## State Board of Podiatry December 16, 2020

## BOARD MEMBERS:

Robert B. Weber, D.P.M., J.D., M.B.A., Chairman K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs Michael J. Paris, D.P.M., Vice Chairman Eric B. Greenberg, D.P.M., J.D., Secretary Melissa Haluszczak, Public Member Dia D. McCaughan, D.P.M.

## BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Kenneth J. Suter, Esquire, Board Counsel
Paul J. Jarabeck, Esquire, Board Prosecutor, on behalf
 of Christopher K. McNally
Aaron Hollinger, Board Administrator
Marc Farrell, Deputy Policy Director, Department of

State
Theodore Stauffer, Executive Secretary, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

## ALSO PRESENT:

Michael Davis, Executive Director, Pennsylvania Podiatric Medical Association

3 \* \* \* 1 2 State Board of Podiatry 3 December 16, 2020 \* \* \* 4 5 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 6 10:00 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session with Kenneth J. Suter, Esquire, Board Counsel, for the purpose of discussing personnel issues. The Board returned to open session at 10:30 a.m.] \* \* \* 10 11 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State 12 Board of Podiatry was held on Wednesday, December 16, 2020. Robert B. Weber, D.P.M., Chairman, called the 13 meeting to order at 10:32 a.m. 14 15 16 Approval of minutes of the October 21, 2020 meeting 17 CHAIRMAN WEBER: I would like to have a motion for 18 19 approval of the minutes from October 21, 2020. 20 21 DR. GREENBERG: 22 I motion to approve the minutes. 2.3 CHAIRMAN WEBER: 24 Do we have a second on that? DR. PARIS: 25

I'll second. 1 2 CHAIRMAN WEBER: 3 All in favor? Any nays? 4 [The motion carried unanimously.] \* \* \* 5 6 Report of Prosecutorial Division [Paul J. Jarabeck, Esquire, Board Prosecutor, behalf of Christopher K. McNally, had nothing to report.] 10 11 Report of Board Counsel 12 [Kenneth J. Suter, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted the 13 Board was in Executive Session prior to the beginning 14 of the public session to discuss some personnel 15 issues. He also noted that none of the items on the 16 agenda were discussed during Executive Session.] 17 18 Report of Regulatory Counsel 19 [Kenneth J. Suter, Esquire, Board Counsel, addressed 20 the proposed rulemaking for 16A-4417 regarding 21 continuing education. He referred to correspondence 22 from Michael Davis at the Pennsylvania Podiatric 23 Medical Association (PPMA) regarding amendments to the 24 proposed rulemaking. He noted live sessions do not 25 provide a course assessment from the perspective of a

knowledge check. He referred to the regulation, where a knowledge assessment must be completed before obtaining credit for the course.

1.3

2.3

2.4

Mr. Suter explained that he and the Board did not realize that the impact would be an individual could not obtain credit, because a knowledge assessment is required to get credit for the course if it was a live session when the Board was addressing the regulation.

Mr. Suter stated it was a policy decision of the Board, but he felt obligated to bring it back to the Board because of being uncertain of the intent to not provide credit if there was not a knowledge assessment.

Dr. Paris commented that it was not the Board's intention to disallow those credits through a streamed event and questioned whether that should be defined within the regulation or just allow organizations to ask for a waiver to get around that requirement.

Mr. Suter stated the definition may need to be changed if that was the direction of the Board and recognized Mr. Davis for bringing it to his attention.

Michael Davis, Executive Director, Pennsylvania

Podiatric Medical Association, recommended inserting

instructional media in the current regulation, and the
other would stand on its own. He commented that if it

was live it would be the equivalent of a face-to-face and how it was treated under Section 720 of the Council on Podiatric Medical Education (CPME) standards.

2.2

Dr. Greenberg mentioned the minutes of the meeting will confirm the Board views that streaming is equivalent to face-to-face and is an approved program from CPME and will be accredited by the Board. He noted putting that more specifically in the regulation or not was fine with the Board.

Mr. Suter referred to Act 116 of 2020 regarding carryover of continuing education. He provided information regarding other boards, where the Board of Psychology already has a regulation in place requiring 30 credits of continuing education every biennial period with the allowance of 10 carryover credits but prohibiting any ethics credits.

Mr. Suter stated the Board of Osteopathic

Medicine was reviewing carryover and thinking about

20% for their licensees, because they have so many

different types of license classes under the Board.

He requested direction from the Board as to whether

carryover was something the Board wanted to pursue.

Commissioner Johnson reported interest across the majority of the boards. He noted legislation has

built in a limit as to how far back the carryover can proceed, which is one biennial period, and gives boards the discretion to determine the scope of carryover.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Mr. Suter noted giving advice to the Board of Osteopathic Medicine that carryover could not be implemented until there was a regulatory scheme and then found out that it could be implemented ahead of the regulation as long as there are clear-defined procedures and guidelines.

Commissioner Johnson stated other boards have had issues with CEs causing individuals not to be able to renew their licenses in a timely matter. He noted this would alleviate the problem immediately with expiration, petitioning the Board for a hardship, and spending time and money on the process.

Dr. Greenberg agreed that 20% carryover was a good starting point for discussion and would take care of the problem Commissioner Johnson mentioned about people jumping through hoops to meet their requirement.

Dr. Paris expressed his concern with this being an administrative burden as far as following what types of credits people are carrying over and recommended having an across-the-board 20% to relieve

that burden.

2.1

2.3

Mr. Hollinger provided a summary of the audit process. He also addressed the audit process that allows carryover, emphasizing the importance of licensees keeping their certificates for a minimum of 4 years or 2 renewal cycles. He noted that much will depend on the board and the specifics as to the percentage and types of credits being carried over, where two renewal cycles at a time may need audited.

Chairman Weber mentioned having a program similar to CE Broker, where every course and credit would be fully listed. He noted it to be a lot easier to scan for physicians and Mr. Hollinger to scan on a biennial basis to know which courses were taken and which ones could be carried over for the next biennial cycle.

Mr. Suter mentioned being Board counsel to the Board of Psychology, noting they had the experience of having carryover for a number of years. He stated carryover from one biennial period to the next causes a domino effect that may involve going back many biennial renewals. He commented that it could be time-consuming from an administrative perspective.

Chairman Weber offered to send to CE Broker his credits for the past biennium to keep track of for the Board's review.

Commissioner Johnson noted the availability of models out there to review and follow in terms of operation. He noted that it makes sense to start to pursue procuring the services of an outside vendor specializing in CE management, especially if there was cost and resource savings.

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

Mr. Suter mentioned that the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania lays out a report showing everything carried over for lawyers, what cannot be carried over, and records all of the completion of the courses. He noted receiving a report at the end of the year, showing compliance and what carries over. He suggested exploring the system used by the court.

Mr. Suter noted not hearing any opposition to the concept of carryover and the 20% and will provide something on paper for the Board to adopt or modify.

Dr. Greenberg questioned whether there was a way to adopt the system the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania uses for attorneys.

Commissioner Johnson agreed that it was worth looking into and will find somebody to reach out to the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts (AOPC) to find who they used to set up that system.]

\* \* \*

25 Report of Board Chair - No Report

[Robert B. Weber, D.P.M., Chairman, hoped everybody and their families stay healthy and the pandemic ends globally. He mentioned that it is realistic today with what science is achieving with the vaccine that they are going to find cures for so many other things.

Chairman Weber also wished everybody a happy and healthy holiday.]

} **\*** 

6

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Report of Commissioner - No report

10 [K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of

11 Professional and Occupational Affairs, offered

12 continued prayers to health care practitioners, that

13 everyone on the Board and everyone represented by the

14 stakeholders and the audience continue to stay healthy

15 and safe as they continue to provide essential

16 services to the citizens of the commonwealth.

17 Commissioner Johnson also wished everyone a safe,
18 healthy, happy, and restful holiday.

Commissioner Johnson conveyed his gratitude to the Board for their persistence and resilience in dealing with all of the changes from the pandemic. He noted being proud of bringing all of the good work the Board has done to a much wider audience. He conveyed gratitude to the Board administrator, Board counsel, Mr. Stauffer, the prosecutorial division,

1 stakeholders, and colleagues in the legislature.

2 Commissioner Johnson encouraged public members to 3 stay active and provide comments and feedback.]

4 \*\*\*

5 Report of Board Administrator

6 | [Aaron Hollinger, Board Administrator, addressed

biennial renewals, noting licenses for the Board

8 expire December 31 with no grace period. He

9 emphasized the importance of licensees completing

10 renewal on time and all other CE requirements to

11 ensure there was no lapse in their license. He also

12 reported no widespread issues or technical problems

13 | with online renewal this year.

Commissioner Johnson noted that 70% of licensees

15 have renewed but implored stakeholder organizations to

16 get the message out. He mentioned trying to do a much

17 better job of pushing renewal at the beginning of

18 biennial period in the future.

19 \*\*\*

20 | Continuing Education Program Approval - No Report

21 \*\*\*

22 | For the Board's Information/Discussion - Board Meeting

23 Dates

24 | [Aaron Hollinger, Board Administrator, referred to

25 upcoming 2021 Board meeting dates, noting February 17

```
12
   is the next scheduled Board meeting date.]
1
2
   For the Board's Information/Discussion - Old
3
     Business/New Business
4
5
   [Aaron Hollinger, Board Administrator, noted the
6
   proposed 2022 Board meeting dates for the Board's
   approval.]
                              * * *
9
   DR. PARIS:
10
                 I'll make the motion to approve the 2022
11
                 schedule.
12
   CHAIRMAN WEBER:
13
                 Could I kindly have a second?
   DR. GREENBERG:
14
                 I'll second it.
15
16
   CHAIRMAN WEBER:
17
                All in favor? Any nays?
18
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                              * * *
19
20
   For the Board's Information/Discussion - Old
21
     Business/New Business - Recognition of Podiatrists
22
     as Physicians
23
   [K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of
24
   Professional and Occupational Affairs, addressed
25
   discussion at the prior meeting, where the policy
```

deputy director provided details as to the status of the governor's statement of recognition of podiatrists and their work throughout the COVID pandemic, but offered no further movement.

2.4

Chairman Weber questioned whether it would be possible to check with the governor's office to see if the proclamation could be sent to the leaders of both parties in both houses to see if they would move on this.

Dr. Greenberg referred to the letter drafted by the Board for recognition of podiatrists as physicians for discussion today. He noted substance in the letter to support the change of the definition and factual talking points to be presented to Commissioner Johnson. He mentioned the importance of changing the definition, where podiatry services would no longer be optional, be part of the legislation, and be made permanent in the program.

Mr. Hollinger mentioned being involved in conversations with Dr. Greenberg and Mr. Suter regarding the letter. He noted the letter was drafted in October, but due to information being added to the letter, it needed to be brought back to the Board for review. He referred to item 7 on the agenda, which is the language Dr. Greenberg has proposed to send to

Commissioner Johnson.

Dr. Greenberg commented that the letter is to give Commissioner Johnson the main meat of the argument as to why the definitional change is directly related to the health and safety of the residents of Pennsylvania.]

\* \* \*

8 MR. SUTER:

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

What we would need, if everybody is in agreement with the letter as worded, is a motion to approve it, meaning that Aaron would put it on Board stationery, your Board chair would sign it. We would actually stamp a signature because of the virtual nature of things today. We would send it on behalf of the Board, so I wanted the Board to actually approve the language.

19 DR. PARTS:

20 I'll make a motion to approve this letter
21 to be sent to the commissioner.

22 DR. MCCAUGHAN:

I second the motion.

24 CHAIRMAN WEBER:

25 All in favor? Any nays?

```
[The motion carried unanimously.]
1
2
3
   For the Board's Information/Discussion - Old
     Business/New Business - APMLE Part II Clinical
 4
5
     Skills Patient Encounter Examination
6
   [Kenneth J. Suter, Esquire, Board Counsel, addressed
   the American Podiatric Medical Licensing Examination
   (APMLE) Part II Clinical Skills Patient Encounter.
                                                        Не
   noted one inquiry regarding a podiatric residency
10
   program in Pennsylvania questioning the Board's
11
   position. He noted meeting with Mr. Hollinger, Mr.
   Davis, and the head of the residency program.
12
13
        Mr. Suter thought the issue was resolved but
14
   wanted to run through this again for a formal Board
15
   vote. He referred to the memorandum Mr. Davis drafted
   and noted § 29.11, where the Board could grant a
16
17
   license to anybody who has met the requirements of the
18
   act and has satisfactorily passed the clinical
   examination on the subject of podiatry. He noted the
19
20
   Board also adopted as a regulation the nationally
21
   recognized examination as their examination to the
2.2
   Board.
2.3
        Mr. Suter noted APMLE established a Clinical
2.4
   Skills Patient Encounter Examination. He stated in
25
   2015 APMLE added a Clinical Skills Assessment with
```

three parts to the exam. He explained that Part II has a written part and clinical part in terms of clinical skills and is conducted so somebody could perform their residency, where they are supervised. He stated there was no clinical component to Part II of the exam prior to 2015.

Mr. Suter stated, in November of this year,
Clinical Skills Patient Encounter (CSPE), the clinical
component of Part II was suspended, so somebody could
take the written part of Part II and then go on to
take Part III, which allowed them to take Part III
without completing the clinical component of Part II.

Mr. Suter explained that Part III of the exam is taken before an individual can practice unsupervised but is confusing in Pennsylvania, because unlike some other states, Pennsylvania does not have a training license or residency license. He mentioned that individuals get licensed as a resident when they start to do the supervised practice, so an individual could take the written portion, not the clinical portion of Part II and then go on to take Part III of the clinical component and be an unsupervised podiatrist.

Mr. Suter did not see why an individual should have to go back and take Part II clinical assessment when they have already taken Part III, which is the

- 1 unsupervised capacity, noting that it makes no sense
- 2 to make an individual take the clinical assessment for
- 3 supervised practice when they are already approved for
- 4 unsupervised practice.
- 5 Mr. Suter wanted to review this matter again,
- 6 because the issue keeps coming up with the residency
- 7 | program and other individuals. He wanted to make sure
- 8 that the Board was aware of all of the elements of
- 9 this and wanted to have a formal vote.
- 10
- 11 DR. GREENBERG:
- 12 I am making a motion to approve it.
- 13 CHAIRMAN WEBER:
- 14 Ask for a second, please.
- 15 DR. GREENBERG:
- May I have a second?
- 17 DR. PARIS:
- 18 I'll second.
- 19 CHAIRMAN WEBER:
- 20 All in favor? Any nays?
- 21 | [The motion carried unanimously. The motion is to
- 22 suspend Part II during COVID as long as it is waived
- 23 by the national organizations.]
- 24 \*\*\*
- 25 Miscellaneous

1 [Michael J. Paris, D.P.M., Vice Chairman, requested
2 more information regarding the regulation previously
3 voted on by the Board allowing 50 in-person or
4 distance credits.

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Mr. Suter explained that the Board already provided a proposed regulation, and the next step would be a final-form regulation. He stated the regulation has to go through the whole process again with the Independent Regulatory Review Commission (IRRC), and stakeholders and interested parties can comment on the regulation.

Mr. Suter noted being more than halfway through the process and mentioned the only obstacle would be if there are objections from stakeholders and interested parties.

Chairman Weber requested information concerning the availability and amount of online credits for next year.

Marc Farrell, Deputy Policy Director, Department of State, informed the Board that a waiver was currently being developed to extend that beyond the current renewal period for podiatry and a number of other boards.

Chairman Weber asked Mr. Farrell to meet with Commissioner Johnson concerning changing the wording

to recognize podiatrists as physicians in the 1 2 Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. He believed it to be a 3 form of unintentional discrimination because they are physicians and want to be on a regular track like 5 every other health care provider. He requested their assistance to move this forward and to expedite it 6 with great speed. He expressed his appreciation from the whole podiatric profession for their efforts.

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Dr. Greenberg encouraged Mr. Farrell to read the letter the Board drafted for compelling reasons and information provided to change the definition.

Mr. Davis noted receiving miscellaneous questions concerning the ability of podiatrists to give injections of the COVID-19 vaccines and questioned whether the Board should take action.

Mr. Suter mentioned a review going on right now with the Department of Health issuing certificates for the ability to provide vaccines and testing for other health care professionals, including podiatrists. stated the Board cannot issue an advisory opinion as to what is and is not in the scope of practice but believed the Governor's Office and policy people were aware that there was confusion.

Commissioner Johnson noted receiving emails across all of the health care boards regarding

prioritization of vaccinations and directed those
inquiries to the Department of Health. He encouraged
all practitioners to review the interim vaccination
plan currently on the Department of Health's website.

Dr. Paris commented that the podiatric community of Pennsylvania has been questioning what the Board has been up to over the last year or so with the push for the recognition of podiatrists as physicians and the push to enable the 50 credits through distance learning. He suggested emailing licensees a summary of what the Board has done over the last year.

Commissioner Johnson recommended writing a newsletter.

Mr. Sutter suggested Mr. Hollinger gather the last few newsletters from the Board and from other health-related boards that have issued up-to-date newsletters to be used as an example.

Dr. McCaughan offered to work with Dr. Paris to create the newsletter.

20 Mr. Davis offered to place the newsletter on 21 PPMA's email newsletter.

22 \*\*\*

23 | Appointments

5

6

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

[Robert B. Weber, D.P.M., Chairman, questioned whether

25 there were any new developments regarding filling

```
21
   Board positions.
1
2
        Dr. Greenberg noted a nurse for public membership
3
   has gone through her representatives and a physician
   from Scranton has submitted his request to his
5
   Senator.
6
        Commissioner Johnson has not seen anything go
   through the governor's office. He noted that now
   would be the time for those interested to reach out to
   their respective district offices and provide their
   paperwork. He noted Director Latanishen from the
10
11
   Office of Intergovernmental Affairs has been excellent
   with shepherding individuals through the process.]
12
13
                              * * *
14
   Adjournment
15
   CHAIRMAN WEBER:
16
                 Anybody want to make a motion for
17
                 adjournment?
   COMMISSIONER JOHNSON:
18
19
                 So moved.
2.0
   MS HALUSZCZAK:
21
                 I second the motion.
2.2
   CHAIRMAN WEBER:
2.3
                 All in favor?
2.4
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
```

\* \* \*

[There being no further business, the State Board of Podiatry Meeting adjourned at 12:21 p.m.] CERTIFICATE I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Podiatry meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Podiatry meeting. Morgan McKendrick, Minute Clerk Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. 

		2	23
$\begin{smallmatrix} 1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&2&2&2&2&2&2&2&2&2&2&2&2&2&2&2&2&2$		STATE BOARD OF PODIATRY REFERENCE INDEX	)
		December 16, 2020	
	TIME	AGENDA	
	10:00 10:30	Executive Session Return to Open Session	
	10:32	Official Call to Order	
	10:32	Approval of Minutes	
	10:32	Report of Prosecutorial Division	
	10:33	Report of Board Counsel	
	10:33	Report of Regulatory Counsel	
	11:16	Report of Board Administrator	
	11:18	For the Board's Information/Discussion	
	11:53	Miscellaneous	
	12:19	Appointments	
	12:21	Adjournment	
44 45			
46 47			
4 7 4 8 4 9			
50			