1	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
2	DEPARTMENT OF STATE
3	BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS
4	
5	<u>DRAFT MINUTES</u>
6	
7	MEETING OF:
8	
9	STATE BOARD OF OSTEOPATHIC MEDICINE
10	
11	TIME: 9:29 A.M.
12	
13	BOARD ROOM C
14	One Penn Center
15	2601 North Third Street
16	Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17110
17	
18	Wednesday, April 10, 2019
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2 1 State Board of Osteopathic Medicine 2 April 10, 2019 3 4 BOARD MEMBERS: 5 6 Randy G. Litman, D.O., Chairman 7 William B. Swallow, D.O., Vice Chairman 8 Burton T. Mark, D.O., Secretary 9 Kalonji Johnson, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of 10 Professional and Occupational Affairs John B. Bulger, D.O. 11 12 Christopher S. Poggi, D.O. 13 Frank M. Tursi, D.O. 14 Arlene G. Seid, M.D., M.P.H., Secretary of Health designee, present on behalf of Rachel Levine, M.D., 15 16 Physician General/Secretary of Health 17 18 19 BUREAU PERSONNEL: 20 21 Kenneth J. Suter, Esquire, Board Counsel 22 Kerry E. Maloney, Esquire, Board Counsel 23 Anita P. Shekletski, Esquire, Board Prosecution 24 Liaison 25 Keith E. Bashore, Esquire, Board Prosecutor 26 Jason T. Anderson, Esquire, Board Prosecutor 27 Aaron Hollinger, Board Administrator 28 29 30 ALSO PRESENT: 31 32 Andy Sandusky, Executive Vice President, Public Policy 33 and Association Affairs, Pennsylvania Osteopathic 34 Medical Association 35 Michael DePalma, PA-C, Pennsylvania Society of 36 Physician Assistants 37 Andrew C. Harvan, Esquire, Pennsylvania Medical 38 Society 39 Mary Marshall, Director, Workforce & Professional 40 Services, The Hospital and Healthsystem Association 41 of Pennsylvania 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50

3 1 * * * 2 3 State Board of Osteopathic Medicine April 10, 2019 4 * * * 5 6 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State 7 Board of Osteopathic Medicine was held on Wednesday, 8 April 10, 2019. Randy G. Litman, D.O., Chairman, 9 called the meeting to order at 9:29 a.m. Kalonji 10 Johnson, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of Professional 11 and Occupational Affairs, was not present at the 12 commencement of the meeting. * * * 13 14 Approval of Minutes of the February 13, 2019 meeting 15 CHAIRMAN LITMAN: 16 May I have approval of the February 13 17 minutes? 18 DR. SEID: 19 I'll move. 20 DR. TURSI: 21 Second. 2.2 CHAIRMAN LITMAN: 23 All in favor? 24 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 25 26 [Christopher S. Poggi, D.O.; John B. Bulger, D.O.; and

Burton T. Mark, D.O., Secretary, exited the meeting at 1 2 9:30 a.m. for recusal purposes.] * * * 3 4 Report of Prosecutorial Division 5 [Jason T. Anderson, Esquire, Board Prosecutor, 6 presented a Consent Agreement for File No. 18-53-7 02759.1 * * * 8 9 [Christopher S. Poggi, D.O.; John B. Bulger, D.O.; and 10 Burton T. Mark, D.O., Secretary, reentered the meeting 11 at 9:31 a.m.] * * * 12 13 [Keith E. Bashore, Esquire, Board Prosecutor, 14 presented the Consent Agreement for File No. 18-53-15 009450.1 * * * 16 17 [Anita P. Shekletski, Esquire, Board Prosecution 18 Liaison, presented the VRP Consent Agreement for File 19 No. 19-53-000991.] 20 * * * Report of Board Counsel 21 22 [Kerry E. Maloney, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted 23 agenda items 6 and 7 for discussion during executive 24 session. 25 Mr. Suter addressed the Sports Medicine Licensure

4

Clarity Act for informational purposes. He stated this federal act had been enacted to permit physicians, athletic trainers, and other sports medicine professionals who travel to another state with an athletic team to provide medical care for that team.

5

7 Mr. Suter noted the act to be somewhat unclear 8 and ambiguous in terms of enforcement from a licensing 9 perspective. He commented that the act was much 10 broader in terms of not just limiting travel to 11 contiguous states. He believed, theoretically, that 12 professionals could travel across the country and 13 still practice as long as the professional was 14 traveling with a sports team. This federal act could 15 trump the Board's regulations. Mr. Suter commented that any inconsistency could create a gray area. 16 17 Dr. Seid questioned whether a person who goes to 18 California and something happens in California are 19 subject to the Medical Board of California or the 20 Medical Board of Pennsylvania.] * * * 21 22 [Kalonji Johnson, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of 23 Professional and Occupational Affairs, entered the 2.4 meeting at 9:37 a.m.] 25 * * *

[Mr. Suter responded to Dr. Seid that was not clear 1 2 according to the act who would enforce any violation. He discussed a similar case that set a precedent in 3 4 Commonwealth Court, wherein the psychologist was 5 located in Pennsylvania and was not licensed by the 6 Psychology Board but was providing psychological 7 services only to people in Israel over the internet. Mr. Suter stated that the question was whether 8 9 the Board had jurisdiction, but the Psychology Board 10 found in that case that if any part of the action was 11 taking place in Pennsylvania that there was 12 jurisdiction and Commonwealth Court upheld it.] * * * 13 14 [Chairman Litman discussed his performing remote area 15 free medicine in neighboring states and having to 16 register with the states at a time period well ahead. He noted no reference to this circumstance in the 17 18 statute. 19 Mr. Suter stated there was not any similar 20 reference in the statute.] 21 * * * 22 Report of Regulatory Counsel 23 [Kenneth J. Suter, Esquire, Regulatory Counsel, 24 addressed House Bill 15 regarding telemedicine. He 25 stated the bill did not pass last session but

6

anticipated the bill to pass this year. He referred 1 2 to the definitions in terms of the scope of who is 3 included in telemedicine for the Board's review. 4 Mr. Suter addressed Section 3(a)(1) regarding 5 requirements, encouraging the Board to review this 6 section with regard to developing regulations. Нe 7 stated the Board would need to move relatively quickly 8 in order to promulgate a new regulation within a two-9 year period. 10 Mr. Suter discussed Section 4 regarding 11 compliance. He noted an important section related to 12 being a provider of telemedicine services, noting 13 compliance with all federal and state laws, especially the Health Insurance Portability Accountability Act 14 15 (HIPAA). 16 Mr. Suter referred to Section 5(a)(1) regarding 17 the evaluation and treatment requirements and 18 established provider-patient relationship and 19 evaluation. He also referred to Section 5(a)(2) 20 regarding informed consent, Section 5(a)(3) regarding appropriate examination or assessment, Section 5(a)(4) 21 22 regarding diagnosis and treatment, Section 5(b) 23 regarding disclosures, and Section 6 regarding 2.4 insurance coverage of telemedicine. 25 Acting Commissioner Johnson commented that the

bill enumerates more specialties. He has worked with the sponsors of last year's bill to determine the scope of practitioners covered under the bill. He also noted discussion over whether this bill would simply be essentially an insurance bill or whether this bill would delve closer into the scope of practice.

Acting Commissioner Johnson also commented that 8 9 last year's bill was primarily just a vehicle for 10 reimbursement. He expressed a concern over this bill 11 being essentially an insurance bill. He noted 12 questions about essentially creating a de facto long-13 arm statute with this bill. Acting Commissioner 14 Johnson discussed the Board considering the 15 implementation of regulations based on this bill.

Dr. Seid stated the Department of Health was very active in Senate Bill 780, which was sponsored by Senator Elder Vogel. She commented that this bill in terms of its content from SB 780 was not substantially different. The rules that apply for face-to-face would also apply to telemedicine.

22 Mr. Suter referred to a statement concerning the 23 electronic medical record and questioned whether it 24 was an audio and a visual electronic medical record. 25 He discussed not having manual diagnostic reports. He

> Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

suggested clarifying what constitutes an acceptable 1 electronic medical record (EMR). 2 Dr. Tursi noted the need for rules and 3 4 regulations as to what is acceptable by the Board and 5 voiced his skepticism of this bill. 6 Dr. Bulger suggested a regulation should be 7 written on telemedicine. He stated the crux of this 8 issue the last time was the payment and/or billing and 9 nothing to do with the scope of practice. He referred 10 to one large payer in the state that did not want to 11 pay and applied a lot of pressure, causing the bill to 12 die. 13 Dr. Bulger further commented that the bill was 14 just not voted on. He agreed the Board should have 15 jurisdiction to govern the professionals utilizing 16 telemedicine from Pennsylvania or utilizing 17 telemedicine on someone in Pennsylvania. Dr. Tursi commented that it was the Board's 18 19 responsibility to say who is licensed and protect the 20 people in Pennsylvania. 21 Acting Commissioner Johnson commented that 22 individuals practicing telemedicine in the 23 Commonwealth must also be licensed by the 24 Commonwealth. 25 Chairman Litman requested clarity if a doctor has

9

a patient in the state of New York and the doctor 1 2 speaks with them from the Commonwealth of 3 Pennsylvania, then the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania 4 law governs because that is where the person who is 5 practicing from and the state of New York laws are not 6 involved or are both states involved. Dr. Bulger 7 noted the Board should have jurisdiction over 8 physicians practicing from Pennsylvania or practicing 9 on a patient in Pennsylvania.

10 Ms. Shekletski stated UPMC and large health care 11 facilities have a telemedicine model. She noted that 12 if a doctor is in New York and is treating a patient 13 in Pennsylvania, they must be licensed in 14 Pennsylvania. She stated the doctor must be licensed 15 where the patient is located, which is how prosecution 16 has been handling such matters without guidance or 17 actual laws in place.

18 Mr. Suter commented that the locus of the patient is what rules the jurisdiction based on the last bill. 19 20 He suggested waiting to see if there are any changes 21 to this bill before implementing any regulations and 22 looking at how other states are handling a similar 23 type of law that may give further questions or answers 24 for the regulations. He also requested the Board 25 provide comments regarding the legislation at the next

1 meeting.

2	Mr. Suter referred to Section 4 regarding
3	compliance and Section 5 regarding evaluation and
4	treatment, where both sections seem to be looking at
5	health care provider, which is the legislation, in
6	terms of requirements.

7 Dr. Swallow noted it to be appropriate at the 8 committee level in the assembly to request or hold a 9 hearing where representatives from respective Boards 10 with legal accompaniment testify. Mr. Suter stated 11 the committee can decide whether or not to hold a 12 public hearing on a piece of legislation but comments and feedback should be brought out in a public 13 14 hearing.

15 Chairman Litman noted the importance of sending a 16 message to the committee that this is significant 17 legislation and the Board has concerns about the bill, 18 including the need for a better definition as well as 19 identification of providers and such.

20 Acting Commissioner Johnson will work with the 21 Office of Legislative Affairs and push those requests 22 through our legislative director, who is the liaison 23 to the General Assembly to make that recommendation. 24 Mr. Maloney explained the difference between 25 legislation and regulation.

Chairman Litman commented that setting criteria and making some direction-type suggestions including licensure was probably the only avenue for this Board. Mr. Suter questioned whether the Board wanted to weigh in concerning the legislation by supporting or opposing and come back at the next Board meeting with

7 a plan.

21

8 Mr. Maloney addressed the bill process with bill 9 analysis, where pros and cons and recommended language 10 additions or deletions are discussed, and then the 11 information is provided to the legislative office to 12 the committee.

13 Chairman Litman commented that the Board will 14 formulate some ideas and pass them on to Board Counsel 15 to assemble for discussion at the next meeting.

Dr. Seid mentioned that the Joint Commission has rules covering texting and other types of electronic conferences, and audio records are required to have the same standard as paper to ensure they do not degrade over time.]

[Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 10:08 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session with Kenneth J. Suter, Esquire, Board Counsel, and Kerry E. Maloney, Esquire, Board Counsel, for the

* * *

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

13 purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations and 1 2 to receive legal advice from Board Counsel. The Board 3 returned to open session at 10:48 a.m.] * * * 4 5 MOTIONS 6 MR. SUTER: 7 We were in executive session for 8 quasi-judicial deliberations. The 9 purpose of the executive session was to 10 discuss items 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, and 11 12 on the Board's agenda. 12 As a result of the discussions in executive session, I understand the 13 14 Board will entertain a motion to approve 15 all three Consent Agreements. They are 16 items 3, 4, and 5 at File No. 18-53-17 02759, File No. 18-53-009450, and File No. 19-53-000991. 18 19 DR. TURSI: 20 So moved. 21 DR. SEID: 22 Second. 23 CHAIRMAN LITMAN: 24 All in favor? 25 [The motion carried. Dr. Mark, Dr. Poggi, and

Dr. Bulger recused themselves from deliberations and 1 voting on the motion regarding File No. 18-53-02759. 2 3 The Respondent's name for Item No. 3 at No. 18-53-4 02759 is Ronald P. Vandergriff, D.O. The Respondent's 5 name for Item No. 4 at File No. 18-53-009450 is Walter 6 Yutaka Uyesugi, D.O.] * * * 7 8 MR. SUTER: 9 Item No. 6 is Alexander Bunt Jr., D.O., 10 File No. 17-53-03919. Dr. Mark and Dr. 11 Bulger are recused. 12 I understand the Board will 13 entertain a motion to adopt the hearing 14 examiner's Adjudication and Order as the 15 Board's final Adjudication and Order in 16 this matter. 17 DR. TURSI: 18 So moved. 19 DR. SWALLOW: 20 Second. 21 CHAIRMAN LITMAN: All in favor? 2.2 23 [The motion carried. Dr. Mark and Dr. Bulger recused 24 themselves from deliberations and voting on the 25 motion.]

15 * * * 1 2 MR. SUTER: 3 Based on discussions in executive session, I understand the Chair would 4 5 entertain a motion for Item No. 7 on the 6 agenda, Jazmine A. Cole, PA-C, File No. 7 15-53-10367. The motion would be to approve the final Adjudication and Order 8 9 that the Board reviewed in executive 10 session. DR. POGGI: 11 12 So moved. 13 DR. TURSI: 14 Second. 15 CHAIRMAN LITMAN: 16 All in favor? 17 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 18 19 MR. SUTER: 20 Item No. 10 on the agenda is the 21 application of Samara H. Peters, D.O. 22 I understand the Board will 23 entertain a motion to approve this 24 application. 25 DR. TURSI:

16 1 So moved. 2 DR. MARK: 3 Second. 4 CHAIRMAN LITMAN: 5 All in favor? 6 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 7 8 MR. SUTER: 9 Finally, the Petition for Exception of 10 COMLEX Attempt Limit Policy of Dilisha 11 Rodrigopulle and the Reconsideration 12 Petition for Exception of COMLEX Attempt 13 Limit Policy of Michael Glenn Duley. 14 I understand the Board will 15 entertain a motion to approve both of 16 these petitions. 17 DR. SWALLOW: 18 So moved. 19 DR. TURSI: 20 Second. 21 CHAIRMAN LITMAN: 22 All in favor? 23 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 24 25 Report of Board Chair - No Report

* * * 1 2 Report of Vice Chair - No Report 3 * * * Report of Acting Commissioner - No Report 4 * * * 5 6 Report of Department of Health 7 [Arlene G. Seid, M.D., M.P.H., Secretary of Health designee, present on behalf of Rachel Levine, M.D., 8 9 Physician General/Secretary of Health, encouraged people to still get flu shots. 10 11 Dr. Seid addressed Lyme disease, stating that it 12 has been found in each of Pennsylvania's 67 counties. 13 She noted that Governor Wolf was proposing \$2.5 14 million in the 2019-2020 budget towards Lyme disease 15 education and prevention to assist the efforts to 16 build a more robust Lyme disease program, to conduct 17 surveillance for ticks in Pennsylvania, and to improve 18 participation in tickborne disease surveillance with 19 health care providers. 20 Dr. Seid noted the importance of wearing long 21 sleeves and pants, using insect repellent with DEET, 2.2 and checking for ticks when showering after being 23 outdoors. 24 Dr. Seid stated the Pennsylvania Department of

17

25 Health achieved national accreditation through the

Public Health Accreditation Board. She commented that 1 2 the National Public Health Accreditation Board was 3 launched in 2011 with funding from the Centers for Disease Control and the Robert Wood Johnson 4 5 Foundation, noting that 240 health departments nationwide achieved this prestigious designation. 6 7 Dr. Seid stated a draft of the new hospital regulations will be out with a public comment period 8 9 in late summer or early fall. 10 Dr. Seid noted that Dr. Levine will be attending 11 the next meeting.] * * * 12 Report of Board Administrator - No Report 13 14 * * * 15 For the Board's Information/Discussion 16 [Randy G. Litman, D.O., Chairman, noted the next 17 meeting date scheduled for June 12, 2019.] * * * 18 19 Adjournment 20 CHAIRMAN LITMAN: 21 Motion for adjournment. 22 [Board members respond aye.] 23 CHAIRMAN LITMAN: 2.4 All in favor? 25 [The motion carried unanimously.]

19 * * * 1 2 [There being no further business, the State Board of 3 Osteopathic Medicine Meeting adjourned at 10:56 a.m.] * * * 4 5 6 CERTIFICATE 7 I hereby certify that the foregoing summary 8 9 minutes of the State Board of Osteopathic Medicine 10 meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my 11 supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize 12 the substance of the State Board of Osteopathic 13 Medicine meeting. 14 15 At P Daies 16 17 Seth R. Baier, 18 Minute Clerk 19 Sargent's Court Reporting 20 Service, Inc. 21 22 23 24 25

		20)
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		STATE BOARD OF OSTEOPATHIC MEDICINE REFERENCE INDEX	
		April 10, 2019	
5 6 7	TIME	AGENDA	
8	9:29	Official Call to Order	
10 11	9:29	Approval of Minutes	
12 13	9:30	Report of Prosecutorial Division	
14 15	9:32	Report of Board Counsel	
16 17	9:47	Report of Regulatory Counsel	
18 19 20	10:08 10:48		
21 22	10:48	Motions	
23 24	10:53	Report of Department of Health	
25 26	10:55	For the Board's Information/Discussion	
27 28	10 : 56	Adjournment	
29 30 31			
31 32 33			
34 35			
36 37			
38 39			
40 41			
42 43			
44 45			
46 47			
48 49			
50			