State Board of Podiatry October 19, 2022

BOARD MEMBERS:

Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs
Michael J. Paris, D.P.M., M.B.A., Chairman
Robert B. Weber, D.P.M., J.D., M.B.A., Vice

Chairman
Dia D. McCaughan, D.P.M., Secretary
Eric B. Greenberg, D.P.M., J.D.
William D. Fetchik, D.O.

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Carole Clarke Smith, Esquire, Board Counsel Carolyn DeLaurentis, Deputy Chief Counsel, Prosecution
Division

Todd P. Kriner, Esquire, Board Counsel
Timothy J. Henderson, Esquire, Board Prosecution
Liaison

Paul J. Jarabeck, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecutor Nichole Wray, Board Administrator Marc Farrell, Deputy Policy Director, Department of

State
Danie Bendesky, Director of Intergovernmental
Affairs, Department of State

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Christopher K. McNally, Esquire, Attorney-at-Law, Professional Licensing Advocate Michele Ann McGinnis

1

2 State Board of Podiatry
3 October 19, 2022

* * *

5 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act,

6 at

4

13

14

15

16

7 10:00 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session 8 with Todd P. Kriner, Esquire, Board Counsel, for the 9 purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations 10 and to have attorney-client consultation. The Board 11 returned to open session at 10:30 a.m.]

12 **

The regularly scheduled meeting of the State

Board of Podiatry was held on Wednesday, October 19,

2022. Michael J. Paris, D.P.M., M.B.A., Chairman,

called the meeting to order at 10:30 a.m.

17

18 | Roll Call/Introduction of Attendees

19 [Nichole Wray, Board Administrator, provided an

20 introduction of the Board members, staff, and

21 audience in attendance. She noted Dr. Eric Greenberg

22 was attempting to log in.

Ms. Wray also reminded everyone that the meeting was being recorded, and voluntary participation

25 | constituted consent to be recorded.]

4 1 2 Approval of minutes of the August 17, 2022 meeting 3 CHAIRMAN PARIS: 4 We will go on to approval of minutes. Wе 5 all have had the minutes from the August 17 meeting in our inbox for a while. 6 7 Does anyone have anything that they would like to discuss regarding the minutes? 8 9 If not, if we could get a motion to 10 approve the minutes? 11 DR. WEBER: So moved. 12 13 MR. KRINER: 14 Second? 15 DR. MCCAUGHAN: I second the motion. 16 17 CHAIRMAN PARIS: 18 Could we have a roll call vote on this motion? 19 20 21 Mr. Claggett, aye; Dr. Paris, aye; Dr. 22 Weber, aye; Dr. McCaughan, aye; Dr. 23 Fetchik, aye. 24 [The motion carried unanimously.] 25

```
1
   Report of Prosecutors - No Report
2
3
   Report of Board Counsel - Other
4
   [Todd P. Kriner, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted the
5
   Board engaged in quasi-judicial deliberations during
   Executive Session on one matter listed on the agenda.
6
7
   He had nothing specific to offer other than item 3 on
   the agenda, where Mr. Kansky was granted an extension
   and the Board would await the issues raised at his
10
   appeal.]
11
12
   Report of Regulatory Counsel - Regulatory Status
13
     Report
   [Todd P. Kriner, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted 16A-
14
15
   449 regarding acupuncture is under departmental
16
   review.
        Mr. Kriner noted the proposed regulatory package
17
18
   for 16A-4419 regarding licensure by endorsement and
19
   qualifications for licensure is also under
20
   departmental review.
21
        Mr. Kriner referred to 16A-4418 regarding
22
   administration and prescription of drugs.
23
   mentioned the Board is going to combine the
24
   continuing education (CE) discussed at previous
```

meetings and incorporate the carryover of 10 biennial

- 1 credits. He noted prior discussion regarding
- 2 Achieving Better Care by Monitoring All Prescriptions
- 3 | Program (ABC-MAP) opioid education. He informed
- 4 | Board members that the annex he drafted requires
- 5 further review and is hoping to provide that to the
- 6 Board at the December meeting.
- 7 Mr. Kriner noted the proposed regulatory package
- 8 for 16A-448 regarding sexual misconduct is under
- 9 departmental review.
- Mr. Kriner referred to 16A-4411 regarding
- 11 | volunteer license, noting it may not have been
- 12 addressed for a long time and would provide more
- 13 information at the next meeting.
- 14 Mr. Kriner referred to 16A-4412 regarding child
- 15 abuse reporting requirements. He informed Board
- 16 members that he is revising the proposed rulemaking
- 17 to reflect numerous changes requested by the
- 18 Independent Regulatory Review Commission (IRRC).
- 19 Mr. Kriner provided the draft annex for 16A-XXXX
- 20 regarding radiographic assistants with the changes
- 21 discussed at a prior meeting. He noted the Board
- 22 already approved the State Board of Medicine, State
- 23 Board Osteopathic Medicine, and State Board of
- 24 Chiropractic Exams but did not decide whether they
- 25 wanted to add the Board of Dentistry Exam to the

regulation at § 29.82(a)(2) until they could review that further.

Chairman Paris referred to § 29.83(b) and asked why "address indicated on the application materials" and "examination administrator" was changed to "testing service" because he preferred the original language to leave it open to organizations that are not necessarily a testing service.

Mr. Kriner stated the section was being changed because the Board does not administer the test and came from the way it was before, where the forms were submitted to the Board on Board forms. He noted the Board does not have to do that and is why he took it out but offered to look at the language and provide a more general term.

Chairman Paris referred to § 29.82(3), the person has passed an examination approved and administered by the Board and (b) the podiatrist is not required to be there. He commented that the Board does not need to define how one would present an examination to the Board. He asked whether this leaves the door open for an organization, such as Temple University, to send a test to the Board requesting approval.

Chairman Paris also asked whether it would leave the door open to someone presenting the dental exam

1 to the Board and petitioning the Board to approve the 2 dental exam.

Mr. Kriner noted that it would not leave the door open because the person has to pass an examination approved by the Board.

Chairman Paris commented that (3) may not be necessary because (1) and (2) spell out the boards that they are approving.

Mr. Kriner stated (3) is just for personnel performing radiographic procedures, where they had to have passed an examination approved by the Board.

Chairman Paris referred to (a), if one of the following applies (1), (2), or (3), where (1) and (2) spell out the boards they are allowing and (3) may be left open to other organizations like Temple to develop a great examination.

Chairman Paris stated Temple is not overseen by the Board of Osteopathic Medicine, Board of Chiropractic, or Board of Medicine and do not follow the American Registry of Radiographic Technologists (ARRT). He commented that the American Society of Podiatric Medical Assistants (ASPMA) is not involved with Temple and interpreted (3) to read the Board could approve tests if Temple sent their tests to the Board, like different programs that send their

agendas for approval.

Dr. McCaughan suggested specifying for clarification that the test is preapproved by the Board before it is administered, so someone could not submit a test that has already been completed but has not even been approved by the Board.

Chairman Paris commented that a fairly large percentage of podiatrists cannot get their assistants involved with the ASPMA if they do not belong to the Pennsylvania Podiatric Medical Association (PPMA). He addressed information he found on the ARRT website, where someone would have to have an associate degree and go through certain training programs to qualify for their tests, and a podiatrist trying to get his assistant approved through ARRT probably would not happen.

Chairman Paris referred to (2), where they have the Board of Osteopathic Medicine, Board of Chiropractic, and Board of Medicine but still does not open too many doors for podiatrists who do not have a membership in the PPMA and who are not going to make their assistants get an associate degree just so they can take X-rays in their office.

Mr. Kriner mentioned that the purpose for (3) was that the Board would have the ability to vote and

approve the exam if Temple or another organization 1 2 presented to the Board. He suggested removing "and 3 administered" and interpreted the Board's comments 4 and concerns to be to make sure the Board has the 5 ability to be able to vote and approve the exam if 6 presented with an exam from another agency. 7 informed Board members that he would make the language more specific, where the Board is able to approve and preapprove exams. 10

Mr. Kriner provided an update on the legislative initiative regarding recognizing podiatrists as physicians, noting it is still under departmental review and would follow up on that at the next meeting.]

15

16 Report of Board Chair

11

12

13

14

22

23

24

25

[Michael J. Paris, D.P.M., M.B.A., Chairman, thanked Dr. McCaughan, Dr. Greenberg, and Dr. Fetchik for the work they have been doing compiling an up-to-date list of prescription drugs administered by podiatrists.

Chairman Paris addressed a question from podiatrists requesting information regarding whether they are allowed to order handicapped license plates and placards for patients. He asked whether Mr.

1 Kriner or Ms. Wray would be able to provide some
2 information from their regulations or somewhere in
3 the state regulations as far as which practitioners
4 can sign the orders.

Chairman Paris emphasized the importance of podiatrists being able to provide license plates and placards to their patients since many of their patients become disabled in the lower extremities because of infection, injury, and surgery.

Mr. Kriner offered to look into whether podiatrists have the authority to order license plates and placards for people with disabilities.

Mr. Kriner asked Board members how close they are to having him incorporate the therapeutic drugs list into 16A-4418.

Dr. McCaughan informed Mr. Kriner that the list is not finalized yet but offered to provide what is available for review.

Mr. Kriner suggested allowing him to review everything, along with regulatory counsel, so they can work together to make sure it is acceptable to the Board.

Dr. McCaughan asked whether this was the appropriate time to bring up podiatrists being able to administer vaccinations for influenza, COVID, and

medical cannabis, or have the ability to certify a patient meets the criteria for medical cannabis.

Mr. Kriner stated a separate certificate would be needed to prescribe medical cannabis and did not believe medical cannabis falls into that category but may in the future.

Dr. McCaughan addressed a medical cannabis course she took about four years ago. She stated the job of the provider is to certify the patient meets one of six or seven criteria for either chronic pain or other condition and believed one or two of those applied to podiatry. She explained that once a patient receives certification and a card, they take that to a medical cannabis dispensing facility and meet with a few professionals.

Dr. McCaughan further explained that a pharmacist discusses their issues and comes up with the specific formulation or combination of cannabis, whether it be cannabidiol (CBD) or a combination of CBD with tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) that needs to be prescribed and prescribes the specific medication. She commented that is not the podiatrist's role to come up with the formulation but to make sure the patient meets the criteria of chronic pain and refer them from there.

1.3

```
1
        Dr. McCaughan commented that the vaccines are a
2
   concern of public health, where CVS and Rite Aid are
3
   overwhelmed trying to administer medications and
 4
   provide vaccinations. She mentioned that there is a
5
   public push promoting the vaccinations and COVID
6
   boosters and believed it would be in the public's
7
   best interest for podiatrists to be able to
   administer since some patients do not see their
   family doctor as routinely as they see their
10
   podiatrist.
        Dr. McCaughan commented that if the vaccine needs
11
12
   to be something specific or it needs to be kept off
13
   the list that either could be done.
14
        Mr. Kriner offered to follow up with Board
15
   members regarding whether vaccinations and cannabis
16
   could be included in the list or is a separate
17
   issue.1
                              * * *
18
   Report of Acting Commissioner - No Report
19
                              * * *
20
21
   Report of Board Administrator - Other
22
   [Nichole Wray, Board Administrator, informed
23
   licensees that they should be receiving a renewal
24
   notice email within the next couple of weeks
25
   regarding the licenses that expire on December 31,
```

```
She noted they would also be receiving a 30-
   2022.
1
2
   day and 15-day reminder. She mentioned that anyone
3
   who does not have an email address on file or the
4
   email returns undeliverable would be sent a paper
5
   renewal notice. 1
                              * * *
 6
7
   For the Board's Information/Discussion - Board
8
   Meetina
9
     Dates
10
   [Michael J. Paris, D.P.M., M.B.A., Chairman, noted
11
   2022 and 2023 Board meeting dates for the Board's
12
   review.]
13
                              * * *
14
   For the Board's Information/Discussion - Old
15
     Business/New Business - Medical Malpractice
16
     Insurance Providers
17
   [Nichole Wray, Board Administrator, provided updates
18
   concerning medical malpractice insurance providers.
   She informed Board members that she is awaiting
19
20
   additional feedback from the Pennsylvania Department
21
   of Insurance. She reported not being able to find
22
   anything specific regarding medical malpractice on
23
   their website but found an area for license
24
   verification and insurance provider lookup, although
25
   it seemed to be tailored more toward health and car
```

insurance, not necessarily specific to medical
malpractice.

Ms. Wray noted finding an old list of providers that were specific to medical malpractice from 2013 and looking into whether there is an updated list and how often it is updated. She stated anyone who cannot find an insurance company through their license verification or wants to confirm the status of an insurance company could contact the Pennsylvania Department of Insurance Office of Corporate and Financial Regulation at 717-787-2735 or by email at ra-in-company@pa.gov.

Chairman Paris requested having a link to the Board's website.

Ms. Wray explained that they are currently in a transition and are unable to make updates immediately to the website but should be able to make updates and have more information publicly available to licensees on the Board's website within the next week or two.

Acting Commissioner Claggett informed Board members that the Pennsylvania Department of Insurance reached out to him during the meeting and would be calling him to discuss all of the issues. He offered to provide information at the next Board meeting.

Dr. Weber commented that not only podiatrists but

1 other physicians are not aware of having a choice as

2 far as occurrence and tail, especially the young

3 podiatrists who start working for groups and they

4 | want to put them on the least expensive insurance.

5 He noted it to be their obligation to explain the

6 difference to licensees.

Mr. Kriner stated he would not see it as an obligation but something to discuss further or have someone present educational information at a Board meeting.

Chairman Paris commented that malpractice insurance is something the state association should be on top of too as far as educating the podiatrists of Pennsylvania.

Ms. Wray mentioned that the presentation would be on public record, where it would be available on their website and in the minutes for anyone who wanted to look at what was discussed. She noted that a copy of the presentation could be posted as well.

Dr. Weber stated many podiatrists are not members of the state association and read plans from other insurance companies and how they could save money but do not realize what could happen. He noted the importance of making licensees aware that it is their choice and the differences in insurance.

Acting Commissioner Claggett offered to present the matter internally to obtain the correct course of action and maybe send out an email blast to the licensee population.]

* * *

6 Miscellaneous

[Eric B. Greenberg, D.P.M., J.D., informed Board members that he had been listening to the meeting as a guest. He commented that the purpose of a link to share the insurance is so practitioners can see the admitted companies that write for insurance in Pennsylvania; otherwise, they would never know because there are a lot of appointed actuaries (AAs) and American Academy of Actuaries (AAA) that have very small programs, where they write for insurance but nobody knows about it and are admitted here.

Dr. Greenberg stated the most cost-effective insurance in many counties for nonsurgical podiatrists is the Joint Underwriting Association (JUA). He noted JUA was the only insurer for podiatrists at one time but changed with the addition of private companies. He mentioned JUA remained as the insurer of last resort, which primarily functions for other medical boards, where a physician cannot get an insurance with a private company and go to

1 JUA.

2 Dr. Greenberg explained that JUA also has an 3 active program for a podiatrist who does not have a 4 problem with claims and for those who do have some 5 claims for nonsurgical practices but that it is very 6 cost effective. He noted the importance of 7 podiatrists being aware of JUA, along with 8 Cincinnati, MedPro, PICA and included on the list 9 held by the insurance board for companies that 10 provide malpractice insurance for podiatrists. commented that a simple link to the insurance board 11 12 is needed for licensees to be able to see all of the 13 admitted insurance companies and reasons why it is so 14 important for licensees to be insured by an admitted insurance company, along with explaining whether they 15 16 are occurrence or claims-made policies and the 17 difference.

Dr. Greenberg noted hearing Mr. Kriner's comments concerning legislative feedback regarding the definition of podiatry and Dr. McCaughan's and Ms. Wray's comments concerning the list of medications. He acknowledged all of Dr. McCaughan's hard work compiling the list.]

* * *

25 Adjournment

18

19

20

21

22

23

```
19
 1
   CHAIRMAN PARIS:
 2
                 If nobody has anything else, I think we
 3
                 could use a motion to adjourn this
 4
                 meeting.
 5
   DR. WEBER:
                 So moved.
 6
 7
   DR. GREENBERG:
 8
                 I'll second that motion.
 9
   CHAIRMAN PARIS:
10
                 We are adjourned. Thank you everyone.
                               * * *
11
12
    [There being no further business, the State Board of
13
   Podiatry Meeting adjourned at 11:19 a.m.]
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
```


_

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Podiatry meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Podiatry meeting.

Michele Ann McGinnis,

Minute Clerk

Sargent's Court Reporting
Service, Inc.

			21
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 21 31 41 5 16 17 18 19 20 11 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21		STATE BOARD OF PODIATRY REFERENCE INDEX	
		October 19, 2022	
	TIME	AGENDA	
	10:00 10:30	Executive Session Return to Open Session	
	10:30	Official Call to Order	
	10:31	Roll Call/Introduction of Attendees	
	10:31	Approval of Minutes	
	10:33	Report of Board Counsel	
	10:34	Report of Regulatory Counsel	
	10:51	Report of Board Chair	
	11:02	Report of Acting Commissioner	
	11:02	Report of Board Administrator	
	11:03	For the Board's Information/Discussion	า
	11:14	Miscellaneous	
	11:19	Adjournment	
38 39			
40 41			
41 42 43			
43 44 45			
46			
47 48 49			
50			