## State Board of Veterinary Medicine March 19, 2021

## BOARD MEMBERS:

Thomas Garg, V.M.D., Chairman
Theodore Stauffer, Executive Secretary, Bureau of
Professional and Occupational Affairs, on behalf of
K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of
Professional and Occupational Affairs
Joseph S. Bender D.V.M.
Anjilla Cooley, D.V.M.
Apryle Horbal, V.M.D.
Valerie Kehoe, C.V.T.
Andrew Nebzydoski, V.M.D., Secretary

## BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel Peter D. Kovach, Esquire, Senior Prosecutor in Charge Christopher K. McNally, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Michelle Roberts, Board Administrator

 3

1

2 State Board of Veterinary Medicine

March 19, 2021

\* \* \*

3

4

[Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 9:00 a.m. the Board entered into executive session with Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel, for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations and to receive advice from counsel on the matters upon

10 which the Board will later vote. The Board returned

11 to open session at 10:30 a.m.]

12

13 [Theodore Stauffer, Executive Secretary, Bureau of
14 Professional and Occupational Affairs, reminded
15 everyone that the meeting was being recorded, and

16 those who remained on the line were giving their

17 | consent to be recorded.]

18

The regularly scheduled meeting of the State

Board of Veterinary Medicine was held on Friday, March

21 19, 2021. Thomas Garg, V.M.D., Chair, called the

22 meeting to order at 10:32 a.m.

23 \*\*\*

24 Roll Call

25 [A roll call of Board members was taken by Mr. Davis.]

\* \* \* 1 2 Approval of minutes of the January 22, 2021 meeting 3 CHAIR GARG: 4 The first item on the agenda today is 5 simply the approval of the minutes from 6 last time. Does anybody have any questions or issues with the minutes as 8 they were circulated? 9 DR. NEBZYDOSKI: 10 I make a motion to accept the meeting 11 minutes. 12 MS. KEHOE: 13 I second. CHAIR GARG: 14 15 Any further discussion? 16 17 Dr. Bender, aye; Dr. Cooley, aye; Dr. 18 Horbal, aye; Ms. Kehoe, aye; Dr. 19 Nebzydoski, aye; Dr. Garg, aye. 20 [The motion carried unanimously.] \* \* \* 21 22 Report of Prosecutorial Division 23 [Peter D. Kovach, Esquire, Senior Prosecutor in 24 Charge, on behalf of Julia A. Feld-Caralle, Esquire, 25 Board Prosecution Liaison, presented the Consent

5 Agreement for Case No. 20-57-010180.] 1 2 MR. DAVIS: 3 Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 9 a.m. the Board 4 5 entered into executive session for the 6 purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations and to receive advice of counsel on the matters upon which the 9 Board will now and later vote. 10 Number 2 on the agenda is Case No. 20-57-010180. 11 Based on the Board's discussions in 12 1.3 executive session, I believe the chair 14 would accept a motion to approve the 15 Consent Agreement in the following matter: Case No. 20-57-010180. 16 17 CHAIR GARG: 18 Would somebody like to make that motion? 19 DR. BENDER: 20 I motion to accept. 21 MS. KEHOE: 22 I second. 2.3 CHAIR GARG: 2.4 Any further discussion? Roll call. 25

```
6
1
                  Dr. Bender, aye; Dr. Cooley, aye; Dr.
2
                  Horbal, aye; Ms. Kehoe, aye; Dr.
3
                  Nebzydoski, aye; Dr. Garg, aye.
4
   The motion carried unanimously. Case No. 20-57-
5
   010180 is Commonwealth BPOA v. Brock Hohman.]
                              * * *
6
7
   Report of Board Chair - No Report
9
   Report of Commissioner - No Report
10
11
   Report of Board Counsel - Regulations
12
   [Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted prior
1.3
   discussion of Act 53 regarding the impact of criminal
14
   convictions on licensure, where the Board worked on
15
   crimes "directly" related to the profession at an
16
   earlier meeting.
17
        Mr. Davis referred to § 3116(a) of Act 53,
18
   stating that within 180 days of the effective date of
19
   the subsection, the Department of State shall, in
20
   collaboration with the licensing boards and licensing
21
   commissions, develop a quide of best practices for an
22
   applicant with a criminal conviction to use when
23
   seeking a license, certificate, registration, or
24
   permit. He informed the Board that the best practices
25
   quide had been posted to the Board website under Act
```

53 Best Practices Guide.

Mr. Davis provided a Regulatory Status Report for the Board's review and addressed 16A-5726 regarding euthanasia. He noted the regulation should be passed to the Office of General Counsel (OGC) and the Attorney General for review in the next week or so.

Mr. Davis updated the Board regarding Act 41, noting he has to complete the Regulatory Analysis Form (RAF), which should be moving very shortly.

Mr. Davis addressed House Bill 64 of 2020 that was passed and signed by the Governor to become Act 116 of 2020. He stated Act 116 empowers the boards to carryover continuing education credits in excess of the number required for biennial renewal.

Ms. Roberts commented that the biggest issue is if an individual is audited and have continuing education (CE) carryover, the individual would have to be audited for the previous period. She stated the statute only requires retention of records for 5 years, so the individual may have to be audited for 4 periods back and not have the records.

Mr. Davis reported on presenting carryover to the Board of Physical Therapy, but the PT Board decided against it due to the administrative problems. He noted the carryover is valid for one biennial renewal

1 term only, and all of the boards and commissions have
2 the power to utilize the carryover.

Chair Garg questioned whether Ms. Roberts believed carryover to be problematic for people.

2.2

2.4

Ms. Roberts stated it could be an issue for other boards but did not appear to be a big issue for the Board of Veterinary Medicine. She discussed the inherent difficulties related to tracking and auditing live versus onlinecontinuing education.

Dr. Horbal suggested waiting and revisiting the issue in a year or 2 after things get back to a more normal state. She mentioned that her opinion would hinge a bit on what is decided with other discussion regarding regulations because of the burden travel and in-person conference fees have on some continuing education.

Chair Garg suggested taking the carryover under advisement but to hold off on further action. He requested Mr. Davis and Ms. Roberts bring it to the Board's attention upon receiving many inquiries or it becoming an issue.

Mr. Davis referred to § 31.15(b) regarding continuing education, where no more than 25% of a licensee's continuing education hours may be earned by taking individual study or written or computer-based

correspondence courses.

2.0

Mr. Davis noted waivers and suspensions approved by the Governor over the course of the last year due to the pandemic, including a suspension affecting the number of continuing education credits that may be earned through distance education or distance learning. He questioned whether or not the Board would be interested amending the Board's regulations to permanently remove the online continuing education limit imposed by § 31.15(b).

Chair Garg stated the Act defines the hours required for CE and the content of CE and duplicated in the regulations, but the Act says nothing whatsoever about the online CE percentage and is a matter the Board has the ability to address. He noted a concern in the past that CE online was of lesser value or lesser quality, so there was a regulation in place that limited the amount of CE that could be taken online.

Chair Garg questioned whether the Board felt it necessary to continue to limit the percentage of online CE allowed, or some value to requiring inperson CE that outweighs the expense and burden put on individuals requiring them to travel and attend inperson meetings after snow knowing what can actually

be done online in terms of content and quality of CE.

Dr. Nebzydoski commented that there was no place for the Board to deny CE credit based on whether it was in-person or online, regardless of the pandemic, as long as the CE course is an approved course. He stated the Board should make it as easy as possible to keep licensees current with less burden.

Dr. Horbal agreed and supported changing the rule to allow people access to better quality CE and information.

Chair Garg commented that the regulations should be adjusted to allow the CE online, noting only a single line in the regulation would need to be removed.

Mr. Davis stated the phrasing requires a little bit of discussion, because the subsection says "a maximum of 25% of the hours may be earned by taking individual study or written or computer-based correspondence courses". He noted a concern with just deleting the reference to "computer-based," because doing so would leave the current limit on "individual study or written," which then could still potentially encompass computer-based correspondence courses.

Chair Garg did not perceive the necessity of limiting any form of CE to 25% because the Board has

1 the option to not approve programs that were not
2 appropriate.

Dr. Cooley requested information concerning subsection b's reference to "individual study".

Mr. McNally explained that peer review journals have quizzes on the articles that give CE hours after reading the article and completing the quiz.

Mr. Davis suggested removing all of subsection

(b) and believed there would be considerable time

savings and cost savings for the licensure base. He

believed that the Board's decision to do so would be

met with approval by the Independent Regulatory Review

Commission (IRRC) and OGC and he offered to draft a

proposed regulation annex for the Board's review.

Mr. Davis suggested the Board review the remaining Board regulations related to continuing education between now and the next meeting for anything else that could be tweaked or made better while removing subsection (b).]

20 \*\*\*

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.3

2.4

25

21 Report of Board Counsel - Adjudication and Order 22 MR. DAVIS:

All of the adjudications were discussed in executive session prior to the Board meeting.

12 1 Number 6 on the Board's agenda is 2 Case No. 16-57-04014, the Commonwealth 3 v. James Temple, D.V.M. Based on the Board's discussions in 4 5 executive session, I believe the chair 6 would accept a motion to deny the respondent's Motion for Oral Argument in the following matter: Commonwealth BPOA 9 v. James Temple, D.V.M., Case No. 16-57-10 01014. CHAIR GARG: 11 12 Would somebody like to make that motion? MS. KEHOE: 13 14 I'll make the motion. 15 DR. COOLEY: I'll second. 16 17 CHAIR GARG: 18 Any further discussion? Roll call vote. 19 20 Dr. Bender, aye; Dr. Cooley, aye; Dr. 21 Horbal, aye; Ms. Kehoe, aye; Dr. 22 Nebzydoski, aye; Dr. Garg, aye. 2.3 [The motion carried unanimously.]

25 MR. DAVIS:

2.4

\* \* \*

13 Based on the Board's discussions in 1 2 executive session, I believe the chair 3 would accept a motion to adopt the 4 proposed adjudication and to direct 5 counsel to issue a Memorandum and Order 6 disposing of the excerpts filed in the following matter: Commonwealth BPOA v. James Temple, D.V.M., Case No. 16-57-9 04014. 10 CHAIR GARG: 11 Would somebody like to make that motion? 12 DR. BENDER: I'll make the motion. 13 14 DR. COOLEY: I'll second. 15 16 CHAIR GARG: 17 Any further discussion? Roll call. 18 19 Dr. Bender, aye; Dr. Cooley, aye; Dr. 20 Horbal, aye; Ms. Kehoe, aye; Dr. 21 Nebzydoski, aye; Dr. Garg, aye. 22 [The motion carried unanimously.] 2.3 24 Report of Board Counsel - Miscellaneous 25 [Mr. Davis directed the Board's attention to number 7

on its agenda.]

2 MR. DAVIS:

1

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

This was a case in which the Board approved the Consent Agreement in September of last year. The Consent Agreement had a stipulation that a certain number of continuing education credits had to be obtained within six months of the adoption or approval of that Consent Agreement.

I was contacted in January of this year by counsel for this respondent, and counsel suggested she needed some more time due to a medical issue.

The Board chair was kind enough to suggest it should be approved and had to be done outside of a Board meeting due to time constraints. Today, the issue is put before the full Board, and I would suggest at this point the chair would accept a motion to ratify the chairperson's decision to extend the remedial education deadline in Case No. 17-57-07257 by three months.

CHAIR GARG:

15 Would somebody like to make that motion? 1 2 DR. NEBZYDOSKI: 3 I'll make the motion. MS. KEHOE: 4 5 I'll second. 6 CHAIR GARG: Any further discussion? Roll call. 8 Dr. Bender, aye; Dr. Cooley, aye; Dr. 10 Horbal, aye; Ms. Kehoe, aye; Dr. 11 Nebzydoski, aye; Dr. Garg, aye. 12 [The motion carried unanimously.] \* \* \* 13 MR. DAVIS: 14 15 Number 8 on the agenda is Case No. 20-16 57-009539. This does not require a 17 vote. It is a Petition for Reinstatement. I informed the Board 18 that the Petition for Reinstatement in 19 2.0 this case has been withdrawn, so the 2.1 case file will be closed in that matter. \* \* \* 22 2.3 Miscellaneous 24 [Thomas Garg, V.M.D., Chairman, noted the remaining 25 2021 meeting dates.

16

```
Ms. Kehoe questioned whether anyone else is
1
   interested in attending the American Association of
2
3
   Veterinary State Boards (AAVSB) Meeting. She noted
4
   AAVSB is paying for two Board members to go at no
5
   charge.
6
        Chair Garg questioned whether there was a travel
   ban for state business. Mr. Stauffer reported that
   there currently was an out-of-state in-person travel
   ban.
10
        Chair Garg requested the American Association of
11
   Veterinary State Boards Meeting be placed on the
   agenda for further discussion at the next meeting.]
12
13
                              * * *
14
   Adjournment
15
   CHAIR GARG:
16
                  Would somebody like to make a motion to
17
                  adjourn?
   DR. COOLEY:
18
19
                  I'll make a motion to adjourn.
2.0
   CHAIR GARG:
2.1
                  Thank you all for attending. Be safe.
22
                  See you all in a few months.
2.3
   [There was no second to the motion.]
2.4
25
   [There being no further business, the State Board of
```

Veterinary Medicine Meeting adjourned at 11:22 a.m.] CERTIFICATE I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Veterinary Medicine meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Veterinary Medicine Meeting. Minute Clerk Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc.