

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA  
DEPARTMENT OF STATE  
BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS

**F I N A L M I N U T E S**

MEETING OF:

**STATE BOARD OF BARBER EXAMINERS  
VIA TELECONFERENCE**

TIME: 10:47 A.M.

Monday, October 19, 2020

State Board of Barber Examiners  
October 19, 2020

BOARD MEMBERS:

Dominic A. Muniz, Chairman, Professional Member  
Ronald Gray Jr., Vice Chairman, Professional Member  
Ginger Etter, Secretary, Consumer Protection Member  
K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of  
Professional and Occupational Affairs  
Ken Jaram, Professional Member  
Michael McAndrew, Professional Member

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel  
James Ritchie Espino Ostman, Esquire, Board  
Prosecution Liaison  
Heather J. McCarthy, Esquire, Senior Prosecutor  
Kelly I. Diller, Board Administrator  
Theodore Stauffer, Executive Secretary, Bureau of  
Professional and Occupational Affairs  
Andrew LaFratte, MPA, William Penn Fellow, Department  
of State  
Carolyn A. DeLaurentis, Deputy Chief Counsel,  
Prosecution Division  
Cynthia K. Montgomery, Esquire, Deputy Chief  
Counsel, Department of State  
Dean F. Picarella, Esquire, Senior Board Counsel  
Marc Farrell, Deputy Policy Director, Department of  
State

ALSO PRESENT:

Angela George, Manager, Gentlemen's Barbershop  
Jen Smeltz, Executive Director, Senate Consumer  
Protection and Professional Licensure Committee  
Kelly Kolling, Director of Operations, Barber School  
of Pittsburgh  
Mike Gent, Curriculum Coordinator, Pennsylvania  
Department of Corrections

1 \*\*\*

2 State Board of Barber Examiners

3 October 19, 2020

4 \*\*\*

5 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, informed  
6 everyone that the meeting of the State Board of Barber  
7 Examiners was being recorded, and those continuing to  
8 participate in the meeting were giving their consent  
9 to being record.]

10 \*\*\*

11 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at  
12 9:00 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session  
13 with Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, to have  
14 attorney-client consultations and for the purpose of  
15 conducting quasi-judicial deliberations. The Board  
16 concluded its executive session at 10:30 a.m.]

17 \*\*\*

18 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State Board  
19 of Barber Examiners was held on Monday, October 19,  
20 2020. Dominic A. Muniz, Chairman, Professional  
21 Member, called the meeting to order at 10:47 a.m.

22 \*\*\*

23 Pledge of Allegiance

24 [The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.]

25 \*\*\*

1 Roll Call

2 [Roll call was taken.]

3 \*\*\*

4 Approval of minutes of the August 17, 2020 meeting

5 CHAIRMAN MUNIZ:

6 The approval of minutes for August 17,  
7 2020.

8 Do I have a motion?

9 MR. GRAY:

10 Motion.

11 MS. ETTER:

12 Second.

13 MR. ROUSE:

14 This is a motion to approve the amended  
15 minutes of August 17, 2020. All those  
16 in favor? All those opposed, say nay.

17 [The motion carried unanimously.]

18 \*\*\*

19 [Introduction of Public Members]

20 \*\*\*

21 Report of Prosecutorial Division

22 [James Ritchie Espino Ostman, Esquire, Board  
23 Prosecutor, had nothing to report.]

24 \*\*\*

25 Report of Board Counsel

1 MR. ROUSE:

2 I am starting with a matter that was  
3 discussed in executive session. This is  
4 regarding Item No. 2 on the agenda,  
5 which is the matter of the BPOA v.  
6 Michael Frye, Case No. 19-42-017123.

7 I believe the Board would entertain  
8 a motion to grant the Motion to Deem  
9 Facts Admitted and to direct Board  
10 counsel to prepare the Adjudication and  
11 Order in accordance with the discussion  
12 in executive session.

13 Is there such a motion?

14 MR. GRAY:

15 Motion.

16 MR. ROUSE:

17 Is there a second?

18 MS. ETTER:

19 Second.

20 MR. ROUSE:

21 Any discussion? All those in favor, say  
22 aye. All those opposed, say nay.

23 [The motion carried unanimously.]

24 \*\*\*

25 MR. ROUSE:

1 In the matter of BPOA v. Darriel Jones,  
2 Case No. 18-42-011133 at Item No. 3 on  
3 the agenda.

4 I believe the Board would entertain  
5 a motion to adopt the Adjudication and  
6 Order as presented by Board counsel and  
7 to direct Board counsel to prepare the  
8 Board's final order.

9 Is there such a motion?

10 CHAIRMAN MUNIZ:

11 Motion.

12 MR. ROUSE:

13 Is there a second?

14 MR. GRAY:

15 Second.

16 MR. ROUSE:

17 Any discussion? All those in favor, say  
18 aye. All those opposed, say nay.

19 [The motion carried unanimously.]

20 \*\*\*

21 MR. ROUSE:

22 Regarding the matter of BPOA v. Bobbie  
23 Mitchell, Case No. 19-42-014126 at Item  
24 No. 4 on the agenda.

25 I believe the Board would entertain

1 a motion to adopt the Adjudication and  
2 Order as presented by Board counsel and  
3 to direct Board counsel to prepare the  
4 Board's final order.

5 Is there such a motion?

6 MR. GRAY:

7 Motion.

8 MR. ROUSE:

9 Is there a second?

10 MR. JARAM:

11 Second.

12 MR. ROUSE:

13 Any discussion? All those in favor, say  
14 aye. All those opposed, say nay.

15 [The motion carried unanimously.]

16 \*\*\*

17 MR. ROUSE:

18 In the matter of BPOA v. Wesley Perone,  
19 Case No. 18-42-007663 at Item No. 5 on  
20 the agenda.

21 I believe the Board would entertain  
22 a motion to adopt the Adjudication and  
23 Order as presented by Board counsel and  
24 to direct Board counsel to prepare the  
25 Board's final order.

1                   Is there such a motion?

2 MR. GRAY:

3                   So moved.

4 MR. ROUSE:

5                   Is there a second?

6 CHAIRMAN MUNIZ:

7                   Second.

8 MR. ROUSE:

9                   Any discussion? All those in favor, say  
10                   aye. All those opposed, say nay.

11 [The motion carried unanimously.]

12   \*\*\*

13 MR. ROUSE:

14                   In the matter of BPOA v. Styles Inspired  
15                   by Marc, Case No. 17-42-023563 at Item  
16                   No. 6 on the agenda.

17                   I believe the Board would entertain  
18                   a motion to adopt the Adjudication and  
19                   Order as presented by Board counsel and  
20                   to direct Board counsel to prepare the  
21                   Board's final order.

22                   Is there such a motion?

23 MR. GRAY:

24                   Motion.

25 MR. ROUSE:



1                   Is there a second?

2 MR. MCANDREW:

3                   Second.

4 MR. ROUSE:

5                   Any discussion? All those in favor, say  
6                   aye. All those opposed, say nay.

7 [The motion carried unanimously.]

8   \*\*\*

9 MR. ROUSE:

10                   In the matter of BPOA v. Shawn Cole,  
11                   Case No. 18-42-007211 at Item No. 7 on  
12                   the agenda.

13                   I believe the Board would entertain  
14                   a motion to adopt the Adjudication and  
15                   Order as presented by Board counsel and  
16                   to direct Board counsel to prepare the  
17                   Board's final order.

18                   Is there such a motion?

19 CHAIRMAN MUNIZ:

20                   Motion.

21 MR. ROUSE:

22                   Is there a second?

23 MS. ETTER:

24                   Second.

25 MR. ROUSE:

1 Any discussion? All those in favor, say  
2 aye. All those opposed, say nay.

3 [The motion carried unanimously.]

4 \*\*\*

5 MR. ROUSE:

6 In the matter of Dennis Maryanski, Case  
7 No. 18-42-007864 at Item No. 8 on the  
8 agenda will be discussed at the next  
9 Board meeting.

10 \*\*\*

11 MR. ROUSE:

12 Regarding matter BPOA v. Dana Westover,  
13 Case No. 18-42-002270 at Item No. 9 on  
14 the agenda.

15 I believe the Board would entertain  
16 a motion to direct Board counsel to  
17 prepare a final Adjudication and Order  
18 consistent with the discussion in  
19 executive session. Is there such a  
20 motion?

21 CHAIRMAN MUNIZ:

22 Motion.

23 MR. ROUSE:

24 Is there a second?

25 MR. GRAY:



1 grounds to refuse to issue; suspend; or revoke a  
2 license, certificate, registration, or permit for each  
3 occupation and profession under the respective  
4 practice act. She mentioned Board counsel and the  
5 prosecution division looked at the Pennsylvania Crimes  
6 Code to construct the list of crimes directly related  
7 to the barbering profession.

8 Ms. Montgomery referred to § 3113(e) of Act 53  
9 regarding acts of violence, where an individual could  
10 receive a license if it had been at least 3 years from  
11 incarceration or 3 years from imposition of the  
12 sentence, the individual remained conviction-free, and  
13 demonstrated significant rehabilitation.

14 Ms. Montgomery noted the Board must be convinced  
15 the individual does not pose a substantial risk to  
16 public health or safety or a substantial risk of  
17 further conviction. She stated the list presented to  
18 the Board today are crimes that are asked to be deemed  
19 by the Board to be directly related to the barbering  
20 profession. She provided a definition of "directly  
21 related," when evaluating items on the list.

22 Ms. Montgomery addressed preliminary  
23 determination, where a new provision in the law  
24 permits individuals who may be thinking of going into  
25 the barbering profession to ask for a preliminary

1 determination as to whether their criminal conviction  
2 may be an impediment to licensure. She stated the  
3 list would be used for that purpose and in making  
4 determinations for applicants.

5 Ms. Montgomery stated the applicant would have an  
6 opportunity to present evidence to convince the Board  
7 the applicant would not pose a significant risk to  
8 public health or safety or significant risk of further  
9 criminal convictions. She stated the idea is to let  
10 licensees and applicants know how the Board is going  
11 to be looking at criminal convictions once the law is  
12 effective around December 27.

13 Ms. Montgomery also stated that crimes not on the  
14 list did not mean that the crime would not come to the  
15 Board's attention. She commented that the prosecuting  
16 attorney would have to prove to the Board that the  
17 individual who has that conviction does pose a  
18 substantial risk.

19 Ms. Montgomery stated the list is also going to  
20 be part of a best practices guide to provide  
21 information to applicants explaining how to go apply  
22 for a professional or occupational license if the  
23 applicant has a criminal history that may be an  
24 impediment. She discussed the effect of the crime  
25 being on the list, which creates the presumption that

1 an individual convicted of the offense would pose a  
2 significant risk to public health or safety or a  
3 significant risk of committing further offenses. She  
4 explained that the burden would shift to the applicant  
5 or licensee to demonstrate they do not pose a risk.

6 Ms. Montgomery also explained that if a crime is  
7 not on the list, the prosecution division would have  
8 the duty to demonstrate to the Board's satisfaction  
9 and the Board would have to find the person does pose  
10 a significant risk and should be denied licensure.

11 Ms. Montgomery referred to § 3112 of Act 53, where  
12 the Board of Barber Examiners and Board of Cosmetology  
13 can issue a restricted license for no less than 1 year  
14 and no longer than 2 years, similar to a probationary  
15 license. She explained that the Board could impose  
16 restrictions by limiting the scope or location of  
17 their practice, requiring the restricted license  
18 holder to be supervised, and to notify the Board of  
19 any changes in supervision.

20 Ms. Montgomery discussed the purpose of the act,  
21 which is to be more transparent and allow applicants  
22 and licensees understand upfront how the Board is  
23 going to consider criminal convictions, so individuals  
24 know what to present and how to demonstrate that the  
25 applicant is not a significant risk to the public or a

1 risk of further criminal offenses.

2 Mr. Rouse referred to the Barber's License Law  
3 Section 9(a), explaining all of the issues for which  
4 someone can be disciplined and explained how this  
5 information was used in determining which crimes were  
6 directly related to the barbering profession.

7 Mr. McAndrew requested further discussion  
8 concerning infectious disease, noting this to be  
9 extremely relevant during COVID-19.

10 Mr. Rouse referred to recklessly endangering  
11 another person or an aggravated assault charge on the  
12 proposed list of crimes directly related to the  
13 profession, because a criminal prosecutor would be  
14 charging those type of crimes if an individual  
15 knowingly continued to practice while testing positive  
16 for any such disease and imparted that disease to  
17 someone else.

18 Mike Gent, Curriculum Coordinator, Pennsylvania  
19 Department of Corrections, requested further  
20 clarification concerning licensure, noting all of  
21 their applicants would be affected by this.

22 Ms. Montgomery referred to § 3112 regarding  
23 restricted licenses for barbers and cosmetologists.  
24 She explained that individuals would be granted a  
25 license to practice at the Board's discretion with an

1 unrestricted or restricted license of not less than 1  
2 year and not more than 2 years. She also noted the  
3 Board would have the option of granting a probationary  
4 license.

5 Mr. Gent questioned whether individuals who  
6 committed a violent crime listed under the offenses  
7 should review this before enrolling in a class.

8 Ms. Montgomery explained that the applicant could  
9 ask for a preliminary determination, noting a fee  
10 associated with the process but also noting an "in  
11 forma pauperis" process, where the applicant could ask  
12 for a fee waiver for the preliminary determination as  
13 to whether the crime the applicant committed would be  
14 an impediment to licensure.

15 Ms. Montgomery referred to § 3113(d)-(f)  
16 regarding crimes of violence, where an individual  
17 could receive a license if at least 3 years have  
18 elapsed since being released from incarceration or 3  
19 years from imposition of sentence. She noted crimes  
20 of violence as set forth in 42 Pa.C.S. Section 9714.  
21 She stated § 3113 of Act 53 provides a process for  
22 individualized assessment based on the criteria in the  
23 act.

24 Mr. Gent questioned whether there is any part of  
25 the process where a case would not come before the



1 Board.

2 Ms. Montgomery commented that every application  
3 for licensure would come before the Board for a  
4 determination of whether to grant an unrestricted  
5 license, probationary license, or a restricted  
6 license.

7 Mr. Jaram expressed concern with sex offenders  
8 who may be cutting hair of children below the age of  
9 14.

10 Chairman Muniz explained that the Board would be  
11 able to review cases further to make a decision on  
12 that.

13 Mr. Rouse noted crimes of violence would be added  
14 to the list, and a sex offense issues would be  
15 considered a crime of violence.

16 Ms. Montgomery provided a list of crimes of  
17 violence requiring an individual to wait for 3 years,  
18 either after incarceration or sentencing, before the  
19 applicant could come to the Board and ask for a  
20 license and referred to § 3113(e).

21 Mr. Gent expressed concern with students in the  
22 Department of Corrections not being able to receive a  
23 license while in prison if the elapsed time period is  
24 3 years after release.

25 Mr. Rouse referred to the Barbers' License Law to

1 provide clarification regarding infectious disease and  
2 COVID. He referenced, where a person can be  
3 disciplined if they impart any contagious or  
4 infectious disease to any recipient of such person's  
5 services as a barber. He explained that COVID-19, as  
6 well as any other contagious disease, is a basis under  
7 our Barbers' License Law for discipline and believes  
8 there is another section of the Barbers' License Law  
9 that discusses criminal offenses based on imparting a  
10 contagious or infectious disease to recipients of  
11 service.

12 Chairman Muniz expressed his concern regarding  
13 barber programs in prison and questioned whether an  
14 aggravated assault conviction would come to the Board  
15 to determine whether the individual would be eligible  
16 or not eligible for the program.

17 Mr. Rouse reminded the Board to look at the list.  
18 When talking about an aggravated assault issue, there  
19 is a rebuttable presumption that someone would be a  
20 danger to the public. Then an individualized analysis  
21 must be performed, where factors are reviewed to make  
22 the determination of whether to issue a license or  
23 issuing a restricted license. He noted all  
24 problematic issues would be brought before the Board  
25 for further discussion.

1 Ms. Montgomery clarified § 3112 regarding a  
2 restricted license, where it says notwithstanding any  
3 provision of law to the contrary as an alternative to  
4 refusing to issue a license, the Board could issue a  
5 restricted license. She explained that  
6 notwithstanding any provision of the law under the  
7 contrary in § 3112 would be that § 3113(b) would not  
8 necessarily apply to barbers and cosmetologists, and  
9 the Board could issue a restricted license while an  
10 individual was incarcerated.

11 Ms. Montgomery stated the Board could issue a  
12 restricted license while the individual is  
13 incarcerated until they get past any effect of the  
14 conviction up until their release and receipt of an  
15 unrestricted license.

16 Ms. Montgomery clarified further, noting the law  
17 does say notwithstanding any other provision of law to  
18 the contrary, which means notwithstanding § 3113 for  
19 the Board and § 3112 is the rule, so the Board can  
20 issue a restricted license.

21 Mr. Rouse referred to the Barbers' Licensing Law  
22 Section 15(a), where any manager-barber, barber-  
23 teacher or teacher trainee, or student who has  
24 practiced the profession of barbering while suffering  
25 from a contagious or infectious disease or who shall

1 knowingly serve any person afflicted with such disease  
2 shall be guilty of a summary offense and, upon  
3 conviction thereof, shall be sentenced to pay a fine  
4 not exceeding \$300 or undergo an imprisonment not  
5 exceeding 30 days or both at the discretion of the  
6 Board.

7       Commissioner Johnson reiterated that nothing  
8 substantively changes for the Board in terms of  
9 obligations and responsibilities. He explained the  
10 list is being crafted because it provides a level of  
11 transparency and a level of responsibility to the  
12 public by providing licensees and perspective  
13 applicants with a very comprehensive list of what the  
14 Board could consider with regard to licensure.

15       Commissioner Johnson commented that the  
16 legislature had taken the onus of the good moral  
17 character presumption and instead of pushing that  
18 burden on the applicant, it has shifted that burden to  
19 the Board. He noted an offense or conviction not on  
20 the list is then on the burden of the Board to show  
21 how that criminal history implicates their ability to  
22 practice safely, and a conviction on the list falls on  
23 the applicant or licensee.

24       Commissioner Johnson mentioned the best practice  
25 guide, which will be a navigator for individuals to

1 help shepherd them through the process. He stated the  
2 Board still has the same job prior to Act 53 to take  
3 each applicant and licensee on a case-by-case basis.]

4 \*\*\*

5 CHAIRMAN MUNIZ:

6 I would like to move forward. Could we  
7 have a motion to approve the Act 53  
8 list?

9 MS. ETTER:

10 I motion to move forward.

11 MR. ROUSE:

12 Is there a second?

13 MR. JARAM:

14 Second.

15 MR. ROUSE:

16 Any discussion? All those in favor, say  
17 aye. All those opposed, say nay.

18 [The motion carried unanimously.]

19 \*\*\*

20 [K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of  
21 Professional and Occupational Affairs, thanked the  
22 Board, Board counsel, and Deputy Chief Counsel  
23 Montgomery for their hard work making sure the process  
24 moves forward quickly and efficiently. He also  
25 thanked stakeholders who raised concerns and requested

1 their continued positive feedback to help the process  
2 stay transparent.]

3

\*\*\*

4 Report of Board Counsel (cont.)

5 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, addressed  
6 Act 41, noting the annex for 16A-4212 regarding  
7 licensure by endorsement was revised after prior Board  
8 discussion. He noted the revised annex, where to be  
9 considered substantially equivalent for a barber, the  
10 jurisdiction in which the applicant holds a current  
11 and active barber's license must require at least 1000  
12 training hours and successful completion of a barber  
13 exam. He noted substantially equivalent shall be  
14 determined by the Board on a case-by-case basis for  
15 barber teachers.

16 Mr. Rouse noted an individual demonstrates  
17 competency as a barber with experience in the  
18 profession by actively practicing as a barber for at  
19 least 2 of the 5 years immediately preceding the date  
20 of the application or passing Pennsylvania's Barber  
21 Examination within 18 months of the date of  
22 application.

23 Mr. Rouse noted an individual demonstrates  
24 competency as a barber teacher with experience in the  
25 profession by actively practicing as a barber teacher

1 for at least 2 of the 5 years immediately preceding  
2 the date of the application or passing Pennsylvania's  
3 barber-teacher examination within 18 months of the  
4 date of application.]

5 \*\*\*

6 MR. ROUSE:

7 Is there a motion to release annex 16A-  
8 4212 as an exposure draft and release to  
9 stakeholders for written comments.

10 Is there such a motion?

11 CHAIRMAN MUNIZ:

12 Motion.

13 MR. ROUSE:

14 Is there a second?

15 MS. ETTER:

16 Second.

17 MR. ROUSE:

18 Any discussion? All those in favor, say  
19 aye. All those opposed, say nay.

20 [The motion carried unanimously.]

21 \*\*\*

22 Report of Commissioner

23 [K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of  
24 Professional and Occupational Affairs, thanked Board  
25 members for their patience in the new digital





1 MR. MCANDREW:

2 Motion.

3 MR. ROUSE:

4 Is there a second?

5 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON:

6 Second.

7 MR. ROUSE:

8 Any discussion? All those in favor, say  
9 aye. All those opposed, say nay.

10 [The motion carried unanimously.]

11 \*\*\*

12 Applications

13 MR. ROUSE:

14 This was another matter that was  
15 discussed in executive session at 9 a.m.  
16 regarding the application of Alex  
17 Pettis, Case No. 20-42-002768 at Item  
18 No. 13 on the agenda.

19 I believe the Board would entertain  
20 a motion to provisionally deny the  
21 application for barber and direct Board  
22 counsel to send a letter to applicant  
23 consistent with discussions in executive  
24 session.

25 Is there such a motion.

1 CHAIRMAN MUNIZ:

2 Motion.

3 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON:

4 Second.

5 MR. ROUSE:

6 Any discussion? All those in favor, say  
7 aye. All those opposed, say nay.

8 [The motion carried unanimously.]

9 \*\*\*

10 Miscellaneous

11 [Michael McAndrew, Professional Member, addressed  
12 correspondence from Gary Fisher, Western Regional  
13 Safety Professional, Arizona Department of  
14 Transportation (ADOT), to the National Association of  
15 Barber Boards of America regarding the use of air  
16 compressors in barbershops.

17 Mr. McAndrew stated Mr. Fisher had expressed a  
18 concern with the use of air compressors in barbershops  
19 and believes it is a safety hazard due to hair  
20 projectiles going into the eyes of other barbers and  
21 clients in the shop.

22 Chairman Muniz commented that the concern is  
23 using the air compressor on the back of a client's  
24 neck because it is not meant for the skin and using it  
25 on tools and chairs, where clients may be breathing in

1 the particles. He stated Mr. Fisher was asking the  
2 Board to make barbers aware of the safety hazard.

3 Mr. Rouse encouraged everyone to look at the  
4 guidance on the Board of Barber Examiners website for  
5 recommendations in terms of keeping clients safe in  
6 barbershops.]

7 \*\*\*

8 Adjournment

9 MR. ROUSE:

10 Do I hear a motion to adjourn?

11 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON:

12 So moved.

13 MR. ROUSE:

14 Is there a second?

15 MS. ETTER:

16 Second.

17 MR. ROUSE:

18 All in those in favor, say aye. All  
19 those opposed, say nay.

20 [The motion carried unanimously.]

21 \*\*\*

22 [There being no further business, the State Board of  
23 Barber Examiners Meeting adjourned at 12:17 p.m.]

24 \*\*\*

25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Barber Examiners meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Barber Examiners meeting.

Morgan McKendrick  
Morgan McKendrick,  
Minute Clerk  
Sargent's Court Reporting  
Service, Inc.

STATE BOARD OF BARBER EXAMINERS  
REFERENCE INDEX

October 19, 2020

	TIME	AGENDA
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	9:00	Executive Session
10	10:30	Return to Open Session
11		
12	10:47	Official Call to Order
13		
14	10:47	Pledge of Allegiance
15		
16	10:48	Roll Call
17		
18	10:49	Approval of Minutes
19		
20	10:50	Introduction of Public Members
21		
22	10:55	Report of Prosecutorial Division
23		
24	10:55	Report of Board Counsel
25		
26	11:03	Appointment - K. Kalonji Johnson,
27		Commissioner, Bureau of Professional
28		and Occupational Affairs
29		
30	11:56	Report of Board Counsel (cont.)
31		
32	12:02	Report of Commissioner
33		
34	12:06	Old/New Business
35		
36	12:07	Applications
37		
38	12:10	Miscellaneous
39		
40	12:17	Adjournment
41		
42		
43		
44		
45		
46		
47		
48		
49		
50		