State Board of Optometry December 1, 2022

Professional and Occupational Affairs

Dean F. Picarella, Esquire, Senior Board Counsel

Ted Mowatt, CAE, Vice President, Wanner Associates, on behalf of Pennsylvania Optometric Association

Andrew LaFratte, MPA, Executive Policy Specialist,

Kari Orchard, Democratic Executive Director, House

Jennifer A. Keeler, CAE, Executive Director,

Pennsylvania Optometric Association

Professional Licensure Committee

3 4 5

6

2

BOARD MEMBERS:

7 Luanne K. Chubb, O.D., F.A.A.O., Chairperson Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of 9

10 Denise T. Wilcox, O.D., Ph.D., F.A.A.O., Secretary 11 John A. Godfrey, O.D. 12 Tyler Ritchie, Deputy Attorney General, Consumer

13 Protection Member 14 Jeffrey B. Becker, O.D. 15 Perry C. Umlauf, O.D.

Marc Berson, O.D.

17 18 19

20

16

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

21 22 23

26

27 28

Shawn J. Jayman, Esquire, Board Counsel Carolyn DeLaurentis, Deputy Chief Counsel, 24 Prosecution 25 Division

Gregory S. Liero, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison Paul J. Jarabeck, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecutor Sarah E. McNeill, Board Administrator Shakeena Chappelle, Board Administrator

ALSO PRESENT:

Department of State

41

46 47 48

49 50

51

Eric H.

Cory Ruda

3 * * * 1 2 State Board of Optometry 3 December 1, 2022 * * * 4 5 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 10:00 a.m. the Board entered into Executive 6 7 Session with Shawn J. Jayman, Esquire, Board Counsel, for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations and to receive the advice of Board 10 Counsel. The Board returned to open session at 10:30 11 a.m.] 12 13 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State 14 Board of Optometry was held on Thursday, December 1, 15 2022. Luanne K. Chubb, O.D., F.A.A.O., Chairperson, 16 called the meeting to order at 10:58 a.m. * * * 17 18 Roll Call 19 [Chairperson Chubb requested Ms. McNeill take a roll 20 call of Board members.] * * * 21 22 Introduction of Audience 23 [Chairperson Chubb requested the introduction of 24 audience members. She welcomed Shawn Jayman as new 25 Board Counsel and new Board member, Marc Berson.]

4 * * * 1 2 [Shawn J. Jayman, Esquire, Board Counsel, informed 3 everyone that the meeting was being recorded, and 4 those who continued to participate were giving their 5 consent to be recorded. 6 Mr. Jayman also noted the Board entered into 7 Executive Session for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations on a number of matters currently pending before the Board and to receive the 10 advice of counsel.] 11 12 Approval of Minutes 13 CHAIRPERSON CHUBB: 14 At this time, we want to approve the 15 minutes. Everyone has had plenty of 16 time to review the minutes and read 17 them. 18 Do I have a motion to approve the minutes? 19 20 DR. BECKER: 21 So moved. 22 DR. WILCOX: 23 Second. 24 MS. MCNEILL: 25 Dr. Chubb, yes; Claggett, aye; Dr.

5 1 Wilcox, aye; Dr. Godfrey, aye; Tyler Ritchie, aye; Dr. Becker, aye; Dr. 2 3 Umlauf, abstain; Dr. Berson, abstain. 4 CHAIRPERSON CHUBB: 5 Any opposed? We have two abstentions. Motion caries. 6 7 [The motion carried. Perry Umlauf and Marc Berson abstained from voting on the motion.] 9 10 Amendment to Agenda 11 MR. JAYMAN: Based on Executive Session 12 13 deliberations, I believe the Chair 14 would entertain a motion to add to the 15 agenda to reflect that regulatory items 16 on the additional agenda will be added 17 to the agenda for the purpose of this 18 meeting. DR. BECKER: 19 20 So moved. 21 DR. WILCOX: 22 Second. 23 MS. MCNEILL: 24 Dr. Chubb, aye; Claggett, aye; Dr. 25 Wilcox, aye; Dr. Godfrey, aye; Tyler

```
1
                  Ritchie, aye; Dr. Becker, aye; Dr.
2
                  Umlauf, aye; Dr. Berson, aye.
3
   CHAIRPERSON CHUBB:
 4
                  Any opposed? Any abstentions?
5
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                              * * *
 6
7
   Report of Board Prosecutors
   [Gregory S. Liero, Esquire, Board Prosecution
   Liaison, noted prosecution had nothing specific to
10
   report.
        Acting Commissioner Claggett requested
11
12
   prosecution discuss public reprimands and fines and
13
   to provide a history of public reprimands.
14
        Mr. Jarabeck addressed the continuum of
15
   discipline, noting civil penalty is the lowest and
16
   then public reprimand followed by probation,
17
   suspension, and revocation. He stated the issue of
18
   reprimand versus civil penalty or combination thereof
19
   is ultimately the Board's decision through a consent
20
   agreement or adjudication and order.
21
        Mr. Jarabeck addressed Board history from 2011
22
   through 2021, where there were about 12 to 15 final
23
   adjudications for consent and of which half of them
24
   were reinstatements for licensure. He noted
```

disciplinary cases are very limited.

25

Mr. Jarabeck addressed civil penalties, reprimands, and a combination of those, noting the importance of looking at whether the behavior pushes it to the point where it would be a reprimand beyond just paying a fine versus saying the act was rose to a level where the receipt of a reprimand would be in their permanent history.

Mr. Jarabeck commented that probation had previously been the line of demarcation, where insurance companies may treat someone differently if they were on probation. He noted that changed overtime and civil penalties are now reported in the National Practitioner Data Bank, and the decision would be made by the insurance company whether that affects the individual or not.

Mr. Jarabeck stated a reprimand was a murky area in the past and now everything is reported. He noted prosecution is also looking at it through the lens of their practices with other boards in similar situations and whether it rise beyond the level of just civil penalty or just costs.

Mr. Jarabeck addressed whether a civil penalty has to be tied to a reprimand or whether they could be separate entities. He commented that prosecutors are advocates and put cases forward to the boards.

He explained that other boards have just a reprimand and no civil penalty.

Mr. Jarabeck mentioned there have been cases where somebody would not have the money and the board would recommend a reprimand and then other cases from prosecution's perspective, where a reprimand may not recommend a reprimand because it believed the person would learn by paying the money in a civil penalty but not put the individual into some level of an insurance issue.

Mr. Jarabeck commented that prosecution tries to come up with an equitable solution that rehabilitates the practitioner but at the same time acceptable to the board.

Mr. Jarabeck informed Board members that a civil penalty remains permanent and even costs would be something subject to review. He noted the ability for lower-level actions, including citations, to be removed through amendments but not matters pertaining to professional responsibility or professional ethics.

Dr. Wilcox asked how many of the 12 to 15 findings between 2011 and 2021 had a public reprimand.

Mr. Jarabeck stated no public reprimands were

issued during that time. He mentioned taking out the licenses reinstated or licenses granted that there have been instances of mandatory continuing education, suspension, suspension stayed in favor of probation, and most of the time mandatory continuing education also in conjunction with a fine.

Chairperson Chubb addressed recommendations from prosecution, where their understanding in the past has been to accept all or rejected all and requested information regarding the next steps after something has been rejected. She also asked whether the Board would be able to unbundle a fine and a reprimand and agree to the fine but not the reprimand.

Mr. Jarabeck explained that the Board cannot give prosecution direction of what would happen in the presentation of a consent agreement. He noted it would be stated as too harsh or too lenient with the occasional not acceptable by the facts presented and remains in the purview of the Board in making that decision.

Mr. Jarabeck stated prosecution engages experts on matters of professional conduct meeting the standard of care because prosecution does not have that ability. He addressed steps taken by prosecution after a consent agreement is rejected for

being too harsh. He explained that the steps depend on what was put forward and whether a middle ground could be found, which would ultimately go back through the negotiation process with opposing counsel or with the respondent as well as prosecution. stated that boards sometimes find they are at the bottom and the case is too harsh, where the case would not be prosecuted and may result in the closure

10 **

of the file and withdrawal of charges.]

11 | Report of Acting Commissioner

[Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs, addressed the Pennsylvania Licensing System (PALS), noting many licensees were not able to renew their license because the system was down. He stated an email would be sent to anyone who was impacted providing notification of an extension and the deadline for the renewal. He noted that all of the licensees who did not renew have an active license.

Acting Commissioner Claggett informed Board members that the 30-day grace period was taken away in 2019 because it slows down PALS for everyone when many renewals are open at the same time. He mentioned that there is an internal grace period of

```
11
1
   7-days to take care of people who renew on the last
2
   day.]
3
4
   Report of Board Counsel
5
   MR. JAYMAN:
6
                  Based on Executive Session
7
                  deliberations, I believe the Chair
                  would entertain a motion to direct
9
                  Board Counsel to draft an Adjudication
10
                  and Order consistent with discussions
                  in Executive Session in the matter of
11
12
                  Man Tri Vu, Case No. 18-52-010885.
13
   DR. GODFREY:
14
                  So moved.
15
   DR. WILCOX:
16
                  Second.
17
   MS. MCNEILL:
18
                  Dr. Chubb, aye; Commissioner Claggett,
19
                  aye; Dr. Wilcox, aye; Dr. Godfrey, aye;
20
                  Tyler Ritchie, aye; Dr. Becker, aye;
21
                  Dr. Umlauf, aye; Dr. Berson, abstain.
22
   CHAIRPERSON CHUBB:
23
                  Any objections? A single abstention.
24
                  Motion carries.
25
   [The motion carried. Dr. Berson abstained from
```

voting on the motion.] Report of Board Chair [Luanne K. Chubb, O.D., F.A.A.O., Chairperson, addressed the Association of Regulatory Boards of Optometry (ARBO) and the Council on Optometric Practitioner Education (COPE). She noted COPE had a wider variety of categories in the past because they assessed their member boards and streamlined categories for continuing education. She commented that it made little impact for Pennsylvania but had a great impact on other states. She noted sending the

Chairperson Chubb addressed another announcement that may have an impact on Pennsylvania regarding synchronous and asynchronous continuing education. She discussed what COPE and ARBO consider to be asynchronous.

COPE-approved information to Board members and the

need to post it on the public site.

Chairperson Chubb stated the Board of Optometry
has the Probable Cause Screening Committee,
Regulatory Committee, Continuing Education Committee,
Act 41 Committee, and Pharmaceutical Committee. She
noted the committees are going to stay the same for
this meeting but members need to decide which

committee they wished to be a member of at the February meeting.

Dr. Becker requested more information concerning synchronous education and asked whether it is going to be considered by the Board as live with the new renewal period.

Chairperson Chubb explained that synchronous to ARBO is considered to be in person or in person via a webinar, where someone could interact with the presenter but not a journal article or a webinar, where someone is listening and takes a test afterwards. She further explained it has to be a live presentation and not prerecorded.

Chairperson Chubb commented that the decision was just released by ARBO within the past 45 days. She noted the Board currently can have 15 live credits but has not defined what live means. She stated there was never an alternative other than live in person and that would require further Board discussion.

Acting Commissioner Claggett suggested Mr. Jayman do some research and provide some definitive answers for the next Board meeting.]

* * *

25 Report of Regulatory Counsel

- [Shawn J. Jayman, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted the Board voted on the amendment to the agenda bringing forward issues regarding licensure by endorsement under 16A-5218, along with the final annex and final
- 5 preamble. He noted 16A-5218 was reviewed and 6 approved on May 9, 2022.

- Mr. Jayman noted there were specific factors listed at the May 9, 2022 meeting regarding discipline but appears the factors are driven by case law. He stated the Board needed to decide whether to keep the factors in or not.
- Mr. Jayman also referred to § 23.27b(b)(1). He stated in the interest of public safety, the Board has determined that providing some discretion is necessary for the granting of a provisional license for less than a year. He noted the way it was approved was for one year and this change is for situations for granting a provisional license for less than one year.
- Mr. Jayman noted an example would be where an applicant from another jurisdiction only needs to complete 10 more continuing education and to allow 12 months to establish competency would not be in the best interest of public safety and that is why the language has been added back into the proposed

15 regulation.] 1 2 MR. JAYMAN: 3 I believe the Chair would entertain a 4 motion to approve the new language of 5 the final regulation and direct Board Counsel to move the final-form 6 7 rulemaking through the regulatory 8 process. 9 DR. GODFREY: 10 So moved. 11 DR. WILCOX: Second. 12 13 CHAIRPERSON CHUBB: 14 Roll call vote. 15 16 Dr. Chubb, aye; Dr. Wilcox, aye; Dr. 17 Godfrey, aye; Tyler Ritchie, aye; Dr. 18 Becker, aye; Dr. Umlauf, aye; Dr. 19 Berson, aye; Commissioner Claggett, 20 abstain. 21 22 Any opposed? One abstention. Motion 23 carries. 24 [The motion carried. Arion Claggett abstained from 25 voting on the motion.]

1

- 2 Report of Board Administrator
- 3 | [Sarah E. McNeill, Board Administrator, introduced
- 4 | Shakeena Chappelle as the new Board Administrator.]
- 5 ***
- 6 New Business
- 7 [Luanne K. Chubb, O.D., F.A.A.O., Chairperson,
- 8 addressed new glaucoma medication, omidenepag
- 9 isopropyl ophthalmic solution, noting it to be a
- 10 once-a-day glaucoma medication and is a prostaglandin
- 11 E2 receptor agonist for glaucoma and ocular
- 12 hypertension.]
- 13 CHAIRPERSON CHUBB:
- I would like to have a vote to add this
- to our drug formulary.
- 16 DR. GODFREY:
- I move to approve the aforementioned
- 18 drug.
- 19 DR. WILCOX:
- 20 Second.
- 21 CHAIRPERSON CHUBB:
- 22 Any discussion? Hearing none. Roll
- call vote.
- 24
- Dr. Chubb, aye; Commissioner Claggett,

17 1 aye; Dr. Wilcox, aye; Dr. Godfrey, aye; 2 Tyler Ritchie, aye; Dr. Becker, aye; 3 Dr. Umlauf, aye; Dr. Berson, aye. 4 5 Any opposed? Any abstentions? Hearing none. Motion carries. 6 7 [The motion carried unanimously.] 8 9 New Business - 2024 Proposed Meeting Dates 10 CHAIRPERSON CHUBB: 11 The proposed meeting dates for 2024 are February 1, May 9, August 8, and 12 December 19. 13 14 Do we have a motion to approve 15 those meeting dates? 16 DR. GODFREY: 17 So moved. 18 DR. WILCOX: 19 Second. CHAIRPERSON CHUBB: 20 Roll call vote. 21 22 23 Dr. Chubb, aye; Commissioner Claggett, 24 aye; Dr. Wilcox, aye; Dr. Godfrey, aye; 25 Tyler Ritchie, aye; Dr. Becker, aye;

```
18
1
                  Dr. Umlauf, aye; Dr. Berson, aye.
2
3
                  Any opposed? Any abstentions?
                                                    Motion
 4
                  carries.
5
    [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
6
7
   New Business - Election of Officers
   CHAIRPERSON CHUBB:
9
                  Is there a motion for Board Chair?
10
   DR. BECKER:
11
                  I move that we nominate Dr. Luanne
                  Chubb as Board Chair.
12
13
   DR. UMLAUF:
14
                  Second.
15
   CHAIRPERSON CHUBB:
16
                  Any other? Motion for approval?
17
   DR. GODFREY:
18
                  So moved.
19
   DR. WILCOX:
20
                  Second.
21
   MS. MCNEILL:
22
                  Commissioner Claggett, aye; Dr. Wilcox,
23
                  aye; Dr. Godfrey, aye; Tyler Ritchie,
24
                  aye; Dr. Becker, aye; Dr. Umlauf, aye;
25
                  Dr. Berson, aye.
```

```
19
   CHAIRPERSON CHUBB:
1
2
                  Any opposed? Any abstentions?
                                                    Motion
3
                  carries.
4
    [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
5
   CHAIRPERSON CHUBB:
6
7
                  For position of Vice Chair?
8
   DR. WILCOX:
9
                  I nominate Dr. Godfrey for Vice Chair.
10
   DR. UMLAUF:
11
                  Second.
   CHAIRPERSON CHUBB:
12
13
                  Roll Call vote.
14
15
                  Dr. Chubb, aye; Commissioner Claggett,
16
                  aye; Dr. Wilcox, aye; Tyler Ritchie,
                  aye; Dr. Becker, aye; Dr. Umlauf, aye;
17
18
                  Dr. Berson, aye.
19
20
                  Any opposed? Any abstentions?
                                                    Motion
21
                  carries.
22
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
23
24
   CHAIRPERSON CHUBB:
25
                  For position of Board secretary?
```

```
20
1
   DR. GODFREY:
2
                  I'd like to nominate Dr. Denise Wilcox.
3
   DR. UMLAUF:
4
                  Second.
5
   CHAIRPERSON CHUBB:
                  Roll Call vote.
6
7
                  Dr. Chubb, aye; Commissioner Claggett,
9
                  aye; Dr. Godfrey, aye; Tyler Ritchie,
10
                  aye; Dr. Becker, aye; Dr. Umlauf, aye;
11
                  Dr. Berson, aye.
12
                  Any opposed? Any abstentions?
                                                   Motion
13
                  carries.
14
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
15
16
   Adjournment
   CHAIRPERSON CHUBB:
17
18
                  Do we have a motion to adjourn?
   DR. GODFREY:
19
20
                  So moved.
21
   DR. UMLAUF:
22
                  Second.
23
24
   [There being no further business, the State Board of
25
   Optometry Meeting adjourned at 11:46 a.m.]
```

* * *

3 CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Optometry meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Optometry meeting.

Cory Ruda,

Minute Clerk

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc.

			22
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 1 3 1 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 1 3 1 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3		STATE BOARD OF OPTOMETRY REFERENCE INDEX	
		December 1, 2022	
	TIME	AGENDA	
	10:00 10:30	Executive Session Return to Open Session	
	10:58	Official Call to Order	
	10:59	Roll Call/Introduction of Audience	
	11:00	Approval of Minutes	
	11:00	Amendment to Agenda	
	11:01	Report of Board Prosecutors	
	11:18	Report of Acting Commissioner	
	11:23	Report of Board Counsel	
	11:25	Report of Board Chair	
	11:35	Report of Regulatory Counsel	
	11:39	Report of Board Administrator	
	11:40	New Business	
	11:37	Miscellaneous	
	11:46	Adjournment	
37 38			
39 40			
41 42 43			
44 45			
46 47			
4 7 4 8 4 9			
50			