State Board of Podiatry June 15, 2022

BOARD MEMBERS:

Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs - Absent Michael J. Paris, D.P.M., M.B.A., Chairman Robert B. Weber, D.P.M., J.D., M.B.A., Vice Chairman

Dia D. McCaughan, D.P.M., Secretary Eric B. Greenberg, D.P.M., J.D.

William D. Fetchik, D.O.

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Todd P. Kriner, Esquire, Board Counsel Timothy J. Henderson, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison

Paul J. Jarabeck, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecutor Nichole Wray, Board Administrator Marc Farrell, Deputy Policy Director, Department of State

ALSO PRESENT:

Vincent Muscarella, DPM, FACFAS, Center for Advanced Orthopedics

Seth Rolko, Democratic Executive Director, Senate Consumer Protection & Professional Licensure Committee

1 ***

2 State Board of Podiatry

June 15, 2022

3

4

5 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at
6 9:30 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session
7 with Todd P. Kriner, Esquire, Board Counsel, for the
8 purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations on
9 matters listed under the Report of Board Counsel and
10 Report of Prosecution. The Board returned to open
11 session at 10:30 a.m.]

12

The regularly scheduled meeting of the State

Board of Podiatry was held on Wednesday, June 15,

Michael J. Paris, D.P.M., M.B.A., Chairman,

called the meeting to order at 10:30 a.m.

17

18 | Roll Call/Introduction of Attendees

19 | [Nichole Wray, Board Administrator, provided an

20 introduction of the Board members, staff, and audience

21 in attendance.

22 ***

23 [Nichole Wray, Board Administrator, reminded everyone

24 the meeting was being recorded, and voluntary

25 participation constitutes consent to be recorded.]

* * * 1 2 Approval of minutes of the April 20, 2022 meeting 3 CHAIRMAN PARIS: Approval of minutes from the last 4 5 meeting. I assume everyone had a chance 6 to look over the minutes. Does anyone have anything they want to discuss regarding those minutes? 9 If not, could we get a motion to 10 approve the minutes? 11 DR. GREENBERG: 12 I'll make a motion to approve the minutes 13 from the last meeting. DR. MCCAUGHAN: 14 I'll second the motion. 15 16 CHAIRMAN PARIS: 17 If there is no other discussion, could we have Niki do a roll call vote? 18 19 2.0 Dr. Paris, yes; Dr. Weber, yes; Dr. 2.1 McCaughan, yes; Dr. Greenberg, yes; Dr. 22 Fetchik, yes. 23 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 2.4 25 [Todd P. Kriner, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted the

```
Board met in Executive Session to engage in quasi-
1
2
   judicial deliberations on the matters listed in the
3
   Report of Board Counsel and Report of Prosecution.]
                              * * *
 4
5
   Report of Prosecutors
6
   [Timothy J. Henderson, Esquire, Board Prosecution
   Liaison, presented the Consent Agreement for Case No.
   21-44-017463.1
   MR. KRINER:
10
                Is there a motion to adopt the Consent
11
                Agreement at Case No. 21-44-017463?
12
   DR. GREENBERG:
13
                I'll make a motion to accept the Consent
14
                Agreement.
15
   DR. MCCAUGHAN:
                I'll second the motion.
16
17
   CHAIRMAN PARIS:
18
                Before we vote, would anyone like to
19
                discuss the motion? If not, Niki if you
20
                wouldn't mind taking the roll call vote.
2.1
22
                Dr. Paris, yes; Dr. Weber, yes; Dr.
2.3
                McCaughan, yes; Dr. Greenberg, yes; Dr.
24
                Fetchik, yes.
25
   [The motion carried unanimously. The Respondent's
```

```
6
   name is Richard David Gebrosky, D.P.M.]
1
                               * * *
2
3
   Report of Board Counsel
4
   MR. KRINER:
5
                 The only thing I have is the Draft
6
                 Adjudication and Order at Case No. 21-44-
                 001179, Lawrence J. Kansky, D.P.M.
                      Is there a motion to adopt the Draft
9
                 Adjudication and Order as drafted by
10
                 counsel?
11
   DR. GREENBERG:
12
                 I'll make a motion to adopt the
13
                 Adjudication drafted by counsel.
   DR. MCCAUGHAN:
14
                 I'll second the motion.
15
16
   CHAIRMAN PARIS:
17
                 Would anyone like to discuss the motion?
18
                 Niki, could we get a roll call vote,
19
                 please?
20
21
                 Dr. Paris, yes; Dr. Weber, yes; Dr.
22
                 McCaughan, yes; Dr. Greenberg, yes; Dr.
23
                 Fetchik, yes.
24
    [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
25
```

```
[Todd P. Kriner, Esquire, Board Counsel, informed
1
   Board members that other boards have received calls
2
3
   regarding the status of their license and credit card
 4
   information. He stated the Bureau of Professional and
5
   Occupational Affairs (BPOA) will not call licensees
6
   asking for payment over the phone and encouraged Board
   members to not give any personal or financial
   information over the phone. He recommended everyone
   contact their local and state police if they have been
10
   a victim of the scam.l
                              * * *
11
12
   Report of Regulatory Counsel - Regulatory Status
13
     Report
14
   [Todd P. Kriner, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted 16A-
15
   4417 regarding continuing education is up for approval
   by the Independent Regulatory Review Commission (IRRC)
16
   tomorrow and would inform the Board of their decision.
17
18
        Mr. Kriner stated regulatory counsel is reviewing
   the annex and preamble for 16A-449 regarding
19
20
   acupuncture and 16A-4419 regarding licensure by
21
   endorsement.
2.2
        Mr. Kriner noted he is drafting 16A-4418,
23
   administration and prescription of drugs; 16A-44XX,
   ABC-MAP opioid education; 16A-448, sexual misconduct;
24
25
   and 16A-4411, volunteer license. He commented that
```

1 none of those regulations are great in detail and
2 plans on pushing those through.

Mr. Kriner mentioned that he is also drafting the radiographic assistant regulation.]

* * *

3

4

5

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6 Report of Regulatory Counsel - Other

7 | [Todd P. Kriner, Esquire, Board Counsel, referred to

House Bill 1440 of 2021, noting it might provide a

9 pathway for assistant personnel who do not want to

10 compulsorily join the association and is basically an

11 amendment to the Medical Practice Act. He is hoping

12 to have the annex and preamble to the Board for

13 approval by the end of the year.

Mr. Kriner provided a brief description of the radiological assistant regulation, noting the Board needs to set up a procedure where the Board can entertain different exams approved by the Board. He stated the old regulation is written in a way where the Board has the authority to do that and is not held to just one particular group.

Mr. Kriner stated House Bill 1440 of 2021 is amending the Medical Practice Act and carved out an exemption, which specifically ties to podiatry regarding auxiliary personnel under the supervision of a doctor of podiatric medicine who is permitted to

perform X-rays under the Podiatry Practice Act Section

2 21.2. He mentioned it gives the board more leeway as

a Board to who they are going to approve and do not

have to be licensed by a specific agency.

Dr. Weber requested information as to whether prior training would be legal in a podiatrist's office.

2.0

Mr. Kriner explained that someone who has certification through the Board of Medicine, Board of Osteopathic Medicine, or Board of Chiropractic would be accepted. He mentioned that the dental board is not part of the regulation and is something that would come before the Board for a vote. He stated House Bill 1440 may answer some questions with their definition, but it is still a gray area.

Chairman Paris commented that the Board of
Dentistry is very strict with their rules with
continuing education concerning radiology safety. He
noted their regulations do not include dentistry but
mentioned that a dental assistant in their office has
more knowledge of X-rays than anybody in our office
and was not certain whether she would be able to take
X-rays.

Paul J. Jarabeck, Esquire, Senior Board

Prosecutor, discussed his knowledge working with the

- 1 | Board of Dentistry, where the radiology certification
- 2 | is done by the Dental Assisting National Board (DANB)
- 3 and that it is this national organization that does
- 4 the testing. The Board of Dentistry does not engage
- 5 | in any testing itself. He explained that there is no
- 6 renewal and no requirement of the Board for continuing
- 7 education once an individual receives that
- 8 certification, which is understood to be good for
- 9 life. He could not comment on what requirements DANB
- 10 may have to maintain certification.
- 11 Mr. Kriner commented that the Board does not
- 12 require proof of renewal certification and only
- 13 requires proof of initial certification but believed
- 14 | the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) or
- 15 whoever manufactured the equipment itself required
- 16 some sort of continuing education.
- 17 Mr. Jarabeck stated the DEP looks at
- 18 certification of equipment. He noted having
- 19 | interaction with DEP concerning radiology, where they
- 20 do their certification check and then there is a
- 21 registration fee that would continue with the
- 22 | machinery being reviewed and certified.
- Mr. Kriner referred to \$29.82(a)(3), where a
- 24 person has passed an examination approved and
- 25 administered by the Board. He noted it would have to

be rewritten to remove "administered by the Board" to
"the person has passed an examination approved by the
Board." He suggested the Board review the dental
assistant exam and decide whether they would want to
approve the exam.]

* * *

7 Report of Board Chair

[Michael J. Paris, D.P.M., Chairman, addressed DEP radiology regulations and requirements. He noted difficulty with finding the information and believed a lot of podiatrists in Pennsylvania do not know how to be compliant with the DEP. He stated DEP's Bureau of Radiation Protection has regulations regarding not just the machinery but the operators of the machines.

Chairman Paris researched the issue and provided information, including the need to register and pay a fee, place signs in their office that give information about the machines, and the person who registers the machines has to make sure all of the operators of the machines receive initial training and continuing education in topics they list within their regulation.

Chairman Paris referred to Title 25 of the Pa. Code, noting there are 11 different topics of the umbrella of radiology safety that have to be addressed. He noted continuing education for

operators must occur at least every four years, and continuing education documentation must be maintained for at least five years.

2.2

Chairman Parish mentioned the Bureau of Radiation Protection has a list of resources where they take care of registry, training, and continuing education. He noted one of their resources was the American Society of Podiatric Medical Assistants (ASPMA) and colleges and universities were also mentioned regarding education.

Chairman Paris stated there was no language for the podiatry section of the regulation that the radiology education has to be podiatry-specific. He noted the courses just need to meet the requirements of the regulations and could be online, in a classroom, or in-service training.

Chairman Paris commented that the same problem exists regarding taking X-rays when a podiatrist moves into Pennsylvania and somebody tells them that they have these requirements and are not a member of the Pennsylvania Podiatric Medical Association (PPMA).

Chairman Paris noted the importance of opening doors as far as other testing and other ways of continuing education that would help podiatrists fulfill the requirements of the DEP regulation. He

also noted the importance of podiatrists knowing they have to comply with two separate regulations.

1.3

2.4

Chairman Paris addressed making information more accessible to licensees and suggested placing links on their website to the DEP regulations and asking PPMA to include something on their website as well for the safety of the citizens of Pennsylvania.

Ms. Wray commented that she did not see a problem with placing a link from the Board's website to the DEP's website for licensees. She also offered to check to see if there is something somewhere else but not easily found on their website that directs them there.

Dr. McCaughan addressed a DEP onsite inspection, where she was told logs have to be kept, errors need to be logged, and four continuing education credits are required every four years and must be kept in a separate binder upon inspection.

Mr. Jarabeck stated there is not a general radiation inspection or the authority to walk into a facility to inspect machines and certification. He explained that they are complaint-driven and would investigate a complaint that came to them directly.]

* * *

25 Report of Acting Commissioner - No Report

```
14
                               * * *
1
2
   Report of Board Administrator - No Report
3
4
   Continuing Education Program Approval - Jefferson
5
     Health Northeast Podiatry Grand Rounds - June 16,
     2022
6
7
   CHAIRMAN PARIS:
                 Could we get a motion to approve this
9
                 program?
10
   DR. WEBER:
11
                 So moved.
12
   DR. GREENBERG:
                 I'll second.
13
14
   CHAIRMAN PARIS:
15
                 Could we get a roll call vote, please,
16
                 Niki?
17
18
                 Dr. Paris, yes; Dr. Weber, yes; Dr.
19
                 McCaughan, yes; Dr. Greenberg, yes; Dr.
20
                 Fetchik, yes.
21
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
22
23
   For the Board's Information/Discussion - Board Meeting
24
     Dates
    [Michael J. Paris, D.P.M., Chairman, noted 2022 and
25
```

1 2023 Board meeting dates.]

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

*

3 | For the Board's Information/Discussion - Old

4 | Business/New Business - Legislative Initiative Form

5 | [Todd P. Kriner, Esquire, Board Counsel, addressed the

6 Legislative Initiative Form concerning the process of

7 | having the definition of physician to include

8 | podiatrist. He stated the Statutory Construction Act

9 of 1972 would need amended.

Mr. Kriner asked whether Board members wanted to move forward with this or maybe amend the Podiatry Act to include a definition of a podiatric physician but noted there has been opposition from the Board of Medicine and Board of Osteopathic Medicine when it comes to changing the definition.

Mr. Kriner further explained that the proposal is changing the section in the Statutory Construction Act, not just the Podiatry Board regulations. He stated it is changing the definition where it specifically references medical doctors and osteopathic doctors to change it to include podiatrists.

Dr. Greenberg commented that the whole purpose for the change to use the term podiatric physician is so podiatry services are no longer a discretionary

service for people who are receiving welfare and excluded from services if there is a budget cut. He recommended staying on track with the proposal Mr. Kriner presented.

2.3

Chairman Paris noted that the bottom line is going the one path by identifying themselves as physicians and having everyone else identifying them as physicians.

Mr. Kriner asked whether Board members wanted to move forward with the Legislative Request Form, noting the arguments expressed are all in the form. He stated it would amend the act that includes the definition of physician as a medical doctor or an osteopathic doctor to include a podiatric doctor.

Vincent Muscarella, DPM, FACFAS, Center for Advanced Orthopedics, addressed difficulties he experiences being seen as a non-physician. He mentioned being asked to join an orthopedic group, where the office itself has difficulty scheduling cases for him the same way they scheduled them for the orthopedic surgeons because of some places calling podiatrists non-physicians.

Dr. Muscarella noted it is a big problem being seen by office staff and patients themselves as to why they are treated differently than fellow doctors. He

commented that a podiatrist can repair an Achilles tendon or an ankle fracture but cannot tell someone they need assistance for parking for a month or sign off on a history & physical (H&P) before the patient goes back to the operating room in certain hospitals.

Dr. Muscarella commented that some places treat podiatrists so highly and that he is excited and thrilled that his colleague orthopedic doctors asked him to join them as an equal. He mentioned that his colleagues also do not understand why podiatrists are not considered physicians in certain circumstances.

Dr. Greenberg stated podiatrists were performing major surgery and cannot sign a handicap placard or any number of other issues because of the definition, and the whole point of this definitional change specifically goes to the safety and health of the residents in Pennsylvania.]

18 DR. GREENBERG:

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

I make a motion to move forward with the legislative initiative.

21 DR. FETCHIK:

I will second the motion.

23 CHAIRMAN PARIS:

Niki, could we have a roll call vote, please?

1

4

Dr. Paris, yes; Dr. Weber, yes; Dr.

McCaughan, yes; Dr. Greenberg, yes; Dr.

Fetchik, yes.

5 [The motion carried unanimously.]

7 | For the Board's Information/Discussion - Old

Business/New Business - Updates to Approved

9 Pharmaceuticals

10 [Eric B. Greenberg, D.P.M., J.D., addressed the

11 importance of updating the therapeutic drug list of

12 | medications that may be administered or prescribed by

13 | podiatrists. After Board discussion, anticoagulants,

14 antidepressants, and anticonvulsants were found not to

15 be on the list.

16 Dr. Muscarella commented that orthopedic

17 | colleagues in his practice have standardized admission

18 orders for the hospitals when doing a total knee or

19 ankle surgery, and anticoagulants are only listed as

20 part of their orders. He addressed difficulties with

21 | not being able to prescribe medication, noting that

22 they are teaching students and residents how to use

23 them but they are not using them in the state of

24 | Pennsylvania.

Dr. Muscarella commented that podiatrists send

patients to neurologists or pain management doctors
for an evaluation and some of the recommendations are
for the podiatrist to take over the care with many of
the medications listed.

Dr. Greenberg suggested Board members review the list for the next meeting to discuss what could be included to update the current list.

Chairman Paris asked whether podiatrists have the authority to give themselves permission to prescribe different medications.

Mr. Kriner stated that podiatrists would be able to prescribe the medications as long as it is within their scope of practice and already included under therapeutic drugs in § 29.41. He offered to take a closer look at the therapeutic drug list because it may not have been amended since 1990. He noted the addition of anticoagulants, anticonvulsants, and antidepressants would be an amendment to the regulation.]

2.0 ***

21 Miscellaneous

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

[Michael J. Paris, D.P.M., Chairman, addressed remote learning continuing education and reported 40 other states now allow 100 percent remote learning for continuing education and believed that number would

1 | continue to grow.

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

18

Dr. Greenberg noted that he does not see any reasonable explanation as to why sanctions should remain, especially when medical doctor (MD) or doctor of osteopathic medicine (DO) colleagues have been able to achieve that to their discretion for years.

Ms. Wray presented a comment from Mr. Farrell on chat, stating that IRRC would be voting on the continuing education regulation, and Board members should know either way tomorrow.

Ms. Wray informed everyone that the meeting would be livestreamed starting at 10 a.m. and offered to email Board members the link after the meeting.]

14

15 Adjournment

16 CHAIRMAN PARIS:

Can we have a motion to adjourn this

19 DR. GREENBERG:

20 I'll make a motion to adjourn this

21 meeting.

22 DR. MCCAUGHAN:

I'll second the motion.

meeting?

24 CHAIRMAN PARIS:

25 All in favor? The meeting is officially

			-
			22
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 21 31 41 5 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		STATE BOARD OF PODIATRY REFERENCE INDEX	
		June 15, 2022	
	TIME	AGENDA	
	9:30 10:30	Executive Session Return to Open Session	
	10:30	Official Call to Order	
	10:30	Roll Call/Introduction of Attendees	
	10:35	Approval of Minutes	
	10:37	Report of Prosecutors	
	10:37	Report of Board Counsel	
	10:38	Report of Regulatory Counsel	
	10:58	Report of Board Chair	
	11:09	Continuing Education Program Approval	
	11:11	For the Board's Information/Discussion	n
	11:41	Miscellaneous	
	11:45	Adjournment	
35 36			
37 38			
39 40			
41 42			
43 44			
45			
46 47			
48 49			
50			