## State Board of Psychology February 5, 2024

#### BOARD MEMBERS:

7 Steven K. Erickson, Ph.D., Chair
8 Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of
9 Professional and Occupational Affairs
10 Donald McAleer, Psy.D., Vice Chair
11 Katherine Bradley, Ph.D., Secretary
12 LaJewel Harrison, MBA, Public Member

LaJewel Harrison, MBA, Public Member Dean C. Maynard, Ed.D., Public Member - Absent

#### BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Shana M. Walter, Esquire, Senior Board Counsel Tyesha C. Miley, Esquire, Board Counsel Jared Hinsey, Esquire, Board Counsel Jacqueline A. Wolfgang, Esquire, Regulatory Counsel Heather J. McCarthy, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecutor Adam J. Williams, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison Patrick Greene, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Jason T. Anderson, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Kelsey B. Ashworth, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Thomas Leech II, Board Administrator Deena Parmelee, Legal Office Administrator 1, Department of State Lindsay Szymanski, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Holly Hoffman, Law Clerk, Department of State Carlton Smith, Deputy Chief Counsel, Prosecution Division

#### ALSO PRESENT:

Pennsylvania Chapter

Rachael Baturin, MHP, JD, Pennsylvania Psychological Association
Casey Pick, Director of Law & Policy at The Trevor Project
R. Perry Monastero, Ed.D., Coordinator, The Trevor Project
Molly Cowan, Psy.D., Director of Professional Affairs, Pennsylvania Psychological Association Johanna Byrd, ACSW, IOM, CAE, Executive Director, National Association of Social Workers,

# State Board of Psychology February 5, 2024

ALSO PRESENT: (cont.)

Michael Pogue-Geile, Ph.D., Clinical Program Chair, Psychology; Professor, Psychology, Department of Psychology, University of Pittsburgh
Martha Ellen Wadsworth, Professor of Psychology, Penn State Clinical and Transitional Science Institute, Penn State University
Victoria Lantz

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

\* \* \*

State Board of Psychology

February 5, 2024

\*

[Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 9:30 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session with Tyesha C. Miley, Esquire, Board Counsel, and Jared Hinsey, Esquire, Board Counsel, for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations on a number of matters that are currently pending before the Board and to receive the advice of counsel. The Board returned to open session at 10:30 a.m.]

\* \* \*

The regularly scheduled meeting of the State
Board of Psychology was held on Monday, February 5,
2024. Steven K. Erickson, Ph.D., Chair, called the
meeting to order at 10:30 a.m.

\* \* \*

[Tyesha C. Miley, Esquire, Board Counsel, advised everyone that the meeting was being held pursuant to Act 100 of 2021, where virtual meetings are permitted and taking place in a public forum to effectuate the business of the Board. She noted a quorum was present.

Ms. Miley also noted the Board met in Executive

```
Session prior to the meeting to have attorney-client
1
2
   consultation and for the purpose of conducting quasi-
3
   judicial deliberations
 4
        Ms. Miley noted the meeting was being recorded,
5
   and those who continued to participate were giving
   their consent to be recorded.
 6
                              * * *
7
   Introduction of Board Members/Attendees
8
9
   [Thomas Leech II, Board Administrator, provided an
10
   introduction of Board members and attendees. 1
                              * * *
11
12
   Appointment - Annual Prosecution Division
13
     Presentation
   [Carlton Smith, Esquire, Deputy Chief Counsel,
14
15
   Prosecution Division, informed Board members that he
   assumed his role in March 2023 when Carolyn
16
   DeLaurentis was promoted to the executive deputy
17
18
   chief counsel position and provided a brief history
19
   of his professional background.
20
        Mr. Smith reported a decrease in the number of
21
   Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs
22
   (BPOA) complaints for all boards and commissions from
23
   around 22,000 in 2022 to roughly 19,000 in 2023.
24
        Mr. Smith presented the Prosecution Division's
```

Annual Report for the State Board of Psychology.

25

reported around 7,900 active licensees in 2023 and around 8,000 in 2022. He noted 141 cases were opened in 2023. He also reported 166 open cases and 117 cases closed. He informed Board members that prosecution's gold standard is to be able to dispose of a case within a year across all boards and was 284 days for the State Board of Psychology.

Mr. Smith noted a couple of probation cases and fines with one incident of mandatory continuing education and a suspension.

Mr. Smith addressed cases where no discipline is imposed, noting the Board had 68 prosecution not warranted cases and 13 warning letters. He stated prosecution not warranted and warning letters are the most common amongst all boards when closing a case where there is no discipline.

Mr. Smith noted warning letters are the most appropriate course of action when cases are fairly mundane, where the person does not have a significant discipline history or there may be problems from an evidentiary standpoint. He explained that prosecution not warranted may involve considering the seriousness of the allegations and how confident an expert is that there was a violation.

Dr. McAleer asked what the difference is between

1 prosecution not warranted and an administrative 2 closing.

Mr. Smith explained that an administrative closing is a case prosecution opened by mistake and provided an example of a Pennsylvania Justice Network (JNET) case. He stated JNET is a system that tells prosecution whether or not a person has been arrested, where prosecution may open a case on a person with a common name believing the person is a licensee but later realize that it is a different person and is the reason for an administrative closing.

Mr. Smith noted it has nothing to do with the evidence or a complainant changing their mind but is when prosecution opened a case against the wrong person or should not have opened a case at all.

Mr. Smith informed Board members that the annual report, which includes the rest of the Board's numbers, would be available around March.]

\* \* \*

21 Appointment - The Trevor Project

[Casey Pick, Director of Law & Policy at The Trevor Project, informed Board members that Trevor is the

nation's leading organization for suicide prevention

25 and crisis intervention for Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual,

Transgender, and Queer (LGBTQ) young people. She
noted providing direct services for more than 25
years and now 24/7 via telephone, chat, and text to
LGBTQ young people in crisis.

Ms. Pick noted that it was in the course of doing that work that the issue of sexual orientation and gender identity change efforts known as conversion therapy came to their attention as a key priority. She mentioned that it was documented well over a thousand times where young people would mention conversion therapy as an issue.

Ms. Pick stated Trevor performs an annual national survey asking tens of thousands of LGBTQ young people between the ages of 13 and 24 a wide range of questions about their experiences. She noted a recent survey found that 11% had been threatened with conversion therapy and another 5% had actually experienced it.

Ms. Pick addressed research, including health economists, documenting the economic impact of conversion therapy nationally at a direct cost of \$650,000,000 annually. She also noted the indirect costs associated with the care for depression, anxiety, substance use, and suicide, where the costs of conversion therapy was found to be over \$9 billion

annually.

Ms. Pick discussed the harms of conversion therapy, noting a project five years ago commenced with a team in order to identify the ongoing practice of conversion therapy by unlicensed individuals and licensed individuals. She reported finding 1,300 active practice practitioners of conversion therapy across the country, more than 600 of which were licensed, and 88% of those licensed individuals were in Pennsylvania.

Ms. Pick noted distributing a state-specific report for Pennsylvania that included a heat map documenting wherever there is significant population across the state of active practitioners. She reported over 70 licensed mental health professionals in training with 35 of those in Pennsylvania.

Ms. Pick addressed a new upcoming generation of people engaging in these practices quietly and discretely. She noted the importance of publicizing this issue as much as possible and it being an issue that should be confronted within the professions.

Ms. Pick reported more than 80% of LGBTQ youth wanted mental health support last year, but more than half could not get it due to cost, distance, and concerns about asking their parents' permission to

get mental health support. She noted 15% of those kids who could not get mental health support due to being afraid to be subjected to conversion therapy.

Ms. Pick reported 40% of LGBTQ youth seriously considered suicide, and of those youth, who had either experienced conversion therapy or been threatened with it, were twice as likely to report seriously considering suicide or a suicide attempt and two and a half times as likely to report multiple suicide attempts in the past year.

Ms. Pick noted the importance of Trevor's mission to save young lives and offered to provide further support to the Board in their efforts to partner with them in their work.

Molly Cowan, Psy.D., Director of Professional Affairs, Pennsylvania Psychological Association, informed Board members that PPA has been partnering with The Trevor Project given that the American Psychological Association (APA) is against conversion therapy. She noted there is professional research support they should not be engaged and are supporting them in their efforts.

Rachel Baturin, MHP, JD, Pennsylvania

Psychological Association, mentioned that legislation has been introduced over the years regarding banning

conversion therapy, and PPA has been supportive of that legislation. She noted being hopeful that the Board would support legislation banning conversion therapy and also noted that Governor Shapiro and Governor Wolf are supportive of banning conversion therapy.

Ms. Baturin mentioned that there was an executive order put out about conversion therapy and asked Board members if they would implement things to root out some of the providers, who are doing conversion therapy and offer information on how people could file complaints with the Board. She also asked whether they could help in identifying experts that might be able to identify conversion therapy going on somewhere.

Ms. Pick mentioned that there is no billing code for engaging in conversion therapy, and it is often misbilled as depression, anxiety, marital issues, or substance use, where it is frequently miscommunicated but then treated. She discussed a case that was litigated in California at the National Center for Lesbian Rights (NCLR), where a lesbian patient brought a case of fraud, because she worked with a therapist but was never told there was no scientific basis to ever believe that orientation could change

```
her. She noted the importance of proactive education
work that can be done to prevent these harms being
inflicted in the first place.

Acting Commissioner Claggett informed Ms. Pick
that the Board does support the executive order and
informed her that the mechanism to report these kinds
of injustices would go through the Bureau of
```

8 Enforcement and Investigation (BEI) by filing a 9 complaint.

10 Chair Erickson thanked Ms. Pick for the 11 presentation.]

12

Approval of minutes of the December 18, 2023 meeting
14 CHAIR ERICKSON:

Item 3 of the agenda is the approval minutes from the December meeting.

I believe at this time the Board will entertain a motion to approve the minutes from the December 18, 2023 meeting.

21 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:

22 So moved.

23 DR. BRADLEY:

15

16

17

18

19

20

24 Second.

25 CHAIR ERICKSON:

```
1.3
1
                  Any discussion? Hearing none.
                                                   We'll
2
                  have the vote.
 3
 4
                  Dr. Erickson, aye; Commissioner
 5
                  Claggett, aye; Dr. McAleer, aye; Dr.
 6
                  Bradley, aye; Ms. Harrison, aye.
7
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
8
9
   Report of Board Prosecution - No Report
10
   Report of Board Counsel - Sunshine Act and Recusal
11
     Guidelines
12
13
   [Tyesha C. Miley, Esquire, Board Counsel, provided
14
   the annual review of the Sunshine Act and Recusal
15
   Guidelines.
                She explained that the purpose of the
16
   Sunshine Act is the right of the public to be present
17
   at all meetings of agencies and to witness the
18
   deliberations, policy formulation, and decision-
   making because it is vital to the enhancement and
19
20
   proper functioning of the democratic process.
21
        Ms. Miley stated meetings must be open to the
22
   public when deliberations or official action takes
23
           She provided the definition of agency,
   place.
24
   deliberation, and official action.
25
        Ms. Miley addressed public notice, including
```

publication of the place, date, and time. She noted public notice is not required in a case of an emergency meeting or conference.

Ms. Miley discussed the recording of votes and requirement of minutes for all open meetings. She mentioned that the only applicable exceptions to open meeting requirements are executive sessions and conferences.

Ms. Miley explained that legal challenges under the Sunshine Act must be filed within 30 days of the date of the meeting or within 30 days of the discovery of any action in which the Sunshine Act was violated and discussed penalties for violating the Sunshine Act.

Ms. Miley stated all Board business, deliberations, and official actions should be conducted in an open meeting; and Board members should not discuss agency business, especially Executive Session matters outside of an official Board meeting.

Ms. Miley noted committee meetings discussing regulations and policies should take place in an open meeting with appropriate public notice. She mentioned that administrative functions are not subject to open meeting requirements.

Ms. Miley addressed mandatory recusals, where a Board member may have a prosecutorial role or direct personal or financial interest in the outcome of the matter. She addressed strongly suggested recusals, where there is a personal affection or outside knowledge and someone cannot make a fair and unbiased determination.

Ms. Miley noted that discretionary recusals are when a Board member cannot hear and dispose of the case or participate in a decision on a subject fairly and without prejudice. She encouraged Board members who are uncertain whether to recuse themselves to discuss the matter with Board Counsel privately.

Ms. Miley addressed abstention versus recusal, noting abstention means someone is withholding their vote but does not affect quorum requirements. She noted the Board member is unable to vote at all with recusal and can affect the quorum requirement.

Ms. Miley addressed conflict of interest, where no member of any professional examining and licensing board shall at the same time be an officer or agent of any statewide association or occupation subject to the Board's actions.

Ms. Miley addressed additional conflicts of interest for public board members, where a member of

a licensing board or commission designated as representing the public at large shall be a private citizen and not be a member of any professional occupation which is regulated or licensed by the board, commission, or Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs nor be related to or part of the immediate family of any member of the profession or occupation to be licensed or regulated by the particular board or commission.

Ms. Miley stated public Board members also may not be affiliated in any way with the professional occupation to be licensed or regulated. She noted they may also not hold any other appointed or elective public office or position within the Commonwealth or another state or the United States government during the appointed term for which they serve nor any person not meeting the standards set forth herein shall be ineligible for membership on the board or commission as a public member.]

20 \*\*\*

Report of Board Counsel - Legislative Update

[Tyesha C. Miley, Esquire, Board Counsel, informed

Board members that there has been a bill analysis

performed regarding House Bill 672, the Disclosure of

Coach Credentials Act. She noted the bill was

```
17
1
   initially put forth and sent to committee in March
   2023.
2
3
        Ms. Miley reported forward motion taking place on
4
   the bill but would keep the Board updated.
5
   stated there is currently no definition for
6
   credentials, but there is clearly an interest by many
7
   to have coaches disclose why they believe they are
8
   qualified to provide such services.]
9
10
   Report of Board Counsel - Matters for Deliberation
11
   CHAIR ERICKSON:
12
                  Item 7 on the agenda. I believe the
13
                  Board will entertain a motion to
14
                  provisionally deny the Application for
15
                  Licensure for Kathryn E. Murphy, Psy.D.
16
   ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:
                  So moved.
17
18
   DR. BRADLEY:
                  Second.
19
   CHAIR ERICKSON:
20
21
                  Any discussion? Hearing none.
                                                   We can
22
                  have a vote.
23
24
                  Dr. Erickson, aye; Commissioner
25
                  Claggett, aye; Dr. McAleer, aye; Dr.
```

Bradley, aye; Ms. Harrison, aye.

2 [The motion carried unanimously.]

3 \*\*\*

4 Report of Regulatory Counsel

5 | [Tyesha C. Miley, Esquire, Board Counsel, informed

6 | Board members that regulatory counsel, Jacqueline

7 | Wolfgang, would be addressing regulatory matters at

the end of the business portion of the meeting.]

\* \* \*

10 Report of Board Chairperson - No Report

11 \*\*\*

12 Report of Acting Commissioner - No Report

13

14 Report of Board Administrator - Application to

Request Approval to Sit for the Psychology

16 Licensing Examinations

17 CHAIR ERICKSON:

8

9

21

22

24

18 Item 12 on the agenda. I believe the

Board will entertain a motion to accept

20 the Application to Request to Sit for

the Licensure Exam by Patrick Walro,

DSportExPsy.

23 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:

So moved.

25 DR. BRADLEY:

19 Second. 1 CHAIR ERICKSON: 2 3 Any discussion on the matter? Hearing none. We'll have the vote. 4 5 6 Dr. Erickson, aye; Commissioner 7 Claggett, aye; Dr. McAleer, aye; Dr. 8 Bradley, aye; Ms. Harrison, aye. 9 [The motion carried unanimously.] 10 11 Report of Board Administrator - Application to 12 Practice Psychology for Persons Licensed in Other 13 States 14 CHAIR ERICKSON: 15 Item 13 on the agenda. I believe the 16 Board will entertain a motion to accept 17 the Application to Practice Psychology 18 for Sallette Ann Thompson, Psy.D. 19 (Alaska) 20 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT: 21 So moved. 22 DR. BRADLEY: 23 Second. 24 CHAIR ERICKSON: 25 Any discussion? Hearing none. We'll

20 1 have the vote. 2 3 Dr. Erickson, aye; Commissioner 4 Claggett, aye; Dr. McAleer, aye; Dr. 5 Bradley, aye; Ms. Harrison, aye. 6 [The motion carried unanimously.] 7 \* \* \* 8 Report of Board Administrator - Review of Supervised 9 Experience CHAIR ERICKSON: 10 11 It is my understanding the Board will 12 entertain a motion to accept the 13 Supervised Experience for item 14, Ryan 14 Daniel Mulligan, Ph.D. 15 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT: So moved. 16 DR. BRADLEY: 17 18 Second. 19 CHAIR ERICKSON: 20 Any discussion? Hearing none. We'll 21 have the vote. 22 23 Dr. Erickson, aye; Commissioner 24 Claggett, aye; Dr. McAleer, aye; Dr. 25 Bradley, aye; Ms. Harrison, aye.

21 [The motion carried unanimously.] 1 2 3 CHAIR ERICKSON: I believe the Board will entertain a 4 5 motion to deny the Supervised 6 Experience at item 15, Dawnielle 7 Simmons, Ph.D. 8 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT: 9 So moved. 10 DR. BRADLEY: 11 Second. CHAIR ERICKSON: 12 13 Any discussion on the matter? Hearing 14 none. We'll have the vote. 15 16 Dr. Erickson, aye; Commissioner 17 Claggett, aye; Dr. McAleer, aye; Dr. 18 Bradley, aye; Ms. Harrison, aye. 19 [The motion carried unanimously.] \* \* \* 20 21 Report of Board Administrator - Application for 22 Approval of Corporate or Fictitious Name 23 CHAIR ERICKSON: 24 I believe the Board will entertain a 25 motion to approve items 16, 17, 18, 19,

```
2.2
1
                  21, and 22. Item 16 is NeuroDynamix
2
                  Testing and Therapy Solutions PLLC;
3
                  item 17, Attune & Bloom Psychology
                  PLLC; item 18, Mend Well Integrative,
 4
 5
                  PLLC; item 19, Martz Lionheart Therapy
                  Solutions PLLC; item 21, Embrace Now
 6
7
                  Psychotherapy and Wellness, PLLC; and
8
                  item 22, The Center for ADHD, LLC.
9
   ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:
10
                  So moved.
   DR. BRADLEY:
11
                  Second.
12
13
   CHAIR ERICKSON:
14
                  Any discussion on the matter? Hearing
15
                  none. We'll have the vote.
16
17
                  Dr. Erickson, aye; Commissioner
18
                  Claggett, aye; Dr. McAleer, aye; Dr.
19
                  Bradley, aye; Ms. Harrison, aye.
20
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                              * * *
21
22
   CHAIR ERICKSON:
23
                  I believe the Board will entertain a
24
                  motion to provisionally deny the
25
                  Application for item 20, Clarity
```

23 1 Psychotherapy & Yoga Therapy. 2 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT: 3 So moved. DR. BRADLEY: 4 5 Second. CHAIR ERICKSON: 6 7 Any discussion? Hearing none. We'll have the vote. 8 9 10 Dr. Erickson, aye; Commissioner 11 Claggett, aye; Dr. McAleer, aye; Dr. 12 Bradley, aye; Ms. Harrison, aye. 13 [The motion carried unanimously.] \* \* \* 14 15 Report of Board Members - No Report 16 17 Discussion Items - ASPPB Midyear Meeting - April 18 25-28, 2024 - Boston , MA CHAIR ERICKSON: 19 20 I believe the Board will entertain a 21 motion to approve one Board member, 22 Board Counsel, and the Board 23 administrator to attend the midyear 24 meeting from April 25-28, 2024. 25 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:

```
24
1
                  So moved.
2
   DR. BRADLEY:
3
                  Second.
   CHAIR ERICKSON:
4
5
                  Any discussion? Hearing none.
 6
                  have the vote.
7
                  Dr. Erickson, aye; Commissioner
9
                  Claggett, aye; Dr. McAleer, aye; Dr.
10
                  Bradley, aye; Ms. Harrison, aye.
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
11
12
13
   Discussion Items - PPA Convention - June 12-15, 2024-
14
     Lancaster, PA
15
   CHAIR ERICKSON:
                  I believe the Board will entertain a
16
                  motion to send Board Counsel and two
17
18
                  Board members to the meeting, which is
                  June 12-15, 2024.
19
20
   ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:
21
                  So moved.
22
   DR. BRADLEY:
23
                  Second.
   CHAIR ERICKSON:
24
25
                  Any discussion? Hearing none. We'll
```

25 1 have the vote. 2 3 Dr. Erickson, aye; Commissioner 4 Claggett, aye; Dr. McAleer, aye; Dr. 5 Bradley, aye; Ms. Harrison, aye. [The motion carried unanimously.] 6 7 \* \* \* 8 Discussion Items - 2025 Board Meeting Dates [Steven K. Erickson, Ph.D., Chair, noted the proposed 10 2025 meeting dates are February 10, April 14, June 16, August 18, October 20, and December 15.] 11 CHAIR ERICKSON: 12 13 I believe the Board will entertain a 14 motion to accept the 2025 Board of 15 Psychology meeting dates. 16 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT: 17 So moved. 18 DR. BRADLEY: 19 Second. 20 CHAIR ERICKSON: Any discussion? Hearing none. We'll

23

21

22 have the vote.

24 Dr. Erickson, aye; Commissioner

25 Claggett, aye; Dr. McAleer, aye; Dr.

26 1 Bradley, aye; Ms. Harrison, aye. 2 [The motion carried unanimously.] 3 4 Correspondence 5 CHAIR ERICKSON: I believe the Board will entertain a 6 7 motion to grant the CE Waiver Request 8 from Jilda Suzanne Green, Ph.D. 9 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT: 10 So moved. DR. BRADLEY: 11 Second. 12 13 CHAIR ERICKSON: 14 Any discussion? Hearing none. We'll 15 have the vote. 16 17 Dr. Erickson, aye; Commissioner 18 Claggett, aye; Dr. McAleer, aye; Dr. 19 Bradley, aye; Ms. Harrison, aye. 20 [The motion carried unanimously.] \* \* \* 21 22 FYI Items - ASPPB Newsletter - December 2023 23 24 FYI Items - PSYPACT 4th Quarter Compliance Report 25 [Steven K. Erickson, Ph.D., Chair, addressed the

```
27
   Psychology Interjurisdictional Compact (PSYPACT) 4th
1
2
   Quarter Compliance Report, noting there have been no
3
   issues with Pennsylvania psychologists, and PSYPACT
4
   operations seem to be going smoothly at this time.]
5
   New Business
6
7
   CHAIR ERICKSON:
                  I believe the Board will entertain a
8
9
                  motion to grant the Chair authority to
10
                  form a committee to approve
11
                  applications between Board meetings.
   ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:
12
13
                  So moved.
14
   DR. BRADLEY:
15
                  Second.
   CHAIR ERICKSON:
16
17
                  Any discussion on the item? Not
18
                  hearing any. I'll take the vote.
19
20
                  Dr. Erickson, aye; Commissioner
21
                  Claggett, aye; Dr. McAleer, aye; Dr.
22
                  Bradley, aye; Ms. Harrison, aye.
23
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
24
25
   CHAIR ERICKSON:
```

```
2.8
                  I believe the Board will entertain a
1
2
                  motion to grant the Chair authority to
 3
                  form a committee that will approve CE
 4
                  sponsor requests between Board
5
                  meetings.
6
   ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:
7
                  So moved.
8
   DR. BRADLEY:
9
                  Second.
10
   CHAIR ERICKSON:
11
                  Any discussion? Hearing none.
                                                   We'll
                  have the vote.
12
13
14
                  Dr. Erickson, aye; Commissioner
15
                  Claggett, aye; Dr. McAleer, aye; Dr.
16
                  Bradley, aye; Ms. Harrison, aye.
17
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
18
19
   Report of Regulatory Counsel
20
   [Jacqueline A. Wolfgang, Esquire, Regulatory Counsel,
21
   addressed pending regulations. She had no progress
   to report on the Code of Ethics Regulation, noting it
22
23
   is on hold until a new Code of Ethics is published.
24
   She noted the general revisions update was also
25
   drafted some time ago and is on the list for her to
```

1 review after completing their Continuing Education 2 Regulation and the Accreditation Regulation.

Ms. Wolfgang stated the Volunteer License
Regulation would have to be an original draft,
because the Board does not currently have regulations
for volunteer licenses. She mentioned that it is
being drafted by different regulatory counsel but is
hoping to get that moving in the near future.

Ms. Wolfgang referred to the annex for 16A-6327 regarding the Psychological Clinical Science Accreditation System (PCSAS) Regulation, noting it has been before the Board in the past and is ready to be voted on and sent out as an exposure draft.

Ms. Wolfgang pointed out that the definition of accredited college or university references the Commission on Recognition of Postsecondary Accreditation (CORPA), which is an outdated entity, and has been replaced by the Council for Higher Education Accreditation (CHEA). She recommended updating the language, which will also have to be updated in the Code of Ethics at § 41.61.] CHAIR ERICKSON:

I believe the Board will entertain a motion to accept the draft at item 10, 16A-6327, Accreditation for PCSAS.

1 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:

2 So moved.

3 DR. BRADLEY:

4 Second.

5 CHAIR ERICKSON:

Any discussion? Hearing none. We'll

7 have the vote.

8

9

11

Dr. Erickson, aye; Commissioner

10 Claggett, aye; Dr. McAleer, aye; Dr.

Bradley, aye; Ms. Harrison, aye.

12 [The motion carried unanimously.]

13

14 Report of Regulatory Counsel

15 | [Jacqueline A. Wolfgang, Esquire, Regulatory Counsel,

16 referred to 16A-6322 regarding the child abuse

17 | regulation, noting it was published on December 30,

18 2023, and is now part of their existing regulations.

19 Ms. Wolfgang referred to the proposed annex for

20 the continuing education regulations at 16A-6317 and

21 requested confirmation after discussions with Board

22 | Counsel that the Board wanted to leave the online

23 requirements the same.

24 Chair Erickson stated the Board is considering

25 that all of the continuing education credits could be

accrued through distance education.

Ms. Wolfgang discussed her recommendations. She noted the Board's regulations and the proposed language in the regulations was awkward. She referred to the distance education definition the Board was considering, noting it separated out the in-person content of distance education.

Ms. Wolfgang stated the definition she is suggesting encompasses all forms of distance education, whether it be live or not, online asynchronous or not. She noted the definition will capture it and then separate out the types of distance education, including asynchronous education and synchronous education.

Ms. Wolfgang mentioned the reader will know asynchronous education does not provide for real-time video and audio communication between the learner and the instructor, whereas the synchronous distance education would provide for that real-time and audio interaction between the learner and the instructor.

Chair Erickson believed the Board is considering allowing all of the credit hours to be accrued asynchronously and asked whether the Board would need to make that kind of clarification in the regulation if they go in that direction.

Ms. Wolfgang stated it could probably be simplified, and she could redraft it if the Board decides to permit all online. She mentioned that the Board Chair asked for commentary regarding the online component and received lots of support for having the online education from the comments received.

Chair Erickson stated the response the Board has received has been strongly in favor of allowing 100% asynchronous education but asked other Board members for their input.

Dr. McAleer mentioned being moved by some of the comments from the public when they talked about the burden of attending live presentations, where professional members noted they would attend a live conference if they valued something enough but otherwise take the asynchronous distance education. He believed everyone felt they should be allowed to choose their own method of education.

Chair Erickson commented that it will open up opportunities to take courses across the country that might not always be available and provide greater flexibility. He referred to the Pennsylvania Psychological Association's letter to the Board, where they mentioned that there really is no scientific data to suggest in-person instruction or

live instruction is superior. He noted the
flexibility of asynchronous education is a benefit
and would be in support of that. He instructed Ms.
Wolfgang to revise the annex.

- Ms. Baturin addressed the Pennsylvania

  Psychological Association's concern that there would

  not be interaction with other professionals,

  especially those in rural areas, going to all

  asynchronous. She mentioned that a lot of people

  that have been prosecuted in front of the Board in

  the past have been isolated professionals who do not

  really have consultation groups where they can confer

  with other professionals.
- Ms. Baturin stated the Pennsylvania Psychological Association (PPA) believed that keeping at least a little bit of CE as in person would be beneficial. She mentioned that it does not have to be in person and physically attend as long as they take live programs where they can interact with the presenters and other people who are attending those events. She noted PPA believed it would be okay to increase the number but also have some type of live requirement online.

Chair Erickson appreciated PPA's concern and would consider it moving forward but believed the

purpose of CE is to ensure competence and not to foster social connections even though that would be beneficial.

Ms. Cowan stated PPA believed there is real value of being able to ask questions in real time that is missing from asynchronous learning for people to be able to interact with one another for clarification purposes and learning purposes. She mentioned that PPA's letter did cite that there is an absence of research but noted the importance that there is an absence of research across the board between synchronous and asynchronous.

Chair Erickson thanked Ms. Baturin for the additional information to consider as the Board moves forward and looks at the regulation.

Ms. Wolfgang informed Board members that she would bring the draft back to the Board showing the 100% online, so the Board can see what that looks like on paper and have further discussion and stakeholder input.

Ms. Wolfgang noted the exposure draft could be changed, and there would be proposed rulemaking after that and another comment period with input from the Independent Regulatory Review Commission (IRRC), where the Board can change its opinion and redraft

that section of the regulation.

Ms. Wolfgang reported adding diversity training to be more descriptive instead of just having diversity defined. She referred to § 41.12 under fees, noting the Board's current draft wants to change the application fee from continuing education sponsor/provider to just continuing education sponsor. She noted the Board uses the term "sponsor" throughout its regulations and wanted to understand the difference between a sponsor and a provider.

Ms. Wolfgang referred to wording in the preamble. She noted when describing this amendment, one minor amendment is proposed for § 41.12, where the description of the application fee for continuing education sponsor approval is being amended to clarify the Board's practice of approving sponsors of continuing education, not just each individual provider.

Ms. Wolfgang asked Board members to explain the difference between the sponsor and a provider and why they want to get rid of that provider language.

Chair Erickson commented that he always equated the two words the same.

Dr. Bradley believed them to be slightly different, where it might be a practice that is

supporting 10 or 15 different practitioners

presenting, so the sponsor would be the practice, but

the individuals would be presenting under the

auspices of a particular practice.

Dr. McAleer commented that it has not been necessarily in recent times, but there used to be individuals who would also provide continuing education as opposed to, as Dr. Bradley suggested, an organization that had employed or contracted with individuals who, in the name of that organization, would provide CE. He noted that it does not make any sense to keep the language of provider in there.

Ms. Harrison commented that it is only important to distinguish the two if at some point there are separate rules for each entity they are trying to define and asked whether that is the case in the document.

Chair Erickson and Dr. Bradley believed they are treated the same, and the Board does not distinguish the words.

Ms. Wolfgang noted that her interpretation was that provider was basically synonymous with sponsor but appeared different in reading the preamble, but if the Board is saying a sponsor is just another name for a provider that it is okay to delete the provider

part. She wanted to make sure from a legal standpoint that the regulations would apply to a sponsor as well as a provider, and Chair Erickson believed that to be the case.

- Ms. Wolfgang stated she would delete the provider language, noting the reference to provider under § 41.12 is the only place the regulations talk about provider.
- Ms. Wolfgang informed Board members that prior Board Counsel wrote the regulation in a way that they restructured the regulation and wanted to delete the definitions under § 41.59 and put them in the collective definitions under § 41.1.
- Ms. Wolfgang mentioned that to be perfectly fine and understandable but that they cannot restructure a regulation because the numbering is going to be off for future reference, noting it is one of the rules in the Legislative Reference Bureau's Style Manual.
- Ms. Wolfgang reported restructuring it back to where it needs to be by renumbering and marking areas reserved. She also updated the preamble to reflect the new subsection.
- Ms. Wolfgang referred to subsection (b), continuing education requirements for biennial renewal. She again noted the child abuse regulations

were published in December and the language is now incorporated. She asked whether the Board is looking to delete the ability to carry over continuing education and why, so she can explain the rationale in the preamble.

Dr. McAleer believed the problem that they ran into is when the excess hours were carried over ad nauseam from many biennial renewals, and the Board agreed they could carry over continuing education only for the next biennium and not beyond.

Ms. Wolfgang explained that the current language already addresses that issue, where they are only allowed to go back to the prior biennium, and Board members agreed to keep it as it is at 10 hours.

Ms. Wolfgang stated she deleted subsection (d), where they are basically going to preserve the integrity of the code. She noted redrafting it to separate out the approved sponsors and the acceptable courses. She noted all of the content in (d) is now in (d)(1) and (d)(2). She mentioned putting in some labels in the paragraph to separate out the preapproved sponsors and the board-approved sponsors and the responsibility of sponsors.

Ms. Wolfgang noted the Board has APA and Canadian Psychological Association (CPA) under

preapproved sponsors and asked whether they wanted to consider adding the PCSAS, and Board members wanted to deliberate.

Dr. McAleer commented that the American Medical Association is mentioned but not the American Osteopathic Association but mentioned that he has not seen anything offered by the American Osteopathic Association over the last six years that would meet their requirements because it is usually AMA. He requested further Board discussion on that matter.

Ms. Wolfgang informed Board members that she would not include PCSAS in the next draft. She noted they could certainly include PCSAS in the proposed draft to provide more time to think about it.

Ms. Wolfgang informed Board members that she changed the term "live" to "in person" to make it more descriptive.

Ms. Wolfgang referred to subsection (f), where they use the term teacher and course instructor and asked whether there was a difference between the two.

Chair Erickson believed they are equivalent and gave permission to add a course instructor or teacher to paragraph (3).

Ms. Montgomery again referred to paragraph (f)(3), regardless of the number of instructors

participating in the delivery of the course, noting
the Board is eliminating that requirement. She did
not believe they need to include that language
because it is no longer a requirement and recommended
deleting that language.

Dr. McAleer explained that the previously constituted Board decided many years ago that presentations given at conventions be divided by the number of CEs granted to the instructor and divided by the number of instructors based on the idea that everybody contributes some portion equally but actually turned out that each instructor prepares equally as much in the construction of the presentation. He mentioned that it is something considered to be a dinosaur.

Ms. Wolfgang stated the the amendment deletes that requirement and adding language equal to the number of contact hours granted for attending the course regardless of the number of instructors participating in delivery of the course. She mentioned that it might be confusing to somebody looking at this who does not have that historical knowledge and read the exact language. She asked whether the clause, "regardless of the number of instructors participating in delivery of the course"

was necessary because it is not going to be in the
regulations.

Chair Erickson noted that he prefers things be shorter and more direct but did not have a strong opinion one way or the other.

Dr. McAleer agreed that as long as it makes sense that if someone helps to create a course they receive credit.

Ms. Wolfgang referred to subsection (i) regarding reactivation and reinstatement, where the Board decided to add reactivation to the term reactivation to this section. She noted drafting changes from "will" to "shall."

Ms. Wolfgang addressed paragraph one, noting it is not clear that the 30 hours must be compliant with \$ 41.59 and suggested changing the language to add "requirements for the biannual period immediately preceding the request for reactivation," and Board members agreed.

Ms. Wolfgang referred to paragraph two, where the Board added the term "revocation" and recommended they keep the "restriction" term that was in there before because they do not want anybody thinking after a revocation is they only need to get their CE. She noted someone who has been revoked has to meet

```
42
   all the licensing qualifications of the act,
1
2
   including the examination requirement and so forth,
3
   and Board members agreed.
 4
        Ms. Wolfgang informed Board members that she is
5
   hoping to have amended annexes for the Board's review
6
   at the next meeting.
        Chair Erickson thanked Ms. Wolfgang for all of
8
   her hard work.
9
10
   Upcoming Meeting Dates
11
   [Steven K. Erickson, Ph.D., Chair, noted the next
   meeting date is April 8, 2024, and then June 3,
12
13
   August 5, October 7, and December 2.]
14
15
   Adjournment
   CHAIR ERICKSON:
16
                  I believe the Board will entertain a
17
18
                  motion for adjournment.
   ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:
19
20
                  So moved.
21
   DR. BRADLEY:
22
                  Second.
23
   CHAIR ERICKSON:
24
                  See everyone at the next meeting.
```

\* \* \*

25

[There being no further business, the State Board of Psychology Meeting adjourned at 12:16 p.m.] CERTIFICATE I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Psychology meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Psychology meeting. Victoria Lantz, Minute Clerk Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. 

		44
1 2		STATE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY REFERENCE INDEX
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 21 22		February 5, 2024
	TIME	AGENDA
	9:30 10:30	Executive Session Return to Open Session
	10:30	Official Call to Order
	10:31	Introduction of Board Members/Attendees
	10:32	Appointment - Carlton Smith, Deputy Chief Counsel, Annual Prosecution Division Report
	10:45	Appointment - Casey Pick, Director of Law & Policy at The Trevor Project
23 24	11:00	Approval of Minutes
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 40 41 42	11:01	Report of Board Counsel
	11:17	Report of Board Administrator
	11:22	Discussion Items
	11:25	Correspondence
	11:25	FYI Items
	11:26	New Business
	11:27	Report of Regulatory Counsel
	12:15	Upcoming Meeting Dates
	12:16	Adjournment
43 44 45		
46 47		
48 49		
50		