State Board of Auctioneer Examiners January 7, 2019

BOARD MEMBERS:

Sherman E. Hostetter Jr., Chairman
Katelin Lambert, Designee of the Commissioner of
Professional and Occupational Affairs
Gerald A. Rader, Secretary, Professional Member
Nevin B. Rentzel, Professional Member
Daniel A. Trace, Professional Member
Heather M. Troutman, Consumer Protection Agent

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Kenneth J. Suter, Esquire, Board Counsel Cynthia K. Montgomery, Esquire, Deputy Chief Counsel Ray Michalowski, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison Terrie Kocher, Board Administrator

3 * * * 1 2 State Board of Auctioneer Examiners 3 January 7, 2019 * * * 4 5 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State 6 Board of Auctioneer Examiners was held on Monday, January 7, 2019. Sherman E. Hostetter Jr., Chairman, called the meeting to order at 9 a.m. 10 Introduction of Audience 11 [Chairman Hostetter asked for a moment of silence. Не 12 also requested the introduction of the audience in attendance.1 13 * * * 14 15 Approval of Minutes of the July 9, 2018 meeting 16 CHAIRMAN HOSTETTER: 17 Anybody have any questions on the 18 minutes, any additions or deletions? 19 [The Board discussed the minutes.] 2.0 CHAIRMAN HOSTETTER: 21 Any other discussion? 2.2 MR. RADER: 2.3 I make a motion to approve the minutes. 2.4 CHAIRMAN HOSTETTER: 25 We have a motion.

1 MR. TRACE:

5

8

2 Second.

3 CHAIRMAN HOSTETTER:

4 Any discussion? Hearing no discussion.

All those in favor, signify by saying

6 aye. Opposed, same sign.

7 [The motion carried unanimously.]

* *

9 Report of Board Counsel

10 | [Kenneth J. Suter, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted

11 matters for discussion during Executive Session.

12 Mr. Suter also noted the Pennsylvania Auctioneers

13 Association (PAA) Response to Request of Input for

14 Regulatory Annexes. He sent regulatory packages to

15 PAA and other stakeholders regarding the fee

16 increases, Act 88 amendments to update the regulations

17 | with the Board's current act, and civil penalties for

18 the citation process.

19 Mr. Suter addressed § 43b.12a Schedule of Civil

20 Penalties, where PAA commented that violations of

21 individuals and companies operating without a license

22 | should be the same penalty fee as both can do the same

23 activities related to operations and consumer

24 services.

25 Mr. Suter explained that the regulation charges

- just individuals on the citation schedule. He
 mentioned that the Board agreed to a civil penalty of
 \$500 for the first offense for individuals operating
 an unlicensed auction company and \$1,000 for
 individuals engaging in unlicensed auctioneering
 activities. He also commented that individuals could
 be doing both and be charged with a citation for both
 offenses.
 - Mr. Suter addressed §§ 734.3(a) and 734.20(a)(9), individual engaging in unlicensed auctioneering activities, which is \$1,000 for the first offense and then formal action for the second offense. He also addressed §§ 734.3(a)(1) and 734.20(a)(9), an unlicensed individual operating as an unlicensed auction company, where the first offense is \$500, second offense is \$1,000, and the third would be formal action.

2.3

2.4

- Mr. Suter discussed license renewal, noting that those who do not renew their license would pay \$50 per month lapsed, not to exceed \$1,000. He commented that PAA may not have understood that the regulation only applies to individuals.
- Mr. Rader noted that PAA's position is whether it is an unlicensed individual or a company, the fee should be the same. Mr. Rentzel commented that PAA

proposed mirroring the fees to an auctioneer, where
the first offense would be \$1,000 and the second
offense would be formal action.

Mr. Suter explained the citation process.

Mr. Rader agreed with making the citation the

Mr. Rader agreed with making the citation the same if they are unlicensed.

7 Mr. Suter noted the Board agreed, just like an 8 individual, the first offense for an unlicensed 9 company is \$1,000, and the second offense would be 10 formal action.]

11 CHAIRMAN HOSTETTER:

Is there a motion to change the citation schedule for individuals to be the same as a company?

15 MR. RENTZEL:

6

16 So moved.

17 MR. TRACE:

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

18 Second.

19 CHATRMAN HOSTETTER:

Motion and a second. Discussion?

[Mr. Suter clarified §§ 734.3(a)(1) and 734.20(a)(9), an unlicensed individual operating as an unlicensed auction company in this Commonwealth. He stated that the first offense would be \$1,000. The second offense would be formal action, which would make it consistent

```
with the second one on the schedule. An individual engaging in unlicensed auctioneering activities in this Commonwealth, the first offense becomes $1,000 and the second offense becomes formal action.]
```

5 CHAIRMAN HOSTETTER:

6

8

9

10

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Any other discussion? Is everybody okay with what the motion is? Hearing no discussion. All those in favor, signify by saying aye. Those opposed, same sign.

11 [The motion carried unanimously.]

12 ***

[Mr. Suter noted PAA's request to delete the 4th and 8th listed "Descriptions of Penalties," where an auction house license no longer exists and would fall under auction company regulations, is already deleted in brackets.

Mr. Suter noted PAA's request to delete the 6th and 7th listed "Descriptions of Penalties," where registration no longer exits and would fall under auction company license regulations, is already deleted in brackets.

Mr. Suter addressed \S 1.41 Schedule of Fees, where PAA states that trading assistants and trading assistant company fees should be the same as

auctioneer and auction company fees.

2.0

2.2

2.4

Mr. Suter noted that this would be a policy decision. He stated that fees are made consistent with the actual costs. He stated that the amount of work involved to process an application is part of the calculation to arrive at the application fee. He stated that there is more discretion with renewal fees, because the Board needs to make the budget work.

Mr. Suter discussed fee increases, noting that legislature provided the \$100 application fee for the trading assistant and the trading assistant company in the act that was passed in 2016 and he recommended not deviating a whole lot from that.

Chairman Hostetter noted the importance of balancing the budget, questioning the cost to the Board and the worth to the individual to be a trading assistant.

Mr. Suter stated the fee must get through the Independent Regulatory Review Commission (IRRC).

Ms. Kocher commented that the renewal fee was currently what the legislature suggested at \$100.

Chairman Hostetter stated that raising the trading assistant and trading assistant company to \$200 doubles the fee and brings the Board a little more money.

```
Mr. Suter commented that the $200 trading
1
2
   assistant and trading assistant company fee would be
3
   approximately half of the auctioneer renewal fee of
 4
   $390. He stated PAA requested that the renewal fee be
5
   comparable to the auctioneer fee, and by raising it to
6
   $200, it would be approximately half of the auctioneer
   fee.
        Ms. Montgomery commented that the Boards usually
   raise their biennial renewal fees by the same
10
   percentage. If auctioneers were being doubled, the
11
   trading assistant's fee should be doubled as well.]
12
   CHAIRMAN HOSTETTER:
1.3
                  Is there a motion that the trading
14
                  assistant and trading assistant company
15
                  renewal fee be $195?
16
   MR. RENTZEL:
17
                  I make the motion.
   CHAIRMAN HOSTETTER:
18
19
                  Do we have a second to that?
2.0
   MR. RADER:
2.1
                  I'll second that.
2.2
   CHAIRMAN HOSTETTER:
2.3
                  We got a motion and a second. Any other
2.4
                  discussion? Hearing none. All those in
25
                  favor of the motion, signify by saying
```

1 Those opposed, same sign. aye. 2 [The motion carried unanimously.] 3 4 Report of Board Prosecution 5 [Ray Michalowski, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison, 6 stated more cases are closed at the end of the year than opened. He commented that getting Act 48 through was critical because the Board has many citation 9 cases. 10 Mr. Michalowski informed the Board of the 11 reorganization of Prosecutorial Division. 12 discussed his work along with Mr. Mimm. He noted 13 smaller compact teams for every Board, where all are involved with the exchanging of files. He explained 14 15 that cases would be reviewed by the team before being presented to the Board, which decreases legal and 16 17 Bureau of Enforcement and Investigation (BEI) costs.] * * * 18 19 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, 2.0 the Board entered into Executive Session with Kenneth 21 J. Suter, Esquire, Board Counsel, at 9:49 a.m. for the 22 purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations on 23 matters that are currently pending before the Board. 2.4 The Board returned to open session at 11:53 a.m.] * * * 25

11 [Katelin Lambert, Designee of the Commissioner of 1 2 Professional and Occupational Affairs, entered the 3 meeting.} * * * 4 5 MOTIONS 6 MR. SUTER: The purpose of the Executive Session was for quasi-judicial matters and for litigation that the Board is currently involved in. Included among the 10 11 quasi-judicial deliberations were items 12 2, 3, and 4 on the Board's agenda. 1.3 Based upon the Executive Session, I 14 understand that the Board would propose 15 a motion to approve the Application of 16 No. 2 on the agenda, Daniel E. Raleigh. We need a sponsor of that motion 17 and a second. 18 19 MR. RADER: 20 So moved. 21 CHAIRMAN HOSTETTER: 22 We have a motion. 2.3 MR. TRACE: 24 Second. 25 CHAIRMAN HOSTETTER:

```
12
                  Any discussion on that motion? Hearing
1
2
                  none. All those in favor of the motion,
3
                  signify by saying aye. Those opposed,
 4
                  same sign.
5
    [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
6
7
   MR. SUTER:
                  The next item that there is a motion on,
9
                  based upon Executive Session, is Item
10
                  No. 3. It is the Application for
11
                  Licensure of Larry Ellis Cooper.
                       I understand that the Board's
12
13
                  motion will be to issue a provisional
14
                  denial of this application for
15
                  licensure.
16
   CHAIRMAN HOSTETTER:
17
                  We need a motion.
   MS. TROUTMAN:
18
19
                  So moved.
20
   CHAIRMAN HOSTETTER:
21
                  We need a second.
22
   MR. RENTZEL:
2.3
                  Second.
2.4
   CHAIRMAN HOSTETTER:
25
                  Everybody understand what the motion is?
```

```
13
                  Everybody okay with that?
1
2
                  discussion on the motion? All those in
3
                  favor of the motion, signify by saying
 4
                  aye. Those opposed, same sign.
5
    [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
6
7
   MR. SUTER:
                  The next item that there is a motion on,
9
                  based upon Executive Session, is Item
10
                  No. 3. It is the Application for
11
                  Licensure of David Weiss.
12
                       I understand that the Board wishes
13
                  to issue a provisional denial of this
14
                  application.
15
   CHAIRMAN HOSTETTER:
                  We need a motion.
16
17
   MR. TRACE:
18
                  So moved.
19
   CHAIRMAN HOSTETTER:
20
                  Second?
21
   MR. RADER:
22
                  Second.
2.3
   CHAIRMAN HOSTETTER:
2.4
                  We have a motion and a second.
25
                  discussion on the motion? Everybody is
```

14 comfortable with what the motion is? 1 2 Any discussion? Hearing none. All 3 those in favor, signify by saying aye. 4 Those opposed, same sign. 5 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 6 7 [Gerald A. Rader, Secretary, Professional Member, exited the meeting at 12:02 p.m. for recusal purposes.] 10 * * * 11 MR. SUTER: The minutes will reflect that Mr. Rader 12 1.3 was not part of the Executive Session 14 discussion on No. 8 on the agenda, which 15 is Potential Delegation to a Hearing Examiner; File No. 17-64-12494, Ian J. 16 17 Gilmore. I understand, based upon 18 19 discussions in Executive Session, that 2.0 the Board will entertain a motion to 2.1 delegate this matter to a Hearing 22 Examiner. 2.3 CHAIRMAN HOSTETTER: 24 We need a motion. MR. RENTZEL: 25

```
15
                  I make such a motion.
1
2
   CHAIRMAN HOSTETTER:
3
                  Second?
   MR. TRACE:
4
5
                  Second.
6
   CHAIRMAN HOSTETTER:
                  Does everybody understand what the
8
                  motion is? Are you okay with it? Any
9
                  discussion on the motion? Hearing none.
10
                  All those in favor of the motion,
11
                  signify by saying aye. Those opposed,
12
                  same sign.
   [The motion carried. Mr. Rader recused himself from
13
14
   deliberations and voting on the motion.]
15
16
   [Gerald A. Rader, Secretary, Professional Member,
17
   reentered the meeting at 12:03 p.m.]
                              * * *
18
   2019 Election of Officers
19
20
   MR. SUTER:
21
                  The next item on the agenda is Item No.
22
                  9, which is the election of officers for
23
                  2019.
2.4
   CHAIRMAN HOSTETTER:
25
                  This is for the officers. I might as
```

16 well go ahead and put the motion on the 1 2 table. The motion is to have Nevin 3 Rentzel as Chairman and Dan Trace as 4 Secretary. 5 Are there any other motions? 6 MR. SUTER: 7 Was there a second to that? MR. RADER: 9 There is now, second. MR. SUTER: 10 11 Are there any other nominations for 12 either Secretary or Chair? 13 Does anybody want to make a motion that nominations be closed? 14 15 MR. RADER: 16 So moved. 17 MR. SUTER: Is there a second to that? 18 19 MS. TROUTMAN: 20 Second. 21 MR. SUTER: 22 All those in favor of Nevin being the 2.3 Chair for 2019 and Dan as Secretary, 24 signify by saying aye. Opposed? 25 Abstentions?

1 [The motion carried unanimously]

*

3 Report of Board Chairman

4 [Sherman E. Hostetter Jr., Chairman, discussed his

5 attendance at the National Auctioneers Association

6 | Conference and Show in Florida. He noted a

7 presentation from the National Auctioneer License Law

8 Officials Association (NALLOA). He referred to a

9 conference call in February, commenting that Ms.

10 Kocher should represent the Board and participate in

11 the call.

2

12 Chairman Hostetter discussed membership issues
13 with many associations. He discussed third-party

14 reciprocity.

15

16

17

18

20

21

Chairman Hostetter addressed online auctions, noting South Dakota vs. Wayfair, Inc., where states may charge tax on purchases made from out-of-state sellers. He noted the difficulty in getting the

19 correct amount of tax to all of the taxing entities.

delivery location, which means if an auction was in

Chairman Hostetter noted the review of the

22 Pennsylvania, Pennsylvania would collect the tax. H

23 discussed the difficulty for individuals, who are

24 handling the items, to collect that tax, stating there

25 could be 100 different buyers in 30 different states.

Chairman Hostetter discussed responsibility and liability. He stated for an online auction, the way his terms and conditions read, the product does become the buyer's possession but is still under the auction company, so where is the fine line of responsibility and liability.

1.3

2.4

Mr. Rentzel commented that this was not addressed by the courts as an auction issue. He stated the issue concerned people buying online. He explained that states that do not have a hub for Amazon or somewhere else noted that the state of the buyer of the goods should collect the tax, instead of the state where the warehouse is located. All will be affected due to the court decision.

Ms. Kocher addressed license renewals, noting that she was recently provided with testing information to actually test the renewals in the Pennsylvania Licensing System (PALS) but has not received a date as to when renewals will be distributed. She requested a pre-renewal email blast to provide information regarding renewal fees and login, which was not approved. She was also awaiting approval to distribute information on the website. She noted that extensions have not yet been suggested and never have been since being with the Board.

```
19
        Mr. Suter stated the Board has done everything in
1
2
   terms of the applications to go into the system and
3
   that it is currently an information technology (IT)
 4
   issue.
        Mr. Trace noted concerns with contracts not being
5
6
   good legally if a license is not good.
        Ms. Kocher commented that the developer of PALS
   has many more applications for the Auctioneer Board to
   finish, but that the Auctioneer Board is not the only
10
   Board having issues. She provided information that
11
   will be needed upfront in order to renew, including
12
   the ability to use the same passwords as the old
   system. She also indicated that the information would
13
14
   be provided via email and possibly a paper notice.]
15
16
                    OFF-RECORD DISCUSSION
                              * * *
17
18
   Report of Board Administrator - Proposed 2020 Meeting
19
      Dates
20
   [Terri Kocher, Board Administrator, noted proposed
21
   2020 meeting dates for the Board's review.]
2.2
23
   Report of Commissioner - No Report
2.4
```

[Sherman E. Hostetter Jr., Chairman, thanked Mr. Trace

```
20
   and Ms. Kocher for the hard work.
1
        Chairman Hostetter also thanked the Board for
2
3
   their confidence in placing in him as Chairman, noting
4
   that the Board was now in good hands with Mr. Rentzel
5
   and Mr. Trace.]
                               * * *
6
7
   CHAIRMAN HOSTETTER:
8
                  Do we have a motion for proposed 2020
9
                  meeting dates?
10
   MR. TRACE:
11
                  So moved.
12
   MS. TROUTMAN:
                  Second.
13
14
   CHAIRMAN HOSTETTER:
15
                  Everybody okay with the motion? Motion
16
                  and second. Any discussion? Hearing
17
                  none. All those in favor, signify by
18
                  saying aye. Anybody opposed?
19
   [The motion carried unanimously]
                               * * *
20
21
   Adjournment
22
   CHAIRMAN HOSTETTER:
23
                  Motion to adjourn?
2.4
   MS. TROUTMAN:
25
                  So moved.
```

21 1 MR. TRACE: 2 Second. 3 CHAIRMAN HOSTETTER: All in favor? 4 5 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 6 [There being no further business, the State Board of Auctioneer Examiners Meeting adjourned at 12:33 p.m.] * * * 10 11 CERTIFICATE 12 I hereby certify that the foregoing summary 13 minutes of the State Board of Auctioneer Examiners 14 15 meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my 16 supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize 17 the substance of the State Board of Auctioneers 18 meeting. 19 20 2.1 2.2 Amber Garbinski, 2.3 Minute Clerk 2.4 Sargent's Court Reporting 25 Service, Inc. 26