# State Board of Crane Operators September 23, 2020

Michael A. Becker, Chair, Professional Member - Absent

## BOARD MEMBERS:

Molly Smith, Administrative Officer, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs, on behalf of K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs 

Andrew S. Goulet, Professional Member Daniel R. Haulman, Professional Member/Acting Chair F. Allan "Buddy" Mauger Jr., Public Member

Brian M. Schmoyer, Professional Member

### BUREAU PERSONNEL:

 Dean F. Picarella, Esquire, Senior Board Counsel Colby B. Widdowson, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison Amanda Li, Board Administrator

Theodore Stauffer, Executive Secretary, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

Marc Farrell, Deputy Policy Director, Department of

Andrew LaFratte, MPA, Policy Office, Department of State

### ALSO PRESENT:

Richard Thompson, Manager of Regulatory and Consensus Standards, National Commission for the Certification of Crane Operators

Neil Tolson, Executive Director, Electrical Industry Certifications Association

Jen Smeltz, Executive Director, Senate Consumer Protection and Professional Licensure Committee Steven Kuncelman, Assistant Safety & Training Director for Local Union 126, International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers

\* \* \*

1

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2 State Board of Crane Operators 3 September 23, 2020

\* \* \*

Dean F. Picarella, Esquire, Senior Board Counsel,

Acting Chair, referred to Section 301(1) of the Crane

Licensure Act, noting the requirement had been waived

with the COVID-19 emergency declaration from Governor

Wolf on March 17, 2020, allowing videoconferences.]

10 \*\*\*

[Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 9:00 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session with Dean F. Picarella, Esquire, Senior Board Counsel, for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations on a number of matters that are currently pending before the Board and to receive the advice of counsel. The Board returned to open session at 10:30 a.m.]

19 \*\*\*

[Theodore Stauffer, Executive Secretary, Bureau of
Professional and Occupational Affairs, noted the
meeting was being recorded, and those who remained on
the call were giving their consent to being recorded.]

24 \*\*\*

25 Roll Call/Introductions

```
[Acting Chair Haulman requested the introduction of
1
2
   the Board members, staff, and audience in attendance.]
                              * * *
3
 4
        The regularly scheduled meeting of the State
5
   Board of Crane Operators was held on Wednesday,
6
   September 23, 2020. Daniel R. Haulman, Acting Chair,
   Professional Member, called the meeting to order at
   10:30 a.m.]
10
   Approval of minutes of the July 23, 2020 meeting
11
   ACTING CHAIR HAULMAN:
12
                  At this time, I would like to begin with
1.3
                  approval of the minutes of our previous
14
                  meeting of July 23, 2020.
15
                       Does anybody have any changes or
16
                  anything that needs to be made to those
17
                  minutes, and if not, could I have a
18
                  motion to accept those minutes?
19
   MR. MAUGER:
20
                  I accept the minutes as read.
                                                  I did not
21
                  see any corrections needed.
2.2
   MR. SCHMOYER:
2.3
                  I second that.
2.4
   ACTING CHAIR HAULMAN:
25
                  All in favor of accepting those minutes?
```

```
5
                  Any opposed, please let us know now.
1
2
                  Not hearing any objection.
                                               Minutes are
3
                  approved for the July 23 Board meeting.
4
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                              * * *
5
6
   Report of Prosecutorial Division
   [Colby B. Widdowson, Esquire, Board Prosecution
   Liaison, presented the Consent Agreement for Case No.
   19-71-013639.
10
                              * * *
11
   MR. PICARELLA:
12
                  The Board was in Executive Session.
1.3
                  This matter was one of the matters
                  discussed in Executive Session.
14
15
                       Do any members of the Board feel we
16
                  need to reenter into Executive Session
17
                  before voting on this matter?
18
                       At this time, I believe the Board
19
                  would entertain a motion to accept the
20
                  Consent Agreement and Order at Case No.
21
                  19-71-013639.
22
   MR. GOULET:
2.3
                  I would like to make a motion to approve
24
                  that Consent Agreement.
25
   MR. MAUGER:
```

1 I'll second that motion.

2 ACTING CHAIR HAULMAN:

We have a move and second. All in
favor, say aye. Anyone in opposition?

[The motion carried unanimously. The caption in this
matter is the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania BPOA v.

Andrew G. Dorton, L.C.O. Mr. Becker was recused and
not present for deliberation or voting on the motion.]

\* \* \*

10 Report of Board Counsel

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

11 [Dean F. Picarella, Esquire, Senior Board Counsel,
12 noted the Act 48 citation authority regulation package
13 was moving forward and was hopeful to the package in
14 front of the Independent Regulatory Review Commission
15 (IRRC) soon.

Mr. Picarella addressed Act 41, informing the Board of comments received from Richard Thompson, Manager of Regulatory and Consensus Standards at the National Commission for Certification of Crane Operators (NCCCO).

Mr. Picarella addressed the first comment referencing "substantially equivalent" in § 6.16 regarding licensure by endorsement under Act 41. He stated NCCCO recommended including the Occupational Safety and Health Administration's (OSHA) language

regarding government-issued licenses in 1926.1427(c) as a component of being considered substantially equivalent to include a written exam, practical hands-on exam, exams covering topics in 1926.1427(j)(1) and (2), a determination by the entity overseeing the licensure program that meets OSHA's requirements, and an official statement from the other jurisdiction's licensing body that it had been deemed compliant.

2.2

2.3

Mr. Picarella stated the rationale for this comment was that this approach would help standardize the concepts associated with certification and licensure by minimizing issues associated with establishing whether licensure programs without a practical exam were substantially equivalent.

Mr. Picarella explained the purpose of Act 41 is to give people an easier avenue to be licensed in Pennsylvania, and substantial equivalency is the major component. He commented that substantially equivalent would require an individual to demonstrate compliance with Section 502 of the act, where an applicant applying for a license must have good moral character, be 18 years of age or older, and hold current certification.

Mr. Picarella stated current certification would denote substantial equivalency and referred to Section

1 | 102 of the act for definitions.

2.3

Mr. Picarella noted certification from the National Commission for Certification of Crane Operators or another organization found by the State Board of Crane Operators to offer an equivalent testing and certification program meeting the applicable requirements of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) B30.5 as related to mobile cranes, ASME B30.3 are the requirements of ASME B30.4 related to tower cranes and accreditation requirements by the National Commission for Certifying Agencies or the American National Standards Institute.

Mr. Picarella referred to definitions under the Board's regulation, including certification from the NCCCO and other organizations found by the Board to offer a testing and certification program equivalent to NCCCO and meeting the applicable requirements of ASME B30 and to the accreditation requirements of the National Commission for Certifying Agencies (NCCA) or the American National Standards Institute, as well as defining a certifying organization as NCCCO, another organization approved by the Board to issue certification.

Mr. Picarella believed, when read in conjunction with the remainder of the act and the regulations, Act

41 would remedy this concern.

Mr. Picarella stated substantial equivalency is where the Board discern whether the individuals are certified properly, just like anybody seeking initial licensure.

Mr. Picarella addressed the second comment regarding certification by accredited certifying entities. He noted NCCCO's recommendation included additional language to assure that a license, certification, registration, or permit issued to a professional crane operator in another jurisdiction which requires certification by an accredited certifying entity as a pathway to, or requirement for a license, certification, registration, or permit. It also noted such entities should be identified as those accredited by ANSI or NCCA at the time of the issuance of the certification.

Mr. Picarella mentioned NCCCO's rationale behind the comment was that the goal of a license, certification, registration, or permit is to ensure an individual meets all the statutory requirements. The programs of accredited certifying entities must be valid at the time of the issuance of certification by a state, territory, or county in order for any subsequent license, certification, registration, or

permit to be valid. This approach also supports compliance with the regulatory aspects of 29 CFR 1926.1427(d)(1)-(d)(4).

2.0

2.4

Mr. Picarella responded to the comment by saying the Board established in their definitional sections of certification in both the act and regulations that the Board would assure that is covered upon reviewing applications. He stated substantial equivalency would verify the accreditation by ANSI or NCCA at the time of the certification.

Mr. Thompson commented that the introduction of the word "country" threw NCCCO for a loop. He questioned how that would play into the act and other existing rules and regulations because he was unaware of any reciprocal agreements between the United States and other countries for operator certification.

Mr. Picarella explained that applicants are required to submit documentation of the process for their certification in their original jurisdiction. He noted not all states have licensure for crane operators and nobody knows another country's requirements for crane operators or licensure, which is why the applicant must submit the information for the Board's decision.

25 Mr. Picarella commented, after the substantial

equivalency, the Board confirms an applicant has 2 out of the last 5 years past experience in the profession before granting licensure.

2.0

Mr. Picarella addressed the third comment regarding license, certification, registration, or permit issued by state, territory, or country. He noted NCCCO's recommendation included reference to 29 CFR 1926.1427(c)(1)-(c)(4) "operator certification or licensing" within  $\S$  6.16(a)(1)(i).

Mr. Picarella noted NCCCO believed this is appropriate to ensure that a provisional license issued by the State Board of Crane Operators as a result of the proposal to provide licensure under Act 41 meets the regulatory requirements provided in 29 CFR 1926 Subpart CC, Crane and Derricks in Construction. NCCCO also believed including a reference to 29 CFR 1926.1427(c)(1)-(c)(4) would minimize the applications that do not meet the minimum federal requirements.

Mr. Picarella explained a provisional license as somebody who applies but does not fully meet the requirements, which is usually in the experience 2 of the last 5 years. He mentioned that individuals would be allowed to practice under a provisional license for 1 year to gain experience, and if they needed a second

year, it would be granted for a second year upon request. He stated those who do not meet all of the requirements after 2 years would not be issued a license.

Mr. Picarella referred to § 6.16(a)(1)(i), noting that proof of their current licensure in good standing and how their licensure was obtained would take care of that issue.

Mr. Picarella provided a proposed preamble for Act 41, which outlined the history for the Board's action and to take care of any comments in this process. He stated the preamble and annex would be put into a regulations package and sent for processing to get the regulation passed.]

15 \*\*\*

# 16 MR. PICARELLA:

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

At this point, I believe the Board would entertain a motion to accept the preamble and annex previously approved and direct me to prepare a regulation package and forward the package for promulgation as proposed rulemaking.

Will anybody make that motion?

#### 24 MR. GOULET:

I make that motion.

1 MR. MAUGER:

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 I'll second his motion.

3 ACTING CHAIR HAULMAN:

Any further discussion? I would ask all those in favor to say aye. Any opposed?

6 [The motion carried unanimously.]

\* \* \*

Report of Board Counsel - Matters for Discussion

[Andrew S. Goulet, Professional Member, addressed prior discussion regarding practical and national certification examinations. He provided additional research, where four of the five current accredited crane certification bodies in the state of Pennsylvania allow for the practical exams for crane certification to be taken on digger derricks.

Mr. Goulet mentioned a Board meeting in 2016, where the Board had an indication that the machine for practical exams had to be taken on an ASME B30.5 machine, although there was no way to verify that information through applicants, which is still true today. He stated, even though the Board directed the use of the ASME B30.5 machine, that could not be verified.

Mr. Goulet also noted it was not actually included in the regulation at § 6.13, which only

- requires proof of certification and a copy of the
  written and practical examination scores provided by
  the applicant by a certifying organization and a
  waiver for the certifying organization to release the
  license certification status and certification score
  to the Board.
  - Mr. Picarella commented that each individual accredited crane certification organization maintains records differently. Some do not document the make and model for practical exams and just list the control type. He stated their evaluation forms do not keep records of that information, which makes it difficult to verify the machines utilized.

2.4

- Mr. Picarella questioned whether Ms. Li could send a letter to certifying organizations requiring information in order for the Board to accept their certification.
- Ms. Li will send a letter to certifying organizations detailing the verifications to be provided to the Board.
- Ms. Li mentioned that the only certified organization providing the type of machine utilized in the practical exams on was the Crane Institute Certification (CIC). She noted other certifying organizations only indicate what the candidate is

1 currently certified for as far as specialty 2 designations.

2.0

2.1

2.4

Mr. Schmoyer commented that there are digger derricks that are dual-rated and meet the B30.5 requirement and some that are rated for A10.31 and also on a B30.5 platform as well. He noted not seeing a problem as long as the B30.5 requirement is met.

Mr. Picarella noted it could be as simple as having the certifying organizations include verification information, and the ones that give specialization designation would reflect testing on an exam for a certain type of crane.

Mr. Goulet suggested amending the indication from 2016 and making a motion that practical exams must comply with OSHA and ASME B30.5 requirements for practical certification. He did not believe this to be a regulation and just an indication, noting there is no regulation that specifies the equipment type beyond an ASME B30.5 machine and was an interpretation at the time.

Mr. Picarella commented that the definitions note compliance with certain testing criteria, so it may be more of a guidance for the Board when considering applications to confirm the information.

Steven Kuncelman, Assistant Safety & Training

```
Director, International Brotherhood of Electrical
1
2
   Workers, Pennsylvania Local Union 126, expressed
3
   concern over the difficulty to obtain manpower for the
4
   industry when individuals come from outside of
5
   Pennsylvania and try to receive a state license in
6
   order to work on projects. He informed the Board of
   licenses being denied because individuals initially
   took their certification with a control type that
   might have been done on the digger derrick.
10
        Mr. Kuncelman mentioned this to be an issue only
11
   in Pennsylvania and a hassle to individuals who have
12
   been operating cranes for over 10 years. The
13
   individuals have to jump through hoops and set up new
14
   practical exams to receive the license.
15
        Mr. Picarella stated the Board will look into the
16
   situation.1
                              * * *
17
   MR. GOULET:
18
19
                  I would like to make a motion to amend
2.0
                  the indication wording from 2016.
2.1
                  make a motion that practical exams must
2.2
                  comply with OSHA and ASME B30.5
2.3
                  requirements for practical exam
2.4
                  certification.
25
   MR. PICARELLA:
```

I believe that would just ratify the Board's decision to follow what we already had in our definitional section.

I have not heard anybody second that motion. I guess the question is what exactly are we proposing to do with that motion?

Having no second, I do not believe we can move on that at this time.

10 [The motion will be considered.]

11 | \*\*

[Mr. Kuncelman stated the Board of Crane Operators is meant for the safeguarding of the public and licensing pieces of equipment. He noted a loophole with digger derricks, where some of the equipment is over 30-ton capacity and have well over 100-foot tip height capabilities but not required by state licensing because they were left out of the definitions.

Mr. Kuncelman mentioned individuals are buying these pieces of equipment from auctions and doing general construction with them, which falls under federal regulation but is exempt from of PA Codes. He recommended incorporating the machines in the language, which would be beneficial by ensuring people are properly trained and properly licensed to operate

```
18
   pieces of hoisting equipment.
1
2
        Mr. Picarella suggested establishing a committee
3
   to review the issue and report back to the Board.]
                              * * *
 4
   MR. MAUGER:
5
6
                  I'll make a motion that we appoint a
                  committee to further look into the
                  digger derricks and its relation to our
8
9
                  crane licensing act.
10
   ACTING CHAIR HAULMAN:
11
                  I'll second that motion.
12
   MR. PICARELLA:
13
                  The motion has been made and seconded.
                  All in favor? Any opposed?
14
15
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
16
17
   [Mr. Schmoyer and Mr. Goulet volunteered to be on the
   committee.
18
19
        Mr. Thompson offered assistance from the National
20
   Commission for the Certification of Crane Operators to
21
   help answer questions from a certification
22
   perspective.]
2.3
24
   Report of Commissioner - No Report
                              * * *
25
```

19 Report of Board Chair - No Report 1 \* \* \* 2 3 Applications [Dean F. Picarella, Esquire, Senior Board Counsel, 4 5 noted previous application discussion in Executive Session. He also noted Mr. Beck was recused and not 6 present to participate on the Consent Agreement approved this morning.] \* \* \* 10 MR. PICARELLA: 11 Based upon our discussion in Executive 12 Session, I believe the Board would entertain a motion to reject the 1.3 14 licensure application filed by Matthew 15 Willis and would direct me to send a 16 letter to Mr. Willis explaining that 17 rejection. MR. MAUGER: 18 19 I make a motion that we reject it and 20 advise you to send a letter to him of 21 the rejection. 2.2 MR. SCHMOYER: 2.3 I'll second that. 2.4 MR. PICARELLA: 25 All in favor? Any opposed? Hearing no

1 opposition. That motion carries, and I

2 will draft that letter and send it to

3 Mr. Willis.

5

4 [The motion carried unanimously.]

\* \* \*

6 Report of Board Administrator

7 | [Amanda Li, Board Administrator, reported renewals

were up and running with about 28% of the crane

9 licensing population renewed. She stated licenses

10 | will expire and renewal will close on October 31,

11 2020. She mentioned that anyone who misses renewal

12 | will have to go through the reactivation process.

13 Mr. Picarella commended Ms. Li on her fantastic

14 work with renewals and setting up the Pennsylvania

15 Licensing System (PALS) to get the renewals processed

16 efficiently and timely.]

17

18 | [Dean F. Picarella, Esquire, Senior Board Counsel,

19 | noted the next scheduled Board meeting date is

20 November 18, 2020.

21 Ms. Li mentioned working on the 2021 Board

22 | meeting dates and will send the dates out to the Board

23 members.

24 Mr. Stauffer informed the Board that digital

25 meetings would not be ending anytime soon with the

```
21
   goal of one meeting per day for 2021, which may cause
1
2
   some delay in 2021 meeting dates.
        Mr. Picarella thanked Mr. Stauffer for his work
3
   with setting up the digital meetings.]
                               * * *
5
6
   Adjournment
   MR. PICARELLA:
                  I believe, at this time, the Board would
9
                  entertain a motion to adjourn.
10
   MR. GOULET:
11
                  I make a motion.
12
   MR. SCHMOYER:
                  I'll second it.
13
14
   MR. PICARELLA:
15
                  All in favor, say aye. Any opposed?
16
                  The meeting has officially ended.
17
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
18
19
   [The State Board of Crane Operators Meeting adjourned
20
   at 11:27 a.m.]
21
                               * * *
22
2.3
2.4
25
```

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Crane Operators meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Crane Operators meeting.

CERTIFICATE

Derek Richmond,

Minute Clerk

Sargent's Court Reporting
Service, Inc.

		23
	STATE BOARD OF CRANE OPERATORS REFERENCE INDEX	
	September 23, 2020	
TIME	AGENDA	
9:00 10:30	Executive Session Return to Open Session	
10:30	Official Call to Order	
10:33	Roll Call/Introductions	
10:36	Approval of Minutes	
10:37	Report of Prosecutorial Division	
10:43	Report of Board Counsel	
11:21	Applications	
11:23	Report of Board Administrator	
11:27	Adjournment	