State Board of Massage Therapy August 5, 2020

3 4 5

6

1

2

BOARD MEMBERS:

7 9

10 11

12 13 14

15 16

17 18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25 26 27

28 29 30

31 32 33

34 35 36

37

38 39

44

45 46 47

48 49 50 Becky D. Caufman, LMT, Chair

K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

Nancy M. Porambo, MS, LMT, CNMT, NCTMB, Vice Chair, Professional Member - Absent

Camille Baughman, BS, MA, LMT, Professional Member Linda A. Chamberlain, MS, BSN, RN, CNDLTC, Secretary of Health designee

Vickiann Hicks, LMT, BCTMB, CCCA

Jessica A. Nelson, Office of Attorney General designee Gloria E. Rosado, LMT, Professional Member Ellyn Jo Waller, Ed.D., Public Member

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel Monty J. Batson, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison Dean F. Picarella, Esquire, Board Counsel Peter D. Kovach, Esquire, Senior Prosecutor in Charge Christina Townley, Board Administrator Cynthia K. Montgomery, Esquire, Deputy Chief Counsel/Regulatory Counsel, Department of State Andrew LaFratte, MPA, Policy Office, Department of Theodore Stauffer, Executive Assistant, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

ALSO PRESENT:

Bobbi Calvert, MT Brenda Maruhnich, CL/MT Christina Huber, MT Jen Smeltz, Executive Director, Consumer Protection and Professional Licensure Committee Kelly L. Givens, LMT, CR, American Massage Therapy Natalie Cook, Associate, McNees-Winter Group LLC, representing the American Massage Therapy Association Pennsylvania Chapter

State Board of Massage Therapy August 5, 2020 ALSO PRESENT: (Continued) Nicole Sidle, Majority Committee Executive

Nicole Sidle, Majority Committee Executive Director, House Professional Licensure Committee Tammy Blauch, MT

Tammy Blauch, MT Terry Dixon, MT William Mullen, MT

4 * * * 1 2 State Board of Massage Therapy 3 August 5, 2020 * * * 4 5 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 6 9:00 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session with Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel, for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations and to receive advice of counsel on the matters upon which 10 the Board shall later vote. The Board returned to 11 open session at 10:30 a.m.] * * * 12 13 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State 14 Board of Massage Therapy was held on Wednesday, August 15 5, 2020. Becky D. Caufman, LMT, Chair, called the meeting to order at 10:33 a.m. 16 17 18 [Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted the 19 meeting was being recorded, and those who remain on 20 the line are giving their consent to being recorded.] 21 * * * 22 Roll Call/Introduction of Audience 23 [Chair Caufman requested a roll call of Board members and introduction of audience.1 2.4

* * *

25

[Bobbi Calvert, MT, Franklintown, PA, requested that the Board develop a better way for massage therapists to receive information during a pandemic. She noted frustration with questions not being answered and lack of information regarding plans of getting back to work.

Mr. Davis stated the Board was created to license individuals who are deserving of licensure and discipline individuals who have a license and are not working up to standards. He stated that when the Board receives emails and telephone calls in which advice is sought, the Board routinely suggests that the caller contact a professional organization or an attorney who can work on their behalf. The Board does not give legal advice, and it does not provide advisory opinions, including interpretations of the law or the Board's regulations.

2.3

Mr. Davis explained that the Board leans heavily upon the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Department of Health, and outside agencies that are empowered to create practice guidelines and advisory opinions that help in situations like global pandemics.

Mr. Davis mentioned that the Department of State issued numerous regulation suspensions, which are all

```
posted to the Department of State's main website.
```

Mr. Davis noted that, for the past several
months, when licensees called (or emailed) the Board,
asking questions or asking for advice, all inquiries
were redirected to the appropriate agency or outside
entity. He mentioned the Board's adoption of the
Federation of State Massage Therapy Boards (FSMTB)
guidelines which discuss to how to safely reopen shops
during the pandemic. A link to these guidelines is
available on the Board website.

Mr. Davis explained that comments and questions can be received during a Board meeting and taken under advisement, but the Board does not actually have the ability to answer questions or give the public their opinion on any particular topic.]

16

17 Report of Board Prosecution

18 | [Monty J. Batson, Esquire, Board Prosecutor, presented

19 the Consent Agreement for Case No. 18-72-006944.]

20 ***

21 | [Peter D. Kovach, Esquire, Senior Prosecutor in

22 Charge, presented the Consent Agreement for Case No.

23 19-72-006327.]

1

11

12

13

14

15

2.4 ***

25 Approval of minutes of the June 1, 2020 meeting

1 CHAIR CAUFMAN: 2 We are going to look at the approval of 3 the Board minutes from June 1, 2020. 4 Do we have any additions or 5 corrections from the floor? I need a 6 motion from the floor to approve the draft minutes as presented for June 1, 8 2020? 9 DR. WALLER: 10 So moved. 11 CHAIR CAUFMAN: 12 Ellyn Jo, first. I need a second. MS. HICKS: 13 Second. 14 15 CHAIR CAUFMAN: 16 Vicki Hicks seconds that. All those in favor? All those opposed? Any 17 18 abstentions? 19 [The motion carried. Ms. Chamberlain abstained from 20 voting on the motion.] 21 * * * 22 Report of Regulatory Counsel 23 [Cynthia K. Montgomery, Esquire, Deputy Chief 24 Counsel/Regulatory Counsel, Department of State, 25 referred to 16A-722 regarding child abuse reporting

requirements. She provided a preamble and annex.

2.1

2.2

2.4

Ms. Montgomery stated 16 Boards need to develop regulations. She noted the Dental Board regulation was just published with final rulemaking on Saturday and incorporates all of the changes made throughout that process. She mentioned additional amendments were made to the Child Protective Services Law.

Ms. Montgomery noted a substantial number of amendments were made to the Child Protective Services Law from 2014 to November 2019 with Act 31 of 2014, which required the agency to implement mandatory training in child abuse for all health-related Boards and State Board of Funeral Directors. She stated Commissioner Travis Gery put that in place to be implemented by January 1, 2015, requiring all health-related licensees to complete at least 3 hours of mandatory training in child abuse recognition and reporting as a condition of initial licensure and at least 2 hours of approved courses in child abuse recognition and reporting as condition of biennial renewal.

Ms. Montgomery stated the regulation updates the Board's regulations to incorporate the requirements of the Child Protective Services Law. She referred to § 20.21, which is the section on applications for

temporary practice permit, initial licensure, and licensure by reciprocity. She noted the addition of 3 hours of mandatory training.

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ms. Montgomery referred to § 20.21 regarding additional requirements for applicants for licensure by reciprocity. She also referred to § 20.25, noting a minor amendment with the addition of cross reference to paragraph 4 in § 20.21 and § 20.26 regarding temporary practice permits. She referred to § 20.31 regarding expiration renewal and reactivation, which had been amended to include the requirement of at least 2 hours of mandatory training in child abuse recognition and reporting as well as reactivation of a license. She referred to § 20.32 regarding continuing education hours and maintenance of certificates of completion, which provides an exception to the rule that the training had to be in the field of massage therapy for the 2 hours of training in child abuse recognition reporting that must be approved in accordance with § 20.69 regarding the course approval process.

Ms. Montgomery noted the addition of at least nine additional sections to the regulations to set forth the child abuse reporting requirements. She commented that § 20.61 set forth definitions relating

to the requirements. She noted definitions of terms used in the Child Protective Services Law that have been amended throughout the years. She also noted the definition for "bureau" and "mandated reporter" for ease of reference have been inserted and "ChildLine," which is a statewide toll-free telephone system available 24 hours a day.

Ms. Montgomery mentioned the addition of the definition of "perpetrator" because the only other place it is used in the regulations is in the definition of "serious physical neglect," but the reviewers wanted it incorporated. She noted the definition for "sexual abuse" or "exploitation" had been amended to include additional language that was added to the Child Protective Services Law.

Ms. Montgomery referred to § 20.62 regarding mandated reporting requirements which provides the general rule that a licensee of this Board is considered a mandated reporter and it describes the criteria for reporting. She explained that the law had been expanded to include other circumstances, not only the practice of the profession but also through regularly scheduled programs, activities, or services.

Ms. Montgomery noted the duty to report when

coming in contact with a child that the licensee
suspects was a victim of abuse and if the licensee is
directly responsible for the care, supervision,
guidance, or training of the child or affiliated with
an agency with that duty. She noted the duty to report
if a person makes a specific disclosure that an
identifiable child is the victim of child abuse,
noting this extends beyond the practice of their
profession.

Ms. Montgomery noted the duty to report if a person 14 years of age or older makes a specific disclosure to a licensee that they have committed child abuse. She mentioned a duty to report rule had changed, where licensees are to report child abuse and then report it to the institution, school, or agency.

1.3

2.4

Ms. Montgomery addressed the reporting procedure, either by making an oral report by calling ChildLine and following that up with a written report or by making an electronic report.

Ms. Montgomery referred to § 20.63, which authorizes the taking of photographs, medical tests, and X-rays, incorporating the that those materials be sent to the Department of Human Services within 48 hours after the electronic report has been made.

Ms. Montgomery referred to § 20.64, where

suspicions that a child died as a result of child abuse must be reported to the coroner or medical examiner of the appropriate county.

2.4

Ms. Montgomery referred to § 20.65 regarding immunity from liability, which tracks § 6318 of the Child Protective Services Law, where those who make a good faith report and referral for general protective services and cooperate with an investigation have immunity from all civil and criminal liability.

Ms. Montgomery referred to § 20.66 regarding a confidentiality provision, where mandated reporting requirements take precedence over any provision of client confidentiality, ethical principle, or professional standard that might otherwise apply.

Ms. Montgomery referred to § 20.67(a) regarding noncompliance, which provides notice that a mandated reporter who willfully fails to comply with these requirements would be subject to disciplinary action. She commented that failure to report suspected child abuse is a misdemeanor of the second degree but could be a felony under certain circumstances.

Ms. Montgomery referred to § 20.68 regarding mandatory training requirements in § 6383(b)(3)(i) and (ii) of the Child Protective Services Law. She noted a process for exemptions in § 20.68(c), which also

provides notice that the Board would not renew a license unless the bureau had received an electronic report from an approved course provider documenting attendance or participation by the licensee in an approved course or the licensee had obtained an exemption under subsection (c).

Ms. Montgomery stated exemptions can be granted under the Child Protective Services Law if somebody already did similar training under the public school code or they already completed child abuse recognition training required by § 6383(c) of the Domestic Relations Code. She noted that the Board of Social Workers, Marriage and Family Therapists, and Professional Counselors routinely grants waivers to people who work for the Office of Children, Youth, and Families.

Ms. Montgomery referred to § 20.69 regarding the approval process set up by the bureau in consultation with the Department of Human Services for entities to provide the training required under § 6383 of the Child Protective Services Law.]

* * *

23 CHAIR CAUFMAN:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.4

25

From the floor, would anybody like to make a motion to accept the child abuse

```
14
1
                  reporting requirements as presented?
2
   MS. BAUGHMAN:
3
                  I so move.
   CHAIR CAUFMAN:
4
5
                  Thank you, Camille. We need a second.
6
   DR. WALLER:
                  I'll second.
7
8
   CHAIR CAUFMAN:
9
                  Dr. Waller seconds. All those in favor,
10
                  say aye. All those opposed, please
11
                  state your name and then say opposed.
12
                  All abstentions, please state your name
1.3
                  and then say so.
14
                       With that, the 16A-722 child abuse
15
                  reporting requirement has passed.
16
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
17
                              * * *
18
19
   [Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted the
20
   regulatory status report. He mentioned being in
21
   contact with Board Counsel for the Board of
22
   Cosmetology and working to jointly promulgate the
2.3
   MT/Cosmo regulation.
24
        Mr. Davis updated the Board on Act 41, where the
25
   Board voted to release an exposure draft on June 1,
```

```
15
         He provided a brief overview of Act 41.
1
   2020.
2
   mentioned receiving one comment in which it was
3
   suggested that the applicant have "no pending
4
   disciplinary actions" in the applicant's home
5
   jurisdiction. He suggested the language would not be
   proper from a legal standpoint because the current
6
   language of Act 41 says, "has not been disciplined" in
   the applicant's home jurisdiction. He suggested that,
   because the legislature has already provided language
10
   regarding an applicant's disciplinary status in their
11
   home jurisdiction, he recommended not amending the
12
   annex to add the suggested language.
13
        Mr. Davis will bring this back to the Board once
14
   he completes the draft of the preamble and Regulatory
15
   Analysis Form (RAF).]
                              * * *
16
17
   Report of Board Counsel
18
```

[Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel, addressed requests from the licensure base to allow licensees to take their cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) class online or possibly waive the requirement for a CPR class for renewal. He stated licensees are still required to find and participate in an in-person CPR class prior to renewal. He noted changes would be posted to the Department of State website, if there

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are any further changes.

1.3

Mr. Davis reminded the public and Board members that Board members are not allowed to speak on behalf of the Board and must voice their opinions at Board meetings on the record in front of the Board, where decisions would be made in open session. He suggested that public questions and concerns should be referred to the Board's main email website resource account and recommended that the public attend Board meetings.

Mr. Davis discouraged Board members from identifying themselves as a Board member and stating opinions because people might take that as speaking on behalf of the Board.

Ms. Baughman asked for clarification regarding where to find the FSMTB guidelines as it relates to ${\tt COVID-19}$.

Mr. Davis mentioned the Federation of State

Massage Therapy Boards (FSMTB) guidelines and CPR

announcement was on the Department of State website,

but certain information had a link on the Board

website to the Department of State website for waiver

or suspension information. He also noted the

Department of State website is available at

www.dos.pa.gov.]

17 1 MOTIONS 2 MR. DAVIS: 3 Based on the Board's discussions in 4 executive session, I believe the Chair 5 would accept a motion to adopt the 6 proposed adjudication and substitute the Board's order in the following matter: In the matter of the Application 9 for Massage Therapist Licensure of Lili 10 Zhu, Case No. 19-72-013511. CHAIR CAUFMAN: 11 The Board would like to have a motion to 12 13 accept this as presented. MS. BAUGHMAN: 14 15 So moved. CHAIR CAUFMAN: 16 17 Second, please. MS. NELSON: 18 I'll second. 19 20 CHAIR CAUFMAN: 21 Jessica Nelson seconds. All those who 22 approve? All those opposed, state your 2.3 name, please. Any abstentions, state 24 your name. 25 [The motion carried unanimously.]

18 * * * 1 2 MR. DAVIS: 3 Counsel will draft an order to adopt the 4 proposed adjudication and substituting 5 the Board's order as the Board directed 6 counsel to do in executive session. * * * 7 MR. DAVIS: 9 Number 7 on the agenda. That is one of 10 our consent agreements. 11 For the record, what I am going to 12 do is read the motion. We are going to 1.3 have a vote and then I am going to say 14 the case caption if the Board votes to 15 adopt the consent agreement. 16 I ask that the record reflect that it was done in that precise order. 17 Based on the Board's discussions in 18 19 executive session, I believe the Chair 2.0 would accept a motion to approve the 2.1 Consent Agreement in the following matter: Case No. 18-72-006944. 2.2 2.3 CHAIR CAUFMAN: 24 Motion from the floor? 25 MS. CHAMBERLAIN:

```
19
                  I make the motion.
1
2
   MS. BAUGHMAN:
3
                  I second.
   CHAIR CAUFMAN:
4
                  Linda Chamberlain, first. Camille
5
                  Baughman, second. All those in favor?
6
                  All those opposed, state your name. Any
8
                  abstentions, please state your name.
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
10
11
   MR. DAVIS:
                  For the record, that is Commonwealth
12
13
                  BPOA v. Shelly Lebenson.
                               * * *
14
15
   MR. DAVIS:
16
                  Number 8 on the agenda. Based on the
17
                  Board's discussions in executive
18
                  session, I believe the Chair would
19
                  accept a motion to approve the Consent
20
                  Agreement in the following matter: Case
                  No. 19-72-006327.
21
22
   CHAIR CAUFMAN:
23
                  Motion from the floor?
2.4
   DR. WALLER:
25
                  So moved.
```

20 1 CHAIR CAUFMAN: 2 Ellyn Jo, first. I need a second, 3 please. 4 MS. CHAMBERLAIN: 5 I second. 6 CHAIR CAUFMAN: Linda Chamberlain, seconds. All those 8 in favor? All those opposed, state your name. Any abstentions, please state 9 10 your name. 11 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 12 13 MR. DAVIS: 14 For the record, that is Commonwealth 15 BPOA v. Ervin Schlabach. * * * 16 17 MR. DAVIS: 18 Number 9 on the agenda. This is an 19 application. In the matter of the 20 Application for Licensure as a Massage 2.1 Therapist of Alexus N. Stewart. Based on the Boards discussions in 2.2 2.3 executive session, I believe the Chair 2.4 would accept a motion approving the 25 application.

21 MS. BAUGHMAN: 1 2 So moved. 3 DR. WALLER: 4 Second. 5 CHAIR CAUFMAN: 6 Ellyn Jo, seconds. All those in favor? All those opposed, please state your name. Any abstentions? 8 [The motion carried unanimously.] 10 Correspondence 11 12 [Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel, addressed licensure by apprenticeship, which is not available in 13 14 Pennsylvania. He mentioned prior discussion of how 15 the Board wanted to proceed when receiving applications by Act 41 endorsement from Florida 16 17 residents who are currently licensed as massage 18 therapists. 19 Mr. Davis stated his prior suggestion may have 20 been too harsh, noting that the entire purpose of Act 21 41 is to make it easier for licensees with qualified 22 credentials to work in the commonwealth. He is now 23 suggesting that applications by endorsement from 24 Florida massage therapy licensees provide an 25 affirmative statement clarifying whether or not they

1 received their license via the apprenticeship program 2 or licensure by education.

2.2

2.3

Mr. Davis stated a license from Florida would meet the Act 41 "substantially similar" standards as long as the license was obtained through education. He also suggested the individual provide a transcript as proof they did obtain their license from school.

Mr. Davis referred to Florida House Bill 1341, which would remove licensure by apprenticeship, noting that it seems to have died in appropriations back in March. He stated that, in Florida, anyone who had a current license and obtained it via apprenticeship would keep their license and be grandfathered in but new applicants would not be licensed via the apprenticeship program.

Mr. Davis requested the Board discuss the possibility of continuing to allow Florida licensed massage therapists to obtain a license in Pennsylvania as long as they make an affirmative statement that they obtained their license via education and are willing to give some kind of proof, like a transcript from their school.

Mr. Davis stated under Act 41, the Board does not need to see the transcript. He noted that all the Board needs is proof that the individual had been

actively working in that jurisdiction for at least 2
of the last 5 years, as well as proof of licensure in
good standing. He noted that PALS still requires Act
4 applicants to provide transcripts.

2.4

Ms. Hicks stated apprenticeship programs also have standard hours that should be included but then they would have a transcript. Also they should have an equal test when we look at Act 41.

Chair Caufman noted individuals are required to take the Massage & Bodywork Licensing Examination (MBLEx) in most states, where some just have an apprenticeship option where they are getting paid to work while they are studying. She commented that Florida was eliminating apprenticeships because of liability issues but just have not gotten that far yet.

Chair Caufman stated transcripts and education documents shall be required. She also stated that licensure by reciprocity requires that an applicant have qualifications that equal or exceed our requirements, whereas licensure by endorsement under Act 41 only requires that the home jurisdiction have requirements that are substantially equivalent to that of the Commonwealth.

Mr. Davis suggested requesting something in the

- applicant's own words explaining how they obtained their license, whether it was apprenticeship or
- 3 education, noting that this is just instructions for 4 Board administration as to how to handle Act 41
- 5 applications if it comes from Florida.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

- Mr. Davis addressed a CE waiver request in which

 a license requests that the Board waive CE

 requirements for her based on her recent health issues

 and the fact she only made \$3,000 in the last three

 years. The licensee stated CEs are expensive and said

 she cannot afford them right now. Also, live classes

 are hard to find.
 - Mr. Davis reminded the Board of the suspension waiver in place allowing everyone to obtain their CE credit via online education. Furthermore, Mr. Davis reminded the Board of the requirements of Section 4 of the Act and § 20.32(f) which requires that the licensee show that they are unable to complete the requirement due to illness, emergency or hardship.
 - Mr. Davis suggested the Board deny the request and instruct Ms. Townley to inform the individual of that. He also suggested reminding the individual of the current suspension that is in place allowing her to obtain all of this education online.
- 25 The Board agreed to with advice Mr. Davis

```
25
1
   presented.]
                               * * *
2
3
   MR. DAVIS:
 4
                  Based on the discussion that we just had
5
                  in open session, the Chair would
6
                  entertain a motion to deny the request
7
                  for waiver.
   CHAIR CAUFMAN:
                  I request a motion to deny the waiver.
10
                  I need a motion from the floor.
11
   MS. CHAMBERLAIN
                  I make the motion.
12
   CHAIR CAUFMAN:
13
14
                  Do I have a second?
15
   DR. WALLER:
                  I'll second.
16
17
   CHAIR CAUFMAN:
                  Ellyn Jo seconds. All in favor?
18
                                                       All
                  opposed, please state your name. Any
19
20
                  abstentions?
21
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
22
                               * * *
2.3
   Miscellaneous
24
   [Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel, addressed
25
   Act 53 of 2020, formerly Senate Bill 637 of 2019,
```

which was signed by the governor on July 1, 2020, and mostly takes effect in 180 days.

2.2

2.3

Mr. Davis commented that it would amend the Criminal History Record Information Act known as CHRIA to require the Boards only withhold a license for convictions directly related to the practice of the occupation and that the Boards consider the nature of the offense, the amount of time passed since the conviction, evidence of the applicant's fitness to practice the occupation, and other relevant factors prior to withholding the license.

Mr. Davis noted Act 53 sets forth a two-stage analysis of the criminal convictions of an applicant. He stated the Boards would have to develop a list of crimes that directly relate to the occupation or profession. He stated healthcare Boards may not issue a license to individuals convicted of a sexual offense under Act 53.

Mr. Davis also stated applicants convicted of "crimes of violence" as defined under Title 42 Pa.C.S. Section 9714 may only be granted a license if the crime and the applicant met certain conditions listed under the act.

Mr. Davis commented that existing provisions related to felony drug convictions shall, going

forward, only apply to an individual who has been convicted of a drug trafficking offense as defined by the act.

Mr. Davis addressed preliminary determinations, where an applicant with a criminal history, who is unable to determine whether the applicant's criminal history would disqualify the applicant, would need to file a petition for preliminary review. He stated individuals will have the option of filing something with the Board asking the Board to make a preliminary determination, which would not be binding.

Mr. Davis commented that the Department of State must develop a best practices guide for applicants with criminal convictions summarizing certain sections of Act 53 and describing what it does.

Mr. Davis and Mr. Batson will work on developing a list of disqualifying convictions to bring before the Board for review, and the Department for approval.

Commissioner Johnson mentioned that the

Department of State is going to be driving the

formation of this best practice guide and are tasked

with coming up with a list of convictions. He

commented that there exists a body of decisions to

fall back on that provides ample evidence of what

constitutes convictions.

Chair Caufman recommended allowing legal to develop the list of disqualifying convictions and present those to the Board.

Commissioner Johnson will provide a draft by the beginning of next month for the Board's review at the next meeting.]

* * *

[Becky D. Caufman, LMT, Chair, noted a conflict concerning the September 15 meeting date. The Board agreed to change the date to September 22 at 9 a.m. with public session starting at 10 a.m.]

12 ***

13 Report of Commissioner

14 [K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of
15 Professional and Occupational Affairs, thanked Board

16 members for their continued patience and flexibility

17 regarding the virtual platform for meetings. He

18 assured the Board it had been a helpful tool in terms

19 of providing a better level of transparency and

20 expanding the reach of the Board to the general

21 public.

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

Commissioner Johnson thanked the public, Policy
folks, legislative colleagues, and executive directors
for oversight committees for listening in virtually.

25 He believed everyone would see the rewards of the

1 virtual platform as time went on.

Commissioner Johnson commented that members of the regulatory community are in the Bureau's thoughts and prayers. He stated everything is being done to ensure the public and practitioners are protected and remain healthy and safe.

Chair Caufman thanked Commissioner Johnson for all of his efforts on the Board's behalf.

Commissioner Johnson noted Ms. Townley and Mr. Stauffer have been wonderful. He also noted Policy folks, Mr. Davis, and prosecution have been remarkable throughout this pandemic.]

13

14 Adjournment

10

11

12

15 CHAIR CAUFMAN:

I would like to have a motion from the floor to adjourn the August 5, 2020 meeting of the State Board of Massage
Therapy.

20 MS. HICKS:

21 Motion to adjourn.

22 CHAIR CAUFMAN:

Thank you Vicki. I need a second,

24 please.

25 DR. WALLER:

30 1 Second. 2 CHAIR CAUFMAN: 3 Thank you, Ellyn Jo. We are adjourned. 4 5 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 6 7 [There being no further business, the State Board of Massage Therapy Meeting adjourned at 12:16 p.m.] * * * 10 11 CERTIFICATE 12 13 I hereby certify that the foregoing summary 14 minutes of the State Board of Massage Therapy Meeting, 15 was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, 16 and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Massage Therapy 17 18 Meeting. 19 20 21 22 Sarah LaMaster, 2.3 Minute Clerk 2.4 Sargent's Court Reporting 25 Service, Inc.

		31
$\begin{smallmatrix} 1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&4&4&4&4&4&4&4&4&4&4&4&4&4&4&4&4&4$	STATE BOARD OF MASSAGE THERAPY REFERENCE INDEX	
	August 5, 2020	
	TIME AGENDA	
	9:00 Executive Session 10:30 Return to Open Session	
	10:33 Official Call to Order	
	10:35 Roll Call/Introduction of Audience	
	10:42 Report of Board Prosecution	
	10:48 Approval of Minutes	
	10:50 Report of Regulatory Counsel	
	11:16 Report of Board Counsel	
	11:26 Motions	
	11:31 Correspondence	
	11:53 Miscellaneous	
	12:13 Report of Commissioner	
	12:16 Adjournment	