN:

Do I have a motion for adjournment?

```
25
   DR. SWALLOW:
 1
 2
                   So moved.
 3
   DR. TURSI:
 4
                   Second.
 5
   CHAIRMAN LITMAN:
 6
                   We're adjourned.
 7
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
   [There being no further business, the State Board of
   Osteopathic Medicine Meeting adjourned at 11:58 a.m.]
10
                                * * *
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Osteopathic Medicine meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Osteopathic Medicine meeting.

Seth R. Baier,

Minute Clerk

Sargent's Court Reporting
Service, Inc.