## State Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers May 26, 2022

4 5 6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

## BOARD MEMBERS:

8 Joseph D. Pasquarella, Chairman, Professional
9 Member

Jeffrey L. Walters, Vice Chairman, Professional Member

Mark V. Smeltzer Sr., Secretary, Professional Member

John D. Ausherman, Professional Member

William T. Stoerrle Jr., Professional Member

Michael McFarlane, Professional Member

Randy L. Waggoner, Professional Member

Martha H. Brown, Esquire, Secretary of the Commonwealth designee

Merna T. Hoffman, Esquire, Deputy Attorney General, Office of Attorney General Designee

Paul H. Wentzel Jr., Senior Legislative Director,
Department of Banking and Securities
Designee

24 25 26

## BUREAU PERSONNEL:

272829

30

31

Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel Timothy A. Fritsch, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison

32 Kristel Hennessy Hemler, Board Administrator 33 Andrew LaFratte, MPA, Executive Policy Speci

Andrew LaFratte, MPA, Executive Policy Specialist, Department of State

34 35 36

## ALSO PRESENT:

37 38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

Daniel Bradley, Director of Appraisal Curriculum and Content, McKissock Learning

Jennifer Smeltz, Republican Executive Director, Senate Consumer Protection & Professional Licensure Committee

Scott DiBiasio, Manager of State and Industry Affairs, Appraisal Institute

Mark Kellerman, President, Assessors' Association of Pennsylvania

Teresa Cochran, Executive Director, Assessors' Association of Pennsylvania

Michelle Czekalski Bradley, CGA, AQB-certified USPAP Instructor; Chair, Appraisal Standards Board

2 State Board of Certified
3 Real Estate Appraisers

May 26, 2022

\* \* \*

6 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at
7 9:00 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session
8 with Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, to have
9 attorney-client consultations and for the purpose of
10 conducting quasi-judicial deliberations. The Board
11 returned to open session at 10:30 a.m.]

12 \*\*\*

[Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, informed everyone that the meeting of the State Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers was being held in a hybrid format, both in person and by livestreaming teleconference, pursuant to Act 100 of 2021, which requires boards to use a virtual platform to conduct business when a public meeting is held.

Mr. Rouse also noted that the Board met in Executive Session with Board counsel to have attorney-client consultations and for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations.]

The regularly scheduled meeting of the State

```
1 | Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers was held on
```

- 2 | Thursday, May 26, 2022. Joseph D. Pasquarella,
- 3 Chairman, Professional Member, officially called the
- 4 meeting to order at 10:30 a.m.
- 5 Paul Wentzel, Secretary of Banking and Securities
- 6 designee, was not present at the commencement of the
- 7 meeting.]
- \* \* \*
- 9 Roll Call
- 10 | [Joseph D. Pasquarella, Chairman, Professional Member,
- 11 requested a roll call of Board members. There was a
- 12 quorum.]
- 13
- 14 Approval of minutes of the April 14, 2022 meeting
- 15 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA:
- Next item on the agenda is approval of
- the minutes of the April 14 meeting of
- 18 this year.
- 19 Do I have a motion to approve?
- 20 MR. AUSHERMAN:
- I so move.
- 22 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA:
- Is there a second?
- 24 MR. WAGGONER:
- 25 Second.

1 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA: 2 Any discussion? Roll call. 3 Joe Pasquarella, aye; Jeffrey Walters, 4 5 abstain; Mark Smeltzer, aye; John 6 Ausherman, aye; Bill Stoerrle, aye; Michael McFarlane, aye; Randy Waggoner, 8 aye; Martha Brown, aye; Merna Hoffman, 9 aye. 10 [The motion carried. Jeffrey Walters abstained from 11 voting on the motion.] \* \* \* 12 13 [Paul Wentzel, Secretary of Banking and Securities 14 designee, entered the meeting at 10:40 a.m.] 15 16 Report of Prosecutorial Division 17 [Timothy A. Fritsch, Esquire, Board Prosecutor, 18 presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 21-70-016497. 19 2.0 Mr. Fritsch addressed how prosecution chooses

Mr. Fritsch addressed how prosecution chooses classes for appraisers in consent agreements. He mentioned prosecution usually selects the course after discussion with the individual, utilizing the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraiser Practice (USPAP) course and others. He noted the process to be a

21

22

23

24

collaborative effort, where prosecution would find a course, if asked, but the appraisers also have the ability to find a course.

Mr. Fritsch explained that the course in the agreement is always a decision made by prosecution and the appraiser together. He stated prosecution never puts an education provider's name in the agreements but do put in a specific course title because putting in a topic with a number of hours would place the onus on the appraiser. He stated that choosing courses beforehand stops any issues later, trying to figure out whether the courses actually fit the requirement.

2.2

2.3

Mr. Fritsch stated that prosecution makes sure there is a provider that provides the specific course identified and are almost always approved courses for continuing education credit.

Mr. Smeltzer asked whether there is an array of providers that offer the courses and whether prosecution is using different providers. He noted the importance of opening this up to all of the providers and asked whether more than one course could be offered.

Mr. Fritsch offered to discuss having more options and offering more than one course with Mr.

Michalowski.

1.3

2.2

Mr. Smeltzer referred to the list of approved courses and providers in Pennsylvania, where the individual would be able to choose, rather than having only one option.

Mr. Fritsch again offered to discuss the matter with Mr. Michalowski to see if the consent agreements could be changed.

Ms. Hemler noted the list of approved education provider courses is available on the Department of State website at dos.pa.gov/real under board resources and general board information and is updated every month.

Chairman Pasquarella suggested Mr. Fritsch and Mr. Michalowski review the list and identify which ones would satisfy their needs going forward.

Mr. Fritsch commented that an option could be to put in the agreement that the individual could take course A, B, or C to satisfy the particular requirement. He also mentioned the option of providing the list when negotiations start and let that be part of the negotiation. He again noted he would explore the options with Mr. Michalowski.

Mr. Ausherman requested information concerning individuals who have the same offense a second time

1 and whether the individuals were given different 2 classes.

Mr. Fritsch stated that individuals who were disciplined a long time ago may be given the same class but anyone who recently took the course would be given a more robust course on that topic.

Mr. Smeltzer commented that he was asked by someone at Fannie Mae how the Board handle's tips. He explained that a tip is when someone does not agree with their diagnostics of the appraisal and send in a tip. He asked whether a formal complaint form is required and whether the tips are investigated.

Mr. Fritsch stated that the tips are treated ethically, where prosecution reaches out to the appraiser and retrieves and reviews the workfile as if it was a consumer complaint that was filled out on an actual complaint form. He noted an actual complaint form is not required. He mentioned that agency referrals, when received from another part of the government, do not require a complaint form a lot of the time.

22 Mr. Rouse asked whether the Board wished to 23 return to Executive Session.]

24 MR. ROUSE:

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

25 Regarding the Consent Agreement at item

```
9
                  2 on the agenda at Case No. 21-70-
1
2
                  016497, I believe the Board would
3
                  entertain a motion to adopt the Consent
 4
                  Agreement.
5
                       Is there such a motion?
6
   MR. SMELTZER:
                  I so move.
   MR. WALTERS:
9
                  Second.
   MR. ROUSE:
10
11
                  Any discussion? Roll call on the vote.
12
13
                  Joe Pasquarella, aye; Jeffrey Walters,
14
                  aye; Mark Smeltzer, aye; John Ausherman,
15
                  aye; Bill Stoerrle, aye; Michael
16
                  McFarlane, aye; Randy Waggoner, aye;
17
                  Martha Brown, aye; Merna Hoffman, aye;
18
                  Paul Wentzel.
19
   [The motion carried unanimously. This is the matter
20
   of BPOA v. Anthony J. Staph, Case No. 21-70-016497.]
                              * * *
21
22
   [Jeffrey L. Walters, Vice Chairman, Professional
23
   Member, exited the meeting at 11:03 a.m.]
                              * * *
2.4
25
   [John D. Ausherman, Professional Member, exited the
```

```
10
   meeting at 11:07 a.m. for recusal purposes.]
1
2
3
   [Timothy A. Fritsch, Esquire, Board Prosecutor,
   presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 21-70-
5
   016150.1
                               * * *
6
7
   [Jeffrey L. Walters, Vice Chairman, Professional
   Member, reentered the meeting at 11:05 a.m.]
                               * * *
   MR. ROUSE:
10
11
                  Regarding the Consent Agreement at item
12
                  3 on the agenda at Case No. 21-70-
13
                  016150, I believe the Board would
14
                  entertain a motion to adopt the Consent
15
                  Agreement.
16
                       Is there such a motion?
17
   MR. SMELTZER:
18
                  I so move.
19
   MR. WALTERS:
20
                  Second.
21
   MR. ROUSE:
22
                  Roll call on the vote.
2.3
2.4
                  Joe Pasquarella, aye; Jeffrey Walters,
25
                  aye; Mark Smeltzer, aye; Bill Stoerrle,
```

```
aye; Michael McFarlane, aye; Randy
1
2
                  Waggoner, aye; Martha Brown, aye; Merna
3
                  Hoffman, aye; Paul Wentzel, aye.
4
   [The motion carried. John Ausherman recused himself
5
   from deliberations and voting on the motion. This is
6
   the matter of BPOA v. Sharon D. Dougherty, Case No.
   21-70-016150.1
                              * * *
   [John D. Ausherman, Professional Member, reentered the
10
   meeting at 11:14 a.m.]
                              * * *
11
   [Timothy A. Fritsch, Esquire, Board Prosecutor,
12
13
   presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 21-70-
   007917.
14
15
        Mr. Smeltzer noted certification as a general
16
   appraiser should be residential appraiser in the
17
   Consent Agreement.]
   MR. ROUSE:
18
19
                  Regarding the Consent Agreement at item
2.0
                  4 on the agenda at Case No. 21-70-
2.1
                  007917, I believe the Board would
2.2
                  entertain a motion to adopt the Consent
2.3
                  Agreement as amended on page 4 to
2.4
                  reflect that Respondent is a certified
25
                  residential appraiser.
```

```
12
                       This matter would be a voluntary
1
2
                  surrender of Respondent's certificate to
3
                  practice as a certified residential real
 4
                  estate appraiser.
5
                       Is there such a motion?
6
   MR. SMELTZER:
7
                  I so move.
   MR. ROUSE:
9
                  Is there a second?
10
   MR. WALTERS:
11
                  I will second.
12
   MR. ROUSE:
13
                  Any discussion? Roll call on the vote.
14
15
                  Joe Pasquarella, aye; Jeffrey Walters,
16
                  aye; Mark Smeltzer, aye; John Ausherman,
17
                  aye; Bill Stoerrle, aye; Michael
18
                  McFarlane, aye; Randy Waggoner, aye;
19
                  Martha Brown, aye; Merna Hoffman, aye;
20
                  Paul Wentzel, aye.
21
   [The motion carried unanimously. This is the matter
22
   of BPOA v. Richard Shelby Rawle, Case No. 21-70-
   007917.1
2.3
2.4
25
   Report of Board Counsel
```

[Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, referred to an issue before the Board concerning provider approval for continuing education and referred to § 36.31 of the Board's regulations.

Mr. Rouse stated that under the regulations providers have been submitting separate requests for approval of each continuing education course before the Board at a cost of \$85 for each application.

Mr. Rouse noted it has come to the Board's attention that there are appraisers who take continuing education courses that are approved in another state by their state Board and asked whether those courses can be used by the Pennsylvania Board even if the course itself has not been specifically approved by the Board.

Mr. Rouse also referred to a question regarding what happens if the provider is approved by the Board but not the specific course and what happens when the provider and the course are not approved by Pennsylvania but the course is approved by another state.

Mr. Rouse noted receiving information from a former program manager at the Appraisal Subcommittee (ASC), the late Vicki Ledbetter Metcalf, regarding what the federal government allows, where Pennsylvania

could accept courses from other states because all states must follow the Appraisal Subcommittee standards by meeting the Appraiser Qualifications
Board (AQB) criteria requirements.

Mr. Rouse explained that Pennsylvania would have to verify the course was approved by the other state(s) and indicate that information in the licensee's file. He noted that Pennsylvania would have to follow its own requirements if it made Pennsylvania state approval of the courses a requirement because the state can have requirements that are above and beyond AQB requirements. He mentioned that the Appraisal Subcommittee encourages states to accept the approval of another state.

Chairman Pasquarella commented that it would be much more streamline if the classes are approved as already approved by the ASC, whether approved by another state or Pennsylvania, as long as it is approved by ASC with also the provider being approved by Pennsylvania.

Mr. Rouse further explained that Pennsylvania could rely on another state that has approved a course as being consistent with the AQB criteria and as following what the ASC would accept. He noted it would also be acceptable if it is an approved provider

1 in Pennsylvania and the course is approved in another 2 state.

Mr. Smeltzer requested information regarding the approval in another state.

Ms. Hemler explained that all states are supposed to provide that information on their website and staff would like to put the onus on the licensee. She noted certificates are uploaded from approved providers and courses, and the licensee is going to be asked to upload proof if the course is not approved under Pennsylvania but the provider is approved in Pennsylvania. She provided the rewording of the language.

Mr. Smeltzer commented that it is onerous on staff to go into every single licensee and check every single state, noting the wording should be included in the regulations.

Mr. McFarlane mentioned that it is an interesting practical application of a federal structure because they have national regulations and state-specific ones. He noted to be careful with other classes that are approved by other states or jurisdictions that are state-specific to a different state. He commented that the way it is currently phrased, the individual would still be approved for continuing education

credits and they may not want to be here.

1.3

2.4

Mr. Rouse agreed with clarifying the regulations and would add the clarification to the distance education regulation 16A-7029 annex, so it all goes together. He asked whether the Board wished to have it also count for qualifying education.

Mr. Smeltzer stated that it should qualify for both and believed there needs to be an exception and a limit, where it cannot be a state-specific program.

Mr. Rouse asked what the Board wished to do where somebody is an approved vendor/provider but the course has not been approved in Pennsylvania.

Ms. Hemler commented that staff does not look at every single person's certificate, but do review certificates when audits are performed. She stated that staff receives a lot of questions as to whether someone could take a course that is not approved in Pennsylvania but the provider is approved in another state. She mentioned that staff does not know how to answer the question as to whether it would be accepted as part of their continuing education (CE).

Mr. Waggoner inquired about whether assessor courses that are approved by another jurisdiction could be accepted in Pennsylvania.

Mr. Rouse commented that one of the differences

when talking about appraiser courses versus assessor

courses is that the appraiser courses have already

been approved by another jurisdiction that is under

the AQB and the AQB provides the minimum standards and

safety net.

Mr. Smeltzer explained that a course in another state that is not approved by their state board would not be accepted, but if the course was approved by another jurisdiction over appraisers and has the same ASC requirements, the course would be accepted, where it has to be approved by an agency under supervision of the Appraisal Subcommittee.

Mr. Rouse noted he would amend the annex to Regulation 16A-7029 distance education to address the issue of the program education providers.]

16 MR. ROUSE:

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.3

Is there a motion to direct Board counsel to amend Regulation 16A-7029

Distance Education to include amendments regarding provider education consistent with today's discussion?

22 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA:

I would make that motion.

24 MR. ROUSE:

25 Is there a second?

18 1 MR. WALTERS: 2 I'll second that. 3 MR. ROUSE: 4 Any discussion? Roll call on the vote. 5 6 Joe Pasquarella, aye; Jeffrey Walters, aye; Mark Smeltzer, aye; John Ausherman, aye; Bill Stoerrle, aye; Michael 9 McFarlane, aye; Randy Waggoner, aye; 10 Martha Brown, aye; Merna Hoffman, aye; Paul Wentzel, aye. 11 12 [The motion carried unanimously.] 13 \* \* \* 14 Regulations/Statute - Regulation 16A-7025 Fees 15 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, referred to 16 Regulation 16A-7025 regarding fees and informed Board 17 members that he is reviewing the Bureau of Finance and 18 Operations (BFO) discussions for the preamble.] \* \* \* 19 20 Regulations/Statute - Regulation 16A-7029 Distance 21 Education (Appraisers & CPEs) 22 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, provided an 23 update for Regulation 16A-7029 regarding distance 2.4 education. He noted the addition of the definition of 25 "assessor," amending the definition of "revaluation

company," and correcting the numbering of § 36.224

concerning assessors distance education. He also

mentioned he would be amending the document regarding

education providers.]

\* \* \*

Regulations/Statute - Regulation 16A-7030 PAREA [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, referred to Regulation 16A-7030 regarding the Practical Applications of Real Estate Appraisal (PAREA). He addressed changes to § 36.1 definitions, noting the addition of "mentor" and "PAREA" definitions. He referred to § 36.11 qualifications for certification as a residential real estate appraiser and § 36.11(e.1), which adds experience through PAREA, notwithstanding the requirements of subsection (e).

Mr. Rouse referred to § 36.12, qualifications for certification as a general real estate appraiser and noted a similar subsection (e.1) regarding experience through PAREA, notwithstanding the requirements of subsection (e) and provided a summary of additions.

Mr. Rouse referred to § 36.13 experience options for preparation of appraisal reports and the addition of subsection (5), as a licensed trainee completed 100 percent of their experience requirement for certification as a residential real estate appraiser

1 in an AQB-approved PAREA program provided the trainee 2 satisfies the requirement of subsection (c.1).

2.2

Mr. Rouse referred to subsection (6) general appraisers, as a licensed trainee completing 50 percent of the experience requirement for certification as a general real estate appraiser in an AQB-approved PAREA program provided the trainee satisfies the requirements of subsection (c.2).

Mr. Rouse addressed sections added to the regulations, where one section is strictly about PAREA to cover the prerequisites for PAREA under § 36.54(a), applicants for certification as a residential real estate appraiser can meet 100 percent of their experience requirement by successfully completing a PAREA program that is approved by the AQB.

Mr. Rouse stated that as a prerequisite to entering the PAREA program, the applicant must be licensed as an appraiser trainee and to complete 200 creditable hours of qualifying education in the required core curriculum for a certified residential real estate appraiser. He provided a summary of criteria.

Mr. Rouse explained that the requirements were based on what is in the AQB criteria about the programs having to comply with PAREA. He provided a

copy of AQB criteria.

2.2

2.4

Mr. Rouse noted the same type of language for certification as a general real estate appraiser regarding requirements for compliance with under § 36.54(b).

\* \* \*

7 [Jeffrey L. Walters, Vice Chairman, Professional 8 Member, exited the meeting at 12:06 p.m.]

\* \*

[Chairman Pasquarella referred to § 36.54, where the mentor must be a state-certified residential or general appraiser in good standing for a period of at least 3 years and duties of a supervisory appraiser is 5 years and believed they should both be 3 years.

Mr. Smeltzer commented that the role of mentor is different than the role of a supervisor, where someone who has questions or issues could call a mentor and ask them rather than somebody who is directly supervising. He agreed with changing the 5 years to 3 years, noting it would have to go through the legislature.

Mr. Smeltzer addressed the licensed trainee requirement, noting there is no reason for it as long as there continues to be a criminal background check before they become a certificate holder in

Pennsylvania and AQB does not have that as one of 1 2 their requirements. 3 4 [Jeffrey L. Walters, Vice Chairman, Professional 5 Member, reentered the meeting at 12:10 a.m.] \* \* \* 6 7 [Scott DiBiasio, Manager of State and Industry Affairs, Appraisal Institute, agreed with Mr. Smeltzer's comments regarding the requirements for 10 somebody going through a PAREA program to not have to 11 be a licensed trainee. He stated there is really no 12 point in that person having the trainee credential 13 since they are not going to be working underneath a 14 supervisor. 15 Mr. DiBiasio requested the Board clarify the 16 mentor does not have to be licensed in the 17 Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Mr. Rouse commented that it should be clarified in the proposed Annex that the mentor could be in any jurisdiction that falls under the ASC. He noted the licensed trainee fee should be taken out of residential appraiser requirements for PAREA, but general is going to require a supervisor for the nonresidential portion of the experience requirement, so they would have to be a licensed trainee.

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

Chairman Pasquarella commented that a college student could be taking real estate classes at the University of Wisconsin and they could also be taking the PAREA program and satisfying the first 50 percent, where the person in Wisconsin who receives a job offer in Pennsylvania would already have a trainee license and credit for 50 percent of their experience. He stated that it is a way to generate more people to come into the state to do appraisal work and start a career.

Mr. Rouse offered to make the appropriate changes and present them at the next Board meeting.]

13 \*\*\*

appropriate for Pennsylvania.

14 Miscellaneous

2.3

[Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, reported the Board office is maintaining a list of people who contact the Board noting difficulties finding supervisors. He mentioned it would be helpful when drafting the preamble for PAREA to have a discussion on why an alternative to the supervisory appraiser and licensed appraiser trainee model is good and

Mr. Smeltzer commented that PAREA helps with diversity, equity, and inclusion because the way the system is set up right now does not work well.]

1

2 Report of Board Chairman - No Report

3 \*\*\*

4 Report of Board Administrator - No Report

\* \* \*

5

9

6 Applications Committee - No Report

7

8 | Continuing Education Committee - No Report

\* \*

10 Public Comments/Discussion

11 [Daniel Bradley, Director of Appraisal Curriculum and

12 | Content, McKissock Learning, stated that he is glad to

13 see the Board tackling the issue of course approvals

14 | in various states and jurisdictions. He noted

15 struggling with that quite a bit as a former Board

16 member and former Board Chairman, where appraisers

17 | would take a class from a certain chapter of an

18 appraisal organization and the course was approved

19 | nationally but not approved by the chapter.

20 Scott DiBiasio, Manager of State and Industry

21 | Affairs, Appraisal Institute, echoed comments from Mr.

22 Bradley regarding the steps the Board has taken in

23 relation to education, noting it to be one more step

24 toward universal approval of education in every state.

25 Chairman Pasquarella thanked Mr. DiBiasio for the

1 fine work he has done.

2.0

Mark Kellerman, President, Assessors'
Association of Pennsylvania, thanked Chairman
Pasquarella for his comments on their courses, noting
AAP works very hard to provide informative and
interesting courses every year.

Ms. Hemler provided a comment from Michelle Bradley, Certified General Appraiser, Appraiser Qualifications Board Certified Uniform Standards of Professional Appraiser Practice Instructor, where many other states do not treat tips as a formal complaint.

Mr. Smeltzer explained that tips are basically when someone disagrees with analytics through one of the government-sponsored enterprises (GSEs) but does not write a formal complaint and sends in a tip, which triggers an investigation on the Board's part to treat it as a complaint. He noted that a lot of other states do not treat those as complaints and require them fill out a complaint form.

Mr. Stoerrle discussed his interpretation of a comment from a director of Fannie Mae at the Association of Appraiser Officials (AARO) Conference, where he said it is not a complaint but more of a letter to scare the appraiser. He asked the director whether it could be just sent to the appraiser, where

- 1 | it would become a problem if it continues but Mr.
- 2 Stoerrle did not receive an answer.
- 3 Mr. Stoerrle informed the Board of the ability to
- 4 apply for a grant to send the entire Board, including
- 5 | counsel, to the AARO Conference while they pick up the
- 6 tab and offered to provide Ms. Hemler with the
- 7 information.
- 8 Mr. Smeltzer suggested having someone from ASC
- 9 talk to the Board.
- 10 Chairman Pasquarella thanked everyone for their
- 11 participation. He noted Mr. Stoerrle and Mr. Smeltzer
- 12 attended the AARO Conference and thanked them for
- 13 their service. He stated it would serve the Board
- 14 | well for everyone to attend at least one or two of
- 15 | them.]
- 16 \*\*\*
- 17 | Adjournment
- 18 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA:
- 19 Do I have a motion to adjourn?
- 20 MR. WALTERS:
- 21 So moved.
- 22 MR. SMELTZER:
- I'll second that.
- 24 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA:
- 25 All in favor of adjourning the meeting,

27 1 say aye. 2 [The motion carried unanimously.] 3 [There being no further business, the State Board of 4 5 Certified Real Estate Appraisers Meeting adjourned at 12:26 p.m.] 6 \* \* \* 8 9 CERTIFICATE 10 11 I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Certified Real Estate 12 13 Appraisers meeting, was reduced to writing by me or 14 under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately 15 summarize the substance of the State Board of 16 Certified Real Estate Appraisers meeting. 17 18 19 20 Lori A. Behe, 21 Minute Clerk 22 Sargent's Court Reporting 2.3 Service, Inc. 2.4 25

			28
1 2 3		STATE BOARD OF CERTIFIED REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS REFERENCE INDEX	
4 5 6		May 26, 2022	
7 8	TIME	AGENDA	
9 0 1	9:00 10:30	Executive Session Return to Open Session	
3	10:30	Official Call to Order	
5	10:30	Roll Call	
5 7 3	10:33	Approval of Minutes	
	10:34	Report of Prosecutorial Division	
	11:20	Report of Board Counsel	
	11:52	Regulations/Statute	
	12:16	Miscellaneous	
	12:20	Public Comment/Discussion	
	12:26	Adjournment	
38 39 40			
1 2			
3 4			
5			
7 8			
9			