State Board of Physical Therapy May 6, 2022

3 4 5

6 7

9

10

11

12 13

1 2

BOARD MEMBERS:

Cynthia L. Potter, PT, DPT, Chairperson Arion Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs Sandra L. Campbell, PT, Ph.D., MBA, Vice Chair Geraldine M. Grzybek, PT, GCS, Secretary Jeremy Robb, Attorney General's Office Designee William Werner PT Krista Wolfe, DPT, ATC

14 15 16

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

17 18 19

20

21

Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel Cynthia K. Montgomery, Esquire, Deputy Chief Counsel, Counsel Division, Department of State Heather J. McCarthy, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison

22 23 24

25

J. Karl Geschwindt, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Michelle Roberts, Board Administrator

26 27 28

ALSO PRESENT:

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

29

Scott Voshell, President, American Physical Therapy Association Pennsylvania Chapter

Jen Smeltz, Republican Executive Director, Senate Consumer Protection & Professional Licensure Committee

Andrew LaFratte, MPA, Executive Policy Specialist, Department of State

Tyler Burke, Milliron & Goodman, LLC

Cynthia K. Montgomery, Esquire, Deputy Chief Counsel/Regulatory Counsel, Department of State Torie Naradko

Erica Gallagher

43

44 45

46 47

48 49 50

Amanda Clarke 42

1

2 State Board of Physical Therapy

May 6, 2022

3

4

11

5 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, 6 at 9:00 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session 7 with Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel, for the 8 purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations 9 and to receive advice of Counsel on matters upon 10 which the Board would later vote. The Board returned

12 ***

to open session at 10:30 a.m.]

The regularly scheduled meeting of the State

Board of Physical Therapy was held on Friday, May 6,

2022.

16

17 Official Call to Order

18 [Cynthia L. Potter, PT, DPT, Chairperson, officially

19 called the meeting to order at 10:34 a.m.]

20 ***

21 [Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted the

22 | meeting was being recorded, and those who continued

23 to participate were giving their consent to be

24 recorded.

25 Mr. Davis also informed everyone that the Board

```
met in Executive Session prior to the meeting for the
1
2
   purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations
   and to receive advice of Counsel on matters upon
3
   which the Board would later vote.]
                               * * *
5
   Roll Call
6
   [A roll call of Board members was taken by Chair
   Potter.1
10
   Approval of minutes of the March 24, 2022 meeting
   CHAIR POTTER:
11
12
                  The first item on the agenda is the
1.3
                  approval of the minutes from the March
                  24 meeting.
14
15
                       Are there any additions or
                  corrections to the minutes?
16
17
   [The Board discussed corrections to the minutes.]
18
   CHAIR POTTER:
19
                  Any other additions or corrections that
2.0
                  anyone has?
2.1
                       If not, is there a motion to
2.2
                  approve the minutes as corrected?
2.3
   MS. GRZYBEK:
2.4
                  So moved.
25
   DR. CAMPBELL:
```

5 Second. 1 2 CHAIR POTTER: 3 It has been moved and seconded to adopt 4 the minutes as corrected. We have to 5 do a roll call. 6 Potter, aye; Claggett, aye; Campbell, aye; Grzybek, aye; Robb, aye; Werner, 9 aye; Wolfe, abstain. 10 11 Any noes? Any abstentions? 12 [The motion carried. Krista Wolfe abstained from 13 voting on the motion.] * * * 14 15 Report of Prosecution 16 [Heather J. McCarthy, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison, on behalf of J. Karl Geschwindt, Esquire, 17 18 Board Prosecutor, presented the Consent Agreement for 19 Case No. 19-65-015488.] 20 * * * [Heather J. McCarthy, Esquire, Board Prosecution 21 22 Liaison, presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 2.3 21-65-013398 and Case No. 21-65-013151.] 2.4 MR. DAVIS: 25 After hearing the prosecution's

6 presentation, are there any Board 1 2 members who would like to reenter into 3 Executive Session to further discuss these matters before the vote? Hearing 4 5 none. 6 As far as number 3 on the agenda, based on the Board's discussions in Executive 9 Session, I believe the Chair would 10 accept a motion to reject as too 11 lenient the Consent Agreement in the 12 following matter: Case No. 21-65-13 013398. Is there a motion? 14 15 MS. GRZYBEK: 16 So moved. 17 DR. CAMPBELL: 18 Second. 19 MR. DAVIS: 20 Is there anyone who would like to have 21 any discussion on the motion? Hearing 22 none. 2.3 CHAIR POTTER: I will call for the vote. 2.4 25

```
Potter, aye; Commissioner, aye;
1
2
                  Campbell, aye; Grzybek, aye; Robb, aye;
3
                  Werner, aye; Wolfe, aye.
4
    [The motion carried unanimously.]
                              * * *
5
6
   MR. DAVIS:
                  Number 2 on the Board's agenda. Based
                  on the Board's discussions in Executive
8
9
                  Session, I believe the Chair would
10
                  accept a motion to reject as too
11
                  lenient the Consent Agreement in the
12
                  following matter: Case No. 19-65-
                  015488.
13
   CHAIR POTTER:
14
15
                  Is there a motion?
16
   MS. GRZYBEK:
17
                  So moved.
   DR. CAMPBELL:
18
19
                  Second.
20
   MR. DAVIS:
21
                  Is there any further discussion on the
22
                  motion? Hearing none.
2.3
   CHAIR POTTER:
                  We'll do a roll call vote.
24
25
```

```
Potter, aye; Commissioner Claggett,
1
2
                  aye; Campbell, aye; Grzybek, aye; Robb,
3
                  aye; Werner, aye; Wolfe, aye.
4
    [The motion carried unanimously.]
                              * * *
5
6
   MR. DAVIS:
                  Number 4 on the Board's agenda. Based
8
                  on the Board's discussions in Executive
9
                  Session, I believe the Chair would
10
                  accept a motion to approve the Consent
11
                  Agreement in the following matter:
                  Case No. 21-65-013151.
12
   CHAIR POTTER:
13
                  Is there a motion?
14
15
   MS. GRZYBEK:
16
                  So moved.
   DR. CAMPBELL:
17
                  Second.
18
19
   MR. DAVIS:
20
                  Is there any discussion on the motion?
21
                   Hearing none.
22
   CHAIR POTTER:
2.3
                  We'll do a roll call vote.
2.4
25
                  Potter, aye; Commissioner Claggett,
```

```
aye; Campbell, aye; Grzybek, aye; Robb,
1
2
                  aye; Werner, aye; Wolfe, aye.
3
   [The motion carried unanimously. Case No. 21-65-
 4
   013151 is Commonwealth BPOA v. Andrea Colleen Ramsey,
5
   PTA.]
                             * * *
6
   Appointment - Torie Naradko - Request for 3rd Attempt
     at NPTE
   [Torie Naradko presented to the Board to request a
10
   third attempt to take the National Physical Therapy
11
   Examination. She commented that she had not done
   well taking tests in the past. She mentioned taking
12
13
   a break from testing and working as a rehabilitation
14
   technician. She asked other physical therapists how
15
   they studied and took that into consideration when
   developing her own plan of study.
16
17
        Dr. Campbell encouraged Ms. Naradko to touch on
18
   all of the content areas on a regular basis.
19
   recommended utilizing the Practice Examination &
20
   Assessment Tool (PEAT) from the Federation (FSBPT),
21
   because it has a study mode to be able to figure out
2.2
   not only the correct answers and why, but also why
2.3
   the wrong answers are incorrect.
2.4
        Chair Potter informed Ms. Naradko the Board would
25
   consider her request, and the Board administrator
```

would contact her regarding its decision.]

3 Appointment - Erica Gallagher - Request for 3rd
4 Attempt at NPTE

5 [Erica Gallagher presented to the Board to request a

6 third attempt at the National Physical Therapy

7 | Examination. She was currently working as a

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

8 technician in a physical therapy clinic and learning

9 a lot. She mentioned working hard on her studies and 10 is confident she could pass the test.

Ms. Gallagher addressed her study plan, including
flash cards and PEAT and putting emphasis on the
cardiovascular system.

Dr. Campbell encouraged Ms. Gallagher to also use textbooks because all of the questions are based on textbooks. She advised her to spend extra time in the cardiovascular section but to also keep a balance between the distribution of questions with time spent in the cardiovascular, musculoskeletal, and neurological sections.

Chair Potter informed Ms. Gallagher the Board would discuss her case, and the Board administrator would contact her regarding its decision.]

* * *

25 Report of Board Counsel - Adjudication and Order

MR. DAVIS:

2.3

Number 8 on the Board's agenda. That is <u>Jason Talley</u>, Case No. 19-65-006566. That has been tabled for this meeting and will probably be on the next Board meeting.

* * *

Report of Board Regulatory Counsel - Regulations [Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel, referred to 16A-6519 regarding student and educational programs, 16A-6522 regarding early exam and CE for clinical education, and 16A-6543 regarding licensure by endorsement under Act 41.

Mr. Davis noted talking with Senior Regulatory Counsel regarding 16A-6522, which was returned to him with three suggested edits. He informed the Board that he made those amendments and returned that, along with the Regulatory Analysis Form (RAF) and preamble after ensuring everything matched. He also asked the Board Chair to sign a face sheet and is hoping it will soon be published in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* at www.pacodeandbulletin.gov.

Mr. Davis referred to 16A-6519 regarding students in educational programs. He noted prior discussion regarding Act 100 of 2021, where language would need

to be added to the regulations to clarify licensees
and stakeholders may do 100 percent of their
continuing education online. He mentioned that is
what the Board currently allows but is not spelled
out for everyone in the regulations (just for directaccess physical therapists).

He stated Senior Regulatory Counsel suggested that this new language be added to an existing regulation. Mr. Davis recommended that Board add it to 16A-6519 and presented a proposed annex.

1.3

2.0

2.4

Mr. Davis noted a reference in § 40.3(a) to athletic trainers and masseurs that was written in 1977. He stated athletic trainers and massage therapists have become licensed professions since that time and is removing both of those references because it is incorrect. He would be adding new language regarding the 100 percent CE to § 40.67 and § 40.192 with new subsections § 40.67(a)(6) and § 40.192(a)(6).

Mr. Davis noted the new language would read, "a licensee may accrue all required hours in distance education courses offered by approved sponsors of continuing education as long as the course sponsor evaluates and assesses the extent of learning that has taken place." He stated the language is already

1 in the regulations and would be just applying it to 2 licensees and certificate holders.

Mr. Davis informed the Board that he will draft the preamble.

Ms. Grzybek thanked Mr. Davis for his continued perseverance on those matters.]

* * *

8 Appointment - Amanda Clarke - Request for 3rd

9 Attempt at NPTE

3

4

5

6

[Amanda Clarke presented to the Board to request a third attempt to take the National Physical Therapy
Examination. She addressed her last two attempts at

13 the NPTE and what she gained from those attempts,

14 including buying TherapyEd and putting emphasis and

15 focus on content areas. She mentioned adapting old

16 study methods, which is helping her retain more

17 | information.

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Dr. Campbell encouraged Ms. Clarke to understand what the right answer is and understand why the wrong answers are wrong. She believed Ms. Clarke was on the right track and wished her luck with the practice exams and preparation. She also recommended she utilize the Practice Examination & Assessment Tool.

Ms. Clarke asked whether anything changes if she takes the exam in a different state.

Chair Potter informed Ms. Clarke that she is able 1 2 to take the exam wherever she chooses. She also informed Ms. Clarke the Board would discuss her 3 4 request, and the Board Administrator would contact 5 her regarding its decision.] 6 MR. DAVIS: Does anyone want to reenter into Executive Session to further discuss 9 these matters now that we have heard 10 from these three individuals? Hearing 11 none. Based on the Board's discussions 12 in Executive Session, I believe the 1.3 14 Board Chair would accept a motion 15 approving the requests of the following 16 individuals for permission to take the 17 National Physical Therapy Exam a third 18 time: Torie Naradko, Erica Gallagher, 19 and Amanda Clarke. 2.0 CHAIR POTTER: 21 Is there a motion? 2.2 MS. GRZYBEK: 2.3 So moved. DR. CAMPBELL: 2.4 25 Second.

1 MR. DAVIS:

Is there any further discussion on the

3 motion? Hearing none.

4 CHAIR POTTER:

We'll do a roll call vote.

6

9

7 Potter, aye; Commissioner Claggett,

aye; Campbell, aye; Grzybek, aye; Robb,

aye; Werner, aye; Wolfe, aye.

10 [The motion carried unanimously.]

11

12 Report of Board Counsel - Miscellaneous

13 | [Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel, referred to

14 | Senate Bill 1160 and Senate Bill 1161, which are

15 available on the legislation website at

16 www.legis.state.pa.us. He mentioned the bills were

17 | for PT community's general awareness.

18 Mr. Davis referred to a 2022 Senate memorandum

19 regarding Senate Bill 1160 and Senate Bill 1161,

20 which says Pennsylvania has 20 accredited athletic

21 training programs but graduates cannot fully use

22 | their education and training because athletic

23 trainers are prohibited from treating anyone other

24 than a "physically active person." He stated the

25 memo says this is a dated restriction and no longer

relevant because athletic trainers (ATs) are utilizing the same knowledge and skill set regardless of whether the person is an athlete.

Mr. Davis mentioned the bills propose amending the definition of athletic training services by deleting "a physically active person" as defined in this act and replacing it with, "an individual." He noted the definition of athletic training services would read, "The management and provision of care of injuries to an individual with the direction of a licensed physician."

Mr. Davis informed anyone who wanted to comment on the pending legislation to contact a physical therapy association or their local representative.

Mr. Davis noted prior Board discussion regarding virtual supervision of physical therapist assistants (PTAs) and the possibility of amending sections of the regulations to allow for virtual supervision.

He informed the Board that it would be difficult to permanently allow virtual supervision of PTAs, outside of the COVID emergency waiver, because the Physical Therapy Practice Act specifically defines, and calls for, direct on-premises supervision.

Mr. Werner recommended changing it to general supervision rather than direct supervision.

Mr. Davis commented that the act itself calls for direct on-premises supervision at the present time, and the Board cannot lower that standard from what the act specifies. He stated the Board cannot call for less supervision than what is required by the act.

2.4

Ms. Grzybek respectfully disagreed with Mr.

Werner, stating she is comfortable with direct onpremises supervision as per the requirements of the

practice act. She mentioned being the president of

the association during practice act revisions and

believed the gist of outlining different practice

settings and introducing indirect supervision of the

physical therapist assistant was that it was

appropriate in some settings but deemed more

appropriate to have direct on-premises supervision in

others to meet the needs and concerns of public

protection.

Chair Potter noted the distinctions as far as practice setting and frequency of supervision, but wondered if there was some latitude because of the complexity. She asked whether there would be a way to simplify and yet still have appropriate supervision.

Mr. Davis explained the Board could amend the

supervision regulations as long as what the Board proposes to do does not contradict what is in the act.

2.0

2.3

2.4

Mr. Davis suggested the Board look at Title 63

P.S. § 1309.1(c)(3) of the Physical Therapy Act and the regulations under § 40.173(c) between now and the next meeting for a larger discussion.

Dr. Campbell commented that telehealth has made a dramatic improvement, and there could be amazing opportunities for the PT to be on this side of the camera and PT assistant and patient on the other side to let them be the skilled hands to do the data gathering. She stated physical therapists could do both the assessment of the patient and the supervision of the assistant at the same time and provide quality supervision without having the PT driving everywhere, especially in rural areas. She referred to the Lee and Billings article she shared with the Board that talks about it in a specific setting.

Chair Potter suggested looking at the requirement of the supervising PT needing to be within 50 miles when talking about telehealth. She also mentioned talking to people from Washington state since they did change their act to see if they had any issues

with supervision that have come before their board and getting data from other states with supervision issues.

Ms. Grzybek agreed that telehealth changed the whole landscape from when they did the revisions but commented that many physical therapists and physical therapist assistants do not take the time to read the practice act and review it on an annual or biannual basis but should read it regularly as part of their work as professionals.

Mr. Werner stated the change would create flexibility needed to be able to make sure patients get quality service they need, especially with the lack of staff and PTs and PTAs in the community to serve the patients. He noted the importance of looking at ways to protect the future and allow current PTs and PTAs to function so everybody could get the service they need.

Mr. Davis stated direct on-premises supervision is referenced in both the law and the regulations quite a few times and specifically defined in the law and regulations. He referred to Title 63 P.S. § 1302 as the standard, where it defines direct on-premises supervision as the physical presence of a licensed physical therapist in the facility, including

1 | affiliated buildings in immediate proximity who is

- 2 | immediately available to exercise supervision,
- 3 direction, and control.
- 4 Mr. Davis recommended Board members talk to the
- 5 association or a representative in their personal
- 6 capacity but not as a Board member if they wanted a
- 7 change in the practice act.]
- 8 ***
- 9 Report of Board Chair
- 10 [Cynthia L. Potter, PT, DPT, Chairperson,
- 11 | congratulated Gerri Grzybek for receiving the Lucy
- 12 Blair Service Award from the American Physical
- 13 Therapy Association. She noted it to be a high honor
- 14 that reflects her long-term service to the
- 15 association and Board.
- 16 Chair Potter reminded everyone of the virtual
- 17 | Board member and administrator regulatory training
- 18 from the federation August 19-21.
- 19 ***
- 20 Report of Acting Commissioner No Report
- 21 ***
- 22 Report of Board Administrator
- 23 [Michelle Roberts, Board Administrator, informed the
- 24 Board they would need to elect delegates for the
- 25 Federation of State Boards of Physical Therapy

```
21
   (FSBPT) Annual Meeting and Delegate Assembly October
1
   27-29 in Orange County, CA. She stated the voting
2
3
   delegates would also be attending the Leadership
4
   Issues Forum in Alexandria, VA, July 23-24.]
5
   DR. CAMPBELL:
                  I make a motion that Gerri is our
6
7
                  delegate and Bill is our alternate.
   CHAIR POTTER:
9
                  Is there a second to that motion?
10
   MR. ROBB:
                  I'll second.
11
12
   CHAIR POTTER:
                  It has been moved and seconded to have
13
14
                  Gerri Grzybek serve as the delegate for
15
                  FSBPT and Bill Werner as the alternate
16
                  delegate.
17
                       Any further discussion? We'll do
                  a roll call vote.
18
19
20
                  Potter, aye; Commissioner Claggett,
21
                  aye; Campbell, aye; Grzybek, aye; Robb,
22
                  aye; Werner, aye; Wolfe, aye.
23
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
24
25
   CHAIR POTTER:
```

22 Could I have a motion to approve 1 2 sending the Board administrator to 3 these meetings? MS. GRZYBEK: 4 5 So moved. 6 CHAIR POTTER: Second? ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT: 9 Second. 10 CHAIR POTTER: 11 Moved and seconded to send the Board 12 administrator to the FSBPT Leadership 13 Issues Forum and annual meeting. Roll call vote. 14 15 16 Potter, aye; Commissioner Claggett, aye; Campbell, aye; Grzybek, aye; Robb, 17 18 aye; Werner, aye; Wolfe, aye. 19 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 20 [Geraldine M. Grzybek, PT, GCS, reminded everyone 21 22 that she would be representing the Board as the 23 compact delegate on Sunday after the annual meeting 24 and thanked her colleagues for their vote of 25 confidence. Chair Potter thanked Ms. Grzybek for

23 serving in that capacity.] 1 2 3 Upcoming Meeting Dates 4 [Cynthia L. Potter, PT, DPT, Chairperson, noted the 5 upcoming 2022 meeting dates and the next scheduled 6 meeting date on July 21, 2022.] * * * 8 Miscellaneous [Scott Voshell, President, American Physical Therapy 10 Association Pennsylvania, announced the Pennsylvania 11 Physical Therapy Association (PPTA) officially 12 changed its name to the American Physical Therapy Association Pennsylvania. He informed everyone that 13 14 their old website was no longer active and changed to 15 aptapa.org. He reported that the American Physical 16 Therapy Association (APTA) Pennsylvania provided 17 input to the Legislature regarding the athletic 18 training bill. * * * 19 20 Adjournment 21 CHAIR POTTER: 2.2 Is there a motion to adjourn? 2.3 MS. GRZYBEK: 2.4 So moved.

25

DR. CAMPBELL:

24 Second. 1 2 CHAIR POTTER: 3 Moved and seconded to adjourn. 4 adjourned. See you in July. 5 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 6 7 [There being no further business, the State Board of Physical Therapy Meeting adjourned at 11:53 a.m.] 10 11 CERTIFICATE 12 I hereby certify that the foregoing summary 13 14 minutes of the State Board of Physical Therapy 15 meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my 16 supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of 17 18 Physical Therapy meeting. 19 2.0 2.1 2.2 Amber Garbinski, 2.3 Minute Clerk 2.4 Sargent's Court Reporting 25 Service, Inc. 26

STATE BOARD OF PHYSICAL THERAPY REFERENCE INDEX May 6, 2022

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		STATE BOARD OF PHYSICAL THERAPY REFERENCE INDEX
		May 6, 2022
	TIME	AGENDA
	9:00 10:30	Executive Session Return to Open Session
	10:34	Official Call to Order
	10:35	Roll Call
	10:35	Approval of Minutes
	10:40	Report of Prosecution
	10:51	Appointment - Torie Naradko
	10:54	Appointment - Erica Gallagher
	11:00	Report of Board Counsel
	11:11	Appointment - Amanda Clarke
	11:20	Report of Board Counsel - Regulations
	11:43	Report of Board Chair
	11:44	Report of Board Administrator
	11:52	Upcoming Meeting Dates
	11:52	Miscellaneous
	11:53	Adjournment

48 49 50