State Board of Barber Examiners June 29, 2020

1 2

BOARD MEMBERS:

 Dominic A. Muniz, Chairman, Professional Member Ronald Gray Jr., Vice Chairman, Professional Member Ginger Etter, Secretary, Consumer Protection Member K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of

Professional and Occupational Affairs

Theodore Stauffer, Executive Assistant, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs
Ken Jaram, Professional Member
Michael McAndrew, Professional Member

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel
James Ostman, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison
Heather J. McCarthy, Esquire, Board Prosecutor
Garrett A. Rine, Esquire, Board Prosecutor
Marc Farrell, Deputy Policy Director, Department of
State
Carolyn DeLaurentis, Deputy Chief Counsel, Prosecution

Division Elliott Zucker, Legal Extern, Department of State Kelly I. Diller, Board Administrator

ALSO PRESENT:

Mike Gent, Curriculum Coordinator, Pennsylvania Department of Corrections

Kenny Duncan, Owner, Main Attraction Unisex Salon; Lead Educator, Andis Company; Global Ambassador, Luster SCurl

Tyrik Jackson, CEO/Owner, Premier Barber Institute; Sharper Image Barber Shop

Amy M. Gill, Deputy Secretary for Tax Policy, Pennsylvania Department of Revenue

Charles Seright, Manager, Hone & Strop Barber and Brand

```
* * *
1
2
                State Board of Barber Examiners
3
                         June 29, 2020
                               * * *
 4
5
       The regularly scheduled meeting of the State Board
6
   of Barber Examiners was held on Monday, June 29, 2020.
   Dominic A. Muniz, Chairman, called the meeting to
   order at 9:08 a.m.
10
   Pledge of Allegiance
11
   [The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.]
                               * * *
12
   Roll Call
13
   [Roll call was taken.]
14
                               * * *
15
16
   Approval of minutes of the January 27, 2020 meeting
17
   MR. ROUSE:
18
                  Have members had a chance to review the
19
                  minutes on the Board's agenda at Item
20
                  No. 1?
21
   [Mr. Rouse noted amendments to the minutes.]
2.2
   MR. ROUSE:
2.3
                  With that, is the Board making a motion
2.4
                  to approve the amended minutes of
25
                  January 27, 2020? Is there a motion?
```

```
5
   MR. JARAM:
1
2
                  So moved.
3
   MR. ROUSE:
 4
                  Is there a second?
5
   MS. ETTER:
6
                  Second.
7
   MR. ROUSE:
                  Can we have a voice vote on approval of
9
                  the minutes? All those in favor, say
10
                  aye. All those opposed, say nay.
11
   [The motion carried unanimously. Michael McAndrew
   abstained from voting on the motion.]
12
                              * * *
13
14
   Report of Prosecutorial Division
15
   [James Ostman, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison,
16
   noted two Motions to Enter Default and Deem Facts
17
   Admitted (MDFA) for discussion in Executive Session.]
                              * * *
18
   Introduction of Audience Members
19
20
   [Chairman Muniz welcomed Mr. McAndrew and requested
21
   the introduction of audience members.
22
        Mr. McAndrew expressed his excitement with
23
   becoming a member and looked forward to acclimating to
24
   the new position.]
25
                              * * *
```

1 Public Session

2 | [Kenny Duncan, Owner, Main Attraction Unisex Salon

3 Lead Educator, Andis Company; Global Ambassador,

4 Luster SCurl, addressed the effects of COVID-19

5 regarding barber businesses. He discussed differences

6 | between essential businesses and businesses that were

7 | not allowed to operate. He stated the media did a

8 | horrible job of associating barbershops with the

9 spread of COVID-19, resulting in damage being done to

10 the barbering business due to the loss of customers.

11 Mr. Duncan stated business owners decided what

12 guidelines for their industry would be needed within

13 their establishments to operate along with COVID-19,

14 which was what started the back-to-work safety

15 proposal. He mentioned Darryl Thomas of Philly Cuts,

16 who focused on building relations with elected

17 officials to cause real change.

18 Mr. Duncan commented, after starting to build

19 relationships and extending their consortium to

20 include hair stylists, the numbers reached to over 150

21 business owners. He stated a letter was drafted for a

22 demand for concessions for all of the barbers and

23 stylists in Pennsylvania. He noted Representative

24 Jordan Harris led the charge on the Pandemic

25 Unemployment Assistance (PUA) being retroactive.

Mr. Duncan also noted a win with the ideas of the PA CARES Plan available for businesses, especially in disadvantaged neighborhoods.

2.1

2.3

Mr. Duncan questioned who from the Board was consulted to put out the COVID-19 guidance on reopening barbering businesses, noting difficulty getting in contact with the Board. He noted a conversation with Dr. Levine, where the Board was not communicating with them and pointed the responsibility to the Board as to why they had no representation.

Mr. Duncan felt decisions were being made about the industry from people who do not know much about it. He commented that guidelines were coming from someone disconnected from the industry.

Mr. Duncan commented that barbers and owners of barber shops had been targeted and was concerned if there is a second wave, that these individuals would be targeted again. He noted the need for them to become advocates on collecting proper data to improve the industry.

He mentioned a discussion with Dr. Levine concerning the reason barbers were shutdown, which was based on her advice to Governor Wolf, where the period of time a client spends in the chair increases the chance of spreading COVID-19. He noted feeling

disrespected because there was no research confirming
that decision. He noted his disappointment that the
Barber Board was not communicating with the Governor's
Office to advocate for them.

Mr. Rouse thanked Mr. Duncan for sharing that with the Board and the public.

1.3

Tyrik Jackson, CEO/Owner, Premier Barber
Institute; Sharper Image Barber Shop, noted
conversations with Dr. Levine, where it was very clear
the industry and profession was the most prepared with
a proposal plan for going back to work.

Mr. Jackson addressed challenges regarding information on instructor training, teacher courses, and the crossover program. He stated the National Accrediting Commission of Career Arts and Sciences (NACCAS) required specific clarity on the crossover and instructor training program. He commented that the verbiage in the guidelines was not clear and requested proper verbiage.

Mr. Jackson noted the inadequate number of theory hours that were authorized by the Board to be taught through distance education. He expressed concern with being limited on what can be taught and fears that the industry will dissipate. He noted it would be a great help if barbers could get 625 hours, at least 50% of

a

1 the program being distance education, especially due
2 to COVID-19.

Mr. Rouse requested Mr. Jackson provide a definition of NACCAS.

Mr. Jackson defined the National Accrediting

Commission of Career Arts and Sciences as an

accrediting body whose guidelines must be met in order

for students to be eligible for Title IV funding,

which means the student is eligible for aid through

the Department of Education.]

11

12 Report of Board Counsel

3

4

5

6

10

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

13 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted items

14 2 and 3, motions to deem facts admitted; items 4

15 through 13, final Adjudications and Orders; and items

16 | 14 through 16, proposed Adjudications and Orders for

17 discussion during Executive Session.

Mr. Rouse referred to the proposed annex regarding the fee increase regulation. He mentioned prior discussion at the January 27, 2020 meeting, where the Board voted to adopt the fee increase after the Bureau of Finance and Operations provided a presentation and recommended an increased fee on a graduated basis for certain applications and renewals.

Mr. Rouse noted that once the Board approves the

```
10
   proposed annex, it could be released to the public as
1
2
   an exposure draft for public review and comment.]
3
                               * * *
   MR. ROUSE:
4
5
                  Is there a motion to adopt the proposed
6
                  annex and direct Board counsel to
                  release the annex as an exposure draft
8
                  for public comment?
   MR. JARAM:
10
                  So moved.
11
   MR. ROUSE:
12
                  Is there a second to the motion?
   MR. JOHNSON:
13
14
                  Second.
15
   MR. ROUSE:
                  All in favor, say aye. All opposed, say
16
17
                  nay.
18
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
19
20
   Old/New Board Business
21
   [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted
22
   correspondence from Lisa Vietmeier and Greg Caccavo
23
   for discussion during Executive Session.]
                               * * *
24
25
   Report of Commissioner
```

[K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs, addressed earlier comments made by Mr. Duncan. He explained that many of the issues regarding Board communication and involvement were a result of the Board not being able to hold a public meeting where issues could be discussed and voted on because the Board did not have a quorum of members. He stated today was the first meeting since January because the Board just recently had a new member confirmed, thus providing the Board with a quorum of members.

2.0

2.3

Commissioner Johnson also explained that Board members understand that and take an oath that all discussions relating to Board business have to be conducted in public.

Commissioner Johnson stated one of the things that has always been difficult with regard to public discourse around the profession had been the lack of a cohesive association or statewide association presence for barbers. He commented that NACCAS has presented themselves to the Board with regard to issues of concern in their organization.

Commissioner Johnson noted it to always be problematic because no statewide association exists to address concerns and lobbying to legislature and to

```
the state. He stated it is not the Board's job to
lobby the legislature. He emphasized that it is this
Board's job to regulate and oversee matters of
professional discipline.
```

Commissioner Johnson encouraged the audience members to go back after the meeting and spread the word to their colleagues, especially now in the virtual world, that it is more important and easier than ever for them to actually participate and take part in these public meetings.]

11 ***

12 Report of Board Chair

5

6

10

13 [Dominic A. Muniz, Chair, Professional Member, noted

14 his part in the group that attempted to deal with

15 issues of funding and communication, noting

16 communication to be a big problem. He commented there

17 | would be more discussion in private session.

Chair Muniz expressed his appreciation to
everyone and Mr. McAndrew for joining the Board.]

20 ***

21 | Report of Board Administrator - No Report

22 **

23 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at

24 9:57 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session

25 | with Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, to have

13 attorney-client consultations and for the purpose of 1 2 conducting quasi-judicial deliberations. The Board 3 concluded its Executive Session at 12:52 p.m.] * * * 4 5 MOTIONS 6 MR. ROUSE: Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, the Board entered into Executive Session with Board counsel to 10 have attorney-client consultations and 11 for the purpose of conducting 12 quasi-judicial deliberations. 1.3 Regarding the matter of BPOA v. Styles Inspired by Marc, File No. 17-42-14 15 02357, at Item No. 2 on the agenda, I 16 believe the Board would entertain a 17 motion to grant the Motion to Deem Facts Admitted and to direct Board counsel to 18 19 prepare the Adjudication and Order in 2.0 accordance with the discussion in 2.1 Executive Session. Is there such a motion? 2.2 2.3 MR. GRAY: 2.4 Motion. 25 MR. ROUSE:

```
14
1
                  Is there a second?
2
   MS. ETTER:
3
                  Second.
4
   MR. ROUSE:
5
                  All in favor, say aye. All opposed, say
6
                  nay.
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
9
   MR. ROUSE:
10
                  Regarding the matter of BPOA v. Bobbie
11
                  Mitchell, Case No. 19-42-014125, at Item
12
                  No. 3 on the agenda, I believe the Board
13
                  would entertain a motion to grant the
14
                  Motion to Deem Facts Admitted and to
15
                  direct Board counsel to prepare the
16
                  Adjudication and Order in accordance
                  with the discussion in Executive
17
                  Session.
18
                        Is there such a motion?
19
20
   MR. GRAY:
21
                  Motion.
22
   MR. ROUSE:
23
                  Is there a second?
2.4
   MS. ETTER:
25
                  Second.
```

```
15
1
   MR. ROUSE:
2
                  All in favor, say aye. Any opposed, say
3
                  nay.
4
    [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
5
6
   MR. ROUSE:
                  Regarding the matter of BPOA v. Erik P.
8
                  Fulk, File No. 16-42-11199, at Item No.
9
                  4 on the agenda, I believe the Board
10
                  would entertain a motion to adopt the
11
                  Adjudication and Order as presented by
                  Board counsel and direct Board counsel
12
13
                  to file the Board's final order.
                        Is there such a motion?
14
15
   MR. MUNIZ:
                  Motion.
16
17
   MR. ROUSE:
18
                  Is there a second?
19
   MR. GRAY:
20
                  Second.
21
   MR. ROUSE:
22
                  Any discussion? All in favor, say aye.
23
                  Any opposed, say nay.
24
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
25
```

16 1 MR. ROUSE: 2 Regarding the matter of BPOA v. Hamin 3 Gray, File No. 16-42-05148, at Item No. 4 5 on the agenda, I believe the Board 5 would entertain a motion to adopt the Adjudication and Order as presented by 6 Board counsel and direct Board counsel 8 to prepare the Board's final order. 9 Is there such a motion? 10 MS. ETTER: 11 Motion. 12 MR. ROUSE: 13 Is there a second? MR. GRAY: 14 15 Second. 16 MR. ROUSE: 17 All those in favor, say aye. All those 18 opposed, say nay. 19 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 20 21 MR. ROUSE: 22 Regarding the matter of BPOA v. Samuel 2.3 Reyes, File No. 16-42-05636, at Item No. 2.4 6 on the agenda, I believe the Board 25 would entertain a motion to adopt the

17 Adjudication and Order as presented by 1 2 Board counsel and to direct Board 3 counsel to prepare the Board's final 4 order. 5 Is there such a motion? 6 MR. JARAM: Motion. MR. ROUSE: 9 Is there a second? 10 CHAIRMAN MUNIZ: 11 Second. MR. ROUSE: 12 13 All those in favor, say aye. All those 14 opposed, say nay. 15 [The motion carried unanimously.] 16 17 MR. ROUSE: 18 Regarding the matter of BPOA v. Willie 19 Widener, File No. 16-42-09263, at Item 20 No. 6 on the agenda, I believe the Board 2.1 would entertain a motion to adopt the 2.2 Adjudication and Order as presented by 2.3 Board counsel and to direct Board 2.4 counsel to prepare the Board's final 25 order.

```
18
1
                       Is there such a motion?
2
   CHAIRMAN MUNIZ:
3
                  Motion.
4
   MS. ETTER:
5
                  Second.
6
   MR. ROUSE:
                  All those in favor, say aye. All those
8
                  opposed, say nay.
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
10
11
   MR. ROUSE:
12
                  Regarding the matter of BPOA v. Felix
13
                  Davilla, File No. 16-42-01161, at Item
14
                  No. 8 on the agenda, I believe the Board
                  would entertain a motion to adopt the
15
16
                  Adjudication and Order as presented by
                  Board counsel and to direct Board
17
                  counsel to prepare the Board's final
18
19
                  order.
20
                       Is there such a motion?
21
   MR. GRAY:
22
                  Motion.
2.3
   MR. ROUSE:
24
                  Is there a second?
25
   CHAIRMAN MUNIZ:
```

19 1 Second. 2 MR. ROUSE: 3 All those in favor, say aye. All those 4 opposed, say nay. 5 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 6 7 MR. ROUSE: Regarding the matter of BPOA v. Leroy W. 9 Dade, File No. 15-42-10315, at Item No. 10 9 on the agenda, I believe the Board 11 would entertain a motion to adopt the 12 Adjudication and Order as presented by 13 Board counsel and to direct Board 14 counsel to prepare the Board's final 15 order. 16 Is there such a motion? 17 CHAIRMAN MUNIZ: 18 Motion. 19 MR. ROUSE: 20 Is there a second? 21 MS. ETTER: 22 Second. 2.3 MR. ROUSE: 2.4 All those in favor, say aye. All those 25 opposed, say nay.

```
20
1
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
2
3
   MR. ROUSE:
4
                  Regarding the matter of BPOA v. Charles
5
                  Outlaw t/d/b/a Major League Cuts, File
                  No. 17-42-08845, at Item No. 10 on the
6
                  agenda, I believe the Board would
                  entertain a motion to adopt the
9
                  Adjudication and Order as presented by
10
                  Board counsel and to direct Board
11
                  counsel to prepare the Board's final
12
                  order.
                       Is there such a motion?
13
   MR. GRAY:
14
15
                  Motion.
   MR. ROUSE:
16
17
                  Is there a second?
   MR. JARAM:
18
19
                  Second.
20
   MR. ROUSE:
21
                  All those in favor, say aye. All those
22
                  opposed, say nay.
23
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
24
25
   MR. ROUSE:
```

	21
1	Regarding the matter of $\underline{\mathtt{BPOA}\ \mathtt{v.}}$
2	Christopher J. Twyman, File No. 16-42-
3	06203, at Item No. 11 on the agenda, I
4	believe the Board would entertain a
5	motion to adopt the Adjudication and
6	Order as presented by Board counsel and
7	to direct Board counsel to prepare the
8	Board's final order.
9	Is there such a motion?
10	CHAIRMAN MUNIZ:
11	Motion.
12	MR. ROUSE:
13	Is there a second?
14	MR. JARAM:
15	Second.
16	MR. ROUSE:
17	All those in favor, say aye. All those
18	opposed, say nay.
19	[The motion carried unanimously.]
20	* * *
21	MR. ROUSE:
22	Regarding the matter of BPOA v. Anthony
23	<u>White</u> , File No. 18-42-012094, at Item
24	No. 12 on the agenda, I believe the
25	Board would entertain a motion to adopt

22 the Adjudication and Order as presented 1 2 by Board counsel and to direct Board 3 counsel to prepare the Board's final 4 order. 5 Is there such a motion? 6 MS. ETTER: Motion. MR. ROUSE: 9 Is there a second? 10 MR. JARAM: 11 Second. 12 MR. ROUSE: 13 All those in favor, say aye. All those 14 opposed, say nay. 15 [The motion carried unanimously.] 16 17 MR. ROUSE: 18 Regarding the matter of BPOA v. Richard 19 R. Patterson, File No. 16-42-08499, at 20 Item No. 13 on the agenda, I believe the 2.1 Board would entertain a motion to adopt 2.2 the Adjudication and Order as presented 2.3 by Board counsel and to direct Board 2.4 counsel to prepare the Board's final 25 order.

```
23
1
                       Is there such a motion?
2
   CHAIRMAN MUNIZ:
3
                  Motion.
4
   MR. ROUSE:
5
                  Is there a second?
   MR. JARAM:
6
                  Second.
   MR. ROUSE:
                  All those in favor, say aye. All those
9
10
                  opposed, say nay.
11
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
12
13
   MR. ROUSE:
14
                  Regarding the proposed Adjudication and
15
                  Order in the matter of BPOA v. Darriel
                  Jones, File No. 18-42-011133, at Item
16
17
                  No. 15 on the agenda, I believe the
                  Board would entertain a motion to direct
18
19
                  Board counsel to prepare the final
20
                  Adjudication and Order consistent with
21
                  the discussions in Executive Session.
                       Is there such a motion?
22
2.3
   CHAIRMAN MUNIZ:
24
                  Motion.
25
   MR. ROUSE:
```

24 1 Is there a second? 2 MS. ETTER: 3 Second. 4 MR. ROUSE: 5 All those in favor, say aye. All those 6 opposed, say nay. [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 9 MR. ROUSE: 10 Regarding the matter of BPOA v. Timothy 11 A. Baldwin, File No. 16-42-04083, at 12 Item No. 14 on the agenda, I believe the 13 Board would entertain a motion to direct 14 Board counsel to prepare the final 15 Adjudication and Order consistent with 16 the discussions in Executive Session. Is there such a motion? 17 CHAIRMAN MUNIZ: 18 19 Motion. 20 MR. ROUSE: 21 Is there a second? 22 MR. JARAM: 2.3 Second. 2.4 MR. ROUSE: 25 All those in favor, say aye. All those

25 opposed, say nay. 1 2 [The motion carried unanimously.] 3 4 MR. ROUSE: 5 Regarding the proposed Adjudication and 6 Order in the matter of BPOA v. Dennis M. Maryanski Sr., File No. 18-42-007864, at Item No. 16 on the agenda, I believe the Board would entertain a motion to direct 9 10 Board counsel to prepare the final Adjudication and Order consistent with 11 the discussions in Executive Session. 12 Is there such a motion? 13 MS. ETTER: 14 15 Motion. 16 MR. ROUSE: 17 Is there a second? MR. GRAY: 18 19 Second. 20 MR. ROUSE: 21 All those in favor, say aye. All those 22 opposed, say nay. 23 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 24 MR. ROUSE: 25

```
In the matter of Lisa Vietmeier, Case
1
2
                  No. 20-42-007646, at Item No. 18 on the
3
                  agenda, I believe there is a motion to
 4
                  deny Ms. Vietmeier's request for a
5
                  waiver of the exam requirement under
                  Section 8(c) of the Barbers' License
 6
7
                  Law. Is there such a motion?
   MR. JOHNSON:
9
                  Motion.
   MR. ROUSE:
10
                  Is there a second?
11
12
   MR. JARAM:
13
                  Second.
14
   MR. ROUSE:
15
                  Any discussion? All those in favor, say
16
                  aye. All those opposed, say nay.
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
17
                               * * *
18
19
   MR. ROUSE:
20
                  In the matter of Greg Caccavo, at Item
2.1
                  No. 25 on the agenda, is there a motion
                  to direct Board counsel to draft an
2.2
2.3
                  Order consistent with the discussion in
2.4
                  Executive Session?
25
                       Is there such a motion?
```

27 1 CHAIRMAN MUNIZ: 2 Motion. 3 MR. ROUSE: 4 Is there a second? 5 MR. JARAM: 6 Second. 7 MR. ROUSE: Any discussion? All those in favor, say 9 aye. All those opposed, say nay. 10 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 11 12 Correspondence 13 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, referred to 14 correspondence received from Joe Visconti requesting 15 the Board allow a barber-teacher program. 16 Mr. Jaram explained that he is asking for a 17 program to be implemented for schools. He noted there 18 is a barber-teacher license but no approved state 19 program for the school or a curriculum. He stated 20 there is a program in schools, where those who train 21 underneath a teacher in a school for 1,250 hours after 22 receiving a barber manager's license can sit for the 2.3 test. He noted no curriculum like there is for the 2.4 barber teacher in the crossover program. 25 Mr. Jaram further explained that NACCAS leads

toward federal funding. He stated the school has to be accredited and then the Department of Education will approve for federal funding. He also commented that an accredited school can only offer programs the state Board approves if the individual is seeking funding.

Mr. Jaram questioned how to proceed with the process of developing the actual curriculum for the teacher program. He noted the need for a temporary fix right now but noted the desire to get online permanently.

2.2

Mr. Rouse explained that the barber curriculum the Board has is part of the regulations. He noted there is nothing currently in the regulations regarding the curriculum for a barber teacher. He noted the need for an amendment to the regulations.

Mr. Rouse stated the steps would be similar to the fee schedule, where he presented an annex to the Board, and the Board voted to release the annex as an exposure draft for the public to review and make written comments to the Board. He noted the exposure draft will come back to the Board for revisions, if necessary, and then other documents need to be completed, which could take 18 months or longer.

Mr. Rouse suggested the Board prioritize what

1 amendments the Board wants to see in terms of looking

- 2 at amendments to regulations.
- 3 Chairman Muniz suggested using Mr. Jaram's 4 curriculum as an example.
- 5 Mr. Rouse noted the need for a review of
- 6 documents and information, so there can be a
- 7 discussion at the next meeting.]
 - * *
- 9 Correspondence
- 10 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, referred to
- 11 correspondence received from Brian Rodriguez,
- 12 | Supervisor of Education at FCI-McKean, requesting
- 13 information regarding the certification process to
- 14 offer a barber apprentice course.
- 15 Chairman Muniz explained that the Board would
- 16 need to receive a variance request and details of how
- 17 that will be set up because the area is only 900
- 18 | square feet.]
- 19 ***
- 20 Distance Education
- 21 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted the
- 22 request for distance education under COVID-19
- 23 discussion.
- 24 Chairman Muniz addressed what was being given as
- 25 | far as the 350 hours and expressed the need for

raising the amount of hours.

2.2

Mr. Jaram expressed his concern of a second wave of COVID-19 and believes it is imperative for the profession to deal with this now.

Mr. Muniz mentioned the importance of needing a fast decision and being proactive. He also noted the importance of the crossover program, teacher program, and barber program being allowed to have distance education hours.

Mr. Jaram addressed the importance of being able to record each student doing practical hands-on work. He stated if there is enough bookwork through Milady's or Pivot Point, they could consider 625 hours. He also noted if there is a situation where people cannot get to the schools for the practical part that it would be imperative to find some way to record people.

Chairman Muniz noted the real issue to be with the crossover program, where 695 hours would need to be completed. He stated, given the need for 625 hours and only having 50 hours of the practical portion, online training could be incorporated with the practical to be able to complete more hours as far as practical on the distance learning.

Mr. Jaram suggested half for the barber program and half for the crossover program, where instead of

saying 625 hours, say half for the barber program

which is 625 hours and half for the crossover program,

which would be 344. He mentioned half of the hours to

be bookwork and half to be practical.

2.3

Mr. Jaram noted the importance of the teacher being present when working on a live person. He believes the biggest thing right now is the approval of the 625 hours. He stated the crossover program is a different story.

Mr. Rouse provided a breakdown of the topics and the 300 hours for currently approved under the guidance for the barber program.

Mr. Rouse also provided a breakdown of the topics and hours for the crossover program.

Mr. Jaram stated that is 40 hours of theory and 200 hours of practical within the law. He noted changing it to 60 hours and 180 hours with 20 on a manikin, which would fall into the practical.

Commissioner Johnson stated the Board usually enacts policies through regulations, informing the Board that if it is within the Board's administrative authority, then the matter would require a Board vote. He stated if it was a matter that required regulatory action, then the Board could begin deliberations under advisement of counsel.

Commissioner Johnson noted the Board could put on record that it was proposing this guidance in accordance with the Emergency Declaration, but the ultimate decision would be raised to the Governor's Office, Governor's Policy Office, and Office of General Counsel.

Chairman Muniz questioned whether the Board was making this recommendation for the teacher portion also.

Mr. Rouse noted the problem with the teacher portion is the regulation does not have a breakdown of the curriculum and the appropriate hours.

Mr. Jaram noted 1250 hours for a teacher and recommended 625 hours for a teacher.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Mr. Rouse stated schools would have to make the determination unless the Board made it clear to be 625 hours of theory.

Chairman Muniz noted the need to fight through the channels to get that into law and the distance learning permanently through law because of the difficulty teaching a teacher through distance learning without a breakdown.

Commissioner Johnson questioned whether the Board wanted counsel to put all of this into a waiver request for escalation to the various agencies and

Governor's Office directly.

Mr. Jaram questioned whether to break it into two different segments, where it would amend the current and introduce this separately. He noted wanting to amend the 300 to 625 and amend the crossover but also wanted to introduce.

Commissioner Johnson did not see a problem with it being an all or nothing proposition.

Mr. Jaram addressed the shutdown, noting that he has two different schools with astronomical rent. He stated the staff had to be paid in order to get rent alleviated through the Paycheck Protection Program (PPP). He expressed concern of this happening again and believes it is imperative to keep everyone employed.]

16 ***

17 MR. ROUSE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

Is the Board entertaining a motion to
direct Board counsel to submit a waiver
request for distance learning consistent
with today's discussion?

22 CHAIRMAN MUNIZ:

23 Yes.

24 MR. ROUSE:

Is there a second?

- 1 MR. JARAM:
- 2 Second.
- 3 MR. ROUSE:
- 4 All those in favor, say aye. All those
- 5 opposed, say nay.
- 6 [The motion carried unanimously.]
- 7
- 8 | License Renewal Extension under COVID-19
- 9 [Kelly I. Diller, Board Administrator, informed the
- 10 | Board that all license renewal types were extended
- 11 until July 29, 2020.
- 12 Chairman Muniz mentioned an issue with the
- 13 Pennsylvania Licensing System (PALS), where the option
- 14 to renew was missing and asked for a reactivation
- 15 application. He questioned whether it was extended on
- 16 the PALS website.
- 17 Ms. Diller suggested sending individuals with
- 18 that issue to resources for help.
- 19 Commissioner Johnson noted the individuals who
- 20 renewed after the regular date would still be allowed
- 21 to renew with no penalty. He noted no change to the
- 22 process or procedure.
- 23 Commissioner Johnson commended Ms. Diller, Chris
- 24 Butcher, and all of the program staff for doing a
- 25 | phenomenal job of keeping up with all of the emails

- 1 and concerns.
- 2 Mr. Jaram commented that there was nothing sent
- 3 out to schools as far as social distancing or
- 4 acceptable criteria concerning COVID-19 and requested
- 5 documentation for inspections.
- 6 Mr. Rouse noted guidance sent out by the
- 7 Department of Education but believes they still may be
- 8 looking at guidance specifically for barbershops.]
 - * * *
- 10 | Correspondence

9

- 11 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, referred to
- 12 correspondence from Nathaniel Yarnell regarding
- 13 education and vocational training barber programs in
- 14 federal institutions. He stated Mr. Yarnell noted
- 15 issues in terms of spacing and compliance with
- 16 regulations.
- 17 Chairman Muniz stated as long as the organization
- 18 | follows all of the regulations put in place for a
- 19 school, they can file for a variance request if the
- 20 space does not meet the compliance.]
- 21 ***
- 22 Miscellaneous
- 23 [K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of
- 24 Professional and Occupational Affairs, noted a number
- 25 of questions from the public regarding general

1 questions. He recommend the Board take those

- 2 questions under advisement and provide responses,
- 3 either at the next meeting or written responses in the
- 4 | interim.
- 5 Commissioner Johnson commented that some of the
- 6 questions are out of the Board's purview, and
- 7 | quidelines were laid out with the intent to protect
- 8 all of Pennsylvania.
- 9 The Board answered questions and concerns from
- 10 | the public.
- 11 Mr. Rouse thanked Mr. Duncan for bringing many of
- 12 | the issues to the Board's attention, emphasizing on
- 13 the importance of taking the issues to other
- 14 individuals involved in making the decisions.
- Mr. Muniz noted the next meeting date is
- 16 | scheduled for August 17, 2020.]
- 17 | * * *
- 18 MR. ROUSE:
- 19 Is there a motion to adjourn?
- 20 CHAIRMAN MUNIZ:
- 21 Ye.
- 22 MR. ROUSE:
- Is there a second?
- 24 MS. ETTER:
- 25 Second.

37 MR. ROUSE: 1 2 Meeting adjourned. 3 [The motion carried unanimously.] 4 5 [There being no further business, the State Board of 6 Barber Examiners Meeting adjourned at 2:35 p.m.] 8 9 CERTIFICATE 10 11 I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Barber Examiners 12 13 meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my 14 supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize 15 the substance of the State Board of Barber Examiners 16 meeting. 17 18 19 20 Morgan McKendrick, 21 Minute Clerk 22 Sargent's Court Reporting 2.3 Service, Inc. 2.4

25

STATE BOARD OF BARBER EXAMINERS REFERENCE INDEX

June 29, 2020

TIME	AGENDA
9:08	Official Call to Order
9:09	Pledge of Allegiance
9:09	Roll Call
9:11	Approval of Minutes
9:14	Report of Prosecutorial Division
9:15	Introduction of Audience Members
9:21	Public Session
9:43	Report of Board Counsel
9:47	Report of Acting Commissioner
9:54	Report of Acting Board Chairman
9:57 12:52	Executive Session Return to Open Session
12:52	Motions
1:17	Correspondence
1:20	Distance Learning
2:15	Correspondence
2:30	Miscellaneous
2:35	Adjournment