# State Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers October 17, 2019

1 2

## BOARD MEMBERS:

8 Joseph D. Pasquarella, Chairman, Professional
9 Member

Jeffrey L. Walters, Vice Chairman, Professional Member

Eric M. Lehmayer, Secretary, Professional Member John Ausherman, Professional Member

Martha H. Brown, Esquire, Secretary of the Commonwealth designee

Merna T. Hoffman, Esquire, Deputy Attorney General, Office of Attorney General Designee

Paul H. Wentzel Jr., Senior Legislative Director, Department of Banking and Securities designee

### BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Jacqueline A. Wolfgang, Esquire, Board Counsel
Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel
Ray Michalowski, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecution
Liaison

Caroline A. Bailey, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Andrew LaFratte, MPA, Department of State Alex Phillips, Department of State Heidy M. Weirich, Board Administrator

#### ALSO PRESENT:

Pennsylvania

Grant T. Ausherman, SRA, Appraisal Institute
Randy L. Waggoner, CPE, Assessors' Association of
 Pennsylvania
Steve Howe, CPE, Assessors' Association of

3 \* \* \* 1 State Board of Certified 2 3 Real Estate Appraisers October 17, 2019 4 \* \* \* 5 6 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State 7 Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers was held on Thursday, October 17, 2019. Joseph D. Pasquarella, 9 Chairman, Professional Member, officially called the 10 meeting to order at 9:07 a.m. A roll call was taken. \* \* \* 11 12 [Chairman Pasquarella introduced Ronald Rouse, 13 Esquire, who will be replacing Jacqueline Wolfgang, 14 Esquire, as legal counsel to the Board.] 15 Introduction of Audience Members 16 17 [Chairman Pasquarella requested the introduction of 18 audience members. \* \* \* 19 20 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, provided a 21 brief summary of his professional background.] 22 23 Evacuation Announcement 24 [Heidy M. Weirich, Board Administrator, reviewed the 25 emergency evacuation procedures for One Penn Center.]

1 2 Approval of minutes of the September 11, 2019 meeting CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA: 3 Shall we have approval for the minutes? 4 5 Are there any questions, comments? 6 MR. WENTZEL: So moved. \* \* \* 8 [Mr. Wentzel discussed provisions for anybody other 10 than appraisers in Pennsylvania who are conducting 11 evaluations. He noted a law that governs state-12 chartered banks in Pennsylvania, where an appraisal 13 must be conducted by a certified appraiser for loans. 14 He noted that it does not address any other activities of individuals conducting evaluations. 15 16 Chairman Pasquarella noted an issue from a prior 17 meeting regarding Uniform Standards of Professional 18 Appraiser Practice (USPAP) requirements and licensing, 19 where three states surrounding Pennsylvania's

Northeast area have USPAP continuing education requirements and only one required 7 hours of USPAP every 2 years.

Ms. Wolfgang will confirm the information and relay the same to Ms. Weirich.]

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

5 [The Board discussed corrections to the minutes.] 1 2 3 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA: 4 Could we amend the motion? 5 MR. WENTZEL: 6 I'll amend my motion to reflect the 7 changes discussed. MR. WALTERS: Second. 10 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA: All in favor? Any opposed? 11 [The motion carried. Mr. Lehmayer abstained from 12 13 voting on the motion.] \* \* \* 14 15 Report of Prosecutorial Division 16 [Caroline A. Bailey, Esquire, Board Prosecutor, 17 presented the Consent Agreement for File No. 18-70-005869.1 18 \* \* \* 19 20 Report of Board Counsel 21 [Jacqueline A. Wolfgang, Esquire, Board Counsel, 22 addressed Act 41, which provides an additional pathway 23 to licensure by endorsement under the Bureau of 24 Professional and Occupational Affairs. She noted the 25 need to determine whether the requirements for

licensure in another state, country, or territory of the applicant is substantially equivalent to the Board's requirement.

Ms. Wolfgang noted prior discussion with respect to assessors and the fact that the exam had very specific Pennsylvania assessment practice questions and law-related issues. She also noted prior discussion regarding education and the decision to research the issue.

Randy L. Waggoner, CPE, Assessors' Association of Pennsylvania, provided a brief summary of his professional background. He noted being certified with the Assessors' Association of Pennsylvania to teach two of the four basic courses in order to qualify a candidate to sit for their state exam to become a Certified Pennsylvania Evaluator (CPE).

Mr. Waggoner referred to Act 28, where the minimum of 90 hours was expanded to around 124-128 hours of basic education in appraisal assessment. He noted the introduction to assessing and appraising, site/market analysis, cost approach to value, and income approach to value, which are classes approved by the state Board.

Mr. Waggoner provides a review of Pennsylvania-specific requirements as they pertain to

1 certified Pennsylvania evaluators, ethics, USPAP, and

2 | what needs to be accomplished in order to sit and be

3 considered for a CPE. He also discussed the

4 | Pennsylvania-specific part of the assessor's

5 profession and differences between Pennsylvania and

6 other states.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

Mr. Waggoner addressed Proposition 13 in California that allows a property to be reassessed when it sells and "welcome stranger" in Pennsylvania. He mentioned that Maryland provides a reassessment every three years.

Mr. Waggoner provided an opinion regarding reciprocity, stating the sales comparison approach, the cost approach, and the income approach do not change. He suggested candidates be required to take the introduction to assessing and appraising course to get the Pennsylvania-specific statutes and rules and regulations as they apply to assessment offices in the Commonwealth.

Mr. Waggoner commented that the Assessments' Association of Pennsylvania could create a two-day class on Pennsylvania-specific assessment policy, procedure, and law, if that would be something the Board desired.

25 Chairman Pasquarella questioned whether an

individual has to be the property owner or an attorney to appeal taxes in Pennsylvania. Mr. Waggoner commented that a family member with the power of attorney and those who have a taxable interest in the property or a pecuniary interest in the property would be able to appeal taxes. He mentioned that each county's Board of Assessment Appeals in the Commonwealth may adopt their own rules and

Chairman Pasquarella questioned whether an assessor in Pennsylvania who has a reciprocal license would be permitted to assessment in Pennsylvania and work for the various counties.

regulations.

1.3

2.3

Mr. Waggoner mentioned Pennsylvania-specific programs that include Local Economic Revitalization Tax Abatement (LERTA), Clean and Green, Homestead/Farmstead Exclusion, and continuing education seminars through the Assessors' Association of Pennsylvania for those working in a revaluation company to know how to value these properties.

Ms. Wolfgang noted the need to write regulations to implement Act 41 over the next 17 months. She mentioned that the Board may have to backtrack a little if they cannot find a substantial equivalency, because the profession is so specific in Pennsylvania,

noting a good solution to be breaking up the courses where someone could just fill that gap.

2.3

Chairman Pasquarella commented on the importance to understand the rules of the road for assessment for an evaluator or assessor, noting the need to be able to understand differences that exist in Pennsylvania as opposed to other states. He mentioned that appraisers are required to understand the regulations, and licensed evaluators should act similarly on the assessment side.

Ms. Wolfgang recommended proceeding with the regulation and the Board looking at everything on a case-by-case basis.

Ms. Wolfgang noted one application for certified residential appraiser and two applications for certified general appraisers for discussion during executive session.

Ms. Wolfgang addressed the Clean Slate Act that went into effect on June 28, 2019, regarding a provision for automatic record sealing for anyone with low-level criminal records. She stated the legislation allows individuals to petition the courts for their records to be sealed if they have been free from conviction for 10 years for an offense that resulted in a year or more in prison and have paid all

court-ordered financial debts, automatic sealing of records for second- or third-degree misdemeanor offenses that included a less than two-year prison sentence if they have been free from convictions for 10 years, and the sealing of criminal history records related to charges that resulted in non-conviction.

1.3

Ms. Wolfgang noted that the Act does not allow for the sealing of records in more serious crimes that include firearm charges, sexual offenses, murder, kidnapping, child endangerment, endangering the welfare of children, and other more serious offenses.

Ms. Wolfgang provided an overview of disclosure of sealed records.

Ms. Wolfgang stated, in terms of background checks for the Board, the only background check that will have the sealed records listed are licensees and/or applicants who have requested FBI background checks, and any records listed on an FBI background that are considered sealed on other types of criminal history requests should not impact decisions related to licensing, renewal, or investigation.

Ms. Wolfgang stated the only time Board staff would consider this is upon receipt of the FBI background summary reports. She also mentioned the possibility of obtaining a copy of the seal to prove

the record was sealed for processing the application
if the person answers that they have no criminal
history.

2.3

Ms. Brown questioned how an applicant would prove something was automatically sealed. Ms. Wolfgang stated the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts (AOPC) started doing that automatically in June, but she is not sure of the answer and suggested Ms. Weirich provide information on the implementation.

Ms. Wolfgang referred to the attached Petition for Review in the BPOA vs. John Bonaventure Sylvester case. She stated the Board issued a final adjudication 18 months ago, and Mr. Sylvester filed an appeal with the Commonwealth Court. She noted that Mr. Rouse will handle the appeal and keep the Board apprised as to the status.

Ms. Wolfgang addressed the General Revisions regulation (16A-7022) regarding federally-mandated revisions, noting that the Independent Regulatory Review Commission (IRRC) approved the regulation. The regulatory package was delivered to the Office of Attorney General. She met with Ms. Weirich and Mr. Rouse to discuss the Board's staff implementation of all of the changes, including comprehensive and significant changes regarding the adoption of all

- 1 | Appraiser Qualifications Board standards in most
- 2 provisions. Ms. Wolfgang referred to the comment
- 3 letter regarding the Practical Applications of Real
- 4 Estate Appraisal (PAREA) exposure draft for the
- 5 Board's approval.
- 6 Chairman Pasquarella suggested changing the word
- 7 | "house" to "building." He also suggested language
- 8 | change from "should not be responsible" for measuring
- 9 to "should not be deemed to be competent" for
- 10 measuring.
- 11 Ms. Wolfgang added it is within the context of
- 12 PAREA training, and the Board would be going on the
- 13 assumption that this new appraiser had only been
- 14 | trained virtually. She suggested language to read, "A
- 15 new appraiser who has only been trained through
- 16 PAREA," should that person be responsible for
- 17 | measuring a house even though that person has never
- 18 actually done it.
- 19 Ms. Wolfgang referred to the last Board meeting,
- 20 where she attached the first exposure draft from the
- 21 Appraisal Foundation. She mentioned that the
- 22 Appraisal Foundation is in conjunction with McKissock,
- 23 noting a packet that will outline the proposal. She
- 24 stated the comment letter responded to the proposal
- 25 that someone could become an appraiser through 100

percent of virtual experience.

2.3

2.4

Mr. Lehmayer noted his concern with this virtual reality program, where it would be difficult to find somebody to train that person and if they will ever be responsible for measuring a building. He noted they should be responsible from day one of being certified.

Chairman Pasquarella noted the importance of being competent and his concern with individuals who do not have exposure to being on a site and actually physically measuring a building, as well as being able to the select the correct comparables when relying on a course as opposed to real-life situations.

Ms. Wolfgang commented that all providers and courses are reviewed, and Boards might have the opportunity to observe the system, according to Mr. Bradley.

Mr. Ausherman noted his concern regarding regional differences.

Mr. Michalowski stated that USPAP requires individuals to gain geographic confidence. He mentioned that USPAP does not change, and individuals still have to comply. Whether there is compliance will be identified when those individuals start hitting the market in Pennsylvania.

Mr. Walters commented that this is more of a kick

start and not necessarily giving somebody their full complement of skillsets.

Ms. Wolfgang noted that the Board just adopted most of the AQB standards by reference. The Board kept the more stringent standard in Pennsylvania that requires actual preparation of appraisal reports. She stated the Board could certainly keep the current numbers at 50 percent for general appraisers and 75 percent for residential appraisers.

Ms. Wolfgang mentioned that the PAREA program being developed was all or nothing. The Board wanted to voice its concern of the approach of 100 percent. She stated that AQB does not require it, but it is allowing it and are developing their model and program to allow for only the 100 percent.

Ms. Wolfgang stated the Board commented about a year ago to not go to the 100 percent mark, which is what they did. The Board is currently trying to communicate to them again that the 100 percent is not the appropriate standard.]

21 \*\*\*

### 22 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA:

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.3

2.4

25

We need to make a motion to approve the letter subject to the changes.

Can I have a motion?

15 1 MR. AUSHERMAN: 2 I so move. 3 MR. WALTERS: 4 Second. 5 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA: 6 Any further discussion? All in favor? 7 Any opposed? [The motion carried unanimously.] 9 10 [Merna T. Hoffman, Deputy Attorney General, Office of 11 Attorney General Designee, exited the meeting at 10:04 a.m.] 12 \* \* \* 13 14 Report of Board Chairman 15 [Joseph D. Pasquarella, Chairman, Professional Member, 16 noted the proposed change to Real Property Appraiser 17 Oualification Criteria. 18 Ms. Wolfgang explained that AQB is considering 19 changes to the criteria with respect to supervisors, 20 where the supervisor shall not have had an appraiser 21 certification canceled, surrendered in lieu of 22 discipline, or revoked in any state for a substantive 23 cause related to appraisal practice within the last 24 three years. She stated the Board would have to 25 decide substantive cause.

Ms. Wolfgang noted the requirement for a regulatory change should the Board go in that direction. She stated the Board could keep its standard because there can be a higher standard than what the minimum requirements are in AQB.

Mr. Michalowski commented that the only issue to worry about in Pennsylvania is the administrative suspensions that result from people being in arrears in child support, where they come through the Court of Common Pleas.]

11 \*\*\*

[Merna T. Hoffman, Deputy Attorney General, Office of Attorney General Designee, reentered the meeting at 10:08 a.m.]

[Ms. Wolfgang explained that the Board could comment on the proposal or keep the current standards, noting that it is not adopted by AQB at this point. The Board decided not to comment.

Chairman Pasquarella announced that he and Mr. Walters will be attending the Appraisal Standards Board public meeting regarding evaluations on October 18, 2019, in Washington, D.C.

Mr. Wentzel addressed his discussions with bankers associations concerning the practices of banks

with regard to evaluations, where they have in-house people or may contract others, who are often not licensed appraisers, to do evaluations that are not loan.

Mr. Wentzel stated a representative of the American Bankers Association (ABA) is testifying, and the local bankers association, Pennsylvania Bankers Association, and the Pennsylvania Association of Community Bankers are very interested in this issue.

Ms. Wolfgang noted the importance of looking at Pennsylvania law. She commented that the issue is being considered nationally because there are states that allow non-appraisers to perform what is considered an appraisal in Pennsylvania and is the mandatory and non-mandatory state distinction.

Mr. Walters explained that bankers associations are trying to get evaluations performed by appraisers and non-appraisers and want to have USPAP confirm it as being a credible methodology to cut out the expense of an appraisal.]

21 \*\*\*

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22 Report of Board Administrator

23 [Heidy M. Weirich, Board Administrator, referred to 24 the special notice regarding changes and proposed

25 regulations for real estate appraisers and licensed

18 appraiser trainee qualifications for public and Board 1 2 information. 1 3 4 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 5 10:21 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session 6 with Jacqueline A. Wolfgang, Esquire, Board Counsel, and Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations. The Board returned to open session at 11:36 a.m.] \* \* \* 10 11 MOTIONS 12 MS. WOLFGANG: 13 Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, the Board entered into 14 15 executive session with Board Counsel for 16 the purpose of having attorney-client 17 consultations and conducting 18 quasi-judicial deliberations in the 19 following matters: 2.0 Consent Agreement File No. 18-70-2.1 005869, and the applications of Richard 2.2 C. Redmond, Jane Grace Utzman, and Alec 2.3 Victor Werner. 2.4 I note for the record the Board 25 will not be voting on the Alec Victor

19 1 Werner or Jane Grace Utzman 2 applications; instead, the Board 3 provided the Board administrator with 4 instructions on how to proceed. 5 I believe the Board would consider 6 motions at this time. 7 MS. BROWN: I move to adopt the Consent Agreement 9 and Order negotiated by the 10 Commonwealth's prosecuting attorney in settlement of the following matter: 11 File No. 18-70-005869. 12 13 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA: 14 Do we have a second? 15 MR. LEHMAYER: 16 Second. 17 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA: Any discussion? All in favor? Any 18 19 opposed? 20 [The motion carried unanimously. The name in that 21 case is BPOA vs. Jeffrey C. Harris.] \* \* \* 22 2.3 MS. BROWN: 2.4 In the Matter of the Application for 25 Residential Appraisal Certification of

```
20
                  Richard C. Redmond, I make a motion that
1
2
                  the Board approve the application.
3
   MR. AUSHERMAN:
 4
                  I second.
5
   CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA:
6
                  Any discussion? All in favor?
                                                    Any
7
                  opposed?
8
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
9
10
   [Chairman Pasquarella thanked Ms. Wolfgang for her
11
   services.1
                               * * *
12
13
   Adjournment
14
   CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA:
15
                  Let's have a motion to adjourn.
16
   MR. AUSHERMAN:
17
                  Second.
18
   CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA:
19
                  The meeting has ended. Thank you.
20
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
21
22
   [There being no further business, the State Board of
23
   Certified Real Estate Appraisers Meeting adjourned at
2.4
   11:39 a.m.]
                               * * *
25
```

		22
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		STATE BOARD OF CERTIFIED REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS REFERENCE INDEX
		October 17, 2019
	TIME	AGENDA
10 11	9:07	Official Call to Order
12 13	9:08	Roll Call
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	9:14	Approval of Minutes
	9:15	Report of Prosecutorial Division
	9:18	Report of Board Counsel
	9:30	Appointment - Randy L. Waggoner, CPE, Assessors' Association of Pennsylvania
23 24	9:44	Report of Regulatory Counsel
25 26	10:04	Report of Board Chairman
26 27 28	10:20	Report of Board Administrator
29	10:21	Matters for Discussion
30 31 32 33	10:21 11:36	Executive Session Return to Open Session
34	11:36	Motions
35 36 37 38 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 50	11:39	Adjournment