State Board of Physical Therapy January 26, 2023

1 2

3 4 5

6 7

BOARD MEMBERS:

13 14

15 16 17

19 20

18

21 22 23

38 39

33

44

49 50 51 Cynthia L. Potter, PT, DPT, Chairperson Arion Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs Sandra L. Campbell, PT, Ph.D., MBA, Vice Chair Geraldine M. Grzybek, PT, GCS, Secretary Jeremy Robb, Attorney General's Office designee William Werner, PT Krista Wolfe, DPT, ATC

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Dean F. Picarella, Esquire, Senior Board Counsel Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel Carolyn A. DeLaurentis, Esquire, Executive Deputy Chief Counsel, Department of State Heather J. McCarthy, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecutor Kenneth J. Suter, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison J. Karl Geschwindt, Esquire, Commission Prosecution Liaison Michelle Roberts, Board Administrator Andrew LaFratte, MPA, Executive Policy Specialist,

Department of State Marc Farrell, Esquire, Regulatory Counsel, Office of Chief Counsel, Department of State

Michelle Witmer, Fiscal Management Specialist, Bureau of Finance and Operations, Department of State

Amanda Richards, Fiscal Chief, Bureau of Finance and Operations, Department of State

Jennifer Gage, Fiscal Management Specialist, Bureau

Finance and Operations, Department of State Tamie Laudenslager, Fiscal Management Specialist, Bureau of Finance and Operations, Department of State

Deena Parmelee, Legal Office Administrator 1, Department of State

David Leshik, Intern, Department of State

State Board of Physical Therapy January 26, 2023

ALSO PRESENT:

Scott Voshell, President, American Physical Therapy
Association Pennsylvania Chapter

Jennifer Smeltz, Republican Executive Director,
Senate Consumer Protection & Professional Licensure
Committee

Nicole Sidle, Executive Director, Professional
Licensure Committee, PA House of Representatives

Alison Cullen

Erica Romberger

Matthew Orinick

Alina Shmakov

Eliza Olewiler

Timothy Lee
Joshua Levitski

Mark Heim

4

* * *

1

4

12

13

14

15

2 State Board of Physical Therapy 3

January 26, 2023

* * *

5 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 9:00 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session 6 7 with Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel, for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations and to receive advice of counsel on matters upon 10 which the Board would later vote. The Board 11 commenced open session at 10:30 a.m.]

* * *

The regularly scheduled meeting of the State Board of Physical Therapy was held on Thursday, January 26, 2023.

* * * 16

17 Official Call to Order

18 [Cynthia L. Potter, PT, DPT, Chairperson, officially

19 called the meeting to order at 10:30 a.m.]

* * * 20

21 [Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted the

22 meeting was being recorded, and those who continued

23 to participate were giving their consent to be

24 recorded.

25 Mr. Davis also informed everyone that the Board

5 1 entered into Executive Session for the purpose of 2 conducting quasi-judicial deliberations and to 3 receive advice of counsel on the matters upon which 4 the Board would later vote.] 5 Roll Call of Board Members 6 7 * * * 8 Introduction of Attendees [Michelle Roberts, Board Administrator, noted all 10 Board members are virtual with the exception of 11 Acting Commissioner Claggett who is present in the 12 room. 13 Ms. Roberts also provided an introduction of 14 attendees.] 15 * * * Approval of minutes of the November 3, 2022 meeting 16 CHAIR POTTER: 17 18 Are there any additions or corrections 19 to the minutes for November 3, 2022? 20 Hearing none.

21 Is there a motion to approve the 22 minutes?

23 MS. GRZYBEK:

24 So moved.

25 MR. WERNER:

6 1 Second. 2 CHAIR POTTER: 3 It has been moved and seconded to adopt 4 the minutes as presented. We will do a 5 roll call. 6 Potter, aye; Commissioner Claggett, aye; Sandy Campbell, aye; Geraldine 9 Grzybek, aye; Jeremy Robb, aye; William 10 Werner, aye; Krista Wolfe, aye. 11 [The motion carried unanimously.] 12 13 Report of Board Prosecution 14 [Heather J. McCarthy, Esquire, Senior Board 15 Prosecutor, announced she had been reassigned within 16 the prosecution division and is now supervising a different team of attorneys. She informed Board 17 18 members that Ken Suter would be replacing her as the 19 Board prosecution liaison, noting it had been a 20 pleasure to work with the Board, and the Board 21 thanked her for her service.]

22

23 [Kenneth J. Suter, Esquire, Board Prosecution

Liaison, presented the Consent Agreements for Case 24

25 No. 19-65-018316 and Case No. 19-65-015359.] * *

2 [J. Karl Geschwindt, Esquire, Acting Senior Board
3 Prosecutor, presented the Consent Agreement for Case

No. 20-65-000984.]

5 MR. DAVIS:

Given that we've now heard from prosecution regarding three separate Consent Agreements, I will mention that these Consent Agreements were discussed in Executive Session prior to open session today, but I have an idea as to how the Board is leaning when it comes to whether or not they will be accepted.

Now that we've heard from the prosecutors in the three cases, are there any Board members who would like to reenter Executive Session in order to further discuss these matters?

Hearing none.

I will put forth the motions that were discussed in Executive Session.

Turning to number 2 on the Board's agenda, based on the Board's discussions in Executive Session, I

Agreement in the following matter at

```
9
                  Case No. 19-65-018316.
1
2
   DR. CAMPBELL:
3
                  So moved.
4
   MS. GRZYBEK:
5
                  Second.
6
   CHAIR POTTER:
7
                  It has been moved and seconded to adopt
8
                  the Consent Agreement and Order. Roll
9
                  call vote.
10
11
                  Potter, aye; Commissioner Claggett,
12
                  aye; Campbell, aye; Grzybek, aye; Robb,
13
                  aye; Werner, aye; Wolfe, aye.
14
   [The motion carried unanimously. Case No. 19-65-
15
   018316 is Commonwealth BPOA v. Daniel B. Hallowell,
16
   PTA.]
                              * * *
17
18
   MR. DAVIS:
19
                  Number 4 on the Board's agenda. Based
                  on the Board's discussions in Executive
20
21
                  Session, I believe the Chair would
22
                  accept a motion to approve the Consent
23
                  Agreement in the following matter at
24
                  Case No. 20-65-000984.
25
   DR. CAMPBELL:
```

```
10
1
                  So moved.
2
   MS. GRZYBEK:
3
                  Second.
   CHAIR POTTER:
4
5
                  The motion has been moved and seconded.
                  Roll call vote.
 6
                  Potter, aye; Commissioner Claggett,
9
                  aye; Campbell, aye; Grzybek, aye; Robb,
10
                  aye; Werner, aye; Wolfe, aye.
11
   [The motion carried unanimously. Case No. 20-65-
12
   000984 is Commonwealth BPOA v. Dawn Marie Thompsett,
13
   PTA.]
14
                              * * *
15
   Appointment - Bureau of Finance and Operations
16
     Annual Budget Presentation
17
   [Amanda Richards, Chief of Fiscal Management, Bureau
18
   of Finance and Operations, Department of State,
19
   referred to licensee population over the past seven
20
   years, noting the Board had 26,915 licensees in FY21-
21
   22 and 28,237 licensees in FY22-23, showing and
22
   increase of 1,322 licensees.
23
       Ms. Richards noted the Board renews in December of
24
   even years and numbers were not checked this morning
25
   because the renewal is just taking effect and would
```

not be accurate.

Dr. Campbell requested information concerning the dramatic drop in the CE program.

Ms. Roberts explained that all the CE programs expire at the end of December and is why the number is low. She reported receiving a few hundred of them in the last two weeks, noting the number gradually builds and would increase by the summer.

Ms. Richards also addressed revenue, noting it is reviewed on a biennial basis. She reported revenue for FY20-21 is lower than normal because the Board requested to waive the renewal fees for that particular fiscal year. She noted the revenue for FY20-21 and FY21-22, as well as the biennial total. She noted 93 percent of revenue is from renewals and applications. She stated that revenue is received from other sources but is not a consistent source of revenue.

Ms. Richards noted the two main categories when reviewing expenses are administrative and legal costs. She stated that expenses are brought in through direct charges, timesheet-based charges, and licensee population. She reported on the Board's expenses in FY20-21, FY21-22, and as of January 17, 2023. She noted the budgeted figure was adequate for

FY22-23.

Ms. Richards addressed revenue and expenses noting the projected balance for FY22-23 and projecting the Board's balance by FY25-26, which would be a healthy balance.

Dr. Campbell asked when the Board could consider another waiver or reduction in licensure fees like the waiver in FY20-21.

Ms. Richards stated the Board had an extremely healthy balance at the present time and did not see a problem if the Board wished to waive the fees again at some point.

Mr. Davis stated that BFO once suggested that the Board consider occassionally waiving fees when appropriate rather than voting to lower fees, because new fees require amendments to the Board's regulations.

Ms. Grzybek commented that there had been a dramatic increase in the number of licensees over the last several years but still has only one Board administrator and requested information regarding the process of hiring another full-time staff member to help the Board administrator.

Acting Commissioner Claggett stated the Board has not had a full staff for a long time and that the

1.3

Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

(BPOA) is working on hiring additional people. He

noted there are currently 10 vacancies that would

impact the Board as well, and once those are filled,

processing times should decrease.]

*

7 Appointment - Prosecution Division Annual Report 8 Presentation

[Carolyn A. DeLaurentis, Esquire, Executive Deputy Chief Counsel, Department of State, informed Board members that she is now the executive deputy chief counsel for the Department of State but is presenting to the Board on behalf of the prosecution division as part of her duties in her former role.

Ms. DeLaurentis summarized the prosecution division's role and present annual numbers for 2022. She informed Board members that the Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs provides administrative and legal support to all 29 licensing boards and commissions under the legal support of the prosecution division, counsel division, and hearing examiners. She noted the mission is to protect the health and safety of the public and the integrity of the profession.

Ms. DeLaurentis explained that the Office of

- 1 Chief Counsel is under the Governor's Office of 2 General Counsel and assigned to the Department of
- 3 State, noting the prosecution division is one
- 4 division under the Office of Chief Counsel. She
- 5 noted the prosecution division works with the Bureau
- 6 of Enforcement and Investigation (BEI), along with
- 7 | the Professional Compliance Office (PCO).
- 8 Ms. DeLaurentis stated BEI, PCO, and prosecution
- 9 are all tasked with receiving complaints,
- 10 | investigating allegations, ensuring public safety,
- 11 and enforcing compliance with the acts and
- 12 regulations of the 29 licensing boards and
- 13 commissions.
- 14 Ms. DeLaurentis stated the prosecution division
- 15 is the largest division under the Office of Chief
- 16 | Counsel for the Department of State. She explained
- 17 that there are five senior prosecuting attorneys
- 18 assigned to teams, noting Karl Geschwindt as an
- 19 acting senior prosecutor who supervises the attorneys
- 20 assigned to this Board, along with other senior
- 21 prosecutors for other boards, including Heather
- 22 McCarthy, Paul Jarabeck, Ray Michalowski, and William
- 23 Newport. She mentioned there are currently 32
- 24 prosecuting attorneys serving under the senior
- 25 attorneys, including Board liaisons.

Ms. DeLaurentis addressed the complaint process, noting complaints may be received in any manner the aggrieved party sees fit, but noting that the preferred method of submission is through

Pennsylvania Licensing System (PALS) at pals.pa.gov because it is the most efficient. She noted prosecution also accepts complaints from sister agencies, federal agencies, law enforcement, and through media reports.

Ms. DeLaurentis discussed levels of review, including jurisdiction checks, noting administrative assistants review every complaint to see if it falls under one of the 29 boards and commissions. She mentioned complaints are referred to the appropriate agency if prosecution does not have jurisdiction.

Ms. DeLaurentis stated prosecution works with BEI inspectors and investigators to determine the most efficient use of resources. She noted investigations may include interviewing witness and gathering documents, along with paralegals and legal analysts.

Ms. DeLaurentis addressed the various levels of review and whether there was a violation. She explained that matters could be closed if there is not a violation of the act or regulation which allows

prosecution to denote resources to other cases, when there is a violation.

Ms. DeLaurentis discussed the post-investigation process, where attorneys may need to make charging decisions based on evidence by filing an "order to show cause" or a citation. She noted there is a hearing process, which is like a regular trial but slightly less formal, where both sides are able to present evidence and arguments.

Ms. DeLaurentis noted that if the matter is heard by a hearing examiner, the hearing examiner usually issues a proposed adjudication and then it goes to the boards and commissions for their final decision because they have the ultimate authority for discipline. She addressed consent agreements, where the parties negotiate a resolution which is then presented to the Board, allowing the Board to accept or reject the agreement.

Ms. DeLaurentis addressed the prosecution division's role at Board meetings, including presenting consent agreements and listening to concerns of the Board. She pointed out that prosecution has a confidentiality statute that applies to their files, where all the contents within their files is confidential under the law unless

presented publicly as part of a proposed consent agreement and is why prosecution's files are kept confidential except for the final disciplinary action.

Ms. DeLaurentis stated BEI conducts investigations and interviews, gathers evidence, and conducts inspections for certain boards. She noted the role of the investigators is fact gathering and serving subpoenas, orders, and letters. She mentioned that prosecution has subpoena authority, but BEI does not have search warrant authority and is limited. She noted BEI also testifies to the results of their investigations and inspections. She also noted they have inspection authority for six boards but do not have statutory authority and cannot remain at that location if asked to leave.

Ms. DeLaurentis addressed documents upon request, where some boards and commissions have regulations that require cooperation but not everyone complies and charges may be filed. She stated all of the evidence gathered is presented to the teams and reviewed by prosecuting attorneys and legal analysts to determine whether there was a violation of the act or regulation to decide whether to close the case or charge.

Ms. DeLaurentis addressed "immediate temporary suspensions," where a licensee is an immediate danger to the health and safety of the public, the licensee may request a hearing within 30 days, and explained that immediate temporary suspensions are different than the typical disciplinary process in which prosecution files an order to show cause, and the license remains active until the case is resolved. She noted automatic suspensions include involuntary mental health commitments, orders for examination, and drug act violations. She also noted "petitions for appropriate relief" are filed when prosecution believes a licensee has violated a board order.

Ms. DeLaurentis stated that levels of discipline include revocations, suspensions, probations, reprimands, fines, remedial education, and cost of the investigation. She mentioned that someone could have more than one type of discipline.

Ms. DeLaurentis referred to the confidentiality statute under 63 Pa.C.S. § 3109, where prosecution and investigative files are deemed privileged and confidential under the law. She explained that Department of State investigative files are deemed noncriminal investigative records under the Right-to-Know Law.

Ms. DeLaurentis stated that information could be shared with other licensing boards; law enforcement; and other agencies in furtherance of investigative efforts but only their final action could be shared publicly, including adjudications and orders, consent agreements, and final letters.

Ms. DeLaurentis provided data as of January 3, 2023, where the number of open cases for the prosecution division was 13,154, which is down from 2021 at 15,141. She stated 16,084 cases were opened in 2022 and is down from 2021 at 18,363 cases. She reported closing 17,826 files in 2022 and is up from 2021, where 15,994 cases were closed. She thanked the prosecution division, counsel division, and Board for their group effort.

Ms. DeLaurentis informed Board members that an annual report is issued to the General Assembly, which includes a breakdown of case categories, and would notify Board Counsel when this report is available for review.

Ms. DeLaurentis addressed specific information for the State Board of Physical Therapy, noting 82 cases were opened in 2022, which was down from 2021. She reported 88 cases were closed in 2022 and down from 2021 at 101. She noted 79 cases were open as of

1 January 3 and was also around 79 at this time last
2 year.

Ms. DeLaurentis referred to disposition of closed cases, including discipline and no discipline. She mentioned that the Board does not have a lot of discipline which indicates licensees are following the rules. She reported 5 suspensions in 2021 and 1 in 2022. She mentioned that non-disciplinary actions are called "Z codes" in their system, where prosecution was not warranted.

Ms. DeLaurentis addressed warning letters, noting the Board received 36 warning letters in 2022 and is consistent with last year at 39. She noted warning letters are not discipline but help maintain the integrity of the profession by attempting to correct any behavior that may become an issue and are given for de minimis (minor) violations.

Chair Potter thanked Ms. DeLaurentis for the presentation and all of the attorneys within her division for all of their work. She asked whether there were any trends among all of the boards showing an issue that may be coming up more frequently concerning warning letters.

Ms. DeLaurentis explained that warning letters come into play a lot with lapsed licenses uniformly

for the division.

Heather J. McCarthy, Esquire, Senior Board

Prosecutor, stated there had not been any specific

trends over the past year with the Board in

particular. She noted allegations of billing fraud

comes up quite a bit and allegations of patient

injury but could not say prosecution has a trend of

warning letters for those.

Chair Potter asked whether the opioid epidemic and substance abuse issues are starting to be reflective in the complaints prosecution is receiving from boards in general.

Ms. DeLaurentis explained that impairment issues come up a lot and more with the nurse licensing population because they have voluntary recovery program (VRP) or disciplinary monitoring unit (DMU) agreements. She noted collaboration with the Department of Health to make sure they are keeping an eye on trends. She mentioned there were more issues when the opioid epidemic first came into light because the bad actors have been identified and addressed. She stated that prosecution has one attorney as their liaison with the Prescription Drug Monitoring Program through the Department of Health.]

2.2

2 Requests for a Third Attempt at the National Physical
3 Therapy Examination

[Cynthia L. Potter, PT, DPT, Chairperson, informed everyone that the Board would be hearing from several individuals who would be addressing the Board today requesting a third attempt at the National Physical Therapy Examination]

* *

Appointment - Applicant, Alison Cullen - Request for

Third Attempt at the National Physical Therapy

Examination

[Alison Cullen presented to the Board to request a third attempt at the National Physical Therapy Examination. She informed Board members that she always needed extra help when testing but has learned how to improve her study habits after each attempt.

Ms. Cullen noted utilizing the Final Frontier lecture series that helped her score higher on the second attempt. She mentioned signing up as a therapy aide at a skilled nursing facility and receiving advice from the physical therapist and physical therapy assistants. She believed she could pass on the third attempt with the lecture series, practice exams, and hands-on learning being utilized.

2.3

Dr. Campbell asked whether Ms. Cullen was hitting the targets that Final Frontier has proposed for her to date.

Ms. Cullen was hitting the targets and asking questions on their Facebook community regarding what she could be doing better. She reported having a problem with second-guessing herself.

Dr. Campbell told Ms. Cullen to keep up the good work and wished her the best of luck on the third attempt.]

11

12 Appointment - Applicant, Erica Romberger - Request
13 for

14 Third Attempt at the National Physical Therapy

15 Examination

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16 [Erica Romberger presented to the Board to request a

17 third attempt at the National Physical Therapy

18 Examination. She informed Board members that she is

19 working full-time and became a new mom. She joined

20 PTA Elevation and created a structured study plan for

21 2 to 3 hours a day 5 to 6 days a week. She addressed

22 her goal of retaking practice exams biweekly from the

23 advice of her tutor because taking the Practice Exam

24 and Assessment Tools (PEAT) for prior exams was not

25 helpful.

Ms. Romberger mentioned taking away distractions by studying at the library. She noted sections where she did poorly and focusing on those and the correct answers, along with time management.

Chair Potter commented that the results of her first exam were not included in the documents that were submitted and requested more information.

Ms. Romberger offered to provide those documents to the Board but noted she did worse on the first exam. She changed her study techniques and did better on the second exam.

Dr. Campbell requested clarification regarding Ms. Romberger having the Federation of State Boards of Physical Therapy (FSBPT) PEAT three times. She mentioned there are only two versions on the individual unless someone retakes the same exam or their academic program is willing to help support the academic version. She commented that PEAT is a great tool because it explains why the correct answer is correct and the wrong answer is wrong, along with referencing commonly used textbooks.]

* * *

Appointment - Applicant, Matthew Orinick - Request for

Third Attempt at the National Physical Therapy

2.5

Examination

1

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

around.

2 [Matthew Orinick presented to the Board to request a 3 third attempt at the National Physical Therapy 4 Examination. He informed Board that he felt 5 overwhelmed regarding the first exam and then started 6 tutoring and sought guidance concerning more of a 7 structured plan for the second exam. He noted having a different tutor this time around, who is providing 9 a structured plan, and utilizing Pomodoro and 10 reviewing the musculoskeletal system. He discussed 11 finding a balance with the review aspect, new 12 material, and utilizing Scorebuilders. He addressed 13 shadowing rehabilitation therapists at a local 14 hospital. He believed the current study plan has put 15

Ms. Grzybek referred to Mr. Orinick's email, dated November 27, 2022, and asked whether he had a written detailed study plan or an organizational type of setup to continue to stay on track and be prepared for the next exam.

him on the right track to be successful this time

Mr. Orinick offered to send the study plan and provided a summary of his plan, including reviewing previous information, categorizing diagnoses, utilizing Scorebuilders, and providing rationales for

26

past test questions.

1

14

15

16

17

18

21

24

25

2 Dr. Campbell addressed areas where Mr. Orinick 3 has the most opportunity to grow, including musculoskeletal and neuromuscular because they have 4 5 the biggest number of questions and would move him 6 into a passing rate. She suggested looking at the 7 musculoskeletal system just before the exam. noted Mr. Orinick is utilizing TherapyEd and 9 Scorebuilders and recommended he utilize PEAT because 10 it has practice exams and a study mode to provide all 11 of the rationale as to why the right answer is right 12 and the wrong answer is wrong.]

13

Appointment - Applicant, Alina Shmakov - Request for
Third Attempt at the National Physical Therapy
Examination
[Alina Shmakov presented to the Board to request a
third attempt at the National Physical Therapy

Examination. She informed Board members that she was 20 14 points short of passing the second exam in

October. She mentioned that she struggled on the

first exam because of not having a quiet place to study.

Ms. Shmakov also noted having exam anxiety but tries to overcome that by making a concrete study

2.7

```
plan as well as taking the National Physical Therapy
1
2
   Examination (NPTE) practice exams as well as
3
   Scorebuilders. 1
4
5
   Appointment - Applicant, Eliza Olewiler - Request for
6
     Third Attempt at the National Physical Therapy
7
     Examination
   [Eliza Olewiler presented to the Board to request a
   third attempt at the National Physical Therapy
10
   Examination. She informed Board member that she
   utilized Scorebuilders and studied with friends for
11
12
   the first exam. She addressed utilizing notes from
13
   school, Scorebuilders, and PT Final Exam Independent
14
   Study Course for the second exam.
```

Ms. Olewiler mentioned hiring a tutor for the third exam, currently reviewing the musculoskeletal system, and scheduling practice tests to start working on testing strategies.

Dr. Campbell applauded Ms. Olewiler for being proactive in seeking a tutor.

Ms. Grzybek suggested Ms. Olewiler utilize some of the textbooks because the exams are based on textbook information, along with class notes as a study resource.]

25

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.8

- 1 | Appointment Applicant, Timothy Lee Request for
- 2 | Third Attempt at the National Physical Therapy
- 3 Examination
- 4 [Timothy Lee presented to the Board to request a
- 5 third attempt at the National Physical Therapy
- 6 | Examination. He addressed his first and second
- 7 attempts, noting he was 10 points away from passing
- 8 on the first exam. He mentioned taking a couple of
- 9 | weeks off to decompress the second time around but
- 10 believed he may have taken being so close for granted
- 11 and scored lower the second time.
- 12 Mr. Lee mention wanting to take a lot of practice
- 13 tests because the first two exams were so different
- 14 and that reviewing the rationale helped. He noted
- 15 utilizing Therapy Ed, Final Frontier, and
- 16 | Scorebuilders, along with PT365 focusing on areas
- 17 where he could be a better test taker. He believed
- 18 | the Final Frontier would provide the structure and
- 19 foundation to follow.
- 20 Dr. Campbell asked whether Mr. Lee is
- 21 specifically addressing his quote, "I want to learn
- 22 | to just relax."
- 23 Mr. Lee mentioned being disappointed with the
- 24 score on the second test and thinking about having to
- 25 do another test after being so close on the first

29

one. He mentioned that he needed to find a way to get over that hump and assessing the fact that the first couple of times did not go well.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Dr. Campbell stated there is an element about stress management and anxiety reduction that needs to be routine, along with strategies for in the moment. She suggested Mr. Lee build good sleep, good diet, and good exercise routines now and then something specific to utilize the day before, the day of, and in the moment. She encouraged Mr. Lee to explore those with a tutor or outside resources.

Ms. Grzybek told Mr. Lee to remember that he only has one opportunity to do the best examination and intervention for a patient and is the reason why they look for the best answer in licensing examinations. She also referred to Mr. Lee's email enquiring about the process suggesting he may be forced out of a valid next attempt. She mentioned the importance of following the law in Pennsylvania and encouraged Mr. Lee read the law and regulations in whatever jurisdiction he may choose to practice.]

23 Appointment - Applicant, Joshua Levitski - Request 24 for

25 Third Attempt at the National Physical Therapy Examination

1

2 [Joshua Levitski presented to the Board to request a

3 third attempt at the National Physical Therapy

4 Examination. He addressed his first attempt at the

5 | NPTE, noting he strictly went on Scorebuilders and

6 | what his school advised but became overwhelmed with

7 taking the exam. He explained that he took many

8 tests for the second exam but did not focus on the

9 material side. He noted utilizing Final Frontier for

10 the third exam and preparing as if the exam was

11 | today.

Dr. Campbell requested more information regarding

13 Mr. Levitski's practice exams.

Mr. Levitski explained that he had been doing

15 better than expected with the exams and hitting the

16 benchmarks. He noted purchasing PEAT to be able to

17 take exams structured to the Board exam.

Dr. Campbell encouraged Mr. Levitski to continue

19 to use the feedback to adjust the study pattern. She

20 also applauded him for realizing he needed to do

21 something different and finding that source.

22 Ms. Grzybek referred to material sent by Mr.

23 Levitski and asked whether the detailed syllabus from

24 the Final Frontier program is his detailed study plan

25 or whether he has an alternative detailed study plan

to keep him on track.

Mr. Levitski explained that he uses Final Frontier for readings but also has a daily study plan of his own and provided an overview.]

* * *

Appointment - Applicant, Mark Heim - Request for
Third Attempt at the National Physical Therapy
Examination

[Mark Heim presented to the Board to request a third attempt at the National Physical Therapy Examination. He stated he has test anxiety and thought he had enough information from the time he graduated until he tested. He addressed the second exam, noting he did not develop a study program like the one he

provided to the Board. He mentioned working with teachers from Penn State Fayette to create a study plan for the third exam and taking one Scorebuilders Exam.

Dr. Campbell requested more information regarding practice exams.

Mr. Heim noted receiving a 77 percent on the Scorebuilders Exam and that he would be taking the second Scorebuilders Exam tomorrow. He mentioned that some of the exams are expensive but has PEAT scheduled.

Dr. Campbell encouraged Mr. Heim to use the exams to adjust his study schedule and to also review the correct answers to understand all of the content related to it in the same way as the ones that are wrong.

Chair Potter informed all of the applicants that the Board would make a decision today and that the Board administrator would follow up.]

* * *

10 Report of Board Counsel - Proposed Adjudication and
11 Order

12 MR. DAVIS:

The Board reviewed this Adjudication and Order in Executive Session, so I do have an idea as to how the Board is looking to vote. I have a prepared motion.

Based on the Board's discussions in Executive Session, I believe the Chair would accept a motion to adopt as final the Proposed Adjudication and Order of the hearing examiner in the following matter: Commonwealth BPOA v. KeVonte Cockrill, Case No. 21-65-013398.

DR. CAMPBELL:

```
33
1
                  So moved.
2
   MS. GRZYBEK:
3
                  Second.
4
   CHAIR POTTER:
5
                  It has been moved and seconded to adopt
 6
                  the Adjudication and Order.
                                                We will
                  have a roll call vote.
9
                  Potter, aye; Commissioner Claggett,
10
                  aye; Campbell, aye; Grzybek, aye; Robb,
11
                  aye; Werner, aye; Wolfe, aye.
12
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
13
                              * * *
14
   Report of Board Counsel - Regulations
15
   [Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel, provided
16
   updates regarding the status of the regulations. He
   informed Board members that the Office of General
17
18
   Counsel (OGC) provided comments on November 30
19
   regarding FSBPT's Alternate Approval Pathway (AAP)
20
   Program, which would allow people to contact FSBPT
21
   instead of applying to the Board for the right to
22
   take the examination.
23
        Mr. Davis explained that FSBPT would make sure
24
   applicants meet the Board's requirements and then
25
   allow them to sit for the exam.
```

Mr. Davis noted speaking with Jeff Rosa from FSBPT concerning what other states did as far as regulations and would be implementing that in the regulation the Board already approved. He mentioned that he should be able to get that back to OGC next week.

Mr. Davis informed Board members that one of the other regulations is on his desk and another one is with senior regulatory counsel. He mentioned that all of their regulations are moving forward. He stated his focus at this time is the regulation concerning AAP. He noted that wrapped up in that same regulation is something that has to do with the requests for a third attempt.

Mr. Davis explained that part of being allowed into the AAP Program would require the Board to get rid of the Board's requirement that individuals request a third attempt at the NPTE. He stated the requirement would no longer be in the Board's regulation once that passes.

Mr. Davis commented that the Board is receiving more requests and that it is becoming impractical to handle all of the requests at a Board meeting. He mentioned that it also slows the process for the individuals, where they have to wait for a Board

1 meeting to receive permission to sit for a third 2 time.

Mr. Davis suggested the Board empower the Education Committee to approve the requests for a third attempt, however, the committee will not be empowered to deny such a request. If a request is to be denied, it should be reviewed and denied by the full Board.

Dr. Campbell noted that she is the Education

Committee and asked whether she would be able to

still provide helpful information to these

individuals who are requesting permission to sit for

a third attempt.

Mr. Davis explained that approvals would be in the form of a form letter and did not think there would be any problem with tailoring the letter, and the Board agreed to allow Dr. Campbell to respond individually.]

19 MR. DAVIS:

Based on the Board's discussions in open session, I believe the Board Chair would accept a motion to allow the Education Committee with the aid of Board administration to review all future requests for permission to take

```
1
                  the National Physical Therapy Exam a
2
                  third time, noting two caveats: first
3
                  the committee is empowered to bring the
 4
                  request before the full Board if, in
 5
                  its discretion, the request requires
                  additional review, and second, that
 6
7
                  while the committee is empowered to
                  grant such requests, only the Board is
9
                  empowered to deny such a request.
10
                       Is there a motion?
11
   DR. CAMPBELL:
                  So moved.
12
13
   MS. GRZYBEK:
14
                  Second.
15
   CHAIR POTTER:
                  The motion has been moved and seconded
16
                  as read by counsel. Roll call vote.
17
18
19
                  Potter, aye; Commissioner Claggett,
20
                  aye; Campbell, aye; Grzybek, aye; Robb,
21
                  aye; Werner, aye; Wolfe, aye.
22
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
23
24
   MR. DAVIS:
25
                  The Board discussed these individual
```

requests for a third attempt during

Executive Session, so I have an idea as

to how the Board would most likely be

voting; however, since then, we have

had these individuals come before the

Board and explain their individual

circumstances.

Based upon the presentations that the Board has heard today, are there any individual Board members who would ask that we reenter back into Executive Session in order to further discuss any of these matters? Hearing none.

We are going to have two separate votes and that is because one of our Board members has recused herself from the discussions regarding two of these individuals. The first vote will have everyone. The second vote will have everyone minus this one Board member.

Turning to number 5 on the agenda, based on the Board's discussions in Executive Session, I believe the Board Chair would accept the motion approving the requests of the following

		38
1		individuals for permission to take the
2		National Physical Therapy Exam a third
3		time: Alina Shmakov, Alison Cullen,
4		Matthew Orinick, Timothy Lee, Joshua
5		<u>Levitski</u> , <u>Mark Heim</u> .
6	DR. CAMPBELL:	
7		So moved.
8	MS. GRZYBEK:	
9		Second.
10	CHAIR POTTER:	
11		The motion has been moved and seconded.
12		We will do a roll call vote.
13		
14		Potter, aye; Commissioner Claggett,
15		aye; Campbell, aye; Grzybek, aye; Robb,
16		aye; Werner, aye; Wolfe, aye.
17	[The motion ca	arried unanimously.]
18		* * *
19	MR. DAVIS:	
20		Based on the Board's discussions in
21		Executive Session, I believe the Board
22		Chair would accept the motion approving
23		the requests of the following
24		individuals for permission to take the
25		National Physical Therapy Exam a third

```
39
1
                  time:
                         Eliza Olewiler, Erica Romberger.
2
                       I will note before the vote that
 3
                  Board member Krista Wolfe recused
                  herself from discussion on these
 4
 5
                  matters and will not be participating
 6
                  on this particular vote.
7
   MS. GRZYBEK:
8
                  So moved.
9
   DR. CAMPBELL:
10
                  Second.
11
   CHAIR POTTER:
                  The motion has been moved and seconded.
12
13
                  We will do a roll call vote.
14
15
                  Potter, aye; Commissioner Claggett,
16
                  aye; Campbell, aye; Grzybek, aye; Robb,
                  aye; Werner, aye; Wolfe, recuse.
17
18
   [The motion carried. Krista Wolfe recused herself
19
   from deliberations and voting on the motion.]
                              * * *
20
21
   Report of Board Counsel - Miscellaneous
22
   [Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Board Counsel, referred to
23
   a letter received from the Federation of State Boards
24
   of Physical Therapy in December 2022 regarding the
25
   2023 budget asking that the budget be brought to the
```

40 attention of the Board, so the Board knows where 1 2 FSBT's monies are being spent. He noted the Board 3 pays annual dues of \$2,500 per year to be a member of 4 FSBPT. 5 Chair Potter further explained that this budget 6 has already been adopted by FSBPT and is basically 7 information for the jurisdiction.] 8 9 MR. DAVIS: 10 Samantha Marino withdrew her request 11 for permission for a third attempt, 12 which is why it was not discussed at 13 this meeting. * * * 14 15 [Geraldine M. Grzybek, PT, GCS, Secretary, 16 volunteered to assist in reviewing applications for 17 the Education Committee. 18 Mr. Davis suggested all future requests be 19

forwarded to both Dr. Campbell and Ms. Grzybek, and Ms. Roberts offered to share folders containing the information.

Chair Potter thanked Ms. Grzybek for volunteering to assist with the applications.]

25 Report of Board Chair

20

21

22

23

41

1 [Cynthia L. Potter, PT, DPT, Chairperson, announced 2 that the Federation of State Boards of Physical 3 Therapy is offering additional regulatory training March 10-12 and encouraged everyone to attend that 4 5 training because it is a learning experience.] 6 7 Report of Acting Commissioner 8 [Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs, informed Board 10 members of new functionality within the Pennsylvania Licensing System (PALS) called Act 35 of 2022 that 11 12 provides expedited application review for service 13 members, veterans, and military spouses. He stated 14 that application types included in the expedited 15 review are initial applications, renewal 16 applications, and reactivation applications. 17 Acting Commissioner Claggett noted Act 35 gives them the ability to waive the initial application 18 19 fees for spouses when they are required to move due 20 to military orders. He mentioned that the fee 21 waiving functionality in PALS does not work but would 22 be able to provide refunds where appropriate.

Acting Commissioner Claggett noted that Act 35 also directs the Bureau to create a military crosswalk explaining how military experience

23

24

1 transfers over to licensure and could be found on the
2 Board web page.

Acting Commissioner Claggett stated Act 35 also gives them the ability to provide temporary permits for applicants whose application may be lacking certain requirements.

Chair Potter commented that it is a wonderful step to make things easier for those in the military.

Dr. Campbell asked whether there were any new updates with PALS and the lack of a continuing education approval list.

Ms. Roberts informed Board members that she was provided a document that has courses and would be working on that after renewals.

Dr. Campbell asked whether the change in governor slows down or increases the potential of new Board members being appointed.

Acting Commissioner Claggett stated the chances of getting a Board member would increase under the new administration.

21 ***

22 Upcoming 2023 Meeting Dates

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

[Cynthia L. Potter, PT, DPT, Chairperson, noted the next scheduled Board meeting date is March 23.]

```
43
   Adjournment
 1
 2
   CHAIR POTTER:
 3
                   Is there a motion to adjourn?
 4
   MS. GRZYBEK:
 5
                   So moved.
 6
   DR. CAMPBELL:
 7
                   Second.
 8
   CHAIR POTTER:
 9
                   It has been moved and seconded to
                   adjourn this meeting having dealt with
10
11
                   all the business on the agenda.
12
                        We'll adjourn the meeting.
                                * * *
13
14
    [There being no further business, the State Board of
15
   Physical Therapy Meeting adjourned at 12:46 p.m.]
                                * * *
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
```

2 3 4 5 6

STATE BOARD OF PHYSICAL THERAPY REFERENCE INDEX

January 26, 2023

7	TIME	AGENDA
8 9 10	9:00 10:30	Executive Session Return to Open Session
11 12 13	10:30	Official Call to Order
13 14 15	10:31	Roll Call
16 17	10:31	Introduction of Attendees
18 19	10:35	Approval of Minutes
20	10:36	Report of Board Prosecution
22 23 24	10:48	Appointment - Bureau of Finance and Operations Annual Budget Presentation
25 26 27 28 29	11:00	Appointment - Carolyn A. DeLaurentis, Esquire, Executive Deputy Chief Counsel, Department of State, Annual Prosecutorial Division Presentation
30 31 32	11:33	Requests for Third Attempt at the National Physical Therapy Examination
33 34	12:25	Report of Board Counsel
35 36	12:42	Report of Board Chair
37 38	12:43	Report of Acting Commissioner
39 40	12:45	Upcoming 2023 Meeting Dates
41 42 43	12:46	Adjournment