### State Board of Dentistry July 14, 2023

2

3 45

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

BOARD MEMBERS:

Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs Shawn M. Casey, D.M.D., Chairman Jennifer Unis Sullivan, D.M.D., J.D., Vice Chairperson Theresa A. Groody, DHSc, EFDA, CDA, Secretary Brice D. Arndt, D.D.S. - Absent Barbara (Bonnie) L. Fowler, Public Member - Absent Godfrey Joel Funari, M.S., D.M.D. Joel S. Jaspan, D.D.S. R. Ivan Lugo, D.M.D., M.B.A. Andrew S. Matta, D.M.D. LaJuan M. Mountain, D.M.D. - Absent Donna L. Murray, RDH, PHDHP, MSDH - Absent Brian Wyant, Public Health Program Director, Department of Health designee

### 23 24 25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

# BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Dean F. Picarella, Esquire, Senior Counsel, Counsel Division Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel Paul J. Jarabeck, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecutor and Board Prosecution Liaison Timothy J. Henderson, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Amber Lee Czerniakowski, Board Prosecutor

Rebecca Zehring, Office of Attorney General

Gregory S. Liero, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Christina Townley, Board Administrator Marc Farrell, Esquire, Regulatory Counsel, Office of Chief Counsel, Department of State Michael McDonald, Policy Director, Department of State

> 43 44

> 45

46

47

48

49

50

#### ALSO PRESENT:

Steve Neidlinger, CAE, Executive Director, Pennsylvania Academy of General Dentistry Jaime Simpson, Director of the Dental Health Center and Director of the EFDA Program, Manor College Lisa Brown, Dental Assisting and Expanded Functions Dental Assisting Program Supervisor, YTI Career Institute

## State Board of Dentistry July 14, 2023

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46 47

48

1

#### ALSO PRESENT: (cont.)

Angie Wallace, RDH, Laser Educator, Laser Hygiene LLC

Marisa Swarney, Director, Government Relations, Pennsylvania Dental Association

Morgan Plant, Government Relations Consultant, Pennsylvania Dental Hygienists' Association

Nicole Payonk, Policy & Advocacy Coordinator, Pennsylvania Coalition for Oral Health

Sarah Ostrander, Senior Manager, Dental Education and Licensure and Coalition for Modernizing Dental Licensure, American Dental Association

Catherine Rowe, Esquire, Strokoff & Cowden, P.C.

Thomas Weber, Esquire, Caldwell & Kearns, P.C.

Caleb Sisak, Government Relations Specialist, Bravo Group

Ann Hoffman RDH, PHDHP. Program Director, Central Penn College

Megan Crompton, Senior Associate, Allegheny Strategy Partners

Jennifer Smeltz, Republican Executive Director, Senate Consumer Protection & Professional Licensure Ryan Rother, DMD, Rother Dental

Michael Verber, DMD, Central Penn College

Lisa Lithgow, Director of Development, Central Penn College

Maria Eisenhart, Central Penn College

Nathan Erway, Central Penn College

Richard Grossman, President, Pennsylvania Dental Association

Brett Wiley, Central Penn College

Brittany Sadler, Central Penn College

Tammy Summa, Central Penn College

Heather LaManna, Adjunct Professor, Central Penn College

Lauren Rowles, Central Penn College

James Doherty, Esquire, Scanlon, Howley & Doherty, P.C.

Alexis Delaughter, EFDA, CDA, Dental Program Lecturer,

Central Penn College

Helen Hawkey, Executive Director, Pennsylvania Coalition for Oral Health

```
4
                        State Board of Dentistry
July 14, 2023
 1
 234567
    ALSO PRESENT:
                        (cont.)
    Angie Crump
8
    Riley Baker
 9
    Justin Withrow
10
    К.Р.
11
    Leah Benishay
12
    Richard Cobbler
13
    Marie
14
    Max
15
    Derek Richmond
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
```

State Board of Dentistry

July 14, 2023

\* \* \*

[Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 9:00 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session with Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, to have attorney-client consultations and for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations. The Board returned to open session at 11:17 a.m.]

[Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, informed everyone that the meeting of the State Board of Dentistry was being held in a hybrid format of inperson and teleconference pursuant to Act 100 of 2021, which requires boards to use a virtual platform to conduct business when a public meeting is held.

Mr. Rouse also noted the Board entered into Executive Session with Board Counsel to have attorney-client consultations and for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations.]

\*

The regularly scheduled meeting of the State

Board of Dentistry was held on Friday, July 14, 2023.

Shawn M. Casey, D.M.D., Chairman, called the meeting to order at 11:17 a.m.

```
1
        Chairman Casey reminded everyone that the meeting
2
   was being recorded, and voluntary participation
3
   constituted consent to be recorded.]
 4
5
   Roll Call of Board Members
6
   [Chairman Casey requested a roll call of Board
7
   members.]
8
                              * * *
9
   Introduction of Attendees
10
   [Chairman Casey requested an introduction of
11
   attendees.]
12
13
   Approval of minutes of the May 12, 2023 meeting
14
   CHAIRMAN CASEY:
15
                  Has everyone had the time to take a
16
                  look at the minutes from the last
                  meeting on May 12, 2023?
17
18
                  corrections or additions?
19
   [The Board discussed corrections to the minutes.]
20
   CHAIRMAN CASEY:
21
                  Can we have a motion to accept the
22
                  minutes as amended?
23
   DR. SULLIVAN:
24
                  I make a motion to approve the minutes.
   CHAIRMAN CASEY:
25
```

1 Second? 2 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT: 3 Second. 4 CHAIRMAN CASEY: 5 Roll call, Christina. 6 Claggett, aye; Casey, aye; Sullivan, aye; Groody, aye; Funari, aye; Jaspan, 9 aye; Lugo, aye; Matta, abstain; Wyant, 10 abstain; Zehring, aye. 11 [The motion carried. Andrew Matta and Brian Wyant 12 abstained from voting on the motion.] 13 \* \* \* 14 Appointment 15 [Angie Wallace, RDH, Laser Educator, Laser Hygiene 16 LLC, presented to the Board to provide information 17 for Board members to consider before making a 18 decision whether to approve or deny lasers being used 19 for hygienists. She informed Board members that she 20 has been a laser educator with the Academy of Laser 21 Dentistry for close to 20 years and noted the 22 importance of hygienists having a basic input of 23 laser safety for any state considering hygienists 24 using lasers.

Ms. Wallace stated the laser should be considered

a general tool as opposed to anything that has a code attached to it. She noted working with state boards to make sure the focus is to find what works in the scope of practice for hygienists as well as using it safely and provided contact information.

Ms. Wallace noted that only one state does not allow hygienists to use a laser for aphthous ulcers and herpetic lesions. She mentioned that there are certain things that should be taken into consideration when using it on herpetic lesions. She noted a laser could be used for photobiomodulation (PBM), tooth desensitizing, laser whitening, pocket therapy, and laser bacterial reduction (LBR) along with periodontal debridement to decontaminate.

Ms. Wallace addressed the importance of laser training and understanding what the laser is attracted to for the chromophore. She noted the majority of states require an 8-hour course that includes tutorial information and hands-on training and all state practice acts are different as far as which states allow hygienists to use particular functions.

Ms. Wallace explained that the laser is invisible thermal radiation, and the diodes are listed outside of the visible spectrum. She noted the red aiming

beam is only to see where it is pointing and then actually sending laser energy out once their foot is placed on the pedal.

Ms. Wallace addressed things to take into consideration when utilizing a class 4 laser, including the correct wavelength safety glasses because of the aiming beam and invisible spectrum that is attracted to the retinal part of the eye that can cause permanent damage. She noted the importance of taking a laser safety course and having a laser safety officer in each office who understands procedures and policies about operating a safe laser, as well as the scope of practice.

Ms. Wallace discussed other safety issues that should be taken into consideration, including the laser safety device zone that could be found in the owner's manual, the posting of a warning sign when the laser is being operated so nobody accidentally walks into that zone, utilizing a 0.1-micron filtration mask, having limited access to the room, and limited reflective surfaces.

Ms. Wallace addressed high-volume evacuation with an 8-mm or less diameter opening to contain all of the plume, unlike a saliva ejector. She noted that the laser being utilized, model, type, and

wavelength, along with the tip and whether it is
continuous wave or pulse mode, duration, and duty
cycle should be documented in a patient's chart. She
also noted the importance of documenting energy
settings for legal purposes.

Ms. Wallace expressed the importance of laser education, including tissue interaction and knowing what not to do and staying within the parameters of their license.

Dr. Jaspan referred to diode and Nd:YAG lasers, noting hygienists are mainly using diode lasers and expressed concern with hygienists being properly trained when they go into an office that has a different kind of laser.

Dr. Jaspan also commented that there have been very few controlled studies that say lasers are better and worth the cost because all of the long-term results are pretty equivalent between scaling and root planing with and without lasers. He mentioned that his justification is the cost of the laser and fear of certain dentists who are more apt to worry about dollars than treatment would find a way to bill a lot of money for a service that may only benefit a patient slightly.

Ms. Wallace stated there are quite a few studies

and her patients would be able to explain what the laser has done for them just because of the chromophore interaction and the photobiomodulation, where pulling the tissue tight against the teeth after the scaling and root planing procedures has been gigantic. She mentioned that she still works clinically from three to four days a week and uses a laser every single day. She commented that she would quit dental hygiene without a laser because of the difference.

Ms. Wallace stated some articles are not peer reviewed and some are by certain companies trying to make lasers not look good because they want their product to look better because there are lot of chemical products people want to put in a patient's mouth as opposed to using a laser.

Ms. Wallace commented that a laser is a holistic type of procedure with extremely minimal contraindications other than the oxygen, where the laser can be a spark. She noted that lasers eliminate time and work and is the reason patients come back and tell others. She explained that training has to be a course approved with all of the different lasers and noted that hygienists are using subsurgical settings. She believed that hygienists

who are properly trained would be completely capable of using a laser.

Ms. Wallace noted that there would always be dentists who are using something outside of the scope of practice or tools or codes that are not used correctly and would be managed by the state Board.

Dr. Jaspan commented that there are certain uses for lasers but does not apply to every scaling and planing case where it would make it better long term.

Ms. Wallace referred to clinical studies and clinical results, noting she could see a before and after result, where scaling and root planing is basically on the tooth surface and not doing anything with the gums. She mentioned that curettage is no longer really an accepted procedure, and there was no way of determining if they were cutting into healthy tissue or leaving diseased tissue behind.

Ms. Wallace explained that the laser can do that because it interacts when it sees dark pigmentation and does not when it sees healthy tissue and is more specific at stopping on that line of disease and health. She stated cleaning the surface of the tooth and using a laser to decontaminate the periodontal wall creates an open wound and then the body heals itself.

Ms. Wallace noted that bacteria is microscopic, but by photobiomodulation taking place and putting that energy in there, an area is created for the body to heal itself. She noted that studies on photobiomodulation are huge and encouraged everyone to look at some of the studies the Academy of Laser Dentistry is reviewing, along with studies from a company called GMA that strictly does photobiomodulation.

Dr. Lugo requested information regarding the accountability for the learning part of hygienists and the regulatory relationship of other states, either with the laser safety officer (LSO) or the dentist overseeing the personnel.

Ms. Wallace explained that most dentists are the LSO of the office and oversee everything, but someone properly trained who passes the test would be considered the LSO at the doctor's discretion. She stated it is very specific in the rules and regulations that a laser can only be used by diode or Nd:YAG hygienists because those lasers are soft tissue, turn towards the tooth surface, and do not have any interaction with the tooth surface at the settings that a hygienist would use.

Ms. Wallace suggested being specific as far as

```
which lasers could be used in the hands of
1
2
   hygienists. She explained that each state board
3
   usually has a group that would judge any legal cases
 4
   that arise concerning lasers and would bring in
5
   specialists that have an education on lasers.
                                                    She
6
   commented that the laser is a gentle tool and
7
   hygienists are very capable of handling this tool and
8
   what they can do for their patients.]
9
10
   [The Board experienced technical difficulties from
11
   11:56 a.m. until 12:02 p.m.]
                              * * *
12
13
   Appointment
14
   [Michael McDonald, Policy Director, Department of
15
   State, introduced himself and provided a brief
   history of his professional background. He informed
16
17
   everyone that he is available to assist the Board and
18
   is working closely with Deputy Policy Director Andrew
   LaFratte.
19
20
        Mr. McDonald explained that the policy office
21
   works with all of the boards in all of the program
22
   areas in the department to develop and review policy
23
   initiatives, including analyzing legislation and
24
   regulation. He noted they also assess Sunrise
```

Applications for new licensure classes. He also

```
15
   reported working closely with the governor and the
1
2
   secretary in the department to implement their policy
3
   agenda.
 4
        Mr. McDonald mentioned being a resource for all
5
   of the boards and offered assistance regarding any
6
   ideas or concerns.
7
        Chair Casey thanked Mr. McDonald for the
8
   presentation.]
9
10
   Report of Prosecutorial Division
11
   [Amber Lee Czerniakowski, Esquire, Board Prosecutor,
12
   presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 21-46-
13
   014224.1
14
   MR. ROUSE:
15
                  Regarding the Consent Agreement at item
16
                  2 on the agenda at Case No. 21-46-
                  014224 after discussion in Executive
17
18
                  Session, I believe the Chair would
19
                  entertain a motion to adopt the Consent
20
                  Agreement.
21
   CHAIRMAN CASEY:
22
                  Yes. Do I have a motion, please?
23
   DR. SULLIVAN:
24
                  I make that motion.
   CHAIRMAN CASEY:
25
```

16 Second? 1 2 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT: 3 Second. 4 CHAIRMAN CASEY: 5 Roll call, Christina. 6 7 Claggett, aye; Casey, aye; Sullivan, aye; Groody, aye; Funari, aye; Jaspan, 9 aye; Lugo, aye; Matta, aye; Wyant, aye; 10 Zehring, aye. [The motion carried unanimously. That is the matter 11 12 of BPOA v. Laura Lynn Ratcliffe, RDH, Case No. 21-46-13 014224.1 \* \* \* 14 15 [Paul J. Jarabeck, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecutor 16 and Board Prosecution Liaison, presented the Consent 17 Agreement for Case No. 23-46-005445.] 18 MR. ROUSE: 19 At item 3 on the agenda at Case No. 23-20 46-005445, I believe the Chair would 21 entertain a motion to adopt the Consent 22 Agreement. 23 CHAIRMAN CASEY: 24 Yes. Do I have a motion, please? DR. SULLIVAN: 25

```
17
1
                  I make that motion.
2
   CHAIRMAN CASEY:
3
                  Second?
   ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:
4
5
                  Second.
6
   CHAIRMAN CASEY:
7
                  Roll call, Christina.
8
9
                  Claggett, aye; Casey, aye; Sullivan,
10
                  aye; Groody, aye; Funari, aye; Jaspan,
11
                  aye; Lugo, aye; Matta, aye; Wyant, aye;
12
                  Zehring, aye.
13
   [The motion carried unanimously. That is the matter
14
   of the License to Practice as a Dentist held by
15
   confidential at Case No. 23-46-005445.]
16
   [Paul J. Jarabeck, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecutor
17
18
   and Board Prosecution Liaison, presented the Consent
19
   Agreement for Case No. 23-46-008769.]
   MR. ROUSE:
20
21
                  Regarding the Consent Agreement at Case
22
                  No. 23-46-008769 at item 4 on the
23
                  agenda, I believe the Chair would
24
                  entertain a motion to adopt the Consent
25
                  Agreement.
```

18 1 CHAIRMAN CASEY: 2 Yes. Do I have a motion, please? 3 DR. SULLIVAN: 4 I make that motion. 5 CHAIRMAN CASEY: Second? 6 7 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT: 8 Second. 9 CHAIRMAN CASEY: 10 Roll call, Christina. 11 12 Claggett, aye; Casey, aye; Sullivan, 13 aye; Groody, aye; Funari, aye; Jaspan, 14 aye; Lugo, aye; Matta, aye; Wyant, aye; 15 Zehring, aye. 16 [The motion carried unanimously. That is the matter of the Application for a License to Practice as a 17 18 Dentist submitted by confidential at Case No. 23-46-008769.] 19 \* \* \* 20 [Shawn M. Casey, D.M.D., Chairman, exited the meeting 21 22 at 12:16 p.m. for recusal purposes.] 23 24 VICE CHAIR SULLIVAN ASSUMED THE CHAIR \* \* \* 25

```
19
1
   Report of Board Counsel - Motion to Deem Facts
2
     Admitted
3
   MR. ROUSE:
 4
                  Item 8 on the agenda is the Motion to
 5
                  Deem Facts Admitted in the matter of
 6
                  BPOA v. Blanche Durand Grube, Case Nos.
7
                  18-46-012074 & 18-46-02952.
8
                       Regarding the matter of BPOA v.
9
                  Blanche Durand Grube at item 8 on the
10
                  agenda, I believe the Chair would
11
                  entertain a motion to grant the
                  Commonwealth's second Motion to Deem
12
13
                  Facts Admitted and to direct Board
14
                  Counsel to prepare the Adjudication and
15
                  Order in accordance with discussions in
                  Executive Session.
16
   VICE CHAIR SULLIVAN:
17
18
                  Do I have a motion?
   DR. JASPAN:
19
20
                  I make the motion.
21
   DR. LUGO:
22
                  Second.
23
   MS. TOWNLEY:
24
                  Claggett, aye; Sullivan, aye; Groody,
25
                  aye; Funari, aye; Jaspan, aye; Lugo,
```

```
20
1
                  aye; Matta, aye; Wyant, aye; Zehring,
2
                  aye.
3
   [The motion carried. Shawn Casey recused himself
4
   from deliberations and voting on the motion.]
                              * * *
5
6
   [Shawn M. Casey, D.M.D., Chairman, reentered the
7
   meeting at 12:18 p.m.]
                              * * *
8
9
                CHAIR CASEY RESUMED THE CHAIR
                              * * *
10
11
   Report of Board Counsel - Proposed Adjudication and
     Order
12
13
   MR. ROUSE:
14
                  Item 9 on the agenda is a Proposed
15
                  Adjudication and Order in the matter of
16
                  BPOA v. Kamini Patel, D.D.S., Case No.
                  22 - 46 - 011177.
17
18
   [Timothy J. Henderson, Esquire, Board Prosecutor,
19
   addressed prior discussion with Catherine Rowe,
20
   Esquire, Strokoff & Cowden, P.C., counsel for the
21
   respondent. Ms. Rowe was present and participated in
22
   the discussion.
23
        Mr. Henderson asked for an objection and also for
24
   the statements made to be stricken from the record
25
   given the fact that they amount to legal argument in
```

1 this case. He noted that Ms. Rowe was aware and put 2 on notice that the Commonwealth would be objecting

3 and ask for it be stricken.]

4 MR. ROUSE:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

We do understand again there were trial briefs on this particular matter and information was in the trial brief. It was not any different from what was in the trial brief.

At this point, regarding the proposed Adjudication and Order in the matter of the Application for Licensure by Endorsement to Practice Dentistry of Kamini Patel, D.D.S., at Case No. 22-46-011177 at item 9 on the agenda, I believe the Chair would entertain a motion to direct Board Counsel to prepare a Final Adjudication and Order consistent with the discussion in Executive Session.

21 CHAIRMAN CASEY:

Yes. Do I have a motion, please?

23 DR. SULLIVAN:

I make that motion.

25 | CHAIRMAN CASEY:

22 Second? 1 2 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT: 3 Second. 4 CHAIRMAN CASEY: 5 Christina, roll call, please. 6 7 Claggett, aye; Casey, aye; Sullivan, aye; Groody, aye; Funari, aye; Jaspan, 9 aye; Lugo, aye; Matta, aye; Wyant, aye; Zehring, aye. 10 11 [The motion carried unanimously.] \* \* \* 12 13 Report of Board Counsel - Final Adjudication and 14 Order 15 MR. ROUSE: 16 Item 10 is a Final Adjudication and 17 Order, which was discussed in Executive 18 Session. Regarding the matter of BPOA 19 v. Stuart Jay Danzig, Case No. 18-46-20 007390 at item 10 on the agenda, I 21 believe the Chair would entertain a motion to adopt the Adjudication and 22 23 Order as presented by Board Counsel and 24 to direct Board Counsel to prepare the 25 Board's Final Order.

23 CHAIRMAN CASEY: 1 2 Yes. Do I have a motion, please? 3 DR. SULLIVAN: I make that motion. 4 5 CHAIRMAN CASEY: Second? 6 7 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT: 8 Second. 9 CHAIRMAN CASEY: 10 Roll call, Christina, please. 11 12 Claggett, aye; Casey, aye; Sullivan, 13 aye; Groody, aye; Funari, aye; Jaspan, 14 aye; Lugo, aye; Matta, aye; Wyant, aye; 15 Zehring, aye. 16 [The motion carried unanimously.] \* \* \* 17 MR. ROUSE: 19 Item 11 on the agenda is a Final 20 Adjudication in the matter of BPOA v. 21 Ashley M. Garcia Ruiz, Case No. 21-46-22

18

23

24

25

006703.

I believe the Chair would entertain a motion to adopt the Adjudication and Order as presented by Board Counsel and

```
to direct Board Counsel to prepare the
1
2
                  Board's Final Order.
3
   CHAIRMAN CASEY:
4
                  Yes. Do I have a motion, please?
   DR. SULLIVAN:
5
                  I make that motion.
6
7
   CHAIRMAN CASEY:
8
                  Second?
9
   ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:
10
                  Second.
11
   CHAIRMAN CASEY:
12
                  Christina, could I have the roll call,
13
                  please?
14
15
                  Claggett, aye; Casey, aye; Sullivan,
16
                  aye; Groody, aye; Funari, aye; Jaspan,
17
                  aye; Lugo, aye; Matta, aye; Wyant, aye;
18
                  Zehring, aye.
19
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
20
21
   MR. ROUSE:
22
                  Item 12 is the matter of BPOA v.
23
                  Stephanie Dawn Smekal, Case No. 22-46-
                  008697.
24
25
                       I believe the Chair would entertain
```

```
25
                  a motion to table that matter until the
1
2
                  next Board meeting.
3
   CHAIRMAN CASEY:
4
                  Yes. Do I have a motion, please?
5
   DR. SULLIVAN:
                  I make that motion.
6
7
   CHAIRMAN CASEY:
8
                  Second?
9
   ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:
10
                  Second.
11
   CHAIRMAN CASEY:
12
                  Christina, roll call, please.
13
14
                  Claggett, aye; Casey, aye; Sullivan,
15
                  aye; Groody, aye; Funari, aye; Jaspan,
16
                  aye; Lugo, aye; Matta, aye; Wyant, aye;
17
                  Zehring, aye.
18
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
19
20
   [Shawn M. Casey, D.M.D., Chairman, exited the meeting
21
   at 12:27 p.m. for recusal purposes.]
22
23
            VICE CHAIR SULLIVAN ASSUMED THE CHAIR
                               * * *
24
25
   Report of Board Counsel - Matters for Deliberation
```

	26
1	MR. ROUSE:
2	Item 13 is the Emergency Joint Petition
3	for Reinstatement of the License to
4	Practice Dentistry of <u>Thomas J.</u>
5	Donahue, D.M.D., Case No. 22-46-017142.
6	[Amber Lee Czerniakowski, Esquire, Board Prosecutor,
7	deferred discussion to Thomas Weber, Esquire,
8	Caldwell & Kearns, P.C., counsel for the respondent,
9	who was present and participated in the discussion.]
10	MR. ROUSE:
11	We are going to hold item until we go
12	back into Executive Session.
13	* * *
14	[Shawn M. Casey, D.M.D., Chairman, reentered the
15	meeting at 12:35 p.m.]
16	* * *
17	CHAIRMAN CASEY RESUMED THE CHAIR
18	* * *
19	Review of Applications
20	MR. ROUSE:
21	Item 18 on the agenda is the matter of
22	<u>Joshua Day</u> . This is a matter that was
23	discussed in Executive Session.
24	I believe regarding the Application
25	of <u>Joshua Day</u> at item 18 on the agenda,

27 the Chair would entertain a motion to 1 2 grant the Application for Licensure as 3 a Dentist. 4 CHAIRMAN CASEY: 5 Yes. Do I have a motion, please? DR. SULLIVAN: 6 7 I make the motion. 8 CHAIRMAN CASEY: 9 Second? 10 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT: 11 Second. CHAIRMAN CASEY: 12 13 Christina, roll call, please. 14 15 Claggett, aye; Casey, aye; Sullivan, 16 aye; Groody, aye; Funari, nay; Jaspan, 17 aye; Lugo, aye; Matta, aye; Wyant, aye; 18 Zehring, aye. 19 [The motion carried. Godfrey Joel Funari opposed the 20 motion.] \* \* \* 21 22 MR. ROUSE: 23 Item 19 on the agenda is Kattya Garcia, 24 which is an Application for a Dentist 25 License by Endorsement.

```
1
                       Regarding the Application of Kattya
2
                  Garcia at item 19, I believe the Chair
3
                  would entertain a motion to
 4
                  provisionally deny the Application for
5
                  Licensure by Endorsement as a Dentist.
6
   CHAIRMAN CASEY:
7
                  Yes. Could I have a motion, please?
8
   DR. SULLIVAN:
9
                  I make the motion.
10
   CHAIRMAN CASEY:
11
                  Second?
   ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:
12
13
                  Second.
14
   CHAIRMAN CASEY:
15
                  Christina, roll call, please.
16
                  Claggett, aye; Casey, aye; Sullivan,
17
18
                  aye; Groody, aye; Funari, aye; Jaspan,
19
                  aye; Lugo, aye; Matta, aye; Wyant, aye;
20
                  Zehring, aye.
21
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
22
23
   MR. ROUSE:
24
                  Item 21 on the agenda is Sathya Kallur,
25
                  which is an Application to be a
```

29 Dentist. 1 2 Regarding the Application of Sathya 3 Kallur at item 21 on the agenda, I believe the Chair would entertain a 4 5 motion to grant the Application for 6 Licensure as a Dentist. 7 CHAIRMAN CASEY: 8 Yes. Do I have a motion, please? 9 DR. SULLIVAN: 10 I make the motion. 11 CHAIRMAN CASEY: Second? 12 13 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT: 14 Second. 15 CHAIRMAN CASEY: 16 Christina, roll call, please. 17 18 Claggett, aye; Casey, aye; Sullivan, 19 aye; Groody, aye; Funari, aye; Jaspan, 20 aye; Lugo, aye; Matta, aye; Wyant, aye; 21 Zehring, aye. 22 [The motion carried unanimously.] 23 24 MR. ROUSE: 25 Item 22 on the agenda is Joshua Manuel,

```
30
                  which is an Application for a Dentist
1
2
                  through Licensure by Endorsement.
3
                       Regarding the Application of Joshua
 4
                  Manuel at item 21, I believe the Chair
 5
                  would entertain a motion to grant the
 6
                  Application for Licensure by
7
                  Endorsement as a Dentist.
8
   CHAIRMAN CASEY:
9
                  Yes. Do I have a motion, please?
10
   DR. SULLIVAN:
11
                  I make the motion.
   CHAIRMAN CASEY:
12
13
                  Second?
14
   ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:
15
                  Second.
16
   CHAIRMAN CASEY:
                  Roll call, please.
17
18
19
                  Claggett, aye; Casey, aye; Sullivan,
20
                  aye; Groody, aye; Funari, aye; Jaspan,
21
                  aye; Lugo, aye; Matta, aye; Wyant, aye;
22
                  Zehring, aye.
23
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
24
                               * * *
25
   MR. ROUSE:
```

32 \* \* \* 1 2 MR. ROUSE: 3 Item 24 is Ronaldo Santana. This is an 4 Application for a Restricted Faculty 5 License. 6 Regarding the Application of 7 Ronaldo Santana at item 24, I believe the Chair would entertain a motion to 8 9 grant the Application for a Restricted 10 Faculty License. 11 CHAIRMAN CASEY: 12 Yes. Do I have a motion, please? 13 DR. SULLIVAN: 14 I make the motion. 15 CHAIRMAN CASEY: 16 Second? 17 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT: 18 Second. 19 CHAIRMAN CASEY: 20 Christina, roll call, please. 21 22 Claggett, aye; Casey, aye; Sullivan, 23 aye; Groody, nay; Funari, aye; Jaspan, 24 aye; Lugo, aye; Matta, aye; Wyant, aye; 25 Zehring, aye.

```
33
1
   [The motion carried. Theresa Groody opposed the
2
   motion.1
3
   MR. ROUSE:
4
5
                  Item 25 on the agenda is Paulina
                  Stepanov for an Application for a
 6
7
                  Dental Hygienist by Endorsement.
                       Regarding the Application of
9
                  Paulina Stepanov at item 25, I believe
10
                  the Chair would entertain a motion to
                  grant the Application for Licensure as
11
12
                  a Dental Hygienist by Endorsement.
   CHAIRMAN CASEY:
13
14
                  Yes. Do I have a motion, please?
15
   DR. SULLIVAN:
                  I make the motion.
16
17
   CHAIRMAN CASEY:
18
                  Second?
   ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:
19
20
                  Second.
21
   CHAIRMAN CASEY:
22
                  Christina, roll call, please.
23
24
                  Claggett, aye; Casey, aye; Sullivan,
25
                  aye; Groody, aye; Funari, aye; Jaspan,
```

```
34
1
                  aye; Lugo, aye; Matta, aye; Wyant, aye;
2
                  Zehring, aye.
3
    [The motion carried unanimously.]
 4
   MR. ROUSE:
5
6
                  Item 26 on the agenda is Manor College
7
                  for a 2-Year EFDA Education Program.
                       Regarding the Application of Manor
9
                  College at item 26 on the agenda, I
                  believe the Chair would entertain a
10
                  motion to grant the Application for
11
                  Licensure as a 2-Year EFDA Education
12
13
                  Program.
14
   CHAIRMAN CASEY:
15
                  Yes. Do I have a motion, please?
   DR. SULLIVAN:
16
17
                  I make the motion.
18
   CHAIRMAN CASEY:
19
                  Second?
20
   ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:
21
                  Second.
22
   CHAIRMAN CASEY:
23
                  Christina, roll call, please.
24
25
                  Claggett, aye; Casey, aye; Sullivan,
```

1 aye; Groody, aye; Funari, aye; Jaspan,

2 aye; Lugo, aye; Matta, aye; Wyant, aye;

Zehring, aye.

4 [The motion carried unanimously.]

\* \* \*

6 | [Shawn M. Casey, D.M.D., Chairman, and Jennifer Unis

7 | Sullivan, D.M.D., J.D., Vice Chairperson, exited the

meeting at 12:44 p.m. for recusal purposes.]

\*\*

10 SECRETARY GROODY ASSUMED THE CHAIR

11 \*\*\*

12 MR. ROUSE:

5

9

13 Item 20 on the agenda is Albert

14 Hazzouri.

15 | [James Doherty, Esquire, Scanlon, Howley & Doherty,

16 P.C., counsel for the respondent, provided a

17 presentation to the Board.

18 Paul J. Jarabeck, Esquire, Senior Board

19 Prosecutor and Prosecution Liaison, objected to any

20 additional presentation about a matter currently

21 before the Board.

22 \*\*\*

23 [Shawn M. Casey, D.M.D., Chairman, and Jennifer Unis

24 | Sullivan, D.M.D., J.D., Vice Chairperson, reentered

25 the meeting at 12:48 p.m.]

36 \* \* \* 1 2 CHAIRMAN CASEY RESUMED THE CHAIR 3 \* \* \* 4 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, 5 at 12:48 p.m. the Board entered into Executive Session with Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, 6 7 to have attorney-client consultations and for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations. 9 The Board returned to open session at 1:07 p.m.] \* \* \* 10 11 [Shawn M. Casey, D.M.D., Chairman, and Jennifer Unis 12 Sullivan, D.M.D., J.D., Vice Chairperson, exited the 13 meeting at 1:07 p.m.] \* \* \* 14 15 SECRETARY GROODY ASSUMED THE CHAIR \* \* \* 16 17 MR. ROUSE: 18 Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the 19 Sunshine Act, the Board is returning 20 back into public session after having Executive Session with Board Counsel to 21 22 have attorney-client consultation and 23 for the purpose of conducting quasi-24 judicial deliberation.

Regarding item 20 on the agenda,

```
1
                  which is the Reactivation Application
2
                  of Albert Hazzouri at item 20 on the
3
                  agenda, I believe the Chair would
 4
                  entertain a motion to provisionally
 5
                  deny the Reactivation Application for
 6
                  Licensure as a Dentist.
7
   SECRETARY GROODY:
8
                  Yes. I entertain that motion.
9
   DR. FUNARI:
10
                  I'll make the motion.
   SECRETARY GROODY:
11
                  Second?
12
13
   DR. LUGO:
14
                  Second.
15
   SECRETARY GROODY:
16
                  Roll call, Christina.
17
18
                  Claggett, aye; Groody, aye; Funari,
19
                  aye; Jaspan, aye; Lugo, aye; Matta,
20
                  aye; Wyant, aye; Zehring, aye.
21
   [The motion carried. Shawn Casey and Jennifer Unis
22
   Sullivan recused themselves from deliberations and
23
   voting on the motion.]
24
25
   [Jennifer Unis Sullivan, D.M.D., J.D., Vice
```

```
39
1
                  aye; Funari, aye; Jaspan, aye; Lugo,
2
                  aye; Matta, aye; Wyant, aye; Zehring,
3
                  aye.
4
   [The motion carried.
                          Shawn Casey recused himself
5
   from deliberations and voting on the motion.]
6
7
   [Shawn M. Casey, D.M.D., Chairman, reentered the
   meeting at 1:11 p.m.]
9
10
               CHAIRMAN CASEY RESUMED THE CHAIR
                              * * *
11
12
   Report of Board Counsel - Regulations - Regulatory
13
     Report
14
    [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, referred to
15
   the Regulatory Report for July showing the status of
16
   regulations. He noted 16A-4621 regarding the
17
   anesthesia update and 16A-4628 regarding the general
18
   revisions regulation. He mentioned prior discussion
19
   at the last Board meeting regarding the comments from
20
   the exposure draft for both the regulation on the
21
   anesthesia update and general revisions.
22
        Mr. Rouse informed Board members of some
23
   amendments since the last meeting. He noted a
24
   comment about whether someone who was a dental
25
   hygienist who had a monitoring permit from another
```

```
1
   jurisdiction and whether they required the 10 live
2
   patients. He referred to (iii) (A), the jurisdiction
3
   where the dental hygienist is so licensed or
4
   permitted requires completion of, noting he add
   "substantially' for it to read, a completion of a
5
   substantially equivalent course in monitoring of
6
7
   nitrous oxide oxygen inhalation analgesia accredited
   by CODA or by the Commission on Dental Accreditation
   of Canada prior to obtaining certification,
10
   endorsement, or other such authority.]
11
   MR. ROUSE:
12
                  Chairman Casey, did the Board want to
13
                  entertain a motion to adopt this annex
14
                  and direct Board Counsel to draft a
15
                  preamble?
16
   CHAIRMAN CASEY:
17
                  Yes. Do I have a motion?
18
   DR. FUNARI:
                  I make the motion.
19
20
   DR. SULLIVAN:
21
                  Second.
22
   CHAIRMAN CASEY:
23
                  Roll call, please.
24
25
                  Claggett, aye; Casey, aye; Sullivan,
```

1 aye; Groody, aye; Funari, aye; Jaspan, 2 aye; Lugo, aye; Matta, aye; Wyant, aye; 3 Zehring, aye. 4 [The motion carried unanimously.] 5 6 Report of Board Counsel - Regulations - Regulatory 7 Report 8 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, referred to Regulation 16A-4628 regarding general revisions, 10 noting the Board received additional comments on June 11 30, 2023, from the Pennsylvania Dental Association 12 (PDA) agreeing with the comments the three deans of 13 the dental schools made regarding restricted faculty. 14 Mr. Rouse also referred to discussion at the last 15 Board meeting, where some matters recommended would 16 violate the non-delegation doctorate in the fact that 17 a governmental body cannot delegate its authority to 18 a nongovernmental body. He noted Dr. Lugo was 19 talking about that at the last Board meeting because of concerns that the dental schools wanted to be the 20 21 ones responsible for making certain decisions 22 regarding the restricted faculty license. 23

Dr. Lugo asked whether the PDA signed on to agree with the dental schools for the dental schools to do their own licensing.

24

Mr. Rouse explained that the five recommendations that are supported by PDA are to remove the restrictions on granting restricted faculty licenses by relying on the dental schools and U.S. CODA-accredited specialty and clinical education programs to evaluate equivalency of basic dental education for the first dental degree and the good standing of the faculty candidates in all previous states in which they work or practice.

Mr. Rouse noted that the second one was to allow graduates from advanced education in general dentistry and graduate practice residency programs to be granted restricted teaching licenses. He also noted the third one is to allow faculty with restricted licenses to practice in their specialty or clinical area at the main dental school building and all clinics operated by the dental school.

Mr. Rouse noted the fourth one was to amend Section 2(g) of the Dental Law to allow dental schools to provide a yearlong clinical training for dentists from other states or countries who do not have unrestricted or restricted dental licenses in the commonwealth.

Mr. Rouse noted the fifth one is to consider applications from dental schools to grant restricted

teaching licenses to faculty who have not received their education from a U.S. CODA-accredited program but are recognized as international experts based on their global impact in their area of practice.

Mr. Rouse addressed an additional comment for \$ 33.203 regarding advertising of specialties and concern because regulations only allow people who are in a specialty that is recognized by the American Dental Association and are the only ones that can advertise a specialty.

Mr. Rouse noted that the question was whether or not there could be some type of mechanism within the regulations for specialties that are not recognized by the American Dental Association (ADA), whether there are certain conditions or things that they could show so that they would be able to advertise their specialty.

Dr. Jaspan commented that unless the specialty is recognized by the ADA that somebody holding themselves out as a specialist in any particular area without the required training that is accepted by the ADA should not be allowed to do so. He stated anyone holding themselves out as a specialist should have completed the required training as specified by the American Dental Association.

Dr. Funari noted the Federal Trade Commission basically said that it does not hold and have successfully sued states and state boards to get that requirement eliminated, where it would be inviting a lawsuit that they would not win.

Dr. Lugo commented that the cases the FTC looked at also deal with other boards that are not state related and professionally composed, where the Board needs to make that distinction because that is very different than the oversight of state related. He agreed that what might be in question is the designation of the specialties, but every board relies on that type of inquiry and approval of what a specialty requires.

Dr. Lugo did not think the Board was equipped to evaluate every single credential or diploma that exists outside of the mainstream other than programs like expanded function dental assistant (EFDA), anesthesia, and the programs that are within their four squares.

Mr. Rouse offered to research how other states addressed the advertising.

Mr. Rouse addressed a comment at the last meeting concerning a recommendation to include basic life support as part of the definition of cardiopulmonary

resuscitation (CPR). He referred to § 33.105, biennial renewal licenses and certificates, where as a condition of biennial renewal, license and certificate holders shall maintain current certification in infant, child, and adult CPR. Не noted adding "including basic life certification and continuing education requirements specified in subchapter F'' and asked whether that was an appropriate way to state it or whether basic life

support comes in some different way.

- Dr. Lugo explained that basic life support might be more complex or the curriculum might be different than CPR. He noted there is a curriculum that is specifically life support for health professionals, which is what they take and maybe specifically using the language that is basic life support for health professionals, whether it is branded whatever way it, would be regulatory and curriculum that is more advanced than for the general population.
- Dr. Sullivan suggested it to read, CPR for health providers, not basic life support for health providers.
- Ms. Townley commented that saying just basic life support means there would be a lot of certificates that they no longer would be able to accept because

they do not specify that.

Mr. Rouse noted that he would amend the language and referred to § 33.211(a)(11), where an amendment was made based on a comment at the last Board meeting for it to read, engaging in or permitting a pervasive pattern of refusal to accept patients into their practice or denying dental service to patients solely based on a patient's actual or perceived disability, noting the addition of "solely based on."

Dr. Lugo commented that there are other issues and asked whether there is already a definition that covers a lot of challenges that could be more encompassing for a type of regulation rather than being so limited because it does not describe whether it is physical or mental.

Mr. Rouse stated it would have to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Dr. Lugo asked whether they are covered through the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Dr. Funari explained that it only applies for accommodation. He noted that some practices are not equipped to handle certain types of disabilities. He did not think they could mandate all dental practices to be trained and equipped to handle every disability, but it also cannot be used as a blanket

1 excuse for a practice to not be equipped and not take 2 any of those patients.

Dr. Funari commented that some people wash their hands as soon as they see that there is a disability involved and is what they want to prevent. He noted the difficulty in drawing the line of what must be accepted or allowed to be referred someplace else.

Mr. Rouse informed Board members that he would look at advertising and how other states have addressed the disability issue.

Dr. Sullivan commented that if they keep disabilities listed under the ADA, then some of the other issues would not apply. She noted that not all perceived disabilities are covered under the Americans with Disabilities Act and would take them out of that realm when there is concern about treating someone with other issues.]

\* \* \*

Report of Board Counsel - Miscellaneous
[Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, referred to
Senate Bill 739 of 2023 regarding telemedicine for
the Board's information.

Dr. Funari explained that it is just putting it into a finalized form and tighten up prescribing certain medications, where they would be required to

- 1 have met and examined the patient physically face to
- 2 face because it was not a requirement during COVID.
- 3 He also mentioned that telemedicine was allowed
- 4 across state lines during COVID but the bill tightens
- 5 | that up, where they need to have a license in
- 6 whatever state the patient is to provide treatment.
- 7 Mr. Rouse noted it also talks about insurance
- 8 | coverage and reimbursement for telemedicine and
- 9 conditions under which someone who is out of state
- 10 wants to receive services from someone who is in the
- 11 Commonwealth and also someone who is in the
- 12 | Commonwealth who wants to receive services out of
- 13 state.
- 14 Dr. Jaspan asked whether a provider would be able
- 15 to have a telemedicine consultation with a patient
- 16 while the patient was in another state.
- 17 Mr. Rouse explained that the bill states that
- 18 | telemedicine may be provided to patients physically
- 19 located outside this Commonwealth to the extent
- 20 permissible by the laws of the state where the
- 21 patient is located.
- 22 Dr. Funari mentioned that there are also a number
- 23 of administrative requirements for telemedicine.
- 24 Mr. Rouse addressed House Bill 1457 of 2023
- 25 regarding amendments to the Dental Law. He referred

to the definitions at Section 2 of the Dental Law, noting the definitions would be placed in Section 1.1 with a number of new definitions. He noted it also adds Section 1.2, which is a specific definition for the practice of dentistry. He also noted Section 11.12 would be added regarding medical loss ratio.

Dr. Funari noted working with PDA and lobbyists on bills as president of the Pennsylvania Society of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgeons (PSOMS). He stated PDA and PSOMS originally advocated for the bill, noting a mirror image of the bill recently passed in Massachusetts about tracking medical loss ratios of the insurance companies.

Dr. Funari mentioned the second part of that was a provision mandating they spend 83 percent of the premium dollars on patient care, where they could have no more than 17 percent in administrative costs. He informed everyone that more bills are showing up in other states. He noted the bill that was originally submitted and advocated for by the PDA and PSOMS had that mandated reimbursement.

Dr. Funari mentioned being alerted that it was pulled out and would be finding out the particulars of what is going on. He stated the whole idea of the bill was to have insurance companies identify their

medical loss ratio and make sure they are spending the minimal amount on patient care. He also noted working in unison with the medical side of the House to make it all-encompassing to include dental.

Mr. Jarabeck asked why the medical loss ratio is coming in through practice acts versus through the Insurance Department and insurance law because it is more work to go through individual practice acts, when many practice acts do not focus on insurance issues.

Acting Commissioner Claggett read a comment from Marisa Swarney, Director, Government Relations,

Pennsylvania Dental Association, noting a drafting error with the bill that the prime sponsor is in the process of fixing, where the bill should only include medical loss ratio (MLR) language and should amend it be Insurance Company Law, not the Dental Law. She noted the MLR language would require reporting to the PA Insurance Department and does not establish a percentage or patient rebates as happened in Massachusetts.

Mr. Rouse thanked Ms. Swarney for the information.

Mr. Rouse had nothing new to offer since the last meeting regarding the American Association of Dental

1 | Boards (AADB) Dentist and Dental Hygienist Compact.

Acting Commissioner Claggett informed everyone that AADB would be providing a presentation to the Board at the September meeting.]

\*

6 Report of Board Chairperson

7 [Shawn M. Casey, D.M.D., Chairman, reported another

8 | successful MOM-n-PA event in Allentown with a lot of

9 turnout, along with tremendous support from the

10 | community.

2

3

4

5

Chair Casey noted working with Ms. Townley to set up a Committee Meeting in October.]

13 \*\*\*

14 Report of Acting Commissioner

15 | [Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of

16 | Professional and Occupational Affairs, informed

17 | everyone that the Bureau of Professional and

18 Occupational Affairs (BPOA) licensing staff moved to

19 2525 North 7th Street in Harrisburg on July 10, 2023.

20 He noted that all mail should be sent to their post

office box. He stated the Board's website has been

22 updated, and a notification was sent to all licensees

23 | via email.

21

24 Acting Commissioner Claggett also informed

25 everyone that Board meetings would continue to be at

```
1
  One Penn Center because the Board room is not
2
  completed.
```

Acting Commissioner Claggett reported the State Board of Nursing dietician-nutritionists and dentists with an anesthesia permit were issued the same license number when transferring licenses over from L2K into the Pennsylvania Licensing System (PALS). He noted the license number for dentists with an anesthesia permit has been changed and everyone was notified via email. He also mentioned that the problem has been fixed going forward.]

12

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

16

13 Report of Board Administrator - No Report 14 [Shawn M. Casey, D.M.D., Chairman, asked Ms. Townley 15 for Committee Meeting dates, and the Board agreed to

17

Friday, August 18.]

18 Report of Committees - Legislative and Regulatory 19 Committee - No Report 20 [Shawn M. Casey, D.M.D., Chairman, noted Dr. Arndt

21 and Ms. Fowler informed him that there was nothing to 22 report at this time.]

23

24 Report of Committees - Accreditation and Licensing 25 Committee

```
1
   [Theresa A. Groody, DHSc, EFDA, CDA, Secretary, had
2
   nothing specific to report other than they are
3
   continuing to clean up the review process.]
 4
5
   Report of Committees - Probable Cause Screening
     Committee
6
7
   [Godfrey Joel Funari, M.S., D.M.D., noted reviewing
   three cases and one case is currently pending.]
9
10
   Report of Committees - Scope of Traditional and
     Emerging Practice Committee - No Report
11
12
   [Andrew S. Matta, D.M.D., had nothing to report but
13
   noted a meeting is in order to review what was
14
   presented on the lasers.]
15
                              * * *
16
   [Shawn M. Casey, D.M.D., Chairman, thanked everyone
17
   for attending this meeting. He noted the next
18
   scheduled meeting date is September 8, 2023.
        Dr. Funari informed Mr. Rouse of a Federal Trade
19
20
   Commission letter to the state of New Jersey, dated
21
   July 14, 1987, that outlines the FTC's comments on
22
   New Jersey's Dental Board regarding their advertising
23
   regulation.]
                              * * *
24
25
   Adjournment
```

```
54
 1
   CHAIRMAN CASEY:
 2
                   Do I have a motion to end the meeting?
 3
   DR. SULLIVAN:
 4
                   I make the motion.
 5
   DR. LUGO:
                   Second.
 6
 7
   CHAIRMAN CASEY:
 8
                   That concludes today's meeting.
                                * * *
 9
10
    [There being no further business, the State Board of
11
   Dentistry Meeting adjourned at 2:02 p.m.]
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
```

## 

## CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Dentistry meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Dentistry meeting.

Derek Richmond,

Minute Clerk

Sargent's Court Reporting
Service, Inc.

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908