1	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
2	DEPARTMENT OF STATE
3	BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS
4	
5	FINAL MINUTES
6	
7	MEETING OF:
8	
9	STATE ARCHITECTS LICENSURE BOARD
10	
11	TIME: 9:01 A.M.
12	
13	PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF STATE
14	Board Room C
15	One Penn Center
16	2601 North Third Street
17	Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17110
18	
19	March 12, 2020
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1

		2
1 2 3	State Architects Licensure Board March 12, 2020	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	BOARD MEMBERS: Philip M. Leinbach, RA, President Darryl R. Hamm, Vice President, Public Member K. Kalonji Johnson, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs Adam Hough, Office of Attorney General, Bureau of Consumer Protection John R. Hill, RA Mary E. McClenaghan, RA Jerry K. Roller, RA	
	BUREAU PERSONNEL:	
	C. William Fritz II, Esquire, Board Counsel Ray Michalowski, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison Tiffany A. Raker, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Andrew LaFratte, MPA, Policy Office, Department of State Amanda Li, Board Administrator	
	ALSO PRESENT:	
	Stephen M. Swarney, JD, Executive Director, American Institute of Architects Pennsylvania Amal Mahrouki, Director of Legislative Affairs, American Institute of Architects Pennsylvania Bruce R. Johnson, Architect, American Institute of Architects Pennsylvania Todd Stewart, Esquire, Hawke, McKeon & Sniscak LLP	

3 * * * 1 State Architects Licensure Board 2 3 March 12, 2020 * * * 4 5 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State Architects Licensure Board was held on Thursday, March 6 7 12, 2020. Philip M. Leinbach, RA, President, called the meeting to order at 9:01 a.m. 8 K. Kalonji Johnson, Acting Commissioner, Bureau 9 10 of Professional and Occupational Affairs, was not 11 present at the commencement of the meeting. * * * 12 Roll Call 13 14 [Roll call was taken. A quorum was noted to be 15 present.] * * * 16 Introduction of Audience Members 17 18 [President Leinbach requested visitors identify 19 themselves.] 20 * * * Pledge of Allegiance 21 22 [The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.] 23 * * * 24 [Philip M. Leinbach, RA, President addressed the 25 current situation surrounding COVID-19 and reiterated

the Governor's declaration encouraging common sense 1 2 and vigilance with good personal hygiene.] 3 * * * 4 Approval of minutes of the January 9, 2020 meeting 5 PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 6 We have the minutes from our January 9, 7 2020 meeting. Are there any questions, 8 comments, or clarifications? 9 [The Board discussed corrections to the minutes.] 10 Any other revisions to note? Hearing 11 None. Could I have a motion to approve 12 the minutes as amended? MR. ROLLER: 13 14 So moved. 15 PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 16 Mr. Roller moves. Do I hear a second? 17 MR. HILL: 18 Second. 19 PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 20 Mr. Hill seconds. Vote by acclamation. All in favor, aye? Opposed? Any 21 22 abstentions? 23 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 24 25 Report of Board Counsel

4

1 [C. William Fritz II, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted 2 the status of cases. He stated two hearings were 3 scheduled for later in the meeting, and others were 4 scheduled for May.

5 Mr. Fritz addressed the status of the seals 6 regulation, which was at the Office of General Counsel 7 (OGC) for review. The next step for the regulation 8 would be to be put back on the Board's agenda for 9 consideration of the exposure draft, before submission 10 to stakeholders for comments.

Mr. Fritz noted the Board will make a final review of Act 41 before forwarding an exposure draft. There was discussion regarding the different processes for the seals regulation versus Act 41. He expressed his hope that both regulations would be approved by summer.

Mr. Fritz remarked that the continuing education (CE) legislative initiative general revisions were on hold until other priority regulations, including seals and Act 41, move.

President Leinbach noted at least three hearings scheduled for the May meeting. He urged the Board to be mindful of that with regard to scheduling anything else.

25

Mr. Roller inquired about a case the Board sent

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

to an independent hearing examiner. Mr. Fritz stated 1 2 the parties in front of the hearing examiner were 3 suspended until they met certain CE requirements. Нe 4 noted the CE requirements had since been successfully 5 completed.

President Leinbach advised that the case may be 6 7 dismissed and found as though there was a settlement agreement. Mr. Michalowski noted the hearing examiner 8 9 approved what was termed as a conditional dismissal, 10 so the matter could not be dismissed until all terms 11 The terms were met and verification were met. received from third parties, then the hearing examiner 12 13 accepted the conditional dismissal.

Mr. Fritz will provide additional information at 14 15 the next Board meeting.]

* * *

16 17 Report of Prosecutorial Division

18 [Ray Michalowski, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison, 19 addressed reports from other states where applicants 20 are not complying with CE requirements. He explained 21 those individuals are generally marked for future 22 audits. He explained, even if the applicants have 23 repeated violations in another state, they are not 2.4 prosecutable until the Board has CE. 25

Mr. Michalowski noted there have not been many

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

7 criminal or consumer complaints.] 1 * * * 2 3 Regulations/Statute 4 [Philip M. Leinbach, RA, President, referred to Act 5 41, noting he was hopeful the regulation would be 6 moved to the next step in the process. 7 Mr. Fritz stated the fee section should be disregarded as it would be considered separate. 8 Нe 9 noted it may be very similar to the \$50 reciprocal 10 license fee. 11 President Leinbach suggested a statement noting 12 that fees will apply for a reciprocal license. Mr. Fritz was awaiting an official statement from 13 14 Budget and Finance as to whether the fees would be 15 done separately, because the matter was being 16 considered across all Boards. 17 The Board held a discussion regarding the 18 language of Act 41. 19 President Leinbach suggested deleting repetitive 20 language under § 9.71(a)(2)(i). 21 Mr. Fritz noted the sentence in question came 2.2 down from the Governor's Office, so he would have to 23 find out if it could be deleted. He explained every 24 Board must state the requirement of having at least 25 two years of experience in the last five preceding the

1 date of the application.

2 Mr. Hamm referred to § 9.71(a), noting (1) and 3 (2) must both be met.

Mr. Fritz stated the Board should focus on what 4 5 it would accept as competency on a case-by-case basis 6 upon review of the applications. He noted there had 7 not been any applications yet, which fall under Act 8 Mr. Fritz stressed the importance of competency 41. 9 and identifying the state, country or territory the 10 applicant is coming from in determining whether the 11 applicant meets the substantially equivalent 12 requirements of Pennsylvania.

Mr. Roller pointed out that the Act was intended for an individual who has recently moved to Pennsylvania, but that is not stated. He asked whether an applicant who has already been in the state can avoid the normal procedure. Mr. Fritz explained that applicants still have to meet the regular requirements for licensure.

20 Mr. Roller expressed his concerns regarding 21 obtaining an initial licensure versus a reciprocal 22 license. He noted his understanding that Act 41 was 23 intended for someone who recently moved to the state 24 and became a Pennsylvania resident.

25

President Leinbach noted an individual must

1 qualify under Act 41 for it to be their path. He 2 suggested the Act should dictate whether someone 3 qualifies.

The Board further discussed making changes to the Act 41 language to clarify engagement in the practice of architecture and providing documentation of continuing education credits specifically related to architecture in the areas of health, safety, and welfare.

10 Mr. Fritz will amend the section regarding 11 documentation of completion of 24 hours of credits 12 specific to architecture that qualify as to health, 13 safety, and welfare.

President Leinbach and Mr. Fritz discussed requirements under § 9.71(a)(3) that an individual has not been disciplined in the jurisdiction where they were originally issued their license. They also discussed clarification of administrative requirements.

President Leinbach noted § 9.72(a)(3), where it notes an expiration date of one year after the date of issuance. He asked if it should say "of the provisional endorsement license". He also asked whether there should be clarification of issuing a provisional endorsement license for good cause.

> Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

Mr. Fritz suggested the Board maintain some 1 2 discretion on a case-by-case basis. 3 Mr. Hamm inquired about individuals who meet the 4 current reciprocity provision. 5 Mr. Fritz confirmed that it was an additional route to licensure and not an easier avenue. It may 6 7 provide a provisional license until someone meets the 8 required standards. He acknowledged that some states 9 do not measure up to Pennsylvania requirements and the 10 Board would need to determine how to fill that gap.] * * * 11 12 MR. FRITZ: 13 If we are okay with that as amended, we 14 could vote on approving me to put this 15 out as an exposure draft. 16 PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 17 Do I hear a motion to approve as 18 suggested per amendments this morning? 19 MR. HTTT: 20 So moved. 21 PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 2.2 Mr. Hill moves. Is there a second? 23 MS. MCCLENAGHAN: 24 Second. 25 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

11 Ms. McClenaghan with a second. Any 1 further discussion at this time. 2 Can I 3 hear a vote by acclamation? All in favor, aye? Opposed? Any abstentions? 4 5 [The motion carried unanimously] * * * 6 7 Applications 8 [Philip M. Leinbach, RA, President, noted applications 9 for review during Executive Session.] * * * 10 11 Report of Board President 12 [Philip M. Leinbach, RA, President, discussed his attendance at the National Council of Architectural 13 14 Registration Boards Regional Summit in Cambridge, 15 Massachusetts. He noted a new slate of officers was elected. 16 17 George Miller will be the Chair for FY 2020-2021. 18 Edward Tucker from West Virginia will be the Vice 19 Chair/Treasurer. Robert Cozzarelli from New Jersey 20 will be the Secretary. 21 President Leinbach described the session with the 22 Minority Business Enterprise (MBE) from New York State 23 Rob Lopez and representatives from the prosecution 24 division of the New York State Attorney General's 25 Office, as well as the state Attorney General.

He noted there had been discussion regarding a case where an individual created their own seals and was practicing illegally. The individual eventually was convicted and was out on parole.

5 President Leinbach noted the case was an example 6 of the importance of diligence in working with 7 prosecutors.

8 President Leinbach referred to House Bill 1497 9 regarding interior design and requested the American 10 Institute of Architects (AIA) update the Board.

11 Amal Mahrouki, Director of Legislative Affairs, 12 American Institute of Architects Pennsylvania, updated 13 the Board regarding House Bill 1497. She noted the 14 bill was still in committee. Ms. Mahrouki stated AIA 15 and other partners were working together in opposition 16 to the bill. She noted there were still discussions 17 with the chairman of House Consumer Affairs Committee and the Executive Director. Ms. Mahrouki mentioned 18 other organizations opposing the bill, including the 19 20 American Council of Engineering Companies of Pennsylvania (ACEC/PA). 21

Ms. Mahrouki stated Architects Action Day was scheduled for May 5, 2020. She noted her organization was looking to advocate for an extension of funding for school construction in the Commonwealth.

Ms. Mahrouki also discussed House Bill 1887 regarding indemnification, noting AIA was very supportive of that bill and amendments to that bill to include architects, engineers, and design services so architects cannot be liable for another party's negligence.

7 Ms. Mahrouki mentioned a bill introduced by
8 Senator Dan Laughlin to reduce the statute of repose
9 in Pennsylvania from 12 years to 6 years.

10 Stephen M. Swarney, JD, Executive Director, AIA 11 PA, added that the organization works very hard to 12 focus on things that are going to help the 13 professionals. He commended the hard work and 14 dedication from volunteers weighing in on bills 15 bringing issues to AIA subcommittees.

16 Mr. Swarney noted that supporters of House Bill 17 1497 often paint architects as standoffish and 18 unwilling to negotiate. He stated AIA is in constant communication with the national office with other 19 20 states. Mr. Swarney offered to sit down with anyone 21 to compare similar bills in other states with the bill 22 proposed in Pennsylvania. He stated AIA continues to 23 have a strong presence and a strong stakeholder group 24 and assured the Board would receive updates as 25 available.

President Leinbach noted interior designers would have more restrictions under the legislation compared to Pennsylvania's current setup. He expressed a concern that interior design encroaches on the practice of architecture. He stressed that the Board should continue to advocate for public health, safety, and welfare.

8 Mr. Swarney opined there are items in the bill 9 that have not been fully thought out. He noted a 10 number of additional amendments and suggestions.

11 Mr. Hamm requested clarification on the statute of repose. Ms. Mahrouki explained the statute of 12 13 repose was the length of time an architect would be liable for their designs and could be sued for 14 15 She stated architects were being brought damages. 16 into lawsuits for things that are not their fault and 17 not related to the original design. Ms. Mahrouki also 18 noted that Pennsylvania has one of the longest 19 statutes of repose in the country.

Ms. McClenaghan explained, if an architect designs a building, utilizes a pipe with a warranty of two years, and the pipe fails three years in, the architect is responsible for 12 years.

24 Mr. Swarney noted many AIA members close to 25 retirement are forced to cut back on designs due to

> Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

1 the requirement to carry liability insurance for 12 2 years. He suggested six years was a reasonable length 3 of time to discover a design flaw.

Mr. Swarney reminded the Board that AIA has an 4 5 active licensure committee comprised of legal counsel 6 and other AIA members. He noted the committee 7 receives complaints about the unlicensed practice of 8 architecture. He said the committee will consider 9 existing facts, although the committee cannot conduct 10 an official investigation. When it is deemed 11 appropriate, the committee will write a formal 12 complaint.

Mr. Swarney noted, in seven years, he had never learned of an unlicensed practice of architecture being brought before the Board. He stated AIA was reevaluating its process in order to send valid cases to the Board. He stressed AIA's desire to continue to have discussions with the Board offline.

Mr. Fritz cautioned that any discussion offline with the Board should be in general terms and not specific to an individual.

Mr. Fritz reiterated that the Board is complaint driven and must be aware of an unlicensed practice. He encouraged people to reach out to the Board Prosecution Liaison for clarification.

> Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

Mr. Swarney assured the Board that AIA would 1 2 never discuss specifics with anyone and will reach out 3 to its current resources. 4 Mr. Roller requested Prosecution Liaison, Mr. 5 Michalowski, to comment on why these reports do not come before the Board. Mr. Michalowski stated, under 6 7 the current case law, these cases are not 8 prosecutable.] * * * 9 10 [K. Kalonji Johnson, Acting Commissioner, entered the 11 meeting at 9:38 a.m.] * * * 12 13 [President Leinbach suggested AIA reach out to previous Board members for their understanding at the 14 15 time. Pennsylvania boards are very insulated from the process, which is a great benefit to us to board 16 17 members. The Board must trust the prosecution 18 division. Members of the Board, who work with the 19 prosecution division, would have to recuse themselves 20 from the final decision on the matter. 21 Mr. Swarney noted that AIA wants to be a good 22 partner and do what is right.] 23 * * * 24 Report of Acting Commissioner 25 [K. Kalonji Johnson, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of

Professional and Occupational Affairs, updated the 1 Board on new directives from the Governor's Office 2 3 with regard to the COVID-19 situation. He noted out-4 of-state travel was suspended and all travel requests 5 would be reviewed with a higher level of scrutiny. 6 He reminded the Board that the Department of 7 Health COVID-19 FAQ page has been linked to the homepages of all Boards and Commissions. He urged 8 9 Board members to check their emails on a regular 10 basis.1 * * * 11 12 [President Leinbach noted a potential individual to 13 fill Mr. Carney's seat.] * * * 14 15 [The Board recessed from 9:58 a.m. until 10:12 a.m.] * * * 16 17 [K. Kalonji Johnson, Acting Commissioner, exited the 18 meeting at 10:12 a.m.] * * * 19 20 [A Formal Hearing was held from 10:15 a.m. until 21 11:00 a.m. in the case of the Commonwealth of 22 Pennsylvania Bureau of Professional and Occupational 23 Affairs v. Joseph Kelly J. Kelly, Case No. 19-41-24 016917.] 25 * * *

[A Formal Hearing was held from 11:04 a.m. until 1 2 11:28 a.m. in the case of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Bureau of Professional and Occupational 3 4 Affairs v. Mohamed A. Wael, Case No. 20-41-000698.] * * * 5 6 NCARB Board of Directors Activity Update 7 [Mr. Hamm noted a Board brief was provided. Mr. Hamm reported on an email from the NCARB CEO 8 9 that he and Board President, Terry Allers, made the 10 decision to forego any face-to-face interactions, 11 school outreach, licensing forums, et cetera, for at least 30 days. He noted there had been no decision 12 13 regarding the scheduled April Board of Directors 14 meeting in Asheville, North Carolina.] * * * 15 16 NCARB Region 2 Activity Update 17 [President Leinbach provided the Board with a draft of 18 the bylaws and rules update, which had been reviewed 19 at regional meeting. He noted some minor revisions 20 and hoped the updated version would be issued by the 21 end of March in advance of the annual meeting. 2.2 President Leinbach reported on the following 23 contested offices and candidates: Public member, 24 Gary Ey from Maryland and Mark A. Glenn from New 25 Mexico; Secretary, Stephen D. Schrieber from

18

19 Massachusetts, Kenneth R. Van Tine from Michigan, and 1 Mary Morrisette from Colorado. 2 3 The Board discussed the candidates. Mr. Hill 4 noted a preference for Mr. Van Tine as a more 5 practice-oriented candidate. 6 Mr. Hamm noted that his opinions were on behalf 7 of his involvement with the state board rather than 8 the national board. He concurred with Mr. Hill, 9 noting that Mr. Van Tine has experience serving in the regional system and on the regional board. 10 He also 11 noted that Mr. Ey has more experience. 12 Mr. Roller stated the Board should consider Ms. 13 Morrisette as she is very well-spoken.] 14 * * * 15 [President Leinbach inquired of the Board members 16 interest in attending the annual NCARB meeting in 17 June. He noted that Mr. Hamm and himself would attend 18 as they are on the National Board and would be funded 19 by NCARB, instead of the State. There was discussion 20 regarding funding. NCARB would fund two members and 21 two delegates; Region 2 would fund one attendee. 2.2 Mr. Roller, Mr. Hill and Ms. McClenaghan 23 indicated their interest in attending.] 24 PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 25 Can I hear a motion to approve attendance

at the NCARB Annual Business Meeting for 1 2 all members of the Board, professional 3 members and our one public member? MR. HILL: 4 5 So moved. 6 PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 7 Mr. Hill moves. Second? 8 MR. HAMM: 9 Second. 10 PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 11 Mr. Hamm seconds. All in favor, aye? 12 Opposed? Any abstentions? 13 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 14 15 [The Board discussed a delegate for the meeting to 16 vote on behalf of the State of Pennsylvania at the 17 national meeting. There would be three resolutions 18 and elections for candidates.] 19 PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 20 Do I hear a nomination? 21 MR. HAMM: 22 Mr. President, I nominate Phil Leinbach 23 to be our voting delegate. 24 PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 25 Thank you, Mr. Hamm.

21 MR. ROLLER: 1 2 Second. 3 PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 4 Second by Mr. Roller. Any discussion? 5 All in favor, aye? Any opposed? Any 6 abstentions? 7 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 8 9 Code Officials Outreach 10 [Mr. Roller offered to contact officials to schedule a 11 meeting possibly this summer. 12 President Leinbach noted a number of state boards had created a code officials handbook. He suggested 13 14 it may be helpful to have an example for the 15 Pennsylvania board. Mr. Roller noted a NCARB form for an annual 16 17 report that is being used by other states. It may be 18 useful to provide something similar to the legislature 19 as an example of the practices of the profession.] * * * 20 21 Board Newsletter 22 [Mr. Roller requested Ms. Li collect prosecutorial 23 information and a list of licensees since the last 24 newsletter. He noted the goal was to release a new 25 newsletter in June.]

22 * * * 1 2 Architecture Schools Engagement 3 [President Leinbach suggested delaying those efforts in light of the current travel ban.] 4 * * * 5 6 Proposed Resolutions 7 [President Leinbach mentioned Resolution 2020(a) providing better parameters to guide the Board 8 9 regarding education evaluation and 2020(c), the update 10 of Model Law and regulations. 11 The Board discussed Resolution 2020(b) to strike a resolution passed in 2000 that opposed licensing of 12 13 interior designers. President Leinbach stressed 14 NCARB's position that it was a states rights issue and 15 the Board should not take an active role opposing or advocating for interior design. 16 17 Mr. Roller expressed opposition to licensing of 18 interior designers in Pennsylvania. He did not 19 believe interior design was involved in the health, 20 safety, and welfare of the public. 21 President Leinbach expressed his understanding of 2.2 Mr. Roller's reservations. He explained that striking 23 the old resolution was not intended to be an 2.4 endorsement for licensure of interior designers. Ms. 25 McClenaghan voiced her agreement with Mr. Roller. She

1 noted interior designers do not have the same level of 2 education or experience. She also noted a concern 3 regarding whether NCARB would make money from people 4 who pay for certification.

5 President Leinbach noted there was no indication 6 that NCARB would be involved in the licensing or 7 certification of interior designers.

8 Mr. Hill agreed licensing of interior designers 9 did not belong in NCARB's purview. He understood both 10 sides of the argument and needed time to consider the 11 matter further. He stressed the importance of 12 clarifying that neither NCARB nor the Board was 13 advocating licensing of interior designers.

Mr. Hough noted the example of registering home improvement contractors. He stated registering professionals was a different standard than licensure.

17

Mr. Michalowski noted the difference between a 18 19 professional association and an association of boards. 20 He cautioned the Board regarding taking a position 21 that could be interpreted as reflecting bias.] * * * 2.2 23 Report of Board Administrator - No Report * * * 24 25 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at

12:12 p.m. the Board entered into Executive Session 1 with C. William Fritz II, Esquire, Board Counsel, for 2 3 the purpose of quasi-judicial deliberations. The 4 Board returned to open session at 12:42 p.m.] * * * 5 6 MOTIONS 7 MR. FRITZ: The Board met in executive session and 8 9 conducted quasi-judicial deliberations 10 on the following matters: The Board would entertain a motion 11 12 directing Board Counsel to draft a final 13 order for the Commonwealth v. Joseph J. 14 Kelly, Case No. 19-41-016917. 15 PRESIDENT LEINBACH: Do I hear a motion? 16 17 MR. HILL: 18 So moved. 19 PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 20 Second? 21 MR. ROLLER: 22 Second. 23 PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 24 Mr. Roller. All those in favor, aye? 25 Opposed? Four yes, two no.

1 [The motion carried. Ms. McClenaghan and Mr. Hamm 2 opposed the motion.] 3 * * * 4 MR. FRITZ: 5 The Board would entertain a motion 6 directing Board counsel to issue a Final 7 Order in the matter of the Commonwealth 8 v. Wael A. Mohamed, Case No. 20-41-9 000698. 10 PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 11 Do I hear a motion? MR. HILL: 12 13 So moved. 14 PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 15 Mr. Hill. Second? MR. ROLLER: 16 17 Second. PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 18 19 Mr. Roller. All in favor, aye? 20 Opposed? Any abstentions? Four yes, 21 two no. 22 [The motion carried. Ms. McClenaghan and Mr. Hamm 23 opposed the motion.] * * * 24 25 MR. FRITZ:

The Board would entertain a motion 1 2 provisionally denying the reciprocal 3 license application of Andrew Allshouse. PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 4 5 Do I hear a motion? 6 MR. ROLLER: 7 So moved. 8 PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 9 Mr. Roller moves. Second? 10 MR. HILL: 11 Second. 12 PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 13 Mr. Hill. All those in favor, aye? Any 14 opposed? 15 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 16 MR. FRITZ: 17 18 The Board would entertain a motion 19 granting the reciprocal licensing 20 application of Michael Enz. 21 PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 22 Do I hear a motion? 23 MR. HILL: 24 So moved. 25 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

27 1 Mr. Hill moves. Second? 2 MR. ROLLER: 3 Second. 4 PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 5 Mr. Roller seconds. All those in favor, 6 aye? Any opposed? Five yes, one no. 7 [The motion carried. Ms. McClenaghan opposed the 8 motion.] 9 * * * MR. FRITZ: 10 11 The Board would entertain a motion 12 approving the reciprocal licensing 13 application of Simon Jonathan Smithson. 14 PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 15 Do I hear a motion? 16 MR. HILL: 17 So moved. PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 18 19 Mr. Hill. Second? 20 MR. ROLLER: 21 Second. 22 PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 23 Mr. Roller seconds. All those in favor, 24 aye? Any opposed? 25 [The motion carried unanimously.]

* * * 1 2 MR. FRITZ: 3 The Board would entertain a motion provisionally denying the ARE 4 5 application of Ola Alkudsi. 6 PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 7 Do I hear a motion? 8 MR. ROLLER: 9 So moved. 10 PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 11 Mr. Roller. Second? MR. HOUGH: 12 13 Second. 14 PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 15 Second from Mr. Hough. All those in 16 favor, aye? Opposed? Six yes. No 17 votes, none. 18 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 19 20 MR. FRITZ: 21 Regarding the ARE application of Owen 22 Carr, the Board would entertain a motion 23 scheduling Mr. Carr for an informal 24 conference. 25 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

1 Do I hear a motion? 2 MR. HILL: 3 So moved. PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 4 Mr. Hill motions. Second? 5 6 MR. ROLLER: 7 Second. 8 PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 9 Mr. Roller. All those in favor, aye? 10 Any opposed? 11 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 12 13 MR. FRITZ: 14 The Board would entertain a motion 15 granting the ARE testing accommodation 16 submitted by Kristin Pool. 17 MR. HAMM: 18 So moved. 19 PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 20 Mr. Hamm moves. Second? 21 MR. HILL: 22 Second. 23 PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 24 Mr. Hill seconds. All those in favor, 25 aye? Any opposed?

30 [The motion carried unanimously.] 1 * * * 2 3 Adjournment 4 PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 5 Motion to adjourn? 6 MR. HILL: 7 So moved. 8 PRESIDENT LEINBACH: Mr. Hill. Second? 9 10 MR. ROLLER: 11 Second. 12 PRESIDENT LEINBACH: 13 Mr. Roller. Have a great day. * * * 14 15 [There being no further business, the State Architects 16 Licensure Board Meeting adjourned at 12:46 p.m.] 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

	31
1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	
4	I hereby certify that the foregoing summary
5	minutes of the State Architects Licensure Board
6	meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my
7	supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize
8	the substance of the State Architects Licensure Board
9	meeting.
10	
11	
12	- gn
13	Hyun Soo Lee,
14	Minute Clerk
15	Sargent's Court Reporting
16	Service, Inc.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

1 2 2		STATE ARCHITECTS LICENSURE BOARD REFERENCE INDEX
2 3 4 5 6		March 12, 2020
6 7 8	TIME	AGENDA
9	9:01	Official Call to Order
10 11 12	9:01	Roll Call/Introduction of Audience Members
13 14 15	9:02	Pledge of Allegiance
16	9:03	Approval of Minutes
17 18	9 : 04	Report of Board Counsel
19 20	9 : 10	Report of Prosecutorial Division
21 22	9 : 15	Regulations/Statute
23 24	9:33	Applications
25 26	9:34	Report of Board President
27 28 29	9 : 48	Report of Acting Commissioner
29 30 31 32	9:58 10:12	Recess Return to Open Session
33 34 35	10:15 11:00	Formal Hearing - Joseph J. Kelly
36 37 38	11:04 11:28	Formal Hearing - Mohamed A. Wael
39 40	11:29	Report of Board President (Continued)
41 42	12:12	Report of Board Administrator
43	12:12	Executive Session
44 45	12:42	Return to Open Session
46 47	12:42	Motions
48 49 50	12 : 46	Adjournment