# State Board of Massage Therapy November 4, 2020

3 4 5

6

1

2

# BOARD MEMBERS:

7 9

10

11 12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22 23

28 29

30 31

32 33

34 35

40 41

46 47 48

49 50 Becky D. Caufman, LMT, Chair

K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs Nancy M. Porambo, MS, LMT, CNMT, NCTMB, Vice Chair,

Professional Member Camille Baughman, BS, MA, LMT, Professional Member

Linda A. Chamberlain, MS, BSN, RN, CNDLTC, Secretary of Health Designee

Vickiann Hicks, LMT, BCTMB, CCCA

Jessica A. Nelson, Office of Attorney General designee Gloria E. Rosado, LMT, Professional Member Ellyn Jo Waller, Ed.D., Public Member

# BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel Monty J. Batson, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison Carolyn DeLaurentis, Deputy Chief Counsel, Prosecution Division Dean F. Picarella, Esquire, Senior Counsel

Heather J. McCarthy, Esquire, Senior Prosecutor Christina Townley, Board Administrator

### ALSO PRESENT:

Edward Portley Jr., LMT, Just This Side of Heaven Massage & Continuing Education for Massage Therapists

Jen Smeltz, Executive Director, Senate Consumer Protection and Professional Licensure Committee Kelly L. Givens, LMT, CR, American Massage Therapy Association

Laura Hamilton, Government Relations Director, Associated Bodywork & Massage Professionals

Natalie Cook, Associate, McNees-Winter Group LLC, representing the American Massage Therapy Association Pennsylvania Chapter

Tammy Blauch, MT, Secretary, Senate Consumer Protection and Professional Licensure Committee

1

2 State Board of Massage Therapy

November 4, 2020

\* \* \*

3

4

13

14

15

16

5 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 9:00 a.m. the Board entered into executive session 7 with Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel, for the 8 purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations and 9 to receive advice of counsel on the matters upon which 10 the Board will later vote. The Board commenced open 11 session at 10:30 a.m.]

12 \*\*\*

The regularly scheduled meeting of the State Board of Massage Therapy was held on Wednesday, November 4, 2020. Becky D. Caufman, LMT, Chair, called the meeting to order at 10:30 a.m.

17

[Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel, announced that the meeting was being recorded, and those who remained on the line were giving their consent to being recorded.]

22

23 Introduction of Board Members

24 | [Chair Caufman requested Board members introduce

25 | themselves.]

\* \* \* 1 2 Report of Board Prosecution 3 [Monty J. Batson, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison, presented the Consent Agreements for Case No. 18-72-004462 and Case No. 18-72-006418.15 \* \* \* 6 7 Approval of minutes of the September 22, 2020 meeting CHAIR CAUFMAN: 9 Item No. 1, the draft minutes from our 10 September 22, 2020 meeting. 11 [The Board discussed corrections to the minutes.] 12 CHAIR CAUFMAN: Did anybody else notice anything else 13 that needed to be fixed in our draft 14 15 minutes from the September 22, 2020 meeting? 16 17 We need a motion to accept the 18 minutes. 19 MS. PORAMBO: 20 I make a motion. 21 DR. WALLER: 22 Second. 2.3 CHAIR CAUFMAN: 2.4 All those in favor, say aye. All those 25 opposed, please state your name.

5 1 abstentions, please state your name. 2 [The motion carried.] 3 Report of Board Counsel 4 5 Adjudications and Orders 6 MR. DAVIS: Number 5 on the agenda is Case No. 18-8 72 - 003847Based on the Board's discussions in 9 10 executive session, I believe the Chair 11 would accept a motion to adopt as final 12 the proposed Adjudication and Order of 13 the hearing examiner in the following 14 matter: Commonwealth BPOA v. Cameron 15 Boone, Case No. 18-72-003847. 16 CHAIR CAUFMAN: 17 Any discussion from the floor? If not, then we need a motion. 18 19 MS. PORAMBO: 20 Motion. 21 CHAIR CAUFMAN: 22 Second, please. 2.3 DR. WALLER: 24 I'll second. 25 CHAIR CAUFMAN:

All those in favor, please say aye. 1 All 2 those opposed, please state your name. 3 Any abstentions, please state your name. 4 Hearing none. 5 [The motion carried unanimously.] \* \* \* 6 7 MR. DAVIS: Number 6 on the agenda is Case No. 20-9 72-005329. 10 Based on the Board's discussion in 11 executive session, I believe the Chair 12 would accept a motion to adopt the 1.3 proposed Adjudication and substitute the 14 Board's Order in the following matter: 15 Commonwealth BPOA v. Marquis Kawhan 16 Sparrow, LMT, Case No. 20-72-005329. 17 CHAIR CAUFMAN: Motion from the floor? 18 19 MS. NELSON: 20 I'll make the motion. 21 DR. WALLER: 22 Second. 2.3 CHAIR CAUFMAN: 2.4 All those in favor, please say aye. 25 those opposed, please state your name.

Any abstentions, please state your name. 1 2 Hearing none. 3 [The motion carried unanimously.] \* \* \* 4 MR. DAVIS: 5 Number 7 on the agenda is Case No. 19-6 7 72-017401. Based on the Board's discussions in 8 9 executive session, I believe the Chair 10 would accept a motion to adopt as final 11 the proposed Adjudication and Order of 12 the hearing examiner in the following 13 Commonwealth BPOA v. Kevin matter: Williams, LMT, Case No. 19-72-017401. 14 15 CHAIR CAUFMAN: We need a motion from the floor. 16 17 MS. BAUGHMAN: I'll move. 18 19 MS. PORAMBO: 20 I'll second. 21 CHAIR CAUFMAN: 22 Nancy seconds. All those in favor, 2.3 please say aye. Any oppositions, please 2.4 state your name. Any abstentions, 25 please state your name. Hearing none.

8 [The motion carried unanimously.] 1 2 3 MR. DAVIS: 4 Numbers 8 and 9 on the agenda are 5 consent agreements. As everyone is aware, the prosecution liaison presented 6 these cases this morning and he may have added some information we did or did not 9 know. 10 Is there any Board member who would like to reenter into executive session 11 to further discuss either of these 12 1.3 consent agreements before voting? 14 Hearing no answer. I will present 15 them as we discussed in executive 16 session. 17 Number 8 on the agenda is Case No. 18-72-004462. 18 Based on the Board's discussions in 19 2.0 executive session, I believe the Chair 2.1 would accept a motion to approve the 2.2 Consent Agreement in the following 2.3 matter: Case No. 18-72-004462. 2.4 CHAIR CAUFMAN: 25 Motion from the floor?

```
9
1
   MS. BAUGHMAN:
2
                  I'll move.
   MS. HICKS:
3
                  I'll second.
4
5
   CHAIR CAUFMAN:
                  Camille moves. Vicki seconds. All
6
7
                  those in favor, please say aye. All
8
                  those opposed, please state your name.
9
                  All those abstaining, please state your
10
                  name. Hearing none.
11
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
12
   MR. DAVIS:
13
14
                  For the record, that is Commonwealth
15
                  BPOA v. Fuzhou Yang, LMT.
                               * * *
16
   MR. DAVIS:
17
18
                  Number 9 on the agenda.
                       Based on the Board's discussions in
19
20
                  executive session, I believe the Chair
21
                  would accept a motion to approve the
22
                  Consent Agreement in the following
23
                  matter: Case No. 18-72-006418.
2.4
   CHAIR CAUFMAN:
25
                  Motion from the floor?
```

```
10
1
   MS. ROSADO:
2
                  So moved.
3
   CHAIR CAUFMAN:
 4
                  We need a second.
5
   DR. WALLER:
                  I'll second.
6
7
   CHAIR CAUFMAN:
                  All those in favor, please say aye. All
9
                  those opposed, please state your name.
10
                  All those abstaining, please state your
11
                  name. Hearing none.
12
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
13
   MR. DAVIS:
14
15
                  For the record, that is Commonwealth
16
                  BPOA v. Carmen Sielecki a/k/a Carmen
17
                  Trefon d/b/a Russian Massage Spa a/k/a
18
                  Reflexology Spa a/k/a Reflexology
19
                  Center.
20
                               * * *
21
   Report of Board Administrator
   Review of Applications
22
2.3
   MR. DAVIS:
2.4
                  Number 10 on the agenda, the Application
25
                  for Licensure as a Massage Therapist of
```

		11
1		<pre>Erin N. Corne.</pre>
2		Based on the Board's discussions in
3		executive session, I believe the Chair
4		would accept a motion approving the
5		application.
6	MS. BAUGHMAN:	
7		I so move.
8	MS. PORAMBO:	
9		Second.
10	CHAIR CAUFMAN	:
11		Camille and Nancy. Those who approve,
12		please say aye. Those who oppose,
13		please state your name. Any
14		abstentions, please state your name.
15		Hearing none.
16	[The motion ca	arried unanimously.]
17		* * *
18	MR. DAVIS:	
19		Number 11 on the agenda, the Application
20		for Licensure as a Massage Therapist of
21		Arielle Golden.
22		Based on the Board's discussions in
23		executive session, I believe the Chair
24		would accept a motion approving the
25		application.

12 1 CHAIR CAUFMAN: Motion from the floor? 2 MS. NELSON: 3 I'll make the motion. 4 5 CHAIR CAUFMAN: 6 Gloria would you second? 7 MS. ROSADO: I second. 9 CHAIR CAUFMAN: 10 All those in favor, please say aye. All 11 those who oppose, please state your 12 name. Any abstentions, please state your name. Hearing none. 13 [The motion carried unanimously.] 14 \* \* \* 15 16 MR. DAVIS: Number 12 on the agenda, the Application 17 18 for Licensure as a Massage Therapist of 19 Gena Alexis Loyd. 20 In this particular matter, no vote 21 is necessary. The Board has instructed Board administration to contact the 22 2.3 applicant and inform her of the 2.4 discrepancy. \* \* \* 25

- 1 Report of Board Regulatory Counsel
- 2 [Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel, provided a
- 3 regulatory status report for the Board's review. He
- 4 referred to 16A-725 regarding General Revisions,
- 5 | noting the Regulatory Analysis Form (RAF) needed to be
- 6 | completed.
- Mr. Davis referred to 16A-722 regarding child
- 8 abuse reporting requirements. The Board voted to
- 9 promulgate this regulation on August 5, 2020, and it
- 10 | will be published in the Pennsylvania Bulletin once
- 11 policy, OGC, and AG approve. The Board will be
- 12 informed when it is published.
- Mr. Davis addressed Act 41 regarding licensure by
- 14 endorsement. The Board voted to promulgate this at
- 15 the September 22 meeting. The draft regulation will
- 16 be sent to regulatory counsel and sent on if approved.
- 17 The RAF is 99.9% finished, which will soon be
- 18 | forwarded to regulatory counsel. Once comments are
- 19 received after being published in the Pennsylvania
- 20 Bulletin, the regulation will come before the Board
- 21 again.
- 22 Mr. Davis referred to 16A-726 regarding massage
- 23 therapists in cosmetology or esthetician salons. A
- 24 | joint internal memo was circulated on October 14,
- 25 2020. He noted cosmetology had one regulation and the

```
14
   massage therapy had one regulation that are related.
1
2
   The next stage would then be to release the regulation
   to interested parties and stakeholders.]
3
                              * * *
 4
   MR. DAVIS:
5
                  I believe the Board Chair would accept a
6
                  motion to allow Board counsel to release
                  an exposure draft of proposed regulation
                  16A-726, otherwise known as the massage
10
                  therapist in cosmetology salons
11
                  regulation to interested parties and
12
                  stakeholders.
   CHAIR CAUFMAN:
13
                  Motion from the floor?
14
15
   MS. PORAMBO:
                  I'll motion.
16
17
   CHAIR CAUFMAN:
18
                  Nancy. We need a second.
19
   MS. BAUGHMAN:
20
                  Second.
21
   CHAIR CAUFMAN:
22
                  Camille seconds. All those in favor,
2.3
                  please say aye. All those opposed,
2.4
                  please state your name. Any
25
                  abstentions, please state your name.
```

Hearing none.

2 [The motion carried unanimously.]

3 \*\*\*

4 Appointment - Act 53 of 2020 List of Relevant Crimes

5 Presentation

1

6 [K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of

7 | Professional and Occupational Affairs, discussed his

directives under the statutory provisions of Act 53,

9 including the publication of the list of offenses

10 relative to professional licensure and providing

11 public discussions to consult with 29 boards and

12 commissions under the auspices of the Department of

13 State. He was also directed to provide the

14 opportunity for business members within the regulated

15 communities to provide feedback.

16 Commissioner Johnson stated Act 53 was signed in

17 July 2020 to be one of the most comprehensive criminal

18 | justice reforms regarding how criminal history is used

19 to make determinations concerning licensure. He

20 stated Act 53 amends the Criminal History Records

21 Information Act (CHRIA), which was the statutory

22 provision in Pennsylvania law that provided

23 instruction and parameters for the use of prior

24 criminal history by licensing boards.

25 Commissioner Johnson explained that the intent of

Act 53 is to address equitable principles in terms of providing opportunities for individuals to achieve licensure and to provide transparency and clarity for members of the public, licensees, and perspective licensees. He noted Act 53 provides for publication of the lists and a best practices guide for online resources for the public to better understand how criminal history is processed.

Commissioner Johnson mentioned Ms. Montgomery and Board Counsel have been responsible for curating the list of offenses by looking at the statutory provisions of Act 53, existing regulations, and disciplinary decisions rendered by each of the 29 boards and commissions.

Commissioner Johnson thanked Board Counsel, prosecutorial counsel, and the Office of Chief Counsel for being instrumental in putting together the resources for the Board. He also thanked the Board Administrator and program staff who also provided guidance.

Cynthia K. Montgomery, Esquire, Deputy Chief
Counsel, Department of State, provided a list of
offenses related to the profession of massage therapy.
She referred to drug trafficking offenses and a
provision in § 3113(f) for any Board that has an

existing provision that a felony drug conviction will impede their ability to obtain licensure. She stated Act 53 refines that and limits it to drug trafficking offenses 35 P.S. § 780-113(a) subsection 14, 30, and 37.

Ms. Montgomery referred to § 3113(d) regarding sexual offenses applying to health-related boards.

Ms. Montgomery referred to § 3113(e) regarding crimes of violence, where an individual could receive a license if it has been at least 3 years from incarceration, or if the individual was not incarcerated, 3 years from imposition of the sentence, and in either case the individual must have remained conviction-free, and able to demonstrate significant rehabilitation.

Ms. Montgomery stated the Board must be convinced the individual does not pose a substantial risk to the health and safety of clients or the public or a substantial risk of further conviction. She commented that the remaining offenses are identified as directly related to the profession.

Ms. Montgomery addressed rebuttable presumption, where individuals with crimes on the list of offenses directly related to the profession would be believed to pose a significant risk to the safety of their

- clients or the public or a significant risk of further criminal offenses. She noted that in such cases, there would be a rebuttable presumption, which means the individual would have to prove they do not pose such a risk.
- Ms. Montgomery referred to § 3117 of Act 53, which requires publication and distribution of the list.
- Ms. Montgomery addressed preliminary 10 determination, where an individual who may want to become a massage therapist would be able to apply up 11 front for a preliminary determination as to whether 12 13 their criminal conviction may be an impediment to 14 licensure. She stated the list of crimes directly 15 relating to the practice of massage therapy will be used for preliminary determinations, in making 16 17 determinations for applicants, and making decisions on 18 disciplinary matters.
  - Ms. Montgomery referred to the list of criteria in § 3113(c), where individuals would have to provide information about the facts and circumstances regarding the offense. She noted the Board already reviews the facts and circumstances when considering an applicant.

20

21

22

2.3

24

25 Ms. Montgomery addressed the best practices guide

- required by the statute, which is a list of crimes for each board to determine whether the individual's history may be an impediment to licensure and what the factors are under § 3113(c). She noted that the Board will still be able to consider convictions of crimes
- 6 not on the list. She provided the definition of "directly related."

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

- Ms. Montgomery stated Board counsel and prosecution curated the list to be presented to the Board. She explained the process after Board approval, where the list will be published by December 27 and go through the rulemaking process.
- Ms. Montgomery noted Act 53 requires the list be published as a proposed rulemaking within 180 days and promulgated as final rulemaking within 2 years. She deferred to Board counsel, any questions concerning specific crimes on the list. She also noted Commissioner Johnson has the responsibility of updating the list, and there will be time for public comment before the final version.
- Chair Caufman requested Mr. Davis provide advisement to the Board.
- Mr. Davis and Mr. Batson created the list with crimes identified as directly related to the profession. He suggested the Board review the list

and determine if any crimes should be added to it or removed from it. Ms. Rosado requested further information regarding Aggravated Assault of an unborn child as defined in 18 Pa. C.S. § 2606, and whether it is more against a perpetrator of domestic violence resulting in death.

Ms. Montgomery explained that it would apply to anyone convicted of the crime and usually related to someone who is assaulting a pregnant woman and injures the unborn child.

2.0

2.2

commissioner Johnson addressed violent crimes enumerated under Act 53. He stated the crimes of violence specifically identified in Act 53 are defined as ones that directly implicate public safety and one's ability to safely practice. He explained that those convictions under any of those enumerated crimes would automatically shift the burden over to the applicant or licensee to show the individual is not a threat to the public or their clients.

Commissioner Johnson questioned whether the Board had any additions to the list or any crimes on the list that do not meet the criteria outside of the acts of violence and sexual offenses, crimes not specifically enumerated by Act 53, and crimes not already enumerated under the practice act.

Commissioner Johnson commented that the Board still has the authority to review offenses not on the list, but the presumption shifts to prosecution to show the crime in question directly implicates the person's ability to engage in the profession.

2.3

2.4

Dr. Waller referred to § 5902(b) and § 5902(b.1) regarding prostitution and requested clarification regarding the definition of prostitution, noting that victims who are often being sexually exploited get arrested for prostitution.

Ms. Montgomery explained that § 5902(b) as promoting prostitution, § 5902(b.1) promoting prostitution of a minor, but the actual crime of prostitution is § 5902(a), and § 5902(a) is not on the list.

Ms. Montgomery noted receiving no comments after sending the list to interested parties and stakeholders.

Laura Hamilton, Government Relations Director,
Associated Bodywork & Massage Professionals, suggested
the addition of money laundering. She also noted
Oklahoma and Texas developed similar lists and
mentioned crosschecking to see what other states are
doing.

Commissioner Johnson expressed his gratitude to

the Office of Chief Counsel, Board Counsel, and
prosecutorial counsel for their help creating the
list, noting the absence of questions is a testament
to their hard work.

2.3

Commissioner Johnson requested the Board to move to accept the list as drafted for formal publication to be able to begin the promulgation of the regulations.

Mr. Davis addressed money laundering and referred to § 5111 regarding dealing in proceeds of unlawful activities, noting that something along those lines could be added to the list if the Board thought that would be directly related to the practice of massage therapy. He also addressed § 5902 concerning prostitution, where it was determined prostitution itself at § 5902(a) was not listed under sexual offenses but could be added to the directly related list.

Dr. Waller noted being comfortable with not adding § 5902(a), stating she was concerned that someone who was victimized would not have the opportunity to practice and is comfortable that the list includes crimes promoting prostitution.

Chair Caufman requested feedback concerning whether money laundering should be added and received

```
23
1
   no response.]
                               * * *
2
3
   CHAIR CAUFMAN:
 4
                  I believe the Chair would accept a
5
                  motion to approve the Act 53 list as
6
                  presented.
7
   MS. PORAMBO:
8
                  So moved.
9
   CHAIR CAUFMAN:
10
                  Nancy so moves. We need a second.
11
   DR. WALLER:
12
                  Second.
   CHAIR CAUFMAN:
13
14
                  All those in favor, please say aye.
15
                  oppositions, please state your name.
16
                  Abstentions, please state your name.
17
                  Hearing none.
18
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
19
20
   Report of Board Regulatory Counsel (Continued)
21
   [Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel, addressed
22
   the concepts of endorsement versus reactivation and
23
   the Board's regulations as they apply to the
24
   determination of current competence. He stated that
25
   for reactivation, according to the regulations,
```

individuals have to prove continuous active practice
during the past 5 years. He stated an individual who
applies for a massage therapy license via endorsement
under Act 41 only has to prove that the applicant has
actively engaged in the practice of massage therapy
for 2 of the last 5 years. Accordingly, he explained
that there is currently a higher standard for
reactivation than for endorsement.

Mr. Davis asked the Board to consider the length of time an applicant would have to be out of practice before the Board could justifiably say, "to renew your license you have to take the Massage & Bodywork Licensing Examination (MBLEx)." Mr. Davis pointed out that, under the Board's regulations, individuals who allowed their license to expire and did not practice for a full year and tried to reactivate would have to either retake the MBLEx or prove continuous active practice over the last 5 years to receive a license.

Mr. Davis suggested the Board "define continuous active practice" during the last 5 years. He noted discussion with Board administration and recommended permitting applicants up to a 2-year period of inactivity, and for anyone who hasn't practiced for more than two years, they be given an opportunity to explain why they were not working for more than 2

years. He stated the exam would be required for those who cannot provide a valid explanation.

1.3

2.4

Mr. Davis suggested that, for reactivation, the Board define 5 years of continuous active practice as working on at least a part-time basis for 3 of the last 5 years, which will allow for no more than 2 years of inactive practice.

Ms. Porambo commented that the 2-year period was reasonable and did not believe an individual should be required to retest and take the MBLEX, but believed there should be at least an ethics requirement.

Ms. Townley explained that every person who wants to reactivate a license must submit 24 hours of continuing education, which is a separate requirement, within a 2-year period up to when they submit their application, and that would require applicants to fulfill their ethics requirement.

Chair Caufman requested feedback concerning the 2-year block Mr. Davis suggested and received no negative response.

Mr. Davis stated individuals would need to explain any gap larger than a 2-year block, and Board administration is going to be empowered to reactivate individuals with gaps of less than 2 years as long as the individual has the required continuing education.]

\* \* \*

MR. DAVIS:

1.3

If you have not been working as a massage therapist for more than 2 years out of the last 5, you have to explain why that is, and then the Board's Applications Committee, or possibly the full Board, will have to review the application.

If the applicant has not been working as a massage therapist for less than 2 years out of the last 5, Board admin is going to be empowered to reactive as long as they have the CEs and meet all other administrative requirements.

\* \* \*

[Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel, addressed the utilization of tax returns to verify an applicant has been working as a massage therapist in relation to Act 41 licensure by endorsement. He stated the Board has been requesting that applicants for licensure by endorsement provide tax returns to prove that the applicant has been working as a massage therapist, and he clarified that no application has never been denied

for failure to provide 2 years of tax returns, but he suggested the Board should consider lowering its standard of proof. He stated that, to his knowledge, no other board at the Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs (BPOA) is asking for tax returns in relation to licensure by endorsement. He suggested the Board allow the Applications Committee more leeway to make their own determination.

Commissioner Johnson concurred with Board counsel, stating that nobody else is requiring tax documents or using the competency prong as a chance to relitigate the veracity of someone's credentials.

Mr. Davis stated most boards were accepting a resume detailing work history and recommended the Board do the same. He noted it to be a crime in and of itself to submit a fraudulent resume to the Board in an effort to mislead a public servant and obtain a license, and cited § 4904 of the Crimes Code.

Ms. Porambo suggested requiring a contact along with the resume to provide accountability.

Ms. Townley stated the Board currently asks for a curriculum vitae (CV) with the name, city, and state of the employer; dates of employment with month and year; and a description of practice activity. She saw no problem with requiring a contact person as well.

1 Mr. Davis wanted to clarify that the Board has

- 2 empowered the Applications Committee to approve
- 3 applications under Act 41 when, as proof of
- 4 | competency, the applicant has submitted a CV with a
- 5 | contact person listed. The Board agreed.]
  - \* \* \*
- 7 | Miscellaneous

6

- 8 | [Becky D. Caufman, LMT, Chair, requested the procedure
- 9 to follow when a time-sensitive matter arises and the
- 10 Board is not scheduled to meet for some time to come.
- 11 Mr. Davis explained that the Chair would be
- 12 | contacted, and asked to make a decision on the Board's
- 13 behalf, and the Board would be asked to ratify the
- 14 Chair's decision at a later time.
- 15 Chair Caufman noted the next scheduled Board
- 16 | meeting date is February 16, 2021. She also noted
- 17 April 7, June 1, August 10, September 28, and December
- 18 6 meeting dates in 2021.]
- 19 \*\*\*
- 20 Miscellaneous Election of Officers
- 21 [Becky D. Caufman, LMT, Chair, expressed a concern
- 22 regarding two open positions on the Board, along with
- 23 Ms. Baughman and Ms. Rosado not asking for
- 24 reappointment. She mentioned Ms. Porambo requested
- 25 being recommissioned.

29 \* \* \* 1 2 MR. DAVIS: 3 For the position of chairperson, I'll open the floor for nominations. 4 5 MS. BAUGHMAN: I nominate Nancy Porambo for chair. 6 7 MR. DAVIS: Nancy, do you consent to the nomination? 9 MS. PORAMBO: 10 Yes. 11 MS. HICKS: 12 I second that. MS. ROSADO: 13 I nominate Becca for chair. 14 15 MR. DAVIS: Do we have a second for Becca? 16 17 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Second. 18 19 MR. DAVIS: 20 So far we have Nancy and Becca. Do we 21 have any further nominations? Hearing 22 no further nominations, I am going to 2.3 give both Nancy and Becca a moment if 24 they have anything they want to say. 25 MS. PORAMBO:

This is my second year, and I sent a letter to my senator as well as the Governor and Kalonji with respect to my interest in returning as a Board member. I have a tremendous amount of experience with respect to inclusion in boards. I served as a national president of the national MTA. I have been on all types of positions on the Pennsylvania State Board for AMTA as well. I have been on the Foundation for Massage Therapy.

I have a long history with boards.

I feel that I am ready. I know Becca has been here a long time, and I feel confident with her assistance. I certainly would be open to working with her to move forward.

I think we have had discussions in the past, Becca and I, as to be transitioned. I think successive planning is so very important for a board that someone really does need to be seasoned for a year. I've served as vice chair for this last year, I've listened, and I've paid attention to

everything Becca has been doing. would be open to working with her. I think Becca's position is not open for another year. If I am correct and would be able to transition, my 2 years, if I am appointed, would be to obviously have someone else ready. That's my interest at the moment. Thank you.

I would love to continue to serve the Board until I'm done. I am concerned with commissions and losing. We are already down one public member and one professional member. That concerns me if Nancy does not get reappointed, how we move forward from there.

I want to try and make sure our regs that we have been working on for a long time now actually get through, and I am sure Nancy would do the same thing. It would be an honor to serve you until I'm finished. I cannot, not unless they changed the law, I have one more year and I will be done.

It has been an honor to work with

## CHAIR CAUFMAN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

32 this Board. You're amazing. 1 2 appreciate everything you've done. 3 are very prepared every time you come. 4 That is stellar. That goes without 5 speaking. You guys are amazing to work 6 with, and I thank you for that. 7 MR. DAVIS: I think the easiest way to do this given 9 our current situation with Skype would 10 be if I were to do a roll call vote. 11 When I ask for your name, you give me 12 your vote. Is there any objection to 1.3 doing it in that manner? 14 15 Camille, Nancy; Becca, me; Vickiann, 16 Nancy; Jessica, Nancy; Nancy, Nancy; 17 Gloria, Becca; Ellyn Jo, Becca; Commissioner Johnson, Becca. 18 19 MR. DAVIS: 2.0 The vote is tied at 4-4. We will try 2.1 one more roll call vote. Becca and 2.2 Nancy, you will have one more 2.3 opportunity to say something. 2.4 Nancy, would you like to add 25 anything?

### MR. PORAMBO:

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

I talked about succession planning.

Every board I have ever served on, there has always been succession planning, meaning that the chair works with the vice chair or the president worked with a president-elect.

I think it would be useful to our Board since we are in a tie situation that I would not be opposed to a co-chair situation for a year and have Becca complete her tenure in that position.

### CHAIR CAUFMAN:

The only reason I haven't voted for you,
Nancy, is because I don't know what's
going to happen if you don't get
reappointed and then we lose you. Other
than that, we have talked about
succession and everything else, and that
is my biggest concern. I don't know
what is going to happen.

We had that with a couple of boards where the person did not get reapproved and all of the sudden we had no chair.

15

I didn't want to see that happen with us losing Camille and Gloria. If you don't get reappointed, then you have the temporary meeting and then you're done. That does concern me because we could lose all three of them. Either one of us are more than capable.

This is a little early for this meeting, to have us voting this early. Typically, we would be doing this in December. When everything was pushed up, I feel I've done the appropriate groundwork for that because of COVID, the election, among a variety of other things may be held up. I'm not quite sure how to resolve it.

I think I would not be opposed to a co-chair situation if you would be open to sharing information. In the event that I did not get put in the position, there would be no issue.

I reviewed the act, and it does say the boards shall annually select a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

25

2.3 MR. DAVIS: 2.4

chairperson from the board members. I

am not leaning toward a co-chair

situation based upon the act itself. I

just think that would confuse Board

admin and my legal assistants.

I am going to suggest one more roll call vote. Both of these Board members have said the Board is in good hands either way. Would there be an objection to that route?

### 11 MS. HICKS:

6

8

9

10

12

1.3

14

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

I was going to say to move forward and if anything happens, then the vice chair would become chair.

# 15 DR. WALLER:

Would it make sense to have a special call-in meeting for the vote after we are clear about Nancy's reappointment?

#### MR. DAVIS:

We have to choose a chair annually. I don't think it would be a stretch to allow Becca to keep the chair position until the next meeting. At the next meeting, we could have another vote.

Would that be acceptable to the Board?

1 CHAIR CAUFMAN:

I would like that because I want to make

3 sure we don't get stuck with somebody

4 not being able to make a decision, and

5 that I appreciate. That way we could

have elections at the beginning of our

February meeting.

8 MR. DAVIS:

9 Nancy, would you be agreeable to that?

10 MS. PORAMBO:

11 That's fine, thanks.

12 COMMISSIONER JOHSON:

I would formally move that we table the

elections until the February meeting.

15 CHAIR CAUFMAN:

16 Thank you.

17 DR. WALLER:

18 I'll second that.

19 CHAIR CAUFMAN:

20 Ellyn Jo seconded that. All those in

favor? All those opposed, please state

your name. Any abstentions, please

state your name. The voting will be

tabled until our February 16 meeting.

25 [The motion carried unanimously.]

```
37
                               * * *
1
2
   Adjournment
3
   CHAIR CAUFMAN:
                  We need a motion from the floor to
 4
5
                   adjourn the meeting.
6
   MS. BAUGHMAN:
7
                   I so move.
   CHAIR CAUFMAN:
9
                  Thank you, Camille. We need a second,
10
                  please.
   MS. ROSADO:
11
12
                   I second.
13
   CHAIR CAUFMAN:
14
                   Thank you. All those in favor? All
15
                   those opposed, please state your name.
16
                  Any abstentions?
17
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
18
19
   [There being no further business, the State Board of
20
   Massage Therapy Meeting adjourned at 12:40 p.m.]
21
22
23
24
25
26
```

# 

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Massage Therapy Meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Massage Therapy

CERTIFICATE

Meeting.

Morgan McKendrick,

Minute Clerk

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc.