State Board of Landscape Architects August 15, 2019

BOARD MEMBERS:

1 2

David B. Morgan, RLA, Chairman, Professional Member
K. Kalonji Johnson, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of
Professional and Occupational Affairs
Dina Klavon, RLA, Secretary, Professional Member
Patrick A. West, Professional Member
Edward J. Rak, Public Member
Richard P. Rauso, RLA, ASLA, Professional Member
Brett W. Mauser, Office of Attorney General, Bureau of
Consumer Protection

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

C. William Fritz II, Esquire, Board Counsel
Robert B. Armour, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison
Terrie Kocher, Board Administrator
Marc Farrell, Deputy Policy Director, Department of
 State
Carolyn A. DeLaurentis, Deputy Chief Counsel,
 Prosecution Division

ALSO PRESENT:

Ted Mowatt, Wanner Associates, on behalf of the American Society of Landscape Architects

* * *

1

3

4

10

11

12

2 State Board of Landscape Architects

August 15, 2019

* * *

The regularly scheduled meeting of the State

Board of Landscape Architects was held on Thursday,

August 15, 2019. David B. Morgan, RLA, Chairman,

Professional Member, called the meeting to order at

9 9:30 a.m. Roll call was taken.

K. Kalonji Johnson, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs, was not present at the commencement of the meeting.

13

14 | Landscape Architects Board Vacancies

15 [Chairman Morgan noted two Board vacancies, one public

16 member and one Pennsylvania nurseryman.]

17

18 [Chairman Morgan requested all visitors identify

19 | themselves.]

20 ***

21 Appointment - Carolyn A. DeLaurentis, Esquire, Deputy

22 | Chief Counsel, Prosecution Division Presentation

23 [Carolyn A. DeLaurentis, Esquire, Deputy Chief

24 Counsel, Prosecution Division, introduced herself and

25 provided a brief summary of her professional

background.

2.2

2.4

Ms. DeLaurentis provided information for the Pennsylvania State Board of Landscape Architects for FY 2018-2019, noting that 7 files were opened with 6 open cases as of July 1, 2019.

Ms. DeLaurentis noted that the Board did not have to impose any public discipline action in the last fiscal year.

Ms. DeLaurentis commented that the prosecution division has the ability to immediately temporarily suspend a license if the individual is deemed to be an immediate danger to the health and safety of the public. She commended the investigators and the Bureau of Enforcement and Investigation for working diligently to try to serve individuals the same day.

Ms. DeLaurentis noted 69 immediate temporary suspensions in the prosecution division in FY 2018-2019. She noted 11,359 open cases as of July 1, 2019. She reviewed the last fiscal year, noting that 14,030 files were opened, which was an increase from 12,604 the prior year.

She noted the Pennsylvania Licensing System

(PALS) and the Pennsylvania Justice Network (JNET)

contributed to the increase because the prosecution

division now receives an alert when licensees from all

```
of the Boards and Commissions are arrested, charged, or convicted. She also noted 13,270 closed files the last fiscal year.
```

Ms. DeLaurentis discussed the Office of General Counsel's Mediation Program, which is at no cost to the respondent or the Board. She noted that the case essentially goes before a third party to provide guidance on a resolution. She mentioned that consent agreements will reflect whether the case was the result of mediation.]

11 ***

12 Approval of minutes of the March 28, 2019 meeting 13 CHAIRMAN MORGAN:

The next item is approval of the March draft minutes. Any corrections or comments?

I need a motion and a second.

18 MR. RAUSO:

4

5

6

10

19 I'll make the motion to approve the
20 March 28 minutes.

21 MR. WEST:

22 Second.

23 CHAIRMAN MORGAN:

A second was made. All in favor? Any opposed?

```
1 [The motion carried unanimously.]
2 ***
```

3 Report of Board Counsel

4 [C. William Fritz II, Esquire, Board Counsel, stated

5 that there were not many disciplinary proceedings

6 before the Board, but there was a good deal of

7 movement as far as regulations. He mentioned that the

8 electronic seal regulation package was completed and

9 onto the next step in the regulatory process. He

10 explained that the package was being sent together

11 | with the architects licensure and professional

12 engineers' packages as they almost mirror each other

13 as far as the language. He stated the package was

14 | currently being reviewed.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

Mr. Fritz stated that in-house counsel knows all of the stylistic requirements by the Office of General Counsel (OGC) because of the issues and corrections to all three packages.

Mr. Fritz addressed the electronic seal regulation that allows licensees to electronically seal their designs, noting that this is permissible but not mandated. He stated the electronic seal regulation was put at the top of the list along with nine others by the Governor. He will inform the Board of the packages movement to the next stage.

Marc Farrell, Deputy Policy Director, Department of State, commented on his review of the regulations for all of the agencies while at the Governor's Policy Office. He is familiar with the package, noting that it had been seen a couple of times and was further along in the process than envisioned.

2.2

Mr. Fritz referred to 16A-6111 regarding the proposed General Revisions Annex previously approved by the Board. He is eager for movement on the regulation.

Ms. Kocher referred to § 15.11(b) regarding filing procedures. She questioned whether applicants are required to complete a Council of Landscape Architectural Registration Boards (CLARB) Council Record, as she felt the language would lead an applicant to submit to the CLARB Council Record.

Ms. Kocher also referred to § 15.11(c), where it reads, "Concurrent with or after submitting the licensure application to the Board, an applicant shall submit an application for examination directly to professional testing organization CLARB." She stated the law reads that the Board requires preapproval and would not be able to go to CLARB concurrently with filing an application to the Board.

Mr. Fritz noted the language to read, after

submitting an application to the Board.

2.4

Ms. Kocher suggested indicating that once an individual is approved to take the examination by the Board, the individual would then have to contact CLARB to register, possibly combining § 15.11 (c) and (e) to better clarify the information.

Mr. Fritz will redraft the language and bring it back at the next meeting.

Mr. Rauso referred to § 15.76 regarding preapproved providers of continuing education courses. He referred to § 15.76(a)(6), questioning whether the Pennsylvania Recreation and Park Society (PRPS) falls under the umbrella of the National Recreation and Park Association and its chapters. He suggested adding PRPS to the list of preapproved providers.

Mr. Fritz addressed why some Boards use the language Board-approved providers compared to specifically naming providers on a list. He stated many Boards just use language that says continuing education providers that have been approved by the Board, which could then be listed on the website. He commented that a problem with this would be the Board could get a lot of phone calls from people who do not look on the website.

Mr. Fritz referred to $\S 15.41(a)(1)$, where it

```
reads, "The variety of projects may include experience." He suggested considering other experience to determine whether it may be substantially equivalent.
```

2.3

2.4

Ms. Klavon questioned § 15.41(a)(1) and why the Board would accept an applicant without a bachelor's or master's degree in landscape architecture. Mr. Fritz explained that someone who has been a landscape architect for 10-15 years may not have a bachelor's or master's degree, because the other state may not require the same for licensure. He commented that the Board has the ability to review each state individually to determine substantial equivalency to Pennsylvania for consideration of approval.

Ms. Kocher referred to the Landscape Architects' Registration Law Section 6(b)(iii), in lieu of graduation from an approved college or school of landscape architecture and the practical experience in addition thereto, an applicant may be admitted to the examination upon presenting evidence of at least eight years of actual practical experience. She explained that this is a method by which someone without a bachelor's or master's degree could come to Pennsylvania.

Mr. Fritz stated the Board has the discretion to

decide whether the requirements are met or not or to decide to admit individuals.

Ms. Kocher questioned whether it would be possible for the Board to conduct a work session outside of the meeting with the intention of discussing each regulation section.

Mr. Fritz commented that the Board could schedule a work session as long as the final version of the Board is on the agenda and open to the public in open session. He suggested tabling the matter for now and scheduling a work session after the next Board meeting.]

13 ***

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

[K. Kalonji Johnson, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs, entered the meeting at 10:08 a.m.]

17

18 [Ms. Kocher suggested a work session following the 19 meeting in November.

Mr. Fritz addressed Act 41, where all Boards are to consider applicants from other states that have licensure requirements substantially equivalent to Pennsylvania to make it easier for individuals to be licensed in the Commonwealth. He noted that the provisional license would provide licensure for

individuals from other states whose requirements are substantially equivalent but may be deficient in certain areas to those of Pennsylvania. The applicant would be provided time to fulfill the requirements.

2.4

Mr. Fritz stated the license will be dropped if individuals do not make up the required deficiency, but a regular license will be granted to those who submit proof of making up the deficiency.

Acting Commissioner Johnson commented that the period of the provisional license is determined by the Board and nothing in Act 41 supersedes the Board's Practice Act. He stated Act 41 is supposed to provide relief for those licensed in other jurisdictions who have been working and want to come to the Commonwealth. He also mentioned the economic benefit to the Commonwealth.

Acting Commissioner Johnson suggested reviewing the requirements in other jurisdictions to determine if they are substantially equivalent and competent. He noted that guidance is available in the Act concerning competency.

Acting Commissioner Johnson suggested forming an application subcommittee or qualification subcommittee of one or two Board members to review applications.

He noted the Board has 18 months to promulgate

regulations. Act 41 will become effective on August 29, 2019. He stated the members of the applications subcommittee would have to decide whether the requirements in other states are substantially equivalent, if the applicant is competent, has been practicing actively for five years, and has no

Mr. Fritz noted that the only difference in how the Board currently reviews applicants is the survey questions on the website, where a person coming from another state filed an application for licensure by endorsement and did not qualify to apply. He stated the current practice is for the applications to be submitted for the committee to review.

Mr. Fritz stated the applicants are either going to be acceptable for licensure by endorsement or an Act 41 provisional license provided should they obtain the deficient requirements within a certain period of time.]

20 ***

convictions or discipline.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21 Report of Prosecutorial Division - No Report

22

23 Report of Board Chairman

24 | [David B. Morgan, RLA, Chairman, Professional Member,

25 | mentioned his inability to attend the CLARB Annual

1 Meeting at the end of September. Ms. Kocher noted 2 that Mr. West was willing to attend.

Acting Commissioner Johnson noted the new Commonwealth Out-of-State Travel Application (COSTA) portal.

Mr. West stated the meeting dates for the CLARB
Meeting are September 26 and 27, 2019.]

**

3

4

5

8

9 Report of Acting Commissioner

10 [K. Kalonji Johnson, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of

11 Professional and Occupational Affairs, again noted the

12 new travel portal requirement. He mentioned that

13 there were no outstanding issues with the Board in

14 terms of applications. He noted near completion of

15 PALS development but that ongoing maintenance will

16 | continue throughout next year to improve the system in

17 | making it more user-friendly.]

18

19 Report of Board Administrator

20 [Terrie Kocher, Board Administrator, noted the

21 continuing education waiver request for the remaining

22 hours for this renewal period based on health issues.

Mr. Rauso mentioned the Board's options to deny,

24 waive the remaining hours, or ask that the hours be

25 obtained while providing an extension.]

14 * * * 1 2 MR. WEST: 3 I make a motion to accept the waiver of continuing education requirements for 4 5 Joseph A. Hajnas. 6 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: 7 We have a motion made. Second? MS. KLAVON: 9 I second. 10 CHAIRMAN MORGAN: All in favor? Any opposed? 11 12 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 13 Miscellaneous 14 15 [Terrie Kocher, Board Administrator, noted that the next scheduled meeting date is November 21, 2019. 16 17 also noted the meeting dates for 2020.] * * * 18 19 [C. William Fritz II, Esquire, Board Counsel, referred 20 back to the question regarding the National Recreation 21 and Park Association and its chapters and whether the 22 Pennsylvania Recreation and Park Society could be 2.3 included in the list. 24 Mr. Rauso clarified his request to determine 25 whether the PRPS Annual Conference that offers

```
15
   continuing education credits is available to landscape
1
2
   architects.
3
        Mr. Fritz stated his interpretation of the
4
   regulation would be that Pennsylvania is a chapter of
5
   the National Recreation and Park Association.
6
   commented that the language was put into the
   regulation as chapters for the Board's consideration.]
9
   Adjournment
10
   CHAIRMAN MORGAN:
11
                 I look for a motion for adjournment.
12
   MR. RAK:
13
                 So moved.
   MR. JOHNSON:
14
15
                 Second.
16
   CHAIRMAN MORGAN:
                 Motion was made and seconded. All in
17
18
                 favor?
                        Any opposed?
19
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                              * * *
20
21
   [There being no further business, the State Board of
22
   Landscape Architects Meeting adjourned at 10:43 a.m.]
2.3
                              * * *
2.4
25
```

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Landscape Architects meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Landscape Architects meeting.

CERTIFICATE

Amber Garbinski,

Minute Clerk

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc.