### State Board of Auctioneer Examiners March 11, 2019

#### BOARD MEMBERS:

Nevin B. Rentzel, Professional Member, Chairman Sherman E. Hostetter Jr., Professional Member 9 Kalonji Johnson, Acting Commissioner of Professional and Occupational Affairs - Absent 11 | Gerald A. Rader, Professional Member 12 Daniel A. Trace, Professional Member, Secretary Heather M. Troutman, Consumer Protection Agent

## 15 BUREAU PERSONNEL:

17 | Kenneth Suter, Esquire, Board Counsel 18 Steven Mimm, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison, present on behalf of Ray Michalowski, Esquire Terrie Kocher, Board Administrator

# 22 ALSO PRESENT:

24 | George A. Michak, Esquire - Pennsylvania Auctioneers Association

3

\* \* \*

1

2

3

4

5

12

25

State Board of Auctioneer Examiners

March 11, 2019

\* \* \*

The regularly scheduled meeting of the State Board of Auctioneer Examiners was held on Monday, March 11, 2019. Chairman Rentzel called the meeting to order at 9:09 a.m. He called for a moment of silence. Roll call was taken.

Heather M. Troutman, Consumer Protection Agent, was not present at the start of the meeting.

\* \* \*

[Chairman Rentzel welcomed Mr. Michak to stay until
after Executive Session as applications and regulatory
language would be addressed during that time.]

16

[Heather M. Troutman, Consumer Protection Agent, sentered the meeting at 9:10 a.m.]

19

[Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 9:10 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session with Kenneth Suter, Esquire, Board Counsel, for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations.

The Board returned to open session at 10:42 a.m.]

\*\*\*

```
[Chairman Rentzel noted the presence of a quorum.]
1
                             * * *
2
3
  Approval of Minutes of January 7, 2019 meeting.
   CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:
5
                Can I have a motion?
  MR.HOSTETTER:
                Second.
  MR. TRACE:
9
                Second.
10
  CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:
11
                Any discussion? All those in favor of
12
                approving the minutes, give your consent
13
                by saying aye? Opposed? Motion carries.
14
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
15
16 Report of Board Prosecution - No Report
                             * * *
17
18 Report of Board Counsel
19 [Kenneth Suter, Esquire, Board Counsel, stated Items
20
   2, 3, 4, 7 on the agenda were discussed during
21 Executive Session. He reported that the case of Bauer
22
  v. State Board of Auctioneers was in the US District
23 Court of Western Pennsylvania.
       Mr. Suter stated all of the aforementioned matters
24
25 were discussed either in quasi-judicial deliberations
```

```
5
  or as litigation involving the Board.]
2
  Applications for Review
3
  Auctioneer Examination Application-Hartman.
  CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:
 6
                 Do I hear a motion to approve Margaree
                Hartman to sit for the exam?
  MR. HOSTETTER:
9
                So moved.
10 CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:
11
                 I have a motion. Do I hear a second?
12 MR. RADER:
                Second.
13
14 CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:
15
                Any discussion? All those in favor, give
                their consent by saying aye? Opposed?
16
17
                Motion carries.
18
  [The motion carried unanimously.]
                              * * *
19
20 Auctioneer Examination Application - Retake-Davis
  CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:
21
22
                Harry Davis, and this was in reference to
23
                him retaking the auctioneer exam.
24
                     Do I hear a motion to approve Harry
25
                 Davis to sit for the exam?
```

```
6
  MR. HOSTETTER:
2
                So moved.
3
   CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:
 4
                 Motion. Do I hear a second?
  MR. RADER:
6
                 Second.
   CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:
8
                 Any discussion? All those in favor give
9
                 their consent by saying aye? Opposed?
10
                Motion carries.
11
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                              * * *
12
13 Apprentice Auctioneer Application - Shotts
14 CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:
15
                 Is there a motion for a provisional
16
                 denial?
17 MR. RADER:
                 Moved.
18
19
  CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:
20
                 I have a motion. Do I hear a second?
21 MR. TRACE:
22
                 Second.
23
   CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:
24
                 Any discussion? All those in favor give
25
                 their consent by saying aye? Opposed?
```

7

Motion carries. 1 2 [The motion carried unanimously.] 3 Provisional Denial Appeal-Weiss [Mr. Suter explained that the Board reviewed the denial and will hear the matter before the Board as a whole.1 Regulations 10 Proposed Regulatory Language [Kenneth Suter, Board Counsel, turned the Board's 11 12 attention to the regulatory language in the packet provided for further discussion. He stated the 13 14 purpose of the language was to address applicants 15 under 3E of the Act, subsections (4) and (5). 16 would be individuals entering into Pennsylvania who want to sit for the auctioneer exam, who are licensed 17 18 and in good standing through another state and have 19 been so for a minimum of 2 years. 20 He stated the Board would review their 21 applications to determine their qualifications, or 22 under subsection (5), have the applicant demonstrate at least two years of conducting auctioneering in a 23

He stated the purpose of the proposed language was

state that does not require licensing.

24

25

1 to provide, under the aforementioned subsections, direction to applicants applying to sit for the exam and to gather the required information. He stated this would be a part of the initial review of qualifications.

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Suter requested input due to the attendees now seeing an application that is under subsection (4) or (5). He explained that the language would further support the Act with more specifications. He outlined the requirement for a minimum of 30 auctions, being that 30 is the criteria for a Pennsylvania applicant, and streamlining the application process.

Mr. Hostetter inquired whether the two year and 30 auction requirements were linked. He worked for a company that does less than ten auctions a year, and that there would be a difficulty for people in similar situations who do not have the option to do 30 auctions within a two-year period. He repeated his question, clarifying if it is 30 auctions within the last two years, or two years of experience plus 30 auctions. Mr. Suter noted the acceptance of either by the Board. He stated the language could be clarified more specifically to previously worded provisions.

Mr. Rader stated it was not exclusive language. There was further discussion regarding the matter.

Mr. Suter further explained that the language could be changed if necessary. There was further discussion about placing a time cap on the open-ended time frame.

Chairman Rentzel appreciated the broad language and did not feel there was a reason to change the language. He stated the reasoning behind it was so people get experience. He then proposed changing the wording in the Act to match similar wording.

Mr. Suter explained that it was easier to change the regulations during the drafting stage.

Association, stated his experience was a minimum of two years and a minimum of 30 auctions. He had never seen a time frame applied to the 30 auctions. He agreed with Mr. Trace, stating that it had been done historically that way. He recently was assigning a new auctioneer of record and was pleased with the Board's diligence to the matter.]

MR. SUTER:

1.3

2.0

So we would need a motion to approve this language, if you're satisfied with it, and we can incorporate it into the omnibus 2016 Regulatory package that was previously approved.

10 CHAIRMAN RENTZEL: 1 2 So moved. 3 MR. HOSTETTER: 4 Motion. MS. TROUTMAN: 6 Second. CHAIRMAN RENTZEL: 8 Second. Any discussion? All those in favor of adopting, say aye. Opposed? 9 10 [The motion carried unanimously.] 11 12 Report of Board Administrator 13 Ms. Terrie Kocher, Board Administrator, noted that  $14 \mid \text{applications regarding sections } E(3), (4) \text{ and } (5)$ 15 would come before the board. She further noted that 16 at some point in the future a review committee would be established for that purpose.] 17 \* \* \* 18 Report of Chairman - No Report 20 \* \* \* 21 Report of Commissioner - No Report 22 23 Report of the Board Administrator (Continued) 24 Renewal Update 25 [Ms. Kocher stated Kalonji Johnson was the new Acting

Commissioner, but had not yet been confirmed. She stated Ian Harlow was the Deputy Secretary for the Department of State.

Ms. Kocher noted a 64 percent completion rate for apprentice auctioneers; an 86 percent completion rate for auctioneers; 75 percent completion rate for auction companies; 79 percent trading assistants; and a 66 percent completion rate for trading assistant companies. She stated the applicant would have to submit a reactivation application if the renewal submission was after April 1st. She next explained the reactivation application process.

Compared to previous renewal periods, Ms. Kocher stated the numbers were on target. She further noted that there were 287 apprentices eligible for renewal, 1,934 auctioneers, 343 companies, 53 trading assistants, and 6 trading assistant companies that are eligible to renew.

She stated, as of March 7, 2019, the renewal numbers were 183 for apprentice auctioneers, 1,648 for auctioneers, 259 for companies, 41 for trading assistants, and 4 for trading assistant companies.

A question was asked with regard to adding a fifth professional member to the board, and what the qualifications were for a trading assistant. She said

1 there were no specific qualifications for a trading assistant that an auctioneer could not do, but must be solely registered as a trading assistant.

Ms. Kocher had no update on appointing a fifth professional member.

3

4

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Mr. Suter received an email for a meeting to discuss the issues being brought before the Board.

Chairman Rentzel mentioned the South Dakota Wayfair and Wayfair Loss, and explained that it was a taxing entity that was affecting every state by charging tax on every item sold. He states there were over 10,000 taxing entities, and that the seller was responsible for paying the taxes. He was unsure of a solution, since selling something on-site was tax exempt, but when brought into a facility, sales tax needs to be charged.

Mr. Michak stated an issue with the Wayfair Loss was that it is unclear where delivery was. He stated, in certain states, there are specific tax rates for different counties and municipalities. He then explained the difference between a shipping contract and a destination contract. He explained that the Wayfair case was resolved by the US Supreme Court in 23 June of 2018, which overruled the Quill case.]

```
13
1 Miscellaneous
2 Remaining 2019 Meeting Dates
3
   [Chairman Rentzel stated the May 13th, July 8th,
   September 9th, and November 4th meeting dates remain.]
                              * * *
5
  Adjournment
   CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:
8
                 I have a motion to adjourn.
  MR. TRACE:
10
                 Second.
11
  MR. RADER:
12
                 Second.
   CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:
13
14
                 Second. Discussion? All in favor, say
15
                 aye. Motion approved, we are adjourned.
   There being no other business, the State Board of
16
17
   Auctioneer Examiners Meeting adjourned at 11:18 a.m.]
                               * * *
18
   2019 Mandatory Security Awareness and Acceptable Use
20
   Training
   [Mandatory security awareness and acceptable use
21
22
   training was held.]
23
                              * * *
2.4
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Auctioneer Examiners meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Auctioneer

Examiners meeting.

Amber Garbinski,

Minute Clerk

Sargent's Court Reporting
Service, Inc.

			15
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22		STATE BOARD OF AUCTIONEER EXAMINERS REFERENCE INDEX March 11, 2019	
	TIME	AGENDA	
	9:09	Official Call to Order	
	9:09	Introduction of Audience Members	
	9:10	Executive Session	
	10:42	Approval of Minutes	
	10:43	Report of Board Counsel	
	10:44	Applications for Review	
		Proposed Regulatory Language	
	10:59	Report of Board Administrator	
	11:00	Renewal Update	
	10:18	Miscellaneous	
	11:18	Adjournment	
30 31 32	11:19	Mandatory Security Awareness and Acceptable Use Training	
33 34 35			
36 37			
38 39			
40 41			
42			
44 45			
46 47			
48 49			
50			