State Board of Examiners in Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology March 25, 2022

BOARD MEMBERS:

Amy S. Goldman, MS, CCC-SLP, Chair
Arion Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of
Professional and Occupational Affairs
Sarah E. Delano, M.Ed., CCC-A, Vice Chair
Lisa Butler, M.A., CCC-SLP
Andrew A. McCall, M.D., FACS - Absent
Patrick M. Murphy, Au.D., M.Ed., CCC-A, FAAA

BUREAU/DEPARTMENT OF STATE PERSONNEL:

Judith Pachter Schulder, Esquire, Board Counsel Paul J. Jarabeck, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecution Liaison

J. Karl Geschwindt, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Timothy J. Henderson, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Gregory Liero, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Sarah E. McNeill, Board Administrator

Marc Farrell, Deputy Policy Director, Department of State Michelle Witmer, Bureau of Finance and Operations,

Department of State
Danie Bendensky, Director, Intergovernmental Affairs,
Department of State

ALSO PRESENT:

 Erin Buckwalter, SLP, MS-CCC, Vice President, Governmental Relations, Pennsylvania Speech-Language-Hearing Association

Jen Smeltz, Republican Executive Director, Senate Consumer Protection & Professional Licensure Committee

Ted Mowatt, CAE, Vice President, Wanner Associates, on behalf of the Pennsylvania Academy of Audiology David Buono, Deputy Insurance Commissioner, Office of Market Regulation, Pennsylvania Insurance Department Sandy Ykema Esquire J.D. Senior Health Insurance

Sandy Ykema, Esquire, J.D., Senior Health Insurance Counsel, Pennsylvania Insurance Department

Katie Merritt, LSW, Director of Policy and Planning, Pennsylvania Insurance Department

48 Amber Garbinski

1 ***
2 State Board of Examiners i

State Board of Examiners in Speech-Language

Pathology and Audiology

March 25, 2022

* * *

3

4

5

13

14

15

16

17

[Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 10:00 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session with Judith Pachter Schulder, Esquire, Board Counsel, for deliberation purposes regarding the two consent agreements on the agenda. The Board returned to open session at 10:30 a.m.]

12 ***

The regularly scheduled meeting of the State
Board of Examiners in Speech-Language Pathology and
Audiology was held on Friday, March 25, 2022. Amy S.
Goldman, MS, CCC-SLP, Chair, called the meeting to
order at 10:30 a.m.

18

19 Introduction of Board Members/Attendees

20 [Sarah E. McNeill, Board Administrator, provided an

21 introduction of Board members and attendees.

22 **

23 [Judith Pachter Schulder, Esquire, Board Counsel,

24 introduced herself as Board Counsel for now because

25 Nicole VanOrder had been reassigned.

```
Ms. Pachter Schulder noted the meeting was being
1
2
   recorded, and those who continued to participate were
3
   giving their consent to be recorded.
 4
        Ms. Pachter Schulder, Esquire, Board Counsel,
5
   also noted the Board met in Executive Session under
6
   Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act prior to the
   start of the meeting for deliberation purposes
   regarding the two consent agreements on the agenda.]
                              * * *
10
   Adoption of Agenda
   CHAIR GOLDMAN:
11
12
                  I need a motion to adopt the agenda.
   DR. MURPHY:
1.3
14
                  I move to adopt the agenda.
15
   CHAIR GOLDMAN:
16
                  Is there a second?
17
   MS. DELANO:
                  Second.
18
19
   CHAIR GOLDMAN:
2.0
                  Any discussion? All in favor of
2.1
                  adopting the agenda, say aye. The
22
                  agenda is adopted.
2.3
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
2.4
25
   Approval of minutes of the September 3, 2021 meeting
```

5 1 CHAIR GOLDMAN: 2 Did anybody have additions or 3 corrections to the September 3 minutes? Let's have a motion to accept the 4 5 September 3 minutes as submitted. Is there a motion? 6 7 MS. DELANO: I make a motion to accept the minutes 9 for September 3. 10 CHAIR GOLDMAN: 11 Is there a second? DR. MURPHY: 12 13 I second. CHAIR GOLDMAN: 14 15 All in favor of accepting the minutes 16 from the September 3 meeting, say aye. 17 Any abstentions? The minutes for September 3 are approved. 18 [The motion carried. Acting Commissioner Claggett 19 20 abstained from voting on the motion.] * * * 21 Approval of minutes of the December 3, 2021 meeting 22 2.3 CHAIR GOLDMAN: 2.4 I did find some errors in the December 3 25 minutes.

6 [The Board discussed corrections to the minutes.] 1 2 CHAIR GOLDMAN: 3 Anybody else have any additions or 4 corrections? 5 I need a motion to accept the 6 minutes of December 3 as corrected? 7 MS. BUTLER: I motion. 9 CHAIR GOLDMAN: 10 Is there a second? 11 MS. DELANO: 12 I second. CHAIR GOLDMAN: 1.3 14 All in favor of accepting those minutes 15 as corrected, say aye. Opposed? 16 Abstentions? The minutes from December 17 3 as corrected are accepted. 18 [The motion carried. Acting Commissioner Claggett 19 abstained from voting on the motion.] * * * 20 21 Report of Prosecutorial Division 2.2 MS. PACHTER SCHULDER: 2.3 During Executive Session, the Board 2.4 reviewed both of the Consent Agreements. 25 In that first Consent Agreement at Case

No. 20-68-009377, Ms. Butler is recused 1 2 from participation. 3 Is there a motion to approve the 4 Consent Agreement at Case No. 20-68-009377 with that recusal? 5 6 CHAIR GOLDMAN: Anyone feel like so moving? MS. DELANO: 9 I make a motion. 10 CHAIR GOLDMAN: 11 Is there a second? 12 DR. MURPHY: 13 I'll second. CHAIR GOLDMAN: 14 15 All in favor of accepting the Consent 16 Agreement in that case, say aye. 17 Opposed? Abstentions? [The motion carried. Lisa Butler recused herself from 18 19 deliberations and voting on the motion. The 20 Respondent's name is Lindsey Anne Hajnik.] * * * 2.1 2.2 MS. PACHTER SCHULDER: 2.3 Is there a motion to approve the Consent 2.4 Agreement at Case No. 17-68-03174, for 25 which there are no recusals?

1 MS. PACHTER SCHULDER:

2 Any so moved?

3 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:

4 So moved.

5 CHAIR GOLDMAN:

Is there a second?

7 MS. DELANO:

8 I second.

9 CHAIR GOLDMAN:

10 All in favor of accepting the Agreement,

say aye. Opposed? Abstentions?

12 [The motion carried unanimously. The Respondent's

13 name is Megan Christine Gearhart.]

14

15 Report of Board Counsel

16 | [Judith Pachter Schulder, Esquire, Board Counsel,

17 | addressed the Sunshine Act and reported on amendments

18 since the last presentation with the goal of making

19 | Board action more public. She stated the purpose of

20 the Sunshine Act is to make sure the public has an

21 opportunity to see Board decisions. She addressed

22 deliberation, public notice, voting, and minutes.

23 Ms. Pachter Schulder noted that the exceptions to

24 the open meeting requirement are conferences, defined

25 as training sessions or seminars for the sole purpose

of providing information to members to matters directly related to their official responsibilities, and deliberations which are held during Executive Session. She explained the three reasons applicable to the licensing Boards that can be discussed in Executive Session. She discussed challenges on an action taken at a meeting and penalties. She noted all Board meetings must be done in open session and any matters discussed during Executive Session cannot be discussed outside of the Board meeting.

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.4

Ms. Pachter Schulder addressed Recusal
Guidelines. She noted mandatory recusals include
having a prosecutorial role in a matter or direct
financial interest in the matter, strongly suggested
recusals would include a personal affection for
someone directly involved in a case or having
knowledge from outside of the case, and discretionary
recusals include not being able to be impartial. She
mentioned anyone who is uncertain should ask whether
to recuse themselves. She also discussed the
difference between an abstention and a recusal.

Ms. Pachter Schulder stated House Bill 642 of 2021 regarding telemedicine is still in the House Insurance Committee and had not moved. She discussed the importance of having a license in the state where

an individual works and the state where the person is receiving care.

2.0

2.2

2.4

Chair Goldman requested additional information regarding the pandemic-related waiver for face-to-face supervision that expires on March 31 because it would affect practitioners where face-to-face supervision is required.

Marc Farrell, Deputy Policy Director, Department of State, explained that there is a bill to extend waivers beyond March 31 that is picking up in terms of activity. He mentioned Governor Wolf's power to extend the waivers was curtailed by a constitutional amendment and is in the hands of the legislature as far as extending which ones.

Jen Smeltz, Republican Executive Director, Senate Consumer Protection & Professional Licensure Committee, commented that there is a waiver extension in the works and Senate Bill 896 of 2021, now Act 100, requiring all boards address virtual supervision.

Ms. Pachter Schulder offered to provide Act 100 information to the Board.

Ms. Pachter Schulder addressed the Audiology & Speech-Language Pathology Interstate Compact (ASLP-IC). She noted the legislature passed several compacts but they have not gone into effect because

- the language requiring the Federal Bureau of
 Investigation (FBI) background check has not gone into
 effect. She explained that the Pennsylvania State
 Police have been having conversations with the FBI
 regarding background checks. She mentioned the Board
 of Nursing and Board of Medicine were informed that
 the FBI did not find the language in their compacts
 sufficient.
 - Ms. Pachter Schulder stated the Board has not received that letter officially from the FBI. She noted another amendment to the statute may be required, along with addressing technological issues. She also mentioned regulations may be necessary to effectuate the compact because there is likely a compact license that is different than a regular license and may involve a conversion of license and a fee.

2.4

Chair Goldman commented that 17 states joined the ASLP Interstate Compact. She noted they had their first meeting of the commission in January and have a lot of details to work out before implementation, including hiring an executive director and finding a contractor to develop the database. She asked whether the Board could make a statement on the record in support of the concept.

```
Ms. Pachter Schulder explained that the
1
   Department of State speaks for all of the boards, but
2
3
   the Board can vote to inform the legislative office of
4
   their support of a compact for speech-language
5
   pathology and audiology.
        Mr. Farrell commented that the Board of Physical
6
7
   Therapy Compact is the other compact in similar limbo
   and is actively being worked on by the legislature,
   policy office, and Pennsylvania State Police (PSP).]
10
   CHAIR GOLDMAN:
11
                  On the table, as per Judith's
12
                  suggestion, is an opportunity if someone
1.3
                  would want to make a motion to inform
14
                  the legislation office of this Board's
15
                  support of the process of the Audiology
16
                  and Speech-Language Pathology Interstate
17
                  Compact?
18
                       Anybody interested, willing in
19
                  making such a motion?
2.0
   DR. MURPHY:
2.1
                  I'll make that motion.
2.2
   CHAIR GOLDMAN:
2.3
                  Is there a second?
2.4
   MS. DELANO:
25
                  I second.
```

1 CHAIR GOLDMAN:

Is there any further discussion? All in

favor of the motion, say aye. Any

4 opposed? Any abstentions?

5 [The motion carried unanimously.]

7 Report of Board Counsel

8 | [Judith Pachter Schulder, Esquire, Board Counsel,

9 referred to the Regulatory Status Report. She noted

10 being in the process of making the requested changes

11 to the licensure by endorsement piece for Act 41 that

12 the Board approved in proposed form.

13 Ms. Pachter Schulder noted comments are being

14 incorporated into the child abuse regulations and then

15 the Board would be voting on the final version.]

16

17 | Appointment - Pennsylvania Insurance Department - No

18 | Surprises Act

19 | [Katie Merritt, LSW, Director of Policy and Planning,

20 Pennsylvania Insurance Department (PID), informed

21 everyone that the No Surprises Act went into effect on

22 | January 1, 2022, to ensure consumers are not

23 overcharged in certain situations. She noted Governor

24 | Wolf charged the Pennsylvania Insurance Department

25 | with implementing the No Surprises Act and acting as

the primary coordinator among state agencies.

2.4

David Buono, Deputy Insurance Commissioner,

Office of Market Regulation, Pennsylvania Insurance

Department, informed the Board that material presented was prepared by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

Insurance Department based on the law, regulations, and guidance as of December 1, 2021.

Mr. Buono addressed the No Surprises Act (NSA), noting the disclosure requirement applies to all health care providers, the provider directory requirement applies to all healthcare providers applicable only to providers in-network for major medical insurance policies, and the Good Faith Estimate requirement applies to all health care providers.

Mr. Buono commented that health plans covering any benefits for emergency services, including air ambulance, under the No Surprises Act, requires emergency services to be covered without any prior authorization regardless of whether a provider or facility is in-network.

Mr. Buono also commented that the No Surprises
Act requires patients be protected when they have
little or no control over who provides their care.

Mr. Buono stated ancillary providers, such as

labs or doctors, involved in a surgery that the 1 2 patient does not select may not balance bill. 3 noted cost-sharing for ancillary providers is treated 4 as in-network. He commented that the No Surprises Act 5 protects people from unexpected bills for emergency 6 services, air ambulance services, and certain nonemergency services related to a visit to a facility. He mentioned that emergency ground 8 ambulance services are not included and has been 10 deferred to further study at the federal level.

Mr. Buono stated No Surprises Act billing protection applies if coverage is through an employer, state-based marketplace Pennie, or directly through an individual market health insurance company. He mentioned that the act does not apply to Medicare, Medicaid, Indian Health Services, Veterans Affairs, or TRICARE.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

Mr. Buono addressed plans that do not have the balance billing protection, including indemnity or accepted benefit plan enrollees because it is not individual market coverage and does not typically have a network. He noted short-term limited duration plan enrollees, health care sharing ministries, the Amish, or uninsured are not individual market coverage.

Mr. Buono addressed uninsured individuals, noting

providers are required to provide a Good Faith

Estimate upon request or scheduling an item or

service. He stated uninsured and self-pay patients

must receive a Good Faith Estimate at least 72 hours

before services.

2.4

- Mr. Buono also noted that a Good Faith Estimate must be given at least 3 hours ahead of time if a service is scheduled within 3 days. He noted the act does require that a Good Faith Estimate be provided to a patient's plan in advance of service but stated the federal government and Pennsylvania is taking a non-enforcement approach to this provision due to the technological challenges affecting this provision.
- Mr. Buono stated providers are encouraged to coordinate with co-providers to present a single Good Faith Estimate, but the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) is exercising enforcement discretion and flexibility to allow for technological coordination.
- Mr. Buono provided a summary of providers who may not balance bill. He stated providers and facilities must have a business process to give provider directory and network information to plans anytime there is a material change. He commented that providers and facilities may, by contract, impose on

plans the duty to keep the directory current in the
event of contract termination. He noted that the
provider or facility must reimburse the patient plus
interest if a provider or facility bills a patient
more than the in-network cost-sharing amount and the
patient pays the bill.

2.2

Mr. Buono addressed continuity of care, where a contract with a plan terminates and the provider or facility is no longer in-network and the patient is a continuing care patient, the provider or facility must accept payment, including cost-sharing calculated on an in-network basis for the duration of the continuity of care.

Mr. Buono stated providers with complaints about a plan should contact the Pennsylvania Insurance Department because they have a process to quickly review the complaint. He mentioned HHS is also establishing a complaint process with the acknowledgement of the complaint possibly taking 60 days. He mentioned that providers with complaints about a patient should first make sure the patient understands the act and are encouraged to contact the Pennsylvania Insurance Department.

Mr. Buono noted that patients who do understand the act should be handled as before with the

1 understanding in the case of a surprise medical bill 2 that the provider may not collect more than the in-3 network cost-sharing.

1.3

2.0

2.2

Sandy Ykema, Esquire, J.D., Senior Health
Insurance Counsel, Pennsylvania Insurance Department,
addressed disclosure requirements, noting a one-page
disclosure notice must be available to patients with
the requirements and prohibitions regarding balance
billing and must identify how a patient may contact
the appropriate state and federal agencies if the
patient believes the provider or facility has violated
the requirements of the law.

Ms. Ykema stated the information has to be publicly available from the provider and facility as well as being posted. She mentioned the Pennsylvania Insurance Department has a model notice and information on their website, along with the federal government website at www.cms.gov/nosurprises containing NSA information.

Ms. Ykema addressed notice and consent, which allows a provider to balance bill if they give notice and receive written consent from the patient at least 3 days before the service, not later than 1 business day after scheduling, or 3 business days in advance if the service is scheduled 10 days in advance. She

1 noted it may not be used in an emergency situation.

2 | She explained that the notice and consent has to be on

3 | a separate form, signed, retained for 7 years, and a

4 copy given to the patient.

an out-of-network provider.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Ms. Ykema explained that the notice and consent has to give notice that the provider does not participate in the consumer's health insurance plan, have a Good Faith Estimate amount that the provider may charge for all of the services, explain that there may need to be prior authorization or other approval, and be clear that a person does not have to consent to

Ms. Ykema emphasized that a person has to be able to get services from an available in-network provider, but if there is no available in-network provider, then notice and consent may not be used to allow the provider to balance bill.

Ms. Ykema addressed payment, where the provider will need to confirm the patient's coverage. She explained that an out-of-network provider who furnished a surprise medical service may collect cost-sharing from the patient at the in-network level and then the provider may bill the patient's plan directly for all remaining charges.

Ms. Ykema noted a provider and plan may negotiate

if the provider is not satisfied with the amount directly and then through a federally administered Independent Dispute Resolution process. She mentioned the process is currently subject to litigation and more details will come as that proceeds.

2.4

Ms. Ykema addressed disputes with uninsured and self-pay individuals, where the provider may bill the patient. She stated the patient may access the Patient-Provider Dispute Resolution process if there is a difference in the Good Faith Estimate of at least \$400. She noted that the patient must start the process within 120 days and pay a small administrative fee to start the process but will recoup that if the patient prevails.

Ms. Ykema commented that the Pennsylvania
Insurance Department's goal is educating people about
the law but stated anyone who has complaints or
concerns could contact them and provided their website
address at www.insurance.pa.gov/nosurprises. She also
mentioned the Pennsylvania Insurance Department has
been tasked by Governor Wolf as the coordinating
agency coordinating enforcement among state agencies
to have oversight over insurers, providers, and
facilities.

Ms. Ykema noted the Pennsylvania Insurance

Department has oversight over insurance companies and works collaboratively with other state agencies, including the Department of State, Department of Health, and Department of Drug and Alcohol Programs.

Ms. Ykema noted that the state law applies unless it prevents the application of the federal law. She mentioned the Pennsylvania Insurance Department has laws to regulate insurance, professional conduct, and licensure. She explained that state agencies that receive a call related to balance billing and the No Surprises Act can go to the Pennsylvania Insurance Department's website for guidance.

Ms. Ykema stated complaints are assigned to a consumer services representative after a complaint is received for tracking to identify issues in educational opportunities and enforcement needs. She noted the consumer services representative works with the patient, provider, or health plan and with other state agencies and collaborate with the federal agency if they cannot address the issue.

Ms. Ykema noted the Department of Health and Human Services regulates insurance plans, providers, and facilities; Department of Labor regulates self-funded plans; and the Office of Personnel Management regulates the Federal Employees Health Benefits (FEHB)

program. She stated Pennsylvania Insurance Department is prepared to enter into collaborative enforcement agreements with any of those agencies as needed to address concerns.

Ms. Ykema encouraged everyone to visit the Pennsylvania Insurance Department's website or call the Bureau of Consumer Services for further information.

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

Ms. Butler expressed concern regarding ambulance fees not being included in an emergency situation and asked whether the Pennsylvania Insurance Department is still looking at that type of situation.

Ms. Ykema stated it is mentioned in the context of an advisory committee that accepted applications in January and are supposed to be meeting relatively quickly for a recommendation on how they should be addressed but has not been resolved yet. She encouraged anyone with questions to reach out to the Pennsylvania Insurance Department for help with negotiations along those lines.

Chair Goldman thanked the Pennsylvania Insurance Department for their presentation.]

* * *

24 Appointment - Bureau of Finance and Operations Annual
25 Budget Presentation

- [Michelle Witmer, Bureau of Finance and Operations, 1 Department of State, informed the Board that the 2 3 Bureau of Finance and Operations (BFO) looks at the 4 licensee population on a biennial basis from a revenue 5 standpoint. She reported continued growth in licensee 6 population when comparing FY16-FY17 to FY18-19 with an increase of 475 licensees and an increase when comparing FY18-19 to FY20-FY21 of 786 licensees. noted a total of 10,094 licensees as of the report 10 date with an additional 23 licensees this morning for 11 a total of 10,117. 12 Ms. Witmer addressed revenue for renewal and 13 nonrenewal years, noting the revenue total. 14 Ms. Witmer reported on the expenses in FY19-20, 15 FY20-21, and the figure as of March 17, 2022, as well as a recent increase. She stated BFO projected out 16 17 for the remainder of the fiscal year, noting the 18 budget. She stated expenses are currently projected 19 to exceed revenue with the anticipated balance in
 - Ms. Witmer mentioned reaching out to Board counsel, prosecution, and the Bureau of Enforcement and Investigation (BEI), and noted that counsel is working on Act 41 regulations and there are new prosecutions stemming from the audit. She also

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

FY24-FY25.

1 reported a doubling of cases for the Board since 2019.

2 She noted BFO would return in the fall after the

not used goes back to the Board for their use.

3 renewal period ends to review expenses.

Ms. Witmer reported Board member expenses for FY19-20, FY20-FY21, and the current expenses. She reported a budget of \$15,000, noting whatever money is

8 Ms. Butler asked whether money has been allocated 9 for conference registration, travel, and education for 10 Board members like it was before COVID.

Ms. Witmer stated BFO did not adjust the budgeted amount and kept it at \$7,500 allotted for travel and \$1,600 for conference registration.]

14

15 Report of Board Chair

16 [Amy S. Goldman, MS, CCC-SLP, Chair, noted the

17 | National Council of State Boards of Examiners (NCSB)

18 | Conference is in Santa Fe, NM, October 13-15. She

19 mentioned the main conference theme will be on

20 diversity, equity, and inclusion.]

21 CHAIR GOLDMAN:

11

12

13

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

We need a motion to approve travel for travel and registration for two Board members.

Do I have a motion?

1 MS. BUTLER:

2 I motion.

3 CHAIR GOLDMAN:

4 Is there a second to the motion for

5 registration and travel for two Board

6 members to the NCSB Conference?

7 MS. DELANO:

8 I second.

9 CHAIR GOLDMAN:

10 | Is there any discussion? All in favor

of the motion, say aye. Opposed?

12 Abstentions?

13 | [The motion carried unanimously.]

14

15 Report of Board Chair

16 Amy S. Goldman, MS, CCC-SLP, Chair, addressed

17 | continuing education, noting some individuals have

18 been audited, and there has been an issue concerning

19 certain courses as to whether or not they constitute

20 practice building, which are prohibited from allowing

21 continuing education (CE) according to their license.

22 | She requested additional information regarding which

23 courses are considered practice building.

24 Ms. Pachter Schulder asked whether an audit was

25 done and whether orders to show cause had been issued

for the Board to have the discussion by way of a resolution during the consent agreement or the final adjudication and order.

2.1

2.2

2.4

Chair Goldman noted being asked by their CE reviewer and administrator to weigh in on a particular instance where a licensee had submitted additional information and there were questions from the office.

Ms. Pachter Schulder stated Chair Goldman would have to recuse if she provided information and it became a case, and any information a Board member would give would be their individual opinions, not necessarily an opinion of the Board.

Mr. Jarabeck thanked Christopher McNally, the previous Board prosecution liaison, for all of his years of service with the Department of State. He noted Mr. McNally is retiring in April and will be replaced by Tim Henderson. He mentioned that he and Mr. Henderson are very aware of audits coming through the office and are currently in the process of putting forth what will happen in terms of prosecution of those matters.

Mr. Jarabeck addressed the issues of the audit, noting those matters have already come before them for review. He stated the cases have come through the Board's pipeline through the review process, submitted

to them at this time, and not far from being filed.

Mr. Jarabeck added that the Board has historically, in terms of discipline, also handled matters by citation, along with an order to show cause resulting in a final adjudication and order as well as consent agreements for continuing education, where the Board comes back and provides its final say.

Chair Goldman expressed concern with continuing education issues usually being about failure to obtain, many times resulting from confusion with Act 48 Pennsylvania Department of Education credits versus what is acceptable to the Board and suggested providing a definition of practice building.

Ms. Pachter Schulder commented that the Board is not permitted to provide an advisory opinion because the boards do not have the statutory authority to do that. Conversely, she referred the Board to Act 53, which permits an applicant to ask for a preliminary determination as to whether a crime would keep the applicant from getting a license. Since the Board cannot provide an advisory opinion it directs inquirers to specific sections in the Act and regulations. Ms. Pachter Schulder stated the way the Board speaks is by way of regulation, consent agreement, or adjudication and order.

Ms. Pachter Schulder suggested having an upper level discussion about practice building or maybe even a modification of the regulation. She noted speaking of categories of classes may be more troublesome and should be done with adjudications and orders.

1.3

2.3

Chair Goldman asked whether discussing telepractice as a delivery model would be practice building.

Ms. Pachter Schulder that there is a difference between the mechanics of setting up telepractice, for example, how to use the cameras and Skype, vs. the actual services being provided during the appointment. Chair Goldman stated billing, marketing, and promoting services are clear, cut, and dry; but since the pandemic, there has been a plethora of continuing education courses that are specifically engaged in how is one a good practitioner given the constraints of the new method of service delivery.

Ms. Pachter Schulder noted that those would be for an adjudication, to tease out which of those sounded more like practice building and which of those sounded more like therapy-related topics. She mentioned those closer to a therapy-related topic are not going to be considered practice building.

Dr. Murphy expressed concern with taking

additional credits on top of what is needed to

maintain certification is going to be interpreted on

the backend on the prosecutor's desk as practice

building.

1.3

2.4

Ms. Pachter Schulder mentioned the importance of the certification bodies being aware that courses on practice building are not accepted for credit in all of the licensing statutes. She commented that a licensee who took more CE than required by statute so as to maintain his/her certification, the licensee could submit the entire amount on audit if the licensee desires.

Chair Goldman commented that an individual only has to take the required courses during renewal but must supply details when audited, so the licensee who is called upon to provide the details can avoid submitting any that might raise an eyebrow as long as they have a pool to draw from.

Chair Goldman mentioned that she reminds individuals that practice building will not bring credits for the purposes of licensure in Pennsylvania. She noted giving a presentation at Thiel College and spending half the time saying this is Act 48, this is the American Speech-Language-Hearing Association (ASHA), this is the license, and this is the

predominant authority for practice in Pennsylvania unless you are only in the school.

2.3

Ms. Pachter Schulder commented that some providers place on the certificate or in the program brochure that the program is unlikely to qualify for credit and that the burden is on licensees. She also mentioned another way to address the issue of practice building is by regulation if there is so much confusion.

Chair Goldman wanted to see what comes around from the prosecutorial division but would keep the regulation option in their back pocket.

Chair Goldman asked Acting Commissioner Claggett for advice regarding two public member vacancies after the resignation of Nancy Stimson.

Acting Commissioner Claggett offered to alert the governor's office that the Board has two public member vacancies.

Ms. Pachter Schulder addressed public member requirements. She explained that no public member can be affiliated in any manner with professions or occupations providing health or corrective communication services or products to communicatively impaired persons, no member can be an officer or agent of a statewide association representing professions or

1 occupations at the same time, and no family member who 2 is licensed by the Board can be appointed.

Chair Goldman asked whether the Board needed to appoint a secretary.

Ms. Pachter Schulder said that a secretary would

run the meeting if the chair and vice chair were not present at the meeting. She further explained that if this were not a Secretary, the members at the meeting would decide who would run the meeting or perhaps there would not be a meeting if the chair and vice chair were both absent and the Board did not have a secretary.]

13 CHAIR GOLDMAN:

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

Could I have a nomination for secretary?

I'm the chair. Sarah is the vice chair.

Knowing that there are no official

duties except in the situation unlikely
that Judith mentioned, anybody want to
be secretary?

20 MS. BUTLER:

I would like to.

22 CHAIR GOLDMAN:

Okay. I guess you can nominate yourself, Lisa.

25 MS. BUTLER:

32 1 I nominate myself. 2 CHAIR GOLDMAN: 3 Okay. Is there a second? MS. DELANO: 4 5 Second. 6 CHAIR GOLDMAN: Any other discussion? All in favor of 8 Lisa serving as secretary to the Board, 9 say aye. Opposed? Abstentions? 10 Congratulations, Lisa. 11 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 12 13 Report of Acting Commissioner 14 [Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of 15 Professional and Occupational Affairs, informed 16 everyone that all Board meetings moving forward would 17 be offered in a hybrid format providing the option of 18 attending virtually or in person for Board members and 19 the public. He mentioned the platform is switching 2.0 from Cisco Webex to Microsoft Teams. He also noted he 21 and Ms. McNeill would be attending all Board meetings 22 in person.] 2.3 24 Report of Board Administrator 25 [Sarah E. McNeill, Board Administrator, requested

1 approval of the proposed 2023 meeting dates on March

- 2 3, June 2, September 1, and December 8.]
- 3 CHAIR GOLDMAN:
- 4 Is there a motion to accept the 2023
- 5 meeting dates?
- 6 MS. DELANO:
- 7 I make a motion to approve.
- 8 CHAIR GOLDMAN:
- 9 Is there a second?
- 10 DR. MURPHY:
- I second.
- 12 CHAIR GOLDMAN:
- 13 All in favor of accepting the dates for
- 14 2023? Opposed? Abstentions? The dates
- for 2023 are approved.
- 16 [The motion carried unanimously.]
- 17
- 18 Miscellaneous
- 19 [Examiners in Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology
- 20 Brochures under item 13 was postponed until the next
- 21 | meeting due to Chair Goldman having an appointment.
- 22 Chair Goldman encouraged Board members to review
- 23 the brochures.
- 24 ***
- 25 Adjournment

```
34
1
   CHAIR GOLDMAN:
2
                  I need a motion to adjourn.
3
   MS. DELANO:
4
                  I make a motion to adjourn today's
5
                  meeting.
6
   CHAIR GOLDMAN:
                  Is there a second?
   MS. BUTLER:
9
                  I second.
10
   CHAIR GOLDMAN:
11
                  All in favor of adjournment, say aye.
12
                  Opposed? Abstentions? We are
13
                  adjourned.
14
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
15
16
   [There being no further business, the State Board of
17
   Examiners in Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology
   Meeting adjourned at 12:27 p.m.]
18
                               * * *
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
```

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Examiners in Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology Meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Examiners in Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology Meeting.

CERTIFICATE

Amber Garbinski,

Minute Clerk

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc.

36 STATE BOARD OF EXAMINERS IN SPEECH-LANGUAGE 1 2 PATHOLOGY AND AUDIOLOGY 3 REFERENCE INDEX 4 5 6 March 25, 2022 7 AGENDA TIME 8 9 10:00 Executive Session 10 10:30 Return to Open Session 11 12 10:30 Official Call to Order 13 14 10:30 Introduction of Board Members/Attendees 15 16 10:32 Adoption of Agenda 17 18 10:33 Approval of Minutes 19 20 10:38 Report of Prosecutorial Division 21 22 10:41 Report of Board Counsel 23 24 11:09 Appointment - Pennsylvania Insurance 25 Department Presentation 26 27 11:37 Appointment - Bureau of Finance and 28 Operations Annual Budget Presentation 29 11:47 30 Report of Board Chairperson 31 32 12:21 Report of Acting Commissioner 33 34 12:22 Report of Board Administrator 35 12:24 Miscellaneous 36 37 38 12:27 Adjournment 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48

49 50