

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF STATE
BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS

F I N A L M I N U T E S

MEETING OF:

**STATE ARCHITECTS LICENSURE BOARD
VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE**

TIME: 10:38 A.M.

PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF STATE

September 10, 2020

1 State Architects Licensure Board
2 September 10, 2020
3
4

5 BOARD MEMBERS:
6

7 Philip M. Leinbach, RA, President
8 Darryl R. Hamm, Vice President, Public Member
9 K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of
10 Professional and Occupational Affairs
11 William J. Bates, RA
12 Adam Hough, Office of Attorney General, Bureau of
13 Consumer Protection
14 Mary E. McClenaghan, RA
15 Aram Piligian Jr., RA
16 Jerry K. Roller, RA, Secretary
17
18

19 BUREAU PERSONNEL:
20

21 C. William Fritz II, Esquire, Board Counsel
22 Dean F. Picarella, Esquire, Senior Counsel
23 Tiffany A. Raker, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison
24 Amanda Li, Board Administrator
25 Andrew LaFratte, MPA, Policy Fellow, Department of
26 State
27 Marc Farrell, Deputy Policy Director, Department of
28 State
29 Sara Fox, Bureau of Professional and Occupational
30 Affairs
31
32

33 ALSO PRESENT:
34

35 Amal Mahrouki, Director of Legislative Affairs,
36 American Institute of Architects Pennsylvania
37 Jen Smeltz, Executive Director, Consumer Protection
38 and Professional Licensure Committee
39 Rachel Schade, Associate Teaching Professor/Associate
40 Director for Student Placement, Drexel University
41 Department of Architecture Design & Urbanism
42 Stephen M. Swarney, Executive Director, American
43 Institute of Architects Pennsylvania
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

1 ***

2 State Architects Licensure Board

3 September 10, 2020

4 ***

5 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at
6 9:00 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session
7 with C. William Fritz II, Esquire, Board Counsel, for
8 the purpose of quasi-judicial deliberations. The
9 Board returned to open session at 10:30 a.m.]

10 ***

11 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State
12 Architects Licensure Board was held on Thursday,
13 September 10, 2020. Philip M. Leinbach, RA,
14 President, called the meeting to order at 10:38 a.m.

15 K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of
16 Professional and Occupational Affairs, was not present
17 at the commencement of the meeting.

18 ***

19 Roll Call

20 [Roll call was taken. A quorum was noted to be
21 present.]

22 ***

23 Introduction of Audience Members

24 [President Leinbach requested visitors identify
25 themselves.]

1 ***
2 [Amanda Li, Board Administrator, informed everyone
3 that the meeting was being recorded, and those who
4 continued to participate were giving consent to being
5 recorded.]

6 ***
7 Approval of minutes of the July 9, 2020 meeting
8 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:
9 Item No. 1 on our agenda, the approval
10 of the July 9, 2020 Board meeting
11 minutes.

12 Is there a motion to approve those
13 minutes?

14 MR. BATES:

15 I move we approve the minutes.

16 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

17 We have a motion from Bill Bates. Is
18 there a second?

19 MR. HAMM:

20 Second.

21 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

22 Thank you. Mr. Hamm seconded that. Is
23 there any discussion, clarifications?

24 [The Board discussed corrections to the minutes.]

25 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

1 Anyone else see anything that needs to
2 be edited? Mr. Hamm, could you call
3 roll to approve the minutes as amended?
4

5 Mr. Leinbach, yes; Mr. Hamm, yes; Mr.
6 Bates, yes; Mr. Hough, yes; Ms.
7 McClenaghan, yes; Mr. Piligian, yes; and
8 Mr. Roller, yes.

9 [The motion carried unanimously.]

10 ***

11 Report of Prosecutorial Division - No Report

12 ***

13 Report of Board Counsel

14 [C. William Fritz II, Esquire, Board Counsel, provided
15 an overview of the status of cases, noting no open
16 disciplinary files. He noted the addition of
17 applications after discussions on the current
18 applications on the agenda.]

19 ***

20 Regulations/Statute

21 [C. William Fritz II, Esquire, Board Counsel, updated
22 the Board on the legislative initiative regarding
23 continuing education (CE) approval, noting it was
24 moving its way through the system.

25 Mr. Fritz mentioned that general provisions of

1 the regulations were put on the back burner due to the
2 Act 41 regulation.

3 Mr. Fritz referred to 16A-4111, noting the
4 electronic seals and signatures regulation was
5 published and will soon be completed.

6 Mr. Fritz noted nothing new to report on Act 41.

7 Mr. Farrell addressed the seals regulation,
8 stating it was currently in the 30-day public comment
9 period, and then the Independent Regulatory Review
10 Commission (IRRC) has until October 21, 2020, to
11 provide comments. He stated the regulation will move
12 to the final rulemaking stage after all of the public
13 and IRRC comments are reviewed.

14 Mr. Fritz noted not receiving any comments, but
15 any comments received would be placed on the next
16 Board meeting agenda for discussion.]

17 ***

18 [K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of
19 Professional and Occupational Affairs, joined the
20 meeting at 10:53 a.m.]

21 ***

22 [President Leinbach addressed draft regulation
23 changes, noting the focus on providing better clarity
24 with regard to the review of applications for
25 reciprocal licenses and the Architect Registration

1 Examination (ARE). He explained the definitions and
2 the language had been cleaned up to give guidance to
3 current and future Boards.

4 President Leinbach referred to § 9.2 regarding
5 definitions, where a definition for the Architectural
6 Experience Program (AXP), formerly known as Intern
7 Development Program (IDP), was provided. He noted
8 Integrated Path to Licensure (IPAL) and the Mutual
9 Recognition Agreement (MRA) that Pennsylvania had
10 signed on through the National Council of
11 Architectural Registration Boards (NCARB).

12 President Leinbach referred to § 9.27, noting
13 language change regarding how long inactive records
14 would be kept.

15 President Leinbach referred to § 9.41(a)
16 regarding general requirements for licensure, where an
17 individual must have a National Architectural
18 Accrediting Board (NAAB) degree from a recognized
19 school, completed the AXP as certified by NCARB, and
20 passed the ARE.

21 President Leinbach referred to § 9.41(b), where
22 individuals with a bachelor's degree in a related
23 field or direct field of architecture would be
24 approved for a license by completing 3 years of
25 practical experience in the practice of architecture

1 in addition to the AXP obtained under the employer
2 under direct supervision of a registered architect,
3 and passed the ARE. He stated this complements the
4 statute in Section 8(b) that allows for experience and
5 education in lieu of a NAAB degree.

6 President Leinbach referred to § 9.41(c), where
7 an individual with an associate's degree may be
8 granted a license upon completion of the AXP, have 6
9 years or practical experience under the direct
10 supervision of an architect in addition to the AXP,
11 and passed the ARE.

12 President Leinbach referred to § 9.41(d)
13 regarding the broadly experienced architect. He
14 suggested terminology that may be a little bit more
15 timeless and not tied to older language that NCARB was
16 using. He stated the language was straight forward,
17 where someone has obtained a certificate from NCARB
18 through the current equivalent of the broadly
19 experienced architect and passed the ARE or another
20 licensing exam recognized by the Board.

21 President Leinbach referred to § 9.41a(a) and (b)
22 regarding adoption of national Board examinations,
23 noting what was currently under § 9.46 regarding
24 "rolling clock" requirement belongs in this location,
25 not under the requirements for examination

1 eligibility. He stated § 9.46 was completely deleted
2 as it currently existed now and new language was added
3 concerning examination eligibility.

4 President Leinbach explained that individuals who
5 come before the Board wanting to take the exam through
6 Pennsylvania and essentially under § 9.46(a), where
7 candidates hold a NAAB-accredited degree and have
8 completed or are in the process of completing IDP or
9 AXP, can apply directly to NCARB to sit for the ARE.

10 President Leinbach commented that NCARB would be
11 able to approve individuals for direct application,
12 but those who do not fit the criteria would be bumped
13 to the Pennsylvania Board for review in light of
14 further criteria.

15 President Leinbach referred to § 9.46(b)
16 regarding licensure for a non-NAAB degree applicant
17 and requirements. He referred to § 9.46(b)(1), where
18 an individual would submit a portfolio of their work
19 to be reviewed by the Board for qualifications. He
20 explained that a formal hearing may be held before the
21 Board if a determination cannot be made from the
22 portfolio.

23 President Leinbach expressed a concern of not
24 seeing the requirement for the IDP under § 9.46(a) or
25 (b). He recommended clarifying that IDP is still a

1 requirement by adding a paragraph similar to (1)(c),
2 where someone who has a non-NAAB degree should have
3 completed IDP or AXP prior to the application.

4 Mr. Fritz requested Board members email any
5 additional avenues that need addressed, so the next
6 agenda accurately reflects all of the suggestions of
7 each Board member.

8 Mr. Roller referred to § 9.46(b)(1) and expressed
9 concern with requiring everybody to submit a
10 portfolio, which could be voluminous and could extend
11 the whole review process. He suggested language be
12 added, where an applicant may be requested to submit a
13 portfolio rather than putting it in there.

14 Ms. Li presented a question from Rachel Schade,
15 Associate Teaching Professor/Associate Director for
16 Student Placement, Drexel University Department of
17 Architecture Design, asking how frequently the last
18 three scenarios are reviewed other than students with
19 a NAAB-accredited degree.

20 President Leinbach commented that a couple of
21 dozen a year come in front of the Board.

22 President Leinbach referred to § 9.46(c), noting
23 that since the introduction of the IPAL program
24 through NCARB, it had been the position of the Board
25 to be supportive of that, although regulations in the

1 act do not directly provide for that. He mentioned
2 the need to make it clearly identified within the
3 regulations that the IPAL program accredited and
4 approved through NCARB is a path to licensure that is
5 going to be accepted.

6 President Leinbach referred to § 9.46(c), which
7 indicates somebody enrolled in the IPAL program that
8 is accredited and approved by NCARB may apply to take
9 the ARE and then the three criteria that are provided
10 including § 9.46(c)(1), where they are in the process
11 of completing their AXP; § 9.46(c)(2) satisfactory
12 proof to the Board they are continuing in the
13 enrollment of that NAAB program; and § 9.46(c)(3) the
14 Board reserves its rights regarding the minimum amount
15 of hours that may have been completed.

16 President Leinbach expressed a concern when the
17 Board does not necessarily want somebody, who is in
18 the first year of an IPAL program, taking the test
19 with minimal experience. He suggested including
20 language concerning those who step out of an IPAL
21 program and decide this is not for them and go to a
22 regular path, where they would default and not be able
23 to continue with exams until they comply with one of
24 the other sets of criteria in the act or regulations.

25 Ms. McClenaghan referred to § 9.46(c)(2) and

1 recommended changing "continued" enrollment to
2 "continuous" enrollment.

3 President Leinbach suggested language to read,
4 school where the candidate is enrolled or it be stated
5 as college or university for clarity.

6 Ms. Schade mentioned a student who had completed
7 all of their AXP hours, passed four of the exams with
8 the confines of IPAL, and graduated but was no longer
9 in the IPAL program. She stated the "rolling clock"
10 applies to IPAL students, so the student was required
11 to pass all six exams within five years. She
12 explained that the student was preparing to take the
13 final two exams and wanted to make sure the exam would
14 be open for her.

15 President Leinbach stated the Board recognizes
16 and certainly wants to support IPAL graduates,
17 especially those coming out of Drexel and should any
18 other Pennsylvania program be approved in the future.
19 He noted it to be the posture of the Board at present
20 to grant that license if she can provide documentation
21 similar to the Board's proposed regulations.

22 Mr. Roller commented that individuals who
23 graduate move to the NAAB-degree category and become a
24 regular taker of the exam and do not need any further
25 dispensation.

1 President Leinbach commented that he could not
2 state that unequivocally the Board would take this
3 position in the future, but it was presently the
4 posture of the Board, where individuals who hold a
5 good chunk of the prima facie evidence would qualify
6 for the exam with the NCARB certificate.

7 Mr. Roller suggested the addition of § 9.46(c)(4)
8 under this section, where IPAL program graduates may
9 continue completing the AXP as a graduate of a NAAB
10 program.

11 President Leinbach referred to § 9.41(a), where
12 the rolling clock still exists, but stated that NCARB
13 had extended the rolling clock given the COVID-19
14 circumstances.

15 President Leinbach recommended the student
16 contact the Board, so they can take action by creating
17 a file to protect her later from any unusual
18 circumstances or roadblock. He suggested the student
19 submit an application, and Ms. Schade include a letter
20 of support for the Board to be able to connect the
21 student with the issue.

22 President Leinbach stated the Board is supportive
23 of all NAAB programs and excited for IPAL to be
24 turning out some graduates.

25 President Leinbach referred to § 9.49a regarding

1 the adoption of AXP. He suggested changing § 9.49a(a)
2 "training requirements" to NCARB "experience
3 requirements" to be consistent with terminology and
4 then to take completion of AXP as satisfaction of 3
5 years' experience referenced in Section 8(b) of the
6 act.

7 President Leinbach referred to § 9.49a(b), which
8 clarifies terminology of IDP, AXP, and so forth.

9 President Leinbach referred to § 9.61 regarding
10 licensure by reciprocity general requirements, noting
11 removal of the first paragraph and providing clarity
12 in § 9.61(a)(1) to read, § 9.41(a) and (b) with the
13 removal of (c).

14 President Leinbach referred to § 9.61(b) and
15 questioned whether there is a need given Act 41,
16 mentioning possible future problems.

17 Mr. Fritz recommended keeping that section
18 because it was an avenue for applicants for licensure
19 by reciprocity, where certain aspects could be waived
20 and the Board could grant them a regular license as
21 opposed to a provisional license.

22 President Leinbach referred to § 9.62(a)(1) and
23 suggested changing the word "state" to "jurisdiction."

24 President Leinbach referred to § 9.62(a)(2) and
25 suggested deleting the existing sentence and replacing

1 that with language indicated.

2 President Leinbach referred to § 9.62(b) and
3 suggested putting in AXP or its predecessor IDP,
4 noting the importance of keeping both in there.

5 President Leinbach referred to § 9.62(c) and
6 recommended adding "and continuously practiced
7 architecture" after the word lawfully for consistency
8 of other areas of the regulations and the act. He
9 also suggested changing language to during "any"
10 inactive period instead of during "the" inactive
11 period.

12 President Leinbach referred to § 9.62(d) and
13 suggested changing "or" to "and" for language to read
14 education, experience, and examination.

15 President Leinbach referred to § 9.62(e) and
16 suggested adding "informal hearing" as the other
17 option versus a personal interview.

18 President Leinbach referred to § 9.84 regarding
19 good moral character, noting the language had been
20 revised to be consistent.

21 Mr. Fritz commented that good moral character may
22 be removed by all boards. He noted Act 53 passed and
23 adjustments needed to be made to the Board's
24 regulations based on good moral character. He stated
25 the matter would be addressed in both the act and the

1 regulations. He will inform the Board of the need
2 amend its regulations.

3 President Leinbach referred to § 9.88 regarding
4 verification of IDP or AXP completion, noting language
5 had been clarified.

6 President Leinbach referred to § 9.93(b)
7 regarding the requirement to report any disciplinary
8 action or criminal convictions within 30 days after
9 the occurrence.

10 President Leinbach referred to § 9.101 regarding
11 the reactivation process.

12 President Leinbach noted § 9.102 was deleted.

13 President Leinbach referred to § 9.163 regarding
14 prior approval by the Board, noting the deletion of
15 the lengthy letterhead requirement paragraph.

16 President Leinbach will provide Mr. Fritz with a
17 collective email of Board comments, so he can offer an
18 updated draft for review at the November meeting.]

19 ***

20 MOTIONS

21 Applications

22 MR. FRITZ:

23 The Board would entertain a motion to
24 provisionally deny the following
25 Reciprocal Licensing Applications of

1 William Fisher, Daniel Hogan, and
2 Kathryn Thiele.

3 MR. ROLLER:

4 So moved.

5 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

6 Do we have a second?

7 MR. BATES:

8 Second.

9 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

10 Thank you, Mr. Bates. Mr. Hamm, would
11 you please take a roll call vote?

12

13 Mr. Leinbach, yes; Mr. Hamm, yes;
14 Commissioner Johnson, yes; Mr. Bates,
15 yes; Mr. Hough, yes; Ms. McClenaghan,
16 yes; Mr. Piligian, yes; and Mr. Roller,
17 yes.

18 [The motion carried unanimously.]

19

20 MR. FRITZ:

21 The Board is going to table the
22 application filed by Ronald Russell in
23 an attempt to get some additional
24 information from the applicant before we
25 make a decision on that.

1 ***

2 MR. FRITZ:

3 The Board would entertain a motion to
4 provisionally deny the ARE Applications
5 for Jessica Mangin and Justyn Myers.

6 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

7 Do we have a motion?

8 MS. MCCLENAGHAN:

9 Motion.

10 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

11 Ms. McClenaghan offers the motion.

12 Second?

13 MR. ROLLER:

14 Second.

15 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

16 Mr. Roller seconds. Mr. Hamm, would you
17 please take a roll call vote?

18
19 Mr. Leinbach, yes; Mr. Hamm, yes;
20 Commissioner Johnson, yes; Mr. Bates,
21 yes; Mr. Hough, yes; Ms. McClenaghan,
22 yes; Mr. Piligian, yes; and Mr. Roller,
23 yes.

24 [The motion carried unanimously.]

25 ***

1 MR. FRITZ:

2 The Board would entertain a motion
3 approving the ARE Testing Accommodation
4 Requests for Karen Lui and Alicia Volcy.

5 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

6 Do we have a motion?

7 MS. MCCLENAGHAN:

8 Motion.

9 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

10 Ms. McClenaghan. Second?

11 MR. BATES:

12 Second.

13 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

14 Mr. Bates seconds. Mr. Hamm, would you
15 please take a roll call vote?

16

17 Mr. Leinbach, yes; Mr. Hamm, yes;
18 Commissioner Johnson, yes; Mr. Bates,
19 yes; Mr. Hough, yes; Ms. McClenaghan,
20 yes; Mr. Piligian, yes; and Mr. Roller,
21 yes.

22 [The motion carried unanimously.]

23

24 MR. FRITZ:

25 Based on the informal conference that

1 was held today, the Board would
2 entertain a motion to provisionally deny
3 the ARE Application of Michael Hartley.

4 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

5 Do we have a motion?

6 MS. MCCLENAGHAN:

7 Motion.

8 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

9 We have a motion from Ms. McClenaghan.

10 Do we have a second?

11 MR. ROLLER:

12 Second.

13 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

14 Roller was second. Mr. Hamm, would you
15 please take a roll call vote?

16

17 Mr. Leinbach, yes; Mr. Hamm, yes;
18 Commissioner Johnson, yes; Mr. Bates,
19 yes; Mr. Hough, yes; Ms. McClenaghan,
20 yes; Mr. Piligian, yes; and Mr. Roller,
21 yes.

22 [The motion carried unanimously.]

23

24 MR. FRITZ:

25 When we discussed in executive session,

1 there was a hearing held yesterday in
2 the matter of Matthew Eugene Strahan,
3 his application to take the ARE. Based
4 on the information obtained and the
5 documentation obtained during the formal
6 administrative hearing held before the
7 hearing examiner, the Board would
8 entertain a motion directing Board
9 counsel to draft a final order approving
10 the ARE Application of Matthew Eugene
11 Strahan.

12 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

13 Do we have a motion?

14 MR. HAMM:

15 So moved.

16 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

17 Mr. Hamm. Second?

18 MR. PILIGIAN:

19 Second.

20 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

21 Mr. Piligian. Mr. Hamm, would you
22 please take a roll call vote?

23

24 Mr. Leinbach, yes; Mr. Hamm, yes;

25 Commissioner Johnson, yes; Mr. Bates,

1 yes; Mr. Hough, yes; Ms. McClenaghan,
2 no; Mr. Piligian, yes; and Mr. Roller,
3 yes.

4 [The motion carried. Ms. McClenaghan opposed the
5 motion.]

6 ***
7 Report of Commissioner - No Report

8 ***
9 Report of Board President - National Council of
10 Architectural Registration Boards Board of Directors
11 Activity Update

12 [Philip M. Leinbach, RA, President, addressed the
13 NCARB Board of Directors Meeting in August. He noted
14 taking action on a few matters, mostly relative to the
15 examination. He announced remote proctoring of the
16 exam will now be available beginning in November of
17 2020 with Prometric.

18 President Leinbach discussed the recommendation
19 the examination group with the online proctoring of
20 the ARE put before the Board that the Board accepted
21 to reduce the number of test questions on each section
22 of the examination. He commented that it was
23 thoroughly vetted along with the vendor, Alpine
24 Testing Solutions.

25 President Leinbach noted the elimination of some

1 supplemental questions that did not count toward the
2 score to help to maintain the rigor of the examination
3 to determine qualifications but minimize additional
4 work without true benefit for the candidate. He noted
5 that will begin with the launch of the proctor exam in
6 November of 2020.

7 President Leinbach mentioned a policy of 8-12%
8 for short-term reserve fund in the past with an upper
9 limit at 12%, noting that to be now set as a hard
10 figure at \$4 million.

11 President Leinbach reported the NCARB Committee
12 Summit at the beginning of December, NCARB Member
13 Board Chairs & Executives Leadership Summit in
14 October, and Board meeting at the end of September
15 will all be held virtually.]

16 ***

17 Report of Board President - National Council of
18 Architectural Registration Boards Correspondence
19 [Philip M. Leinbach, RA, President, noted various
20 NCARB correspondences.]

21 ***

22 Report of Board President - Pennsylvania Board
23 Initiatives
24 [Philip M. Leinbach, RA, President, requested
25 Mr. Roller comment on the status of the newsletter.]

1 Mr. Roller asked Ms. Li to provide a list of
2 newly licensed individuals since the last newsletter.
3 He noted having a status of cases submission and a
4 letter from President Leinbach. He invited
5 suggestions from other Board members. He mentioned
6 including NCARB announcements on proctoring and
7 rolling clock.

8 President Leinbach suggested informing registered
9 architects and candidates in the state of what is
10 going on with NCARB. He commented that the testing
11 levels were consistent with previous years. He
12 offered to provide a couple of bullet and FYI items.

13 President Leinbach noted the letter for the
14 invitation to have educators attend the November Board
15 meeting. NCARB requested time, and Mike Armstrong and
16 Josh Batkin were looking to join virtually at the
17 November Board meeting. He believed it would be a
18 good engagement to have NCARB listen in on
19 conversation with the educators and have a national
20 connection to the jurisdiction connection.

21 Ms. Li will send a letter and inform President
22 Leinbach of attendees by the middle of October.]

23

24 Report of Board Administrator - No Report

25

1 Miscellaneous

2 [Amal Mahrouki, Director of Legislative Affairs,
3 American Institute of Architects Pennsylvania,
4 announced Lobby Day was canceled due to COVID-19. She
5 reported the scheduling of targeted meetings for Board
6 members to meet with legislators for updates on the
7 state of industry and different firms.

8 Ms. Mahrouki noted discussion regarding
9 continuing education, especially post-COVID-19, with
10 many changes to the old guideline requirements for
11 reoccupancy that AIA was hoping to address next
12 session.

13 Ms. Mahrouki reported AIA will be sending out
14 their legislative survey on priorities for membership.
15 She also noted new policy priorities from AIA
16 National.

17 Ms. Mahrouki announced AIA Pennsylvania was
18 recognized by the American Society of Association
19 Executives (ASAE). She also announced AIA was honored
20 with a gold award from a national organization for
21 their design for better initiatives, which was an AIA
22 member initiative to help New Cumberland with some of
23 their revitalization.

24 Ms. Mahrouki noted the building codes legislation
25 was moving through. She discussed an anti-

1 indemnification bill that may move next week out of
2 the House Commerce Committee, which would ensure no
3 party can be indemnified or held harmless from their
4 own negligence.

5 Mr. Piligian questioned whether AIA has
6 considered reducing membership fees for architects,
7 who have been laid off.

8 Ms. Mahrouki commented that there was no intent
9 to reduce dues but noted AIA will be promoting dues
10 waivers, dues assistance, or payment plans for any
11 members who may need them. She will follow up with
12 the Board when that takes place.]

13 ***

14 Next Board Meeting Date

15 [Philip M. Leinbach, RA, President, noted the next
16 Board meeting date is November 12, 2020.]

17 ***

18 Adjournment

19 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

20 Motion to adjourn.

21 MR. BATES:

22 So moved.

23 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

24 Mr. Bates. Second?

25 MS. MCCLENAGHAN:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Second.

PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

Mary McClenaghan. Thank you all very
much for your time today and your
support.

[The motion carried unanimously.]

[There being no further business, the State Architects
Licensure Board Meeting adjourned at 11:59 a.m.]

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary
minutes of the State Architects Licensure Board
meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my
supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize
the substance of the State Architects Licensure Board
meeting.



Morgan McKendrick,
Minute Clerk
Sargent's Court Reporting
Service, Inc.

STATE ARCHITECTS LICENSURE BOARD
REFERENCE INDEX

September 10, 2020

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

TIME	AGENDA
???	Executive Session
???	Return to Open Session
10:38	Official Call to Order
10:38	Roll Call/Introduction of Audience Members
10:42	Approval of Minutes
10:49	Report of Board Counsel
10:50	Regulations/Statute
11:37	Motions
11:43	Report of Board President
11:53	Miscellaneous
11:59	Adjournment