State Board of Examiners in Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology June 3, 2022

BOARD MEMBERS:

Amy S. Goldman, MS, CCC-SLP, Chair
Arion Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of
Professional and Occupational Affairs
Sarah E. Delano, M.Ed., CCC-A, Vice Chair
Lisa Butler, M.A., CCC-SLP
Andrew A. McCall, M.D., FACS

Patrick M. Murphy, Au.D., M.Ed., CCC-A, FAAA

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Judith Pachter Schulder, Esquire, Board Counsel Carolyn A. DeLaurentis, Deputy Chief Counsel, Prosecution Division
Paul J. Jarabeck, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecutor Timothy J. Henderson, Esquire, Board Prosecution

24 Liaison 25 Sarah E.

Sarah E. McNeill, Board Administrator Christina Townley, Board Administrator Andrew LaFratte, MPA, Executive Policy Specialist, Department of State Eli Yemzow, Intern, Department of State

ALSO PRESENT:

Jennifer Smeltz, Republican Executive Director, Senate Consumer Protection & Professional Licensure Committee

Angie Armbrust, Senior Associate, McNees-Winter Group LLC, representing the Pennsylvania Speech-Language-Hearing Association James Shafer, Au.D., Vice President of Government

Affairs, Pennsylvania Academy of Audiology
Nancy Carlino, MA, CCC-SLP, President, Pennsylvania
Speech-Language-Hearing Association

Seth Rolko, Democratic Executive Director, Senate Consumer Protection & Professional Licensure Committee

* * * 1 2 State Board of Examiners in Speech-Language 3 Pathology and Audiology June 3, 2022 4 * * * 5 6 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(1) and 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 10:00 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session with Judith Pachter Schulder, Esquire, Board Counsel, for the purpose of conducting 10 quasi-judicial deliberations on the matters on the 11 agenda and to receive advice of Board counsel. The 12 Board returned to open session at 10:30 a.m.] * * * 13 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State 14 15 Board of Examiners in Speech-Language Pathology and 16 Audiology was held on Friday, June 3, 2022. Amy S. 17 Goldman, MS, CCC-SLP, Chair, called the meeting to order at 10:31 a.m. 18 * * * 19 20 Introduction of Board Members/Attendees 21 [Christina Townley, Board Administrator, provided an 22 introduction of Board members and attendees.]

23

24 Adoption of Agenda

25 CHAIR GOLDMAN:

```
May I have a motion to adopt the agenda?
1
2
   DR. MURPHY:
3
                  I'll move to approve the agenda and also
 4
                  include public comment just before
5
                  adjournment.
6
   CHAIR GOLDMAN:
                  Is there a second?
   MS. DELANO:
9
                  Second.
10
   CHAIR GOLDMAN:
11
                  Roll call.
12
13
                  Goldman, aye; Claggett, aye; Delano,
14
                  aye; Butler, aye; McCall, aye; Murphy,
15
                  aye.
16
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
17
   Approval of minutes of the March 25, 2022 meeting
18
   CHAIR GOLDMAN:
19
20
                  May I have a motion to approve the draft
21
                  minutes from our March 25 meeting?
22
   ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:
2.3
                  So moved.
2.4
   CHAIR GOLDMAN:
25
                  Is there a second?
```

5 1 DR. MURPHY: 2 Second. 3 CHAIR GOLDMAN: 4 Any discussion? 5 6 Goldman, aye; Claggett, aye; Delano, aye; Butler, aye; McCall, abstain; 8 Murphy, aye. [The motion carried. Andrew McCall abstained from 10 voting on the motion.] * * * 11 12 Report of Prosecutorial Division 13 [Timothy J. Henderson, Esquire, Board Prosecutor, 14 noted agenda item 10 at Case No. 22-68-001733 has been 15 tabled till the next meeting. He stated items 2 16 through 9 all deal with continuing education 17 deficiencies. 18 Mr. Henderson presented the Consent Agreement for 19 Case No. 22-68-001707, where the Respondent was 20 deficient 17 hours and discipline was recommended in 21 violation of § 45.501(a) of the regulations with a 22 civil penalty of \$1,700 and required to make up the 23 deficiency in the continuing education hours within 2.4 the next 6 months. 25 Ms. Pachter Schulder stated the Board is

requesting information as to whether the licensees completed the number of continuing education hours required under the regulations.

2.2

2.3

Mr. Henderson noted some respondents completed the total continuing education credits and others were confused as to which hours applied.

Ms. Pachter Schulder explained the Board is interested in learning the areas of confusion and whether there is a way the next time around to eliminate any confusion. She asked for the kind of courses people took that may not have been acceptable or whether there is a larger problem where people are certifying they completed the continuing education but did not complete the hours.

Mr. Henderson mentioned that some of the confusion was regarding which hours are approved and not approved by the Board. He noted an issue where some respondents believed that continuing education or the hours necessary to keep their American Speech-Language-Hearing Association (ASHA) certification versus having sponsored or approved ASHA continuing education were acceptable.

Chair Goldman commented that Act 48 hours are required by the Pennsylvania Department of Education for maintenance of their certification for speech-

language pathologists, which is 180 Act 48 hours over 5 years, and believed that is part of the level of confusion for practitioners in the schools.

1.3

2.1

2.2

2.4

Ms. Pachter Schulder asked Mr. Henderson whether that is seen as an issue with licensees by way of the audit. She stated the Board has told licensees and posted information that Act 48 credits are not acceptable.

Mr. Henderson noted one Respondent did a large amount of Act 48 hours thinking they were approved sponsor hours under the rules and regulations.

Mr. Henderson presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 22-68-000330, where the Respondent was deficient 11.5 hours and agreed to voluntarily surrender their license and retire from the profession.

Mr. Henderson presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 22-68-000344, where the Respondent was deficient 18 hours and recommended discipline of \$1,800 plus having to make up the deficiency in 6 months.

Mr. Henderson presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 22-68-001710, where the Respondent was deficient 13 hours and recommended discipline was a \$1,300 civil penalty and making up the deficiency in

the next 6 months.

1.3

2.1

2.4

Mr. Henderson presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 22-68-001818, where the Respondent was deficient 12.5 hours and recommended discipline was \$1,250 with the deficiency to be made up in 6 months.

Mr. Henderson presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 22-68-001821, where the Respondent was deficient 16.5 hours and recommended discipline was \$1,650 with the deficiency to be made up in 6 months.

Mr. Henderson presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 22-68-002729, where the Respondent was deficient 12 hours and recommended discipline was \$1,200 with the deficiency to be made up in 6 months.

Mr. Henderson presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 22-68-002716, where the Respondent was deficient 18 hours and recommended discipline was \$1,800 with the deficiency to be made up in 6 months.

Mr. Henderson retracted a statement that was actually for another case that had the Act 48 issue and apologized for the misunderstanding.

Ms. Pachter Schulder commented that all of the individuals verified completion of the required number of continuing education hours when filing their renewal.

Ms. Pachter Schulder asked Mr. Henderson to

explain why the Commonwealth charges failure to complete continuing education (CE) and not practice fraud or deceit by way of lying on the application.

2.4

Mr. Henderson explained that the Commonwealth decided not to charge the additional count because they provided a letter of explanation that it was not intentional, and it was their first offense.

Chair Goldman requested prosecution provide the courses found not acceptable in a future report to help the Board have a better understanding of the issues.

Ms. Pachter Schulder also asked prosecution to make a report to the Board about the kind of courses people may have taken that were deemed not acceptable following completion of their audit so the Board has a better way of teaching licensees, along with no practice management and no Act 48.

Mr. Henderson addressed a common confusion, where individuals believe the hours used for ASHA membership and their American Academy of Audiology (AAA) certification were approved or sponsored hours required under the rules and regulations and believed that ASHA's approval to maintain membership was the same approval necessary for the Board.

Ms. Pachter Schulder suggested the Board

- 1 encourage professional associations, especially around
- 2 | renewal time, to remind licensees of requirements
- 3 | because it is not those who are unaffiliated who are
- 4 | confusing their certifications with professional
- 5 associations. She noted PSHA, AAA, and the
- 6 | Pennsylvania Academy of Audiology (PAA) may be able to
- 7 send something out to their members.
- 8 Chair Goldman asked whether there was any
- 9 evidence of additional hours to satisfy Board
- 10 requirements in any of the cases.
- 11 Mr. Henderson noted no additional hours were
- 12 provided.
- 13 Ms. Delano asked whether the audit is ongoing or
- 14 done for this renewal period.
- 15 Mr. Henderson explained that it is basically a
- 16 random audit conducted by the Board to determine
- 17 whether the hours are approved or not and then
- 18 submitted to the prosecution division to review the
- 19 situation to determine whether or not there is a
- 20 deficiency worth pursuing. He also noted this to be
- 21 the sum result of the audit unless there is another
- 22 audit of the same person.
- Ms. Pachter Schulder noted the sum of the total
- 24 is individuals just did not provide more
- 25 documentation, and the Board may not need to spend any

more time on the confusion issue short of an email blast to licensees when the renewal comes out telling them to understand the difference between the two.

2.0

2.4

Mr. Henderson stated the most common issue was with maintaining ASHA certification membership or AAA membership versus what is actually approved by the Board.

Ms. Butler noted the importance of individuals paying attention to different timelines for different regulations and different things for the state and ASHA.

Dr. Murphy addressed ASHA and AAA Board certification as opposed to the Pennsylvania licensing requirement to maintain a license and agreed with Ms. Butler, where one is 2 years and one is 3 years. He also noted there are certain courses that are going to be approved for ASHA Board certification that may not apply to a Pennsylvania license for speech or audiology.

Mr. Henderson believed the issue comes down to application because some of the individuals believe they completed the continuing education credits by maintaining or trying to keep their ASHA and AAA membership, but it is whether or not those ASHA and AAA credit hours used to maintain their membership

apply or are approved by the Board.

Chair Goldman commented that ASHA confuses the pot by having two tiers of continuing education credits that are different, including continuing education units (CEUs) and certificate maintenance hours (CMHs). She implored the professional associations and everybody to tell their friends to be careful in the upcoming biennial period to look at what they have so far and not wait until the end of July to figure out what they need to remedy their situation.

Chair Goldman thanked Mr. Henderson for his report.

Nancy Carlino, MA, CCC-SLP, President,

Pennsylvania Speech-Language-Hearing Association,

stated that PSHA has information on their website

regarding the different types of continuing education

that is required and is regularly updated. She

mentioned having town hall meetings and discussion at

their annual business meeting and that PSHA is

planning additional town halls in person at various

parts of the state and having them available to

members virtually.

Ms. Carlino noted PSHA can send email blasts out to members periodically to make sure everyone

1 understands and that information is always online.

2 | She noted being open to any suggestions about other

3 things PSHA can do to disseminate the information.

4 James Shafer, Au.D., Vice President of

5 Governmental Affairs, Pennsylvania Academy of

6 Audiology, stated that all of PAA hours are approved

7 by AAA and working through the convention committee.

8 | He mentioned PAA is having a meeting this afternoon

9 and would bring that issue up and asked whether there

10 is a source for the specific language other than the

11 laws itself.

12 Chair Goldman referred to the FAQs on continuing

13 education and the PowerPoint about continuing

14 education on the Board's website and offered to email

15 Dr. Shafer the documents.

Dr. Shafer stated that the Pennsylvania Academy

17 of Audiology does not have the majority of the

18 | audiologists as members and would have to go beyond

19 that scope as far as educating non-PAA members. He

20 noted the email blast would probably be the best

21 option to disseminate the information.]

22 MS. PACHTER SCHULDER:

23 With regard to the Consent Agreements

that are on the agenda at Case No. 22-

25 | 68-001707, Case No. 22-68-000330, Case

14 No. 22-68-000344, Case No. 22-68-001710, 1 Case No. 22-68-001818, Case No. 22-68-2 3 001821, Case No. 22-68-002729, and Case No. 22-68-002716, is there a motion to 4 5 accept those Consent Agreements? 6 DR. MURPHY: 7 I move. CHAIR GOLDMAN: Is there a second? MS. DELANO: 10 11 I second. 12 CHAIR GOLDMAN: Roll call. 1.3 14 15 Goldman, aye; Claggett, aye; Delano, 16 aye; Butler, aye; McCall, aye; Murphy, 17 aye. 18 [The motion carried unanimously. The Respondent's 19 name in Case No. 22-68-001707 is Leann Elizabeth 20 Romeo; Case No. 22-68-000330, Jennifer M. Schwoebel; 21 Case No. 22-68-000344, Robert Earl Pratt Jr.; Case No. 22 22-68-001710, Brittney A. Dement; Case No. 22-68-23 001818, Amy Lynn Hartford-Jacobs; Case No. 22-68-24 001821, Bernadette Marie Lynch; Case No. 22-68-002729,

Amy Belz; and Case No. 22-68-002716, Megan Alissa

15 1 Fells.] 2 3 MS. PACHTER SCHULDER: In the matter of Case No. 22-68-001733, 4 5 that item is withdrawn for today and may 6 be put back on your agenda for the next meeting. 8 Appointment - Prosecution Division Annual Report 10 Presentation 11 [Carolyn A. DeLaurentis, Esquire, Deputy Chief 12 Counsel, Prosecution Division, presented to the Board 13 to provide a summary of the prosecution division's 14 workload during 2021 as of January 1, 2022. She noted 15 overseeing a team of around 39 prosecutors who handle all 29 boards and commissions. 16 17 Ms. DeLaurentis informed the Board that 42 cases 18 were opened in 2021, which is an increase from 30 in 19 2020 for the State Board of Speech-Language Pathology 20 and Audiology. She reported closing 53 cases in 2021 21 compared to 23 in 2020. She noted 20 cases remained 22 open for the Board as of January 1, 2022. 2.3 Ms. DeLaurentis discussed enforcement actions,

noting that some cases may result in more than one type of discipline. She reported 4 cases in 2021

24

resulted in discipline with 1 Act 48 fine or citation,

1 suspension, 1 automatic suspension, 1 revocation or

voluntary surrender, and 1 probation. She also

reported 27 warning letters in 2021, which was up from

4 in 2020.

2.4

- Ms. DeLaurentis explained that the increase in warning letters are related to a lapsed license. She mentioned that formal disciplinary action for a lapsed licensed may not be the best use of resources but seeing a pattern may warrant something beyond a warning letter. She stated that there are situations where warning letters are also given when a licensee may need to be reminded of their practice act.
- Dr. Shafer asked whether the increase in warning letters from 4 to 21 was related to COVID and whether there is a breakdown available between audiologists and speech-language pathologists as far as prosecutorial actions or warning letters.
- Ms. DeLaurentis offered to provide the breakdown by license type to Board counsel and the Board chair.
- Paul J. Jarabeck, Esquire, Senior Board

 Prosecutor, thanked Mr. Henderson and the prosecution

 team for their work. He also noted the large increase
 in terms of warning letters was for short periods of

 lapsed license practice, where formal actions were not

pursued because prosecution did not think it was the best use of resources of the Board. He commented that in terms of the audit, it did not start to hit their office until 2022 and would not be reflective of the 2021 files that would have been closed December 31.

2.4

Ms. DeLaurentis addressed the prosecutorial division numbers as a whole, noting the total case count does not include charities, businesses, or the Pennsylvania State Athletic Commission. She reported 18,363 cases were opened in 2021, which was an increase from 2020 at 13,393, and believed 2021 to be a record. She thanked the administrative assistants for processing so many files.

Ms. DeLaurentis reported 15,994 cases were closed in 2021 and thanked prosecution, Board counsel, and the Board for their group effort. She noted 15,141 open cases as of January 1, 2022.

Ms. DeLaurentis addressed COVID-19 cases and noted one complaint may result in more than one file being opened. She reported 1,223 cases were opened regarding COVID complaints in 2020 but none of those were for the State Board of Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology. She mentioned seeing a shift in 2021, where cases in 2020 were largely related to business-related boards, where now they are generally

- healthcare boards. She reported 543 COVID-related cases and 1 of those for the Board. She stated COVID-3 19 complaints continue to go down but are still being tracked in 2022.
- 5 Chair Goldman thanked Ms. DeLaurentis for her 6 report.]

7 | ***

- 8 Report of Board Counsel
- 9 [Judith Pachter Schulder, Esquire, Board Counsel,
- 10 provided an Audiology & Speech-Language Pathology
- 11 (ASLP) Interstate Compact update regarding the Federal
- 12 Bureau of Investigation (FBI) criminal background
- 13 check. She mentioned it is an issue for all of the
- 14 | licensing boards that have enacted compact
- 15 legislation, where the FBI still has not approved the
- 16 | criminal background check language.
- 17 Ms. Pachter Schulder mentioned that Pennsylvania
- 18 does not have an interfaced fingerprint-based criminal
- 19 background check requirement. She noted the compacts
- 20 | would add that language and is one of the areas where
- 21 there has been difficulty actually implementing the
- 22 | compacts. She mentioned the FBI, state police,
- 23 members of the legislature or executive directors from
- 24 the House and Senate Committees, and their agency are
- 25 | working on the issues.

Ms. Pachter Schulder also provided an update for Act 41 regarding the licensure by endorsement regulation. She referred to the report given at the PSHA Conference, where the regulation would be effective in the fall of 2022, noting the report should have read, published as proposed in the fall of 2022.

1.3

2.2

Ms. Pachter Schulder commented that even without the regulation, all the licensing boards are looking to see if the Act 41 pathway is available and can be implemented because it can be implemented without a need for regulation in part. She noted provisional licenses are being issued based on orders from the Board, and the regulation will be published sometime in the fall of 2022.

Chair Goldman reported there are 22 states in the compact and provided a website at aslpcompact.com for more information regarding the compact.

Ms. Pachter Schulder commented that telepractice is an issue that is with the legislature but reminded everyone that the waiver is still in effect until June 30 and could be extended.

Ms. Pachter Schulder referred to Chair Goldman's 2022 PSHA Report on the agenda and suggested she consider next time to say that Board members are paid

1 per diem by the Commonwealth because it clarifies that 2 they are not being paid as a speaker.

Ms. Pachter Schulder also referred to the vision Chair Goldman had listed, noting it may be broader than the Board licenses and disciplines and that setting individual standards with regard to the practice is not within the Board's purview. She mentioned there were no advisory opinion discussions that there are now at the time it was developed.

Chair Goldman stated that the Board went through a visioning exercise and came up with that vision in her first term on the Board and asked whether there is a recommendation of removing it, retracting it, or revisit it.

Acting Commissioner Claggett suggested Chair Goldman take some time to review it, but that should be the next step.]

18

19 Report of Board Chair

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

20 [Amy S. Goldman, MS, CCC-SLP, Chair, thanked Ms.

21 Delano for presenting with her at PSHA. Chair

22 | Goldman, reported the PowerPoint was sent in advance

23 in time for PSHA to post it, so the handout was

24 available to all attendees at the conference.

25 Chair Goldman noted the National Council of State

Boards of Examiners Conference is October 13-15 in Santa Fe, NM, and mentioned authorizing attendees.

Ms. Pachter Schulder addressed amendments to the Sunshine Act, noting the Sunshine Act and Recusal Guidelines would be placed on the next agenda for rereview. She noted the amendment requires the conference to be listed on the agenda for authorization of the expenditure of funds. She suggested asking Ms. McNeill to put the documents on the agenda for the September 2 agenda, so the public knows the Board would be voting to send Board members.

Ms. Pachter Schulder stated the agenda has to be publicly available three days prior to a Board meeting within 24 hours of an emergency meeting, and the dates of the meetings published at the beginning of every year. She noted the biggest change is where the item needs to be on the agenda in order to vote on the matter.

Chair Goldman noted she would send Ms. McNeill the information to prepare the agenda.]

21 ***

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22 Report of Acting Commissioner

23 [Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of

24 Professional and Occupational Affairs, informed Board

25 members that the department is currently in the

22 process of replacing the Pennsylvania Licensing System 1 2 (PALS) and should have the new system identified and 3 in place by the end of 2023.] 4 5 Report From Other Board Members - No Report * * * 6 [Andrew A. McCall, M.D., FACS, exited the meeting at 11:41 a.m.] 10 Report of Board Administrator - No Report * * * 11 12 Review of Applications 1.3 MS. PACHTER SCHULDER: 14 Did you want to approve the Application 15 for Licensure as an Audiologist of 16 Alyssa Herrera? 17 DR. MURPHY: So moved. 18 19 CHAIR GOLDMAN: 20 Do I hear a second to that motion? 21 MS. DELANO: 22 I second. 2.3 CHAIR GOLDMAN: 2.4 Any discussion? Christina, if you could 25 survey the members as to their votes

23 regarding the motion? 1 2 3 Goldman, aye; Claggett, aye; Delano, aye; Butler, aye; Murphy, aye. 4 5 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 6 Miscellaneous - Regina Steigerwald, MA, CCC-SLP, Fictitious Name Request MS. PACHTER SCHULDER: 10 This fictitious name is Practical Speech 11 LLC. Normally when it is just the 12 licensee's name, it is not necessary to 1.3 bring it before the Board. Whenever you 14 are looking at a fictitious name, you 15 are looking at false, misleading, or 16 deceptive, so it is for the Board to 17 make a determination whether to approve 18 Practical Speech LLC. 19 CHAIR GOLDMAN: 20 Do I hear a motion to approve the use of 21 that name? 22 MS. BUTLER: 2.3 I motion to approve. 2.4 CHAIR GOLDMAN: 25 Is there a second?

MS. DELANO:

2 I second.

3 CHAIR GOLDMAN:

4 Any discussion? Christina, will you do

5 your roll call vote for us?

6

1

7 Goldman, aye; Claggett, aye; Delano,

8 aye; Butler, aye; Murphy, aye.

9 [The motion carried unanimously.]

10

11 Miscellaneous - Examiners in Speech-Language Pathology

12 and Audiology Brochures

13 | [Amy S. Goldman, MS, CCC-SLP, Chair, referred to

14 | Examiners in Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology

15 brochures for consumers on the website, noting they

16 are in dire need of changes. She mentioned the

17 | audiology brochure had been reviewed, but she still

18 | had comments and questions and suggested sending those

19 around again and placing them on the agenda with

20 marked-up versions after everybody has a chance to

21 look at them.

22 Acting Commissioner Claggett noted the brochures

23 | would have to be reviewed by Board counsel and

24 | communications after Board review.

25 Ms. Pachter Schulder recommended discussions on

the brochures take place at the Board meeting because one of the purposes for the changes to the Sunshine

Act was so the audience would be able to hear the Board's discussion.

2.3

Chair Goldman welcomed comments from both PAA and PSHA and any other stakeholders about whether the documents are helpful and what changes need to be made. She stated the intent is to have something consumers of speech and hearing services might find helpful. She requested everybody be prepared with their edits and amendments for a robust discussion at the September meeting.

Dr. Murphy mentioned working on the audiology brochure with Ms. Delano during the first three months of this year and providing a copy to Ms. McNeill for everybody to review. He believed the audiology document was ready to be moved forward to the higher ups and legal team.

Chair Goldman mentioned having an addition to the document by clarifying that people should go to the Department of Health and not the Board if they had an issue with a hearing aid.

Ms. Pachter Schulder also suggested separating out licensure requirements and what other additional certifications and insurance a licensee may choose to

1 | obtain.

2 Dr. Shafer stated that PAA would be glad to take 3 a look at it and make comments as well.

Chair Goldman commented that the Board is always
open to public comment and would wait until Board
members have commented before sending brochures to
associations. She mentioned that she and Ms. Butler
would be taking a red pen to the SLP brochure as well.

9 Chair Goldman noted appreciation for all of the
10 work Dr. Murphy and Ms. Delano put into the audiology
11 brochure.]

12

13 Adjournment

14 CHAIR GOLDMAN:

Do I have a motion to adjourn?

16 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:

17 So moved.

18 CHAIR GOLDMAN:

19 Is there a second?

20 MS. BUTLER:

21 I second.

22 | CHAIR GOLDMAN:

I wish you all a cool summer and a

healthy one. See you September 2, which

I assume will still be a hybrid

27 1 opportunity. 2 3 [There being no further business, the State Board of 4 Examiners in Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology 5 Meeting adjourned at 11:57 a.m.] * * * 6 8 CERTIFICATE 9 10 I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Examiners in 11 12 Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology Meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and 13 14 that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of 15 the State Board of Examiners in Speech-Language 16 Pathology and Audiology Meeting. 17 18 19 2.0 Hannah Bartkowski, 2.1 Minute Clerk 2.2 Sargent's Court Reporting 2.3 Service, Inc. 2.4

25

		28
1234567890123456789012345678901234567890333333333333333333333333333333333333	STATE	BOARD OF EXAMINERS IN SPEECH-LANGUAGE PATHOLOGY AND AUDIOLOGY REFERENCE INDEX
		June 3, 2022
	TIME	AGENDA
	9:00 10:30	Executive Session Return to Open Session
	10:31	Official Call to Order
	10:32	Introduction of Board Members/Attendees
	10:33	Adoption of Agenda
	10:35	Approval of Minutes
	10:37	Report of Prosecutorial Division
	11:12	Appointment - Carolyn A. DeLaurentis, Esquire, Deputy Chief Counsel, Prosecution Division, Annual Report Presentation
	11:28	Report of Board Counsel
	11:36	Report of Board Chairperson
	11:40	Report of Acting Commissioner
	11:40	Review of Applications
	11:43	Miscellaneous
	11:57	Adjournment
40 41 42		
43 44		
45		