State Board of Massage Therapy <u>August</u> 9, 2022

11

12

13

14

16

1 2

BOARD MEMBERS:

7 Nancy M. Porambo, MS, LMT, CNMT, NCTMB, Chair, 8 Professional Member 9 10

Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs Linda A. Chamberlain, MS, BSN, RN, CNDLTC, Secretary

of Health Designee Jessica A. Nelson, Office of Attorney General

Designee

15 Dana J. Douglas, LMT

Vickiann Hicks, LMT, BCTMB, CCCA

17 Jennifer A. Keth, LMT, CNC

18 Imelda Alumbro Shade, LMT

19 Bryan Strawser, LMT, Vice Chair 20

Ellyn Jo Waller, Ed.D., Public Member

21 22

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

23 24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel Dean F. Picarella, Esquire, Senior Counsel, Counsel Division

Carolyn DeLaurentis, Deputy Chief Counsel, Prosecution Division

Andrea L. Costello, Esquire, Board Pros. Liaison Garrett A. Rine, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Heather McCarthy, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Christina Townley, Acting Board Administrator

Marc Farrell, Deputy Director, Office of Policy, Department of State

Danie Bendesky, Director of Intergovernmental Affairs, Department of State

37 38

ALSO PRESENT:

39 40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

Carrie Shaley, LMT, Honor Your Body Wellness Camille Baughman, MA, BSed, LMT, Camille Baughman & Associates

Nina M. Kottcamp-Long, LMT, NCMT, Director of Holistic Health and Wellness, Harrisburg Area Community College

Natalie Cook, Associate, McNees-Winter Group Edward Portley Jr., LMT, Just This Side of Heaven Massage & Continuing Education for Massage Therapists

State Board of Massage Therapy August 9, 2022

ALSO PRESENT: (cont.)

Nicole Campbell, Division Chief, Division of Law Enforcement Education and Trade Schools, Department of Education

Ashley Hernandez, Government Relations Specialist, Federation of State Massage Therapy Boards

4

* * *

1

4

13

14

15

16

17

2 State Board of Massage Therapy
3 August 9, 2022

* * *

5 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, 6 at 9:00 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session 7 with Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel, for the 8 purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations 9 and to receive advice of Counsel on the matters upon 10 which the Board will later vote. The Board commenced 11 open session at 10:30 a.m.]

12 **

The regularly scheduled meeting of the State
Board of Massage Therapy was held on Tuesday, August
9, 2022. Nancy M. Porambo, MS, LMT, CNMT, NCTMB,
Chair, Professional Member, called the meeting to
order at 10:48 a.m.

18

19 | Meeting Instructions

20 [Christina Townley, Board Administrator, provided

21 | instructions to be followed during the meeting.]

22 **

23 [Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted the

24 meeting was being recorded, and those who continued

25 to participate were giving their consent to be

5 recorded. 1 Mr. Davis also noted the Board met in Executive 2 3 Session prior to the Board meeting for the purpose of 4 conducting quasi-judicial deliberations and to 5 receive advice of Counsel on the matters upon which 6 the Board would later vote.] 7 8 Introduction of Board Members/Attendees [Chair Porambo requested an introduction of Board 10 members and attendees.] 11 12 Approval of minutes of the June 7, 2022 meeting 13 CHAIR PORAMBO: 14 I think we'll move on to the minutes of 15 the meeting. Has everyone had an 16 opportunity to review them? Are there 17 any changes? Hearing none. 18 I'd like to ask for a motion? MR. STRAWSER: 19 20 I'll make the motion. 21 CHAIR PORAMBO: 22 Second? 23 MS. KETH: 24 Second.

25

CHAIR PORAMBO:

Roll call vote.

2

3

4

5

6

1

Porambo, yes; Claggett, aye;
Chamberlain, yes; Nelson, yes; Douglas,
yes; Hicks, yes; Keth, yes; Alumbro
Shade, yes; Strawser, abstain; Waller,
yes.

7

[The motion carried. Bryan Strawser abstained from voting on the motion.]

9

8

* * *

11 Report of Board Regulatory Counsel

12 [Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel, referred to

13 the Regulatory Status Report and provided an update

14 on proposed regulation 16A-725 (massage therapists in

15 cosmetology salons). He noted the annex has no

16 substantive changes, but the wording has been amended

17 | slightly, based on recommendations made by Senior Reg

18 Counsel, which required Counsel to make a few

19 amendments to the Regulatory Analysis Form and

20 preamble.

21

22

23

Mr. Davis reported sending it back to Senior Reg Counsel after making all of the amendments, and it would then be sent to the Office of General Counsel,

24 and the Office of the Attorney General. He mentioned

25 that the earliest it may be published as proposed in

1 the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* would be sometime this 2 fall.

Mr. Davis addressed the draft annex for the virtual supervision regulation regarding initial education. He noted the Board's regulations require all 600 initial education hours be in person, but in in light of Act 100, the Board is crafting a regulation that would allow a certain percentage of hours to be done online.

Nicole Campbell, Division Chief, Division of Law Enforcement Education and Trade Schools, Department of Education, stated she plans to discuss this proposed regulation at the State Board of Private Licensed Schools Board meeting next week. She mentioned having several interested education providers looking to enter the distance education environment for massage, noting that there would still have to be a hands-on component to the initial education.

Ms. Hicks commented that it is not an easy transition for a school and asked whether they would have to go through another program change to be able to add the distance education.

Ms. Campbell explained that schools that currently offer programming outside of massage

therapy are likely to already have distance education approval.

Ms. Campbell explained that under the current regulations, a school provider applies as a distance education provider and then that approval is for any of the schools under that same ownership. She noted if they are offering other programs, it is not difficult to add distance education to their massage therapy program. She stated it is a program change application submitted to Board staff for review, and if the State Board of Massage Therapy had regulations permitting distance education, they would review those in conjunction with the program change application to make sure they meet.

Ms. Campbell stated a new provider or a provider only offering massage therapy would require approval from the State Board of Private Licensed Schools to get them approved as a distance education provider, so schools offering just massage programs may not already have that distance education approval and are going to have a submission deadline, a fee and a Board meeting, and the application could be approved or deferred. She noted that schools that do not currently have existing approval to offer distance education would need at least three to six months'

minimum to receive approval from the State Board of
Private Licensed Schools to implement distance
education. She stated it would not be a lengthy
process for ones that currently have existing school
approval to offer distance education and other
programs.

Ms. Hicks asked how many professional boards over the past two years have started to go toward distance education.

Ms. Campbell stated boards they most commonly work with are the State Boards of Nursing,
Pharmacology, and Massage Therapy. She mentioned that the State Board of Massage Therapy is the only one discussing the possibility of promulgating regulations related to distance education. She commented that the State Board of Nursing allows distance education but does not have it in their regulations.

Camille Baughman, MA, BSed, LMT, Camille Baughman & Associates, asked why the State Board of Nursing did not need distance education regulations to allow for distance education, whereas the State Board of Massage Therapy seemingly does.

Mr. Davis explained that the Massage Therapy Law requires 600 hours of in-class instruction, and the

Board's regulations currently require that all 600
in-class hours be obtained "in the physical presence
of an instructor or under the immediate supervision
of a clinical supervisor," whereas the State Board of
Nursing probably does not have a similar "in-person"
requirement, so there would not be anything to
change.

Ms. Baughman noted that Ms. Campbell said the State Board of Private Licensed Schools has a regulatory package pending and asked whether there was a way to see that, and she asked about the Board's process of promulgating new regulations.

Ms. Campbell explained that she would need legal permission to release a new regulatory package but believed it is getting ready to go to publication. She explained that the changes would impact timeline, where they would be making substantive changes that would have to go before the Board.

Ms. Campbell noted that Board staff can approve those changes at the current time, so the process is not as lengthy, but if the proposed regulatory package goes through, some of the schools that are able to just reach out to Board staff with a program change application are not going to be able to do that once the regulations are passed because it would

instead have to go before the Board.

Ms. Campbell expressed concern with people trying to use simulators as part of their distance education for practices that should be hands-on and in-person education, so the State Board of Private Licensed Schools wants to have a say in what schools are doing virtually.

Ms. Baughman asked what the process is for someone who has developed a virtual massage therapy curriculum and wants to partner with a college or another existing program.

Ms. Campbell commented that when an outside provider comes into the state and contracts with a local college or university to offer their programming, it all comes down to who is having the students sign the enrollment agreement, who is collecting the fees, and who is offering that program award.

Nina M. Kottcamp-Long, LMT, NCMT, Director of
Holistic Health and Wellness, Harrisburg Area
Community College, commented that Ms. Campbell
mentioned that if they have third-party educators
that "piggybacking" at a college or university, then
that third-party program would fit under the
licensing umbrella of that college or university.

Ms. Kottcamp-Long provided an example, where Harrisburg Area Community College is accredited through Middle States Commission on Higher Education, so if they hired, for example, Camille Baughman to teach a massage program and she collected the fees and enrollment and had students sign the enrollment agreeing that she would fit under Middle States Commission on Higher Education and Camille Baughman would not have to be licensed through the State Board of Private Licensed Schools and asked whether that was correct.

Ms. Campbell noted Ms. Kottcamp-Long to be correct but to not confuse accreditation with licensure. She stated Harrisburg Area Community College (HACC) falls under the division of higher education access and equity. She noted their division provides staff to the State Board of Private Licensed Schools. She explained that Lynette Kuhn's Division of Higher Education, Access, and Equity has oversight of the colleges and universities.

Ms. Campbell noted that they are technically already licensed or registered with that division, so it is not that the program is accredited by the Middle States Commission on Higher Education rather than State Board of Private Licensed School licensure, it

is that the school itself is regulated under a different set of regulations: the higher education regulations of the Division of Higher Education, Access, and Equity. She noted accreditation by a third party is when a third party verifies and authenticates the academic quality of the program. She stated licensure is a business operation, where they are allowed operate a business in the Commonwealth.

- Ms. Kottcamp-Long restated her question: where Harrisburg Area Community College has a license under the Department of Education and if a third-party person offered education to them, as long as it is under the Harrisburg Area Community College umbrella, then the Division of Higher Education, Access, and Equity would have no objection.
- Ms. Campbell noted Ms. Kottcamp-Long to be correct because the college or university is then responsible for ensuring the program it is offering even though it contracted a provider to offer it for them the college or university is still liable for making sure that program meets the State Board of Massage Therapy's requirements. She clarified that it is the responsibility of the college or university to make sure the provider meets the State Board of

Massage Therapy's regulations.

Ms. Kottcamp-Long requested clarification: She asked whether it matters who hires the instructors, if it is the third party hiring the instructors or whether it must be the educational institution that is hiring the instructors.

Ms. Campbell explained that whatever contracts the school and the provider have in place are between the school and the provider, but the school is going to be held responsible if the instructors are not qualified. She stated, if their provider wanted to hire the instructors, she would strongly encourage the college or university to have a part in that vetting and recordkeeping and keep those records of who is teaching out of that provider because they are the ones who are ultimately responsible.

Ms. Kottcamp-Long asked whether Ms. Campbell anticipated more auditing with the change or would that be within the Department of Education or at the Massage Therapy Board level to audit and enforce.

Ms. Campbell explained that they rely on the community to share unlicensed activity because they do not have resources available to pursue instances of unlicensed activity unless they are reported. She stated unlicensed activity reports are investigated,

- 1 but as far as regulatory audits, their Board does
- 2 audits every three years for unaccredited schools and
- 3 every five years for accredited schools through an
- 4 organization recognized by the United States
- 5 Department of Education (USDOE).
- 6 Ms. Campbell stated their schools have a renewal
- 7 process every two years so they do have the
- 8 opportunity to do compliance checks at that point,
- 9 but if the school is not licensed by the State Board
- 10 of Private Licensed Schools, they do not have any
- 11 purview over it. She noted that complaints of a
- 12 college or university that is not required to be
- 13 licensed by the State Board of Private Licensed
- 14 Schools would go to the Division of Higher Education,
- 15 Access, and Equity.
- 16 Ms. Baughman requested more information regarding
- 17 licensing versus accreditation.
- 18 Ms. Campbell explained that, in Pennsylvania, if
- 19 they are not under a college or university, there are
- 20 certain criteria to meet, and if they are providing
- 21 education, they are required to be licensed with the
- 22 | State Board of Private Licensed Schools. She
- 23 explained that the State Board of Private Licensed
- 24 Schools approves any programming that falls under
- 25 licensure guidelines, and if it leads to entry-level

employment and it is training intended to lead to entry-level employment, it would fall under that license.

Ms. Campbell stated their massage therapy programs fall under that licensure, so they have to approve those programs and align them with the State Board of Massage Therapy regulations. She noted that they do not seek out accrediting guidelines, and the school needs to follow whatever regulatory instruction is the most stringent.

Mr. Davis requested information regarding how someone would submit a complaint.

Ms. Campbell noted a complaint form could be found on their website. She explained that they require the complaint form and a signature from a student in order to investigate. She noted she currently reads the complaint and looks for any missing information, where she would reach out to the individual for any further information and then go back and forth with the school until the complaint is resolved.

Ms. Hicks requested information regarding someone teaching but not teaching as a licensed school or college that has been approved by either the higher education or Pennsylvania Department of Education and

whether they would not be able to make a complaint to the Board if someone is teaching something that is not legal to teach in the state of Pennsylvania.

- Ms. Campbell noted that would be considered an unlicensed activity complaint, and she could receive that in any format, noting that does not require a complaint form.
- Ms. Kottcamp-Long requested clarification regarding private educational schools and whether they are not required to be accredited to be licensed.
- Ms. Campbell noted Ms. Kottcamp-Long to be correct, where accreditation is not required for private licensed career and trade schools.
- Ms. Kottcamp-Long asked how the quality of the private schools are being measured.
- Ms. Campbell explained that they have Board members qualified in different areas who review the application for the program to make sure it adheres to the regulatory requirements of both boards.
- Ms. Kottcamp-Long asked whether there was an option to get licensed or receive some sort of probationary period if someone was teaching online without a licensed in Pennsylvania.
- 25 Ms. Campbell explained that they have a penalty

of \$2,500 and a five-year moratorium on licensure and try to withhold them from getting licensed for five years. She mentioned they do see schools that continue to operate unlicensed because it is cheaper to just pay the fine but in those cases they elevate it to the Attorney General's Office, noting it can take up to a year to process unlicensed activity.

Ms. Kottcamp-Long asked what happens to the students being educated by an unlicensed school and whether they find themselves in a situation where they cannot get licensed.

Ms. Campbell had not had that situation, and a lot of the training being provided unlicensed is typically not something that requires either a license or certification to gain employment.

Ms. Kottcamp-Long asked who would be the eyes and ears for students and whether it would fall on the Board of Massage Therapy.

Mr. Davis explained that they have certain criteria that has to be met when an application is received, and the school must certify that the school and its curriculum meet the Board's regulatory requirements before a license is issued.

Ms. Kottcamp-Long requested information regarding the students in situations where they did not know

the school was not licensed or accredited.

Ms. Campbell explained that they are limited within their purview to only pursue things that are against regulatory violation, where they could pursue the school for unlicensed activity but cannot really help that student. She mentioned that the student would be referred to the Attorney General's Office to file a complaint against the company.

Ms. Costello commented that prosecution receives complaints about schools but explained that the prosecution section at the Department of State does not have jurisdiction to handle such complaints and asked whether the Department of Education would handle that, with relation to the quality of the education or quality of the school.

Ms. Campbell explained that they would handle those if the complaint related to a school licensed by the State Board of Private Licensed Schools and the complaint comes directly from the student. She noted that she would handle a complaint internally without a complaint form and have the Board staff do some investigation if the complaint came from a faculty member. She told Ms. Costello that if she receives a complaint to encourage them to go to the State Board of Private Licensed Schools website, file

the complaint, and they could pursue the provider together.

Ms. Campbell commented that they try everything to get people into compliance but have revoked licenses and given fines for violations. She noted that their regulations allow the State Board of Private Licensed Schools to suspend a school's license, but she has never seen that. She explained she more commonly sees a license revoked, or a fine imposed.

Chair Porambo thanked Ms. Campbell for the presentation and repeated a question that someone wrote into the Teams Chat application: whether the Board of Massage Therapy could take on the responsibility of approving massage therapy schools.

Mr. Davis explained that it would have to be within the law and regulations, and there is language in the statute that mentions "postsecondary education instruction approved by the Board." He commented that as of right now a school is "approved by the Board" when it meets the requirements of the regulations but said he would have to research the issue, should the Board desire him to do so.

Mr. Davis reiterated previous discussion regarding the proposed Virtual Supervision Regulation

and referred to § 20.11(a), where required education is broken down into four different subject areas.

Mr. Davis stated the Board is proposing amending subparagraph (a) to say at least 175 hours in anatomy, physiology, etc., at least 75 hours of which must be earned through contact hours and allows 100 hours or more in distance education. He noted the same thing for subparagraph (2), where at least 250 hours in massage therapy and bodywork assessment, etc., all of which must be earned through contact hours.

Mr. Davis also noted subparagraph (3) would read, at least 25 hours in professional ethics, business, and law related to a massage therapy. He stated there is no distinction between contact hours and distance education, allowing all 25 to be distance education, and the last one, where at least 125 hours in related courses appropriate to massage therapy curriculum, etc., all of which must be earned through contact hours.

Mr. Davis mentioned that if the Board is not specifying that the education hours be through contact hours, then distance education would be allowed for a certain amount and believed the Board was looking at allowing as many as 125 hours be

1 earned through distance education (for 600-hour 2 programs).

Mr. Davis stated that at the June 7, 2022
meeting, many individuals in attendance wanted to
make sure the Department of Education was not opposed
to this proposal, which is why he asked Ms. Campbell
to attend this meeting, and he thanked her for her
time. He noted that he would keep working on the
preamble and the Regulatory Analysis Form for the
regulation, and once the preamble is complete, would
bring it before the Board for a vote. He encouraged
those who wanted to talk more about the regulation to
contact him or the Board administrator so that
further discussion of the proposed regulation could
be noted on an upcoming agenda.]

* * *

17 | Report of Board Counsel

18 Adjudications and Orders

19 MR. DAVIS:

As I mentioned at the top of the meeting, the Board met in Executive Session to discuss these matters.

Board member Jessica Nelson is on the Probable Cause Committee, and she is recused from several of these matters,

specifically, numbers 6, 7, 9, 10, and 12. I will mention that with each one of the votes as well.

We are going to go to number 6.

Number 6 is going to be tabled for the moment. This is <u>James Devinney</u>, <u>LMT</u>,

Case No. 21-72-002650. The Board discussed this and gave me direction during Executive Session.

For the purpose of this meeting, we are tabling it, and it will be back on the agenda for September.

* * *

14 MR. DAVIS:

Moving to number 7. Based on the Board's discussions in Executive Session, I believe the Chair would approve a motion to adopt the proposed Adjudication and direct Counsel to issue a Memorandum Order disposing of the exceptions filed in the following matter: Commonwealth BPOA v. Micah Tyler Johnson, LMT, Case No. 21-72-009566.

CHAIR PORAMBO:

2.4 1 Do I have a motion to adopt? 2 MR. STRAWSER: 3 I'll make the motion. 4 MS. KETH: I'll second. 5 6 CHAIR PORAMBO: 7 Any comments or questions? Christina. 8 9 10 Porambo, yes; Claggett, aye; 11 Chamberlain, aye; Nelson, recuse; 12 Douglas, aye; Hicks, aye; Keth, yes; 13 Alumbro Shade, aye; Strawser, aye; Waller, aye. 14 15 [The motion carried. Jessica Nelson recused herself 16 from deliberations and voting on the motion.] * * * 17 18 MR. DAVIS: 19 Moving to number 8 on the Board's 20 agenda. Based on the Board's 21 discussions in Executive Session, I 22 believe the Chair would approve a 23 motion to approve as Final the Draft 24 Adjudication and Order in the following 25 matter: Commonwealth BPOA v. Erich

25 1 Paul Merrel-Stiller, LMT, Case No. 20-72-009827. 2 3 CHAIR PORAMBO: 4 Do I have a motion to approve? MR. STRAWSER: 5 I'll make the motion. 6 7 CHAIR PORAMBO: 8 Second? 9 MS. KETH: 10 I second. 11 CHAIR PORAMBO: 12 Any questions or comments? Christina. 13 14 15 Porambo, yes; Claggett, aye; Chamberlain, aye; Nelson, aye; Douglas, 16 17 aye; Hicks, aye; Keth, aye; Alumbro 18 Shade, aye; Strawser, aye; Waller, aye. 19 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 20 21 Record for Deliberation 22 MR. DAVIS: 23 Moving to number 9 on the Board's 24 agenda. Based on the Board's 25 discussions in Executive Session, I

26 1 believe the Chair would approve a 2 motion to grant the Motion to Enter 3 Default and Deem Admitted the Facts in 4 the following matter: Commonwealth 5 BPOA v. Eric Gabriel Elliott, LMT, Case No. 22-72-004122. 6 7 CHAIR PORAMBO: 8 Do I have a motion? 9 MR. STRAWSER: 10 I'll make the motion. 11 MS. KETH: Second. 12 13 CHAIR PORAMBO: 14 Any questions or comments? Christina. 15 16 17 Porambo, yes; Claggett, aye; 18 Chamberlain, aye; Nelson, recuse; 19 Douglas, aye; Hicks, aye; Keth, aye; 20 Alumbro Shade, aye; Strawser, aye; 21 Waller, aye. 22 [The motion carried. Jessica Nelson recused herself 23 from deliberations and voting on the motion.] 24 * * * 25 MR. DAVIS:

I will note that the Board has approved 1 2 the motion in that case. As a 3 secondary vote in that same case, I have another proposed motion. 4 5 Based on the Board's discussions in Executive Session, I believe the Chair 6 7 would approve a motion to approve as Final the Draft Adjudication and Order 9 in the following matter: Commonwealth 10 BPOA v. Eric Gabriel Elliott, LMT, Case No. 22-72-004122. 11 CHAIR PORAMBO: 12 13 Do I have a motion? 14 MR. STRAWSER: 15 I'll make the motion. MS. KETH: 16 Second. 17 18 CHAIR PORAMBO: 19 Any questions or comments? Christina. 20 21 22 Porambo, aye; Claggett, aye; 23 Chamberlain, aye; Nelson, recuse; 24 Douglas, aye; Hicks, aye; Keth, aye; 25 Alumbro Shade, aye; Strawser, aye;

```
28
1
                  Waller, aye.
2
   [The motion carried. Jessica Nelson recused herself
3
   from deliberations and voting on the motion.]
                              * * *
 4
   MR. DAVIS:
5
6
                  Moving to number 10 on the Board's
7
                  agenda. Based on the Board's
                  discussions in Executive Session, I
9
                  believe the Chair would accept a motion
10
                  to grant the Motion to Enter Default
                  and Deem Facts Admitted in the
11
12
                  following matter: Commonwealth BPOA v.
13
                  Andre Lamar Wallace, LMT, Case No. 20-
                  72-012999.
14
15
   CHAIR PORAMBO:
                  Do I have a motion?
16
   MR. STRAWSER:
17
18
                  I'll make the motion.
19
   CHAIR PORAMBO:
20
                  Second?
21
   MS. KETH:
22
                  I second.
23
   CHAIR PORAMBO:
24
                  Ouestions? Comments? Christina.
25
```

```
29
1
                  Porambo, aye; Claggett, aye;
2
                  Chamberlain, aye; Nelson, recuse;
3
                  Douglas, aye; Hicks, aye; Keth, aye;
 4
                  Alumbro Shade, aye; Strawser, aye;
5
                  Waller, aye.
6
   [The motion carried. Jessica Nelson recused herself
7
   from deliberations and voting on the motion.]
8
9
   Report of Board Prosecutor
10
   MR. DAVIS:
                  After review of matter number 11 on the
11
12
                  Board's agenda, Case No. 19-72-008844,
13
                  the Board has tabled this matter until
                  the September meeting.
14
15
16
   Report of Board Prosecutor
17
   [Garrett A. Rine, Esquire, Board Prosecutor,
18
   presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 22-72-
   004015.1
19
20
   MR. DAVIS:
21
                  Now that the Board has heard the
22
                  prosecutor's presentation in this
23
                  matter, is there anyone who would like
24
                  to reenter Executive Session to further
25
                  discuss this matter prior to voting?
```

```
30
1
                  Hearing none.
2
                       I have a proposed motion: based on
3
                  the Board's discussions in Executive
 4
                  Session, I believe the Chair would
 5
                  accept a motion to approve the Consent
 6
                  Agreement in the following matter:
7
                  Case No. 22-72-004015.
8
   CHAIR PORAMBO:
9
                  Do I have a motion?
10
   MR. STRAWSER:
11
                  I make the motion.
   MS. KETH:
12
13
                  I second.
14
   CHAIR PORAMBO:
15
                  Christina.
16
17
                  Porambo, aye; Claggett, aye;
18
                  Chamberlain, aye; Nelson, recuse;
19
                  Douglas, aye; Hicks, aye; Keth, aye;
20
                  Alumbro Shade, aye; Strawser, aye;
21
                  Waller, aye.
22
   [The motion carried. Jessica Nelson recused herself
23
   from deliberations and voting on the motion. That is
24
   Commonwealth, BPOA v. Davis Charles Hartman, LMT.]
25
                              * * *
```

32 1 aye; Hicks, aye; Keth, aye; Alumbro 2 Shade, aye; Strawser, aye; Waller, aye. 3 [The motion carried unanimously.] 4 MR. DAVIS: 5 6 Moving to number 14 on the Board's 7 agenda, the Application for a License to Practice Massage Therapy of Nathan 9 Lindstrom. 10 Based on the Board's discussions in Executive Session, I believe the chair 11 12 would accept a motion approving the 13 Application. 14 CHAIR PORAMBO: 15 Motion to approve? MR. STRAWSER: 16 I make the motion. 17 18 MS. KETH: 19 I second. 20 CHAIR PORAMBO: Christina. 21 22 23 Porambo, aye; Claggett, aye; Chamberlain, aye; Nelson, aye; Douglas, 24

aye; Hicks, aye; Keth, aye; Alumbro

25

```
33
1
                  Shade, aye; Strawser, aye; Waller, aye.
2
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
3
4
   MR. DAVIS:
5
                  Moving to number 15 on the Board's
 6
                  agenda, the Application for a License
7
                  to Practice Massage Therapy of Devan
                  McGowan.
9
                       Based on the Board's discussions in
                  Executive Session, I believe the Chair
10
11
                  would accept a motion approving as
12
                  Final the Draft Order issuing a license
13
                  on probation with the Professional
14
                  Health Monitoring Program.
15
   CHAIR PORAMBO:
16
                  Do I have a motion to approve as
17
                  indicated?
18
   MR. STRAWSER:
                  I make the motion.
19
20
   MS. KETH:
21
                  I second.
22
   CHAIR PORAMBO:
23
                  Christina.
24
25
                  Porambo, aye; Claggett, aye;
```

```
34
1
                  Chamberlain, aye; Nelson, aye; Douglas,
2
                  aye; Hicks, aye; Keth, aye; Alumbro
3
                  Shade, aye; Strawser, aye; Waller, aye.
4
    [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
5
6
   MR. DAVIS:
7
                  Number 16 on the Board's agenda, the
8
                  Application for a License to Practice
9
                  Massage Therapy of Gabriella Smith.
                       Based on the Board's discussions in
10
                  Executive Session, I believe the Chair
11
12
                  would accept a motion approving as
13
                  Final the Draft Order issuing a license
14
                  on probation.
15
   CHAIR PORAMBO:
16
                  Motion to approve?
17
   MR. STRAWSER:
18
                  I make the motion.
   MS. KETH:
19
20
                  I second.
21
   CHAIR PORAMBO:
22
                  Christina.
23
24
                  Porambo, aye; Claggett, aye;
25
                  Chamberlain, aye; Nelson, aye; Douglas,
```

```
35
1
                  aye; Hicks, aye; Keth, aye; Alumbro
2
                  Shade, aye; Strawser, aye; Waller, aye.
3
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
 4
   MR. DAVIS:
5
6
                  Number 17 on the Board's agenda, the
7
                  Application for Reactivation of a
                  License to Practice Massage Therapy of
9
                  Erin Tanner.
                       Based on the Board's discussions in
10
                  Executive Session, I believe the Chair
11
12
                  would accept a motion directing the
13
                  Board administrator to issue the
14
                  standard letter advising Applicant of
15
                  the need to take the MBLEx Exam.
   CHAIR PORAMBO:
16
17
                  Motion as indicated?
18
   MR. STRAWSER:
19
                  I make the motion.
20
   CHAIR PORAMBO:
21
                  Second?
22
   MS. KETH:
23
                  I second.
24
   CHAIR PORAMBO:
25
                  Christina.
```

1

2

4

Porambo, aye; Claggett, aye; 3 Chamberlain, aye; Nelson, aye; Douglas, aye; Hicks, aye; Keth, aye; Alumbro 5 Shade, aye; Strawser, aye; Waller, aye.

6 [The motion carried unanimously.]

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

* * *

8 Correspondence

> [Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel, addressed correspondence received from the Chairs of the House and Senate Professional Licensure Committees concerning Act 41. He noted all 29 BPOA licensing boards received a letter urging prompt promulgation of regulations to implement licensure by endorsement regulations as required by Act 41 of 2019.

> Mr. Davis stated the Commissioner's Office responded on July 25, 2022, by drafting a response letter on behalf of all 29 boards and noting the absence of fully promulgated final regulations has not impacted the boards' ability to implement Act 41 and hundreds of licenses by endorsement have been issued under Act 41. He mentioned the letter also noted that the Massage Therapy Board's rulemaking package has been formally voted on and approved by the State Board of Massage Therapy and emphasized

that the lack of final regulations being in place has 1 2 not caused anyone to be been denied a license via Act 3 41.]

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Correspondence - Waiver Requests

6 MR. DAVIS:

7

We have several Waiver Requests in There are five in

a waiver of some sort. I believe most of them, if not all are asking to do them online. I want to remind everyone that the typical requirement, the requirement as written in the regs is in place at this point. You do have to do a certain portion of the continuing education in person.

relation to continuing education on the Board's agenda. total. Under the statute, the Board is empowered to waive all or part of the continuing education requirement of a licensee who shows to the satisfaction of the Board that the licensee was unable to complete the requirement due to illness, emergency, or hardship. We have five individuals asking for

```
38
                       Based on the Board's discussions, I
1
2
                  believe the Chair would accept a motion
3
                  denying the Request for Waiver of all
 4
                  or part of the continuing education
 5
                  requirements of the following
                  individuals: Mariane Casalandra,
 6
7
                  Stella Stempien, Richard Huth, and Mary
8
                  Meyers.
   CHAIR PORAMBO:
9
10
                  Do I have a motion?
   MR. STRAWSER:
11
                  I make the motion.
12
13
   MS. KETH:
14
                  I will second.
15
   CHAIR PORAMBO:
                  Christina.
16
17
18
                  Porambo, aye; Claggett, aye;
19
                  Chamberlain, aye; Nelson, aye; Douglas,
20
                  aye; Hicks, aye; Keth, aye; Alumbro
21
                  Shade, aye; Strawser, aye; Waller, aye.
22
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
23
   MR. DAVIS:
24
                  For the record, I just want to mention
25
                  that several of those individuals have
```

```
39
1
                  been contacted by Board admin, and we
2
                  just need some more proof or additional
3
                  documentation or something like that.
 4
5
   [Mr. Davis explained that, should these individuals
  provide the Board with additional documentation, the
  Board is willing to once again review the Request for
  Waiver of all or part of the continuing education
   requirements.]
10
    MR. DAVIS:
11
                  Based on the Board's discussions in
12
13
                  Executive Session, I believe the Chair
14
                  would accept a motion approving the
15
                  Request for Waiver of all or part of
16
                  the continuing education requirements
17
                  of the following individual:
                                                 Sarah
18
                  Meier
   CHAIR PORAMBO:
19
20
                  Do I have a motion?
21
   MR. STRAWSER:
22
                  I'll make the motion.
23
   MS. KETH:
24
                  I second.
25
   CHAIR PORAMBO:
```

40

1 Christina.

2

8

Porambo, aye; Claggett, aye;

4 Chamberlain, aye; Nelson, aye; Douglas,

5 aye; Hicks, aye; Keth, aye; Alumbro

6 Shade, aye; Strawser, aye; Waller, aye.

7 [The motion carried unanimously.]

9 Report of Acting Commissioner

10 | [Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of

11 Professional and Occupational Affairs, notified

12 everyone of in-person Board member training on

13 October 24, 2022, from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m. in

14 Harrisburg. He noted the training is mandatory for

15 public members but also encouraged professional

16 members to attend. He advised everyone check their

17 pa.gov email.]

18

19 Report of Board Chair

20 [Nancy M. Porambo, MS, LMT, CNMT, NCTMB, Chair,

21 Professional Member, announced the Federation of

22 | State Massage Therapy Boards Virtual Membership Event

23 Series will be held on Wednesday, August 17, 2022, at

24 | 1 p.m. regarding interstate massage compact

25 stakeholders review.

41

Chair Porambo also encouraged all Board members
to attend the Board training on October 24.]

* *

3

4 Report of Board Counsel - (cont.)

5 | [Nancy M. Porambo, MS, LMT, CNMT, NCTMB, Chair,

6 Professional Member, referred to the State Board of

7 | Massage Therapy Bureau of Professional and

8 Occupational Affairs (BPOA) 2021 Report regarding a

9 breakdown of cases brought before the Board.

Mr. Davis addressed case numbers and financials, noting prior discussions from several individuals

12 coming before the Board, including the head of the

13 prosecution division. He noted that the 2021

14 Department of State Annual Report was uploaded to the

15 Board's agenda, and it details how many cases were

16 opened, closed, etc. by this Board in 2021.

Mr. Davis referred to Section 4 of the Massage

18 | Therapy Practice Act, where the Board must submit a

19 report annually to the Consumer Protection and

20 Professional Licensure Committee of the Senate and

21 Professional Licensure Committee of the House of

22 Representatives containing a description of the types

23 of complaints received, status of the cases, Board

24 action which has been taken, and length of time from

25 the initial complaint to the final Board resolution.

Mr. Davis provided information for 2021, where there were 8,678 active licensees, 175 cases opened, and 226 cases closed with an average of 415 days to close a case.

Chair Porambo stated the report was put together for the Board's general information and encouraged Board members to look at the materials.]

* * *

9 Miscellaneous - Scam Alert

[Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel, addressed a scam alert, where persons pretending to be from one of the health-related boards are contacting licensees by phone and mail concerning the status of their license. He noted licensees would never be contacted by phone for payment. He reminded everyone to not give personal or financial information over the phone.

Mr. Davis mentioned that those who are the subject of an investigation or disciplinary action will receive notice by certified mail and/or personal service and are provided with a contact name and phone number. He informed everyone to contact their local police department or the Pennsylvania State Police if they have been a victim of the scam.]

* * *

```
43
1
   Meeting Dates
   [Nancy M. Porambo, MS, LMT, CNMT, NCTMB, Chair,
2
3
   Professional Member, noted the remaining 2022 Board
4
   meeting dates are September 20 and November 15.
5
        Chair Porambo also noted 2023 Board meeting dates
   are February 14, April 4, June 7, August 1, September
6
7
   18, and November 14.]
8
                               * * *
9
   Adjournment
   CHAIR PORAMBO:
10
11
                  I'd like to entertain a motion to
12
                  adjourn if there are no further
13
                  questions.
14
   MR. STRAWSER:
15
                  I'll make the motion.
   MS. KETH:
16
                  I will second.
17
18
   CHAIR PORAMBO:
19
                  Thank you for attending.
                               * * *
20
21
   [There being no further business, the State Board of
22
   Massage Therapy Meeting adjourned at 12:04 p.m.]
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Massage Therapy

Meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Massage Therapy Meeting.

Michele Ann McGinnis,

Minute Clerk

Sargent's Court Reporting

Service, Inc.