1	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
2	DEPARTMENT OF STATE
3	BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS
4	
5	FINAL MINUTES
6	
7	MEETING OF:
8	
9	STATE BOARD OF CERTIFIED
10	REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS
11	
12	TIME: 10:30 A.M.
13	
14	Held at
15	PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF STATE
16	2601 North Third Street
17	One Penn Center, Board Room C
18	Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17110
19	as well as
20	VIA MICROSOFT TEAMS
21	
22	Thursday, July 27, 2023
23	
24	
25	

	2
1 2 3 4	State Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers July 27, 2023
5 6 7	BOARD MEMBERS:
8 9 10	Joseph D. Pasquarella, Chairman, Professional Member Mark V. Smeltzer Sr., Vice Chairman, Professional
11 12 13 14 15	Member John D. Ausherman, Secretary, Professional Member William T. Stoerrle Jr., Professional Member Michael McFarlane, Professional Member Martha H. Brown, Esquire, Secretary of the
16 17 18 19	Commonwealth Designee Ginger Etter, on behalf of Paul D. Edger, Esquire, Senior Deputy Attorney General, Office of Attorney General Designee
20 21 22	Paul H. Wentzel Jr., Senior Legislative Director, Department of Banking and Securities designee
23 24 25	BUREAU PERSONNEL:
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	Ray Michalowski, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecutor and Board Prosecution Liaison Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel Kristel Hennessy Hemler, Board Administrator Andrew LaFratte, MPA, Deputy Policy Director, Department of State Michael McDonald, Policy Director, Department of State Nate White, Legal Intern, Department of State
36 37	ALSO PRESENT:
38 39 40 41 42	Randy Waggoner, CPE, Assessors' Association of Pennsylvania Andrew Beltran, Vice President, Bowery Valuation Connor Scanlon, Associate, Bowery Valuation
43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	<pre>Emme Reiser, Political Programs Manager, Pennsylvania Association of Realtors Sarah Whyler, Certified Residential Appraiser & Realtor/Appraisal Coordinator, Charles E. Brown Real Estate, LLC & Brown Appraisers, LLC Tracy Matroni, Education & Member Services at Lancaster County Association of Realtors/Keystone Chapter of the Appraisal Institute</pre>

		3
1 2 3 4 5 6	State Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers July 27, 2023	
5 6 7	ALSO PRESENT: (cont.)	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 19 21 23 24 26	Chandra Mast, General Commercial Appraiser, Red Rose Appraisals Neal Fenochietti, Policy Manager, Appraisal Subcommittee Tom Lewis, Policy Manager, Appraisal Subcommittee Raymond Glassley John Musnuff, Managing Director, Bowery Valuation Ian Weeber	
$\begin{array}{c} 27\\ 28\\ 30\\ 31\\ 33\\ 35\\ 37\\ 38\\ 30\\ 41\\ 43\\ 45\\ 45\\ 47\\ 48\end{array}$		
49 50 51		

* * * 1 2 State Board of Certified 3 Real Estate Appraisers July 27, 2023 4 * * * 5 6 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, 7 at 9:00 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session 8 with Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, to have 9 attorney-client consultations and for the purpose of 10 conducting guasi-judicial deliberations. The Board 11 returned to open session at 10:30 a.m.] * * * 12 13 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, informed 14 everyone that the meeting of the State Board of 15 Certified Real Estate Appraisers was being held in a 16 hybrid format, in person and by livestreaming teleconference, pursuant to Act 100 of 2021, which 17 18 requires boards to use a virtual platform to conduct 19 business when a public meeting is held. 20 Mr. Rouse also noted that the Board entered into 21 Executive Session with Board Counsel to have 22 attorney-client consultations and for the purpose of 23 conducting quasi-judicial deliberations.] * * * 24 25 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State

4

Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers was held on 1 2 Thursday, July 27, 2023. Joseph D. Pasquarella, 3 Chairman, Professional Member, officially called the 4 meeting to order at 10:30 a.m. * * * 5 Roll Call 6 7 [Joseph D. Pasquarella, Chairman, Professional 8 Member, requested a roll call of Board members.] * * * 9 10 Introduction of Attendees 11 [Joseph D. Pasquarella, Chairman, Professional 12 Member, also requested an introduction of attendees. 13 Neal Fenochietti and Tom Lewis from the Appraisal 14 Subcommittee were in attendance to observe the Board 15 meeting in connection with Pennsylvania's Appraisal 16 Subcommittee compliance review of the appraiser and 17 appraisal management company programs. 18 Mr. Pasquarella thanked Mr. Fenochietti and Mr. 19 Lewis for attending the meeting and everything the 20 Appraisal Subcommittee does for the Board and the 21 profession. He also noted appreciation to those in 22 attendance who are external to the Board.] 23 24 Approval of minutes of the June 22, 2023 meeting 25 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA:

1 Next on the agenda is approval of the 2 minutes. 3 Do I have a motion to approve the 4 minutes for the meeting of June 22, 2023? 5 6 MR. STOERRLE: 7 I make a motion to approve. 8 [The Board discussed corrections to the minutes.] MR. ROUSE: 9 10 I take it that the motion is to accept 11 the meeting minutes from June 22, 2023, 12 as amended. 13 CHAIRMAN PASOUARELLA: 14 Yes, as amended. 15 MR. AUSHERMAN: 16 I second. 17 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA: 18 Roll call vote. 19 20 Joe Pasquarella, aye; Mark Smeltzer, 21 aye; John Ausherman, aye; William 22 Stoerrle, aye; Michael McFarlane, aye; 23 Martha Brown, aye; Ginger Etter, 24 abstain; Paul Wentzel, aye. 25 [The motion carried. Ginger Etter abstained from

1 voting on the motion.] * * * 2 3 Introduction of Attendees 4 [Joseph D. Pasquarella, Chairman, Professional 5 Member, requested an introduction of attendees.] * * * 6 7 Report of Prosecutorial Division - No Report 8 * * * 9 Regulations/Statute - Regulatory Report 10 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, provided a 11 Regulatory Report for July for the Board's review. 12 Mr. Rouse noted the proposed regulatory package 13 for 16A-7024 regarding licensure by endorsement for 14 assessors is under internal review. 15 Mr. Rouse addressed 16A-7025 regarding the schedule of fees, noting the Board wanted to wait 16 until after the close of the biennial renewal period 17 18 on June 30, 2023, to see the number of licensees. Нe 19 noted he would be reaching out to the Bureau of 20 Finance and Operations (BFO) to rerun the numbers to 21 determine whether or not the regulatory package needs 22 to go through because of the slight increase in 23 licensee population. 24 Mr. Rouse was working on the Regulatory Analysis 25 Form for 16A-7026 regarding certified Pennsylvania

7

evaluators. He noted the regulation was to comply
 with the Act 88 of 2020 amendments to the Assessors
 Certification Act.

4 Mr. Rouse addressed Regulation 16A-7027 5 regarding broker price opinion (BPO) surety bonds. 6 He noted the BPO portion went out to stakeholders as 7 an exposure draft, and the surety bond piece still needs some information to be researched to come 8 9 before the Board to be added to the regulation. 10 Mr. Rouse informed Board members that regulatory counsel submitted additional comments for Regulation 11 12 16A-7029 regarding distance education and the 13 Practical Applications of Real Estate Appraisal 14 (PAREA) but that it is getting very close. 15 Mr. Rouse noted he has to do a Regulatory

15 Mr. Rouse noted he has to do a Regulatory 16 Analysis Form for Regulation 16A-7031 regarding 17 appraisal management company (AMC) revisions and AMC 18 ownership limitations.]

19 ***
20 Regulations/Statute - Regulation 16A-7032
21 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, referred to
22 Regulation 16A-7032 regarding qualifying and
23 continuing education in valuation bias and fair
24 housing laws and regulations. He referred to the
25 Appraisal Qualifications Board adopts changes to Real

Property Appraiser Qualification Criteria at item 5 on the agenda. He noted Board regulations at § 36.2(f) requires an announcement be posted to the Board's website, an email blast sent to licensees, and an announcement at the next Board meeting whenever the Appraiser Qualifications Board (AQB) makes changes to the criteria.

Mr. Rouse informed Board members that an email 8 9 blast was sent to licensees on June 27, 2023, and 10 changes have been posted to the Board's website. Нe 11 noted AQB adopted the second exposure draft of 12 proposed changes to the Real Property Appraiser 13 Qualification Criteria and that the changes make 14 valuation bias and fair housing a required component 15 of an appraiser's qualifying and continuing 16 education.

Mr. Rouse informed everyone that the changes become effective January 1, 2026, so that each state regulatory agency can go through its respective rulemaking processes to ensure the requirements are adopted, but state regulatory agencies are encouraged to implement changes as soon as they complete their rulemaking process.

24 Mr. Rouse addressed the changes regarding25 qualifying education, where all appraiser candidates

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

1 must have the 8-hour valuation bias and fair housing 2 law and regulations course as part of their required 3 qualifying education, which would increase the core 4 curriculum requirement to 83 credit hours instead of 5 75 credit hours.

6 Mr. Rouse stated it would not increase the 200 7 hours for certified residential appraisers or 300 8 hours for certified general appraisers because the 9 number of elective hours will be reduced by 8.

10 Mr. Rouse noted all credentialed appraisers must 11 successfully complete a course which meets the 12 content requirements of the valuation bias and fair 13 housing laws and regulations outline every 2 calendar 14 years for continuing education. He explained that it 15 must be a 7-hour course the first time an appraiser completes the continuing education course and then 16 17 every 2 years thereafter the appraiser must take a 18 valuation bias and fair housing laws and regulations 19 course at least 4 hours in length.

20 Mr. Rouse stated the 7-Hour National Uniform 21 Standards of Professional Appraiser Practice (USPAP) 22 Update Course would be renamed the 7-Hour National 23 USPAP Continuing Education Course. He also noted the 24 7-Hour Instructor Recertification Course would be 25 renamed the Instructor Certification Course. He also

> Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

1 reported a name change for "valuation bias, fair 2 housing, and equal opportunity" as an allowable 3 continuing education topic and that the change would 4 be the "valuation bias and fair housing laws and 5 regulations" for consistency.

6 Mr. Rouse addressed how the changes relate to 7 16A-7032 and referred to gualifying education 8 regulations at § 36.11 and § 36.12(a), where the 9 regulations seem to be broad enough and just adopting 10 AQB criteria would satisfy the requirements. Не 11 noted clarifying language may need to be added to § 12 36.41 regarding the continuing education requirement 13 and the 7-hour valuation bias and fair housing 14 course.

Mr. Rouse suggested all licensees be required to take the 7-hour valuation bias and fair housing laws and regulation course for that 2025-2027 biennial renewal period and each time thereafter would need the 4-hour course.

20 Mr. Smeltzer commented that they should not be 21 coming out in January 2026 and only have an 18-month 22 lead time for people to take the course. He 23 mentioned not being sure if it could be done in the 24 middle of a renewal cycle. He noted the importance 25 of taking the course during that two-year period for

> Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

1 the renewal cycle for 2027 and expressed a concern 2 with people putting it off until 2029.

Chair Pasquarella requested Mr. Rouse develop the language for clarification and present it at the next Board meeting.

6 Mr. Rouse informed Board members that he would 7 also be looking through the regulations to see if 8 there are other edits needed regarding the renaming 9 of the 7-Hour USPAP Update Course to the Continuing 10 Education Course.

Ms. Hennessy Hemler asked whether the required continuing education hours increase from 28 to 32 once it goes on to the 4-hour valuation bias and fair housing course requirement or whether all continuing education must be within that 28 period.

16 Mr. Rouse explained that it is all within the 28 17 hours because the criteria states that they have 14 18 CEs per year and is 28 biennially. The statute says 19 that Board requires the minimum continuing education 20 that AQB criteria requires. He further explained 21 that they must have 2 hours on the law and 22 regulations, 7 hours for the National USPAP 23 Continuing Education Course, 7 hours for the first 24 occasion for valuation bias and fair housing laws and 25 regulations course, and then every 2 years thereafter

> Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

1 is the 4-hour valuation bias course.] * * * 2 3 Matters for Discussion 4 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, informed 5 Board members that the Appraisal Subcommittee (ASC) published a request in the Federal Register on June 6 7 27, 2023, for renewal of an approved information 8 collection request for the collection and 9 transmission of annual AMC registry fees. He noted 10 that a copy of the renewal request can be accessed through the Federal Register website. 11 12 Mr. Rouse stated ASC is requesting public 13 comment be submitted to webmaster@asc.gov by August 14 28, 2023, and to include the docket number AS23-09 in 15 the subject line of the email. He stated ASC is 16 seeking approval from the Office of Management and Budget to renew an information collection request 17 18 entitled "Collection and Transmission of Annual AMC 19 Registry Fees". He noted any states that register 20 and supervise appraisal management companies are 21 required to collect and transmit annual AMC registry 22 fees to the Appraisal Subcommittee. 23 Mr. Rouse stated § 1102.43 of the Code of Federal 24 Regulations requires AMC registry fees be collected 25 and transmitted to the ASC on an annual basis by

1 states that register and supervise AMCs. He noted 2 that only those AMCs whose registry fees have been 3 transmitted to the ASC are eligible to be on the AMC 4 registry for the 12-month period following the 5 payment of the fee.

6 Mr. Rouse referred to § 1102.43 which clarifies 7 that states may align a 1-year period with any 12-8 month period, which may or may not be based on the 9 calendar year. He noted the registration cycle is 10 left to the individual states to determine.

Mr. Rouse referred to the Appraisal Standards Board Summary of Changes to USPAP effective January 1, 2024, noting the summary has been posted on the Board's website for licensees to review.

15 Mr. Rouse stated the Appraisal Standards Board 16 voted to adopt the fifth exposure draft of changes to USPAP on May 5, 2023. He noted the USPAP amendments 17 18 include a nondiscrimination section in the Ethics 19 Rule; Advisory Opinion 39 was added to show how the 20 Fair Housing Act, Equal Credit Opportunity Act, and 21 the Civil Rights Act of 1886 are relevant to 22 appraisal practice; and Advisory Opinion 40 was added 23 to provide guidance related to the prohibition on 24 using particular protective characteristics in 25 developing an opinion of value for residential real

> Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

property assignments and in the research, analysis, 1 2 and reporting of location related data. 3 Mr. Rouse noted the current USPAP definitions of 4 assignment elements, misleading, and relevant characteristics would be retired, and the current 5 6 USPAP definitions of appraiser, personal inspection, 7 and work files would also be modified.] 8 * * * 9 Appointment - Introduction 10 [Michael McDonald, Policy Director, Department of 11 State, introduced himself and provided a brief 12 history of his professional background. He informed 13 everyone that the policy office works with all of the 14 program areas and licensing boards and commissions, 15 along with the Governor's Office to identify, assess, 16 analyze, and review policy ideas and initiatives. Mr. McDonald noted they work closely with Board 17 18 Counsel and the General Assembly to review and draft 19 regulations. He also noted they keep track of what 20 is going on in other states and jurisdictions that 21 could have an impact on the licensing population or 22 citizens of Pennsylvania. 23 Mr. McDonald encouraged Board members to reach 24 out to him or Deputy Policy Director Andrew LaFratte 25 with any policy or legislative questions or concerns.

Mr. McDonald thanked Board members for their 1 2 service to the licensees and citizens of the 3 Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. 4 Mr. Pasquarella thanked Mr. McDonald for offering 5 his services and for the presentation to the Board.] * * * 6 7 Matters for Discussion [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, referred to 8 9 practicum courses for nontraditional and client 10 experience. He noted AQB Criteria says that 11 practicum courses approved by the AQB Course Approval 12 Program or state regulatory agencies can satisfy the 13 nontraditional client experience requirement. 14 Mr. Rouse stated that practicum course content 15 must include requiring the students to produce 16 credible appraisals that utilize an actual subject 17 property, performing market research containing sales 18 analysis, and applying and reporting the applicable 19 appraisal approaches in conformity with USPAP. Нe 20 noted assignments must require problem-solving skills 21 for a variety of property types for the credential 22 category. 23 Mr. Rouse reminded Board members of prior 24 discussion at the September 2022 Board meeting 25 regarding the Mississippi Practical Appraiser

> Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

1 Training Program, which provided training for 17 2 participants who successfully completed that program 3 on August 24, 2022. He referred to § 36.11(e)(4)(x) 4 and 36.12(e)(4)(x) under the Board's regulations 5 stating that practicum courses approved by the AQB 6 course approval program are acceptable in 7 Pennsylvania to meet the appraiser experience requirement for certified residential and certified 8 9 general real estate appraisers. 10 Mr. Smeltzer commented that they submit the 11 certificate when they complete a Practical 12 Applications of Real Estate Appraisal (PAREA) program 13 and asked whether this is a submission of the 14 certificate or a submission of the reports they did, 15 where they would put on the log that they did not have a client. 16 17 Mr. Rouse explained that they would have appraisal reports and a log and have to identify that 18 there is not a traditional client on that because 19 20 they are actually going out into the field in the 21 practicum course. 22 Mr. Smeltzer commented that they would 23 submit the actual reports to Pennsylvania, which 24 would be reviewed for approval but would not have a 25 client and be checking "no" on the log form if they

1 were using it for experience hours in Pennsylvania. 2 Mr. Rouse noted that to be correct if they were 3 submitting it directly, but reciprocity is different 4 when they do their reciprocal application. 5 Mr. Smeltzer noted the PAREA program could be

6 used 100 percent for residential and 50 percent for 7 general. He asked whether they would use that for 8 all their hours if they go through that program at 9 the university or submit it to see how many hours get 10 approved.

11 Mr. Rouse believed they would have to submit it 12 because 75 percent of the experience has to be 13 interior and exterior inspections for residential and 14 50 percent for general.

Mr. Rouse referred to PAREA and the way they have written to amend their regulations and the certificate would be required from the AQB approved-PAREA program, where they would not submit copies of appraisal reports and just the certificate of completion.

21 Chair Pasquarella commented that with PAREA, 22 they would get credit for 50 percent of the hours for 23 general certified and 100 percent for residential, 24 whereas the practicum, if it was AQB-approved from 25 another state, it would then be 50 percent for

general and 75 percent for residential. 1 2 Mr. Rouse noted the need to make sure at least 3 75 percent of what they did for residential was 4 interior and exterior appraisal. 5 Chair Pasquarella stated they would be able to 6 get 100 percent of the requirements for residential 7 and 50 percent for general as long as 75 percent is interior and exterior. 8 9 Mr. Smeltzer pointed out that they have the 300-10 hour requirement for being joined and noted the 11 practicum program has an excellent developer train 12 people, noting that he assumed whoever is going to be 13 in this case constitutes their experience of being 14 joined by the supervisor during the first 300 hours. 15 Mr. Rouse noted they have not seen one of the practicum cases but that it is a certificate with the 16 17 Mississippi program and the Board would get their 18 certificate. Mr. Smeltzer asked whether that would be for 100 19 20 percent of the experience, where it would mean they 21 have 3000 hours for general and 1500 hours for 22 residential. 23 Mr. Rouse stated the Mississippi program has 24 1000 hours and not the Board's 1500 hours and is 25 something the Board would need to discuss.

> Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

Mr. Smeltzer commented that their certificate 1 2 would have on it that the individual has demonstrated 3 a certain amount of hours of experience and the Board 4 has accepted for that many hours. He mentioned that 5 it would be nice to see a school in the state of Pennsylvania take on a similar type of program to 6 7 open things up for new people coming into the 8 industry.

9 Mr. Fenochietti added that PAREA is a virtual 10 practicum course developed by AQB, where they have 11 analyzed the course and determined what experience 12 would be appropriate from that course. He noted that 13 looking at the requirements of the career course on 14 their certificate would tell what that certificate 15 represented.

Mr. Fenochietti stated other practicum courses are real world practicum courses with their mentor or instructor doing the physical work. He explained that those practicum courses would also be connected to a number of hours of experience that they satisfy and then the state of Pennsylvania would determine whether or not that was appropriate.] ***

24 Matters for Deliberation

25 MR. ROUSE:

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

21 Item 8 is the Petition for 1 Reinstatement of the Certified 2 3 Residential Real Estate Appraiser License of John B. Taylor, Case No. 22-4 5 70 - 004500. After discussion in Executive 6 7 Session, I believe the Chair would 8 entertain a motion to grant the 9 Petition for Reinstatement of the Certified Residential Real Estate 10 11 Appraiser License of John B. Taylor, Case No. 22-70-004500. 12 13 CHAIRMAN PASOUARELLA: 14 Would somebody make that motion? 15 MR. AUSHERMAN: 16 I so move. 17 MR. STOERRLE: 18 I second that. 19 MS. HENNESSY HEMLER: 20 I'll do roll call voting. 21 22 Joe Pasquarella, aye; Mark Smeltzer, 23 aye; John Ausherman, aye; William 24 Stoerrle, aye; Michael McFarlane, aye; 25 Martha Brown, aye; Ginger Etter, aye;

1 Paul Wentzel, aye. 2 [The motion carried unanimously.] 3 * * * 4 Report of Board Chairman - No Report * * * 5 Report of Board Administrator 6 7 [Kristel Hennessy Hemler, Board Administrator, 8 provided an update on 2023 renewals, noting 91 9 percent of appraisal management companies renewed, 86 10 percent of certified broker appraisers, 89 percent of 11 certified general appraisers, 84 percent of certified 12 Pennsylvania Evaluators, 91 percent of certified 13 residential appraisers, and 66 percent of licensed 14 appraiser trainees. 15 Ms. Hennessy Hemler explained that a lot of 16 licensed appraiser trainees basically pass their exams and are off becoming certified residential or 17 18 general appraisers and is why that seems low but is 19 an improvement from 30 percent the last time she 20 reported. She reported an issue with their licensing 21 system not null and voiding their appraiser trainee license when they were certified as a residential or 22 23 general but she manually made that correction and 24 alerted technical staff. 25 Ms. Hennessy Hemler also provided an update

2.2

1	regarding 2023 audits of continuing education. She
2	mentioned that she would like to give it two weeks
3	for any reactivations that come since renewals are
4	completed. She noted starting the audits for
5	continuing education on Monday and reported 5
6	percent, which is the lowest percentage required by
7	the Appraisal Subcommittee, but the past year was 5
8	percent and only had four people who did not comply.
9	Ms. Hennessy Hemler informed Board members that
10	they are going with 5 percent again this time,
11	including remedial education licensees, noting 80
12	have been audited but she would provide a better
13	update at the next Board meeting.]
14	* * *
15	Exploratory Committee
16	[Michael McFarlane, Professional Member, informed
17	Board members that the ASC's availability of funding
18	is not yet available for this quarter. He noted the
19	preapplication is prepared and ready for submission
20	once the quarter opens and the grant application is
21	able to be considered again.
22	Mr. McFarlane mentioned that the Appraisal
23	Subcommittee has a document on their website
24	regarding a summary of state support grant
25	application awards that have occurred and reported

consistency between what the Board is seeking and 1 2 awards given to other states.] 3 * * * 4 Applications for Review 5 [Connor Scanlon, Associate, Bowery Valuation, and Applicant, presented to the Board to provide 6 7 information regarding the distance between himself 8 and his supervisor regarding inspections. He 9 mentioned living in Myrtle Beach, South Carolina but 10 working on reports in New Jersey through Zoom. John Musnuff, Managing Director, Bowery 11 12 Valuation, informed Board members that he and Andrew 13 Beltran supervise Mr. Scanlon in New Jersey and New 14 York via Zoom calls and requested proper 15 consideration. 16 Mr. Smeltzer noted Pennsylvania requires the supervising appraiser accompany the trainee on an 17 18 inspection for the first 300 hours of experience and 19 asked how they meet that requirement. He also noted 20 the requirement for general certification, where at 21 least 1500 hours of experience has to include a 22 physical inspection of the subject property and asked 23 how they meet that requirement. 24 Mr. Scanlon had not been on any physical 25 inspections but would be able to physically inspect

> Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

1 properties.

2 Mr. Smeltzer explained that a personal physical 3 inspection and the first 300 hours must be completed 4 with the trainer because it is a provision in their 5 regulations in Pennsylvania.

6 Mr. Musnuff commented that this is for getting 7 his license to be a trainee and does not need that at 8 this point. He noted they would work on the other 9 part and make sure he performs inspections for those 10 300 hours.

11 Mr. Smeltzer noted there are requirements for a 12 trainee in Pennsylvania and assumed Mr. Scanlon has 13 done that if he is a licensed trainee in New Jersey, 14 where both supervisors would need to sign off and 15 take the responsibility of supervisor. He explained 16 that Mr. Scanlon would have to meet Pennsylvania's 17 experience requirements when he submits experience. 18 Mr. Musnuff commented that they would comply with 19 the rules but just want Mr. Scanlon to be a trainee 20 so that he can apply.

Andrew Beltran, Vice President, Bowery Valuation, commented that Mr. Scanlon has been doing work in New Jersey and New York and want him to be able to do those inspections. He noted Mr. Scanlon is in New Jersey frequently and is part of an anticipated

> Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

multiyear process toward general certification but 1 2 need to get him under the training program. 3 Mr. Scanlon noted having a secondary residence in 4 New Jersey, where performing inspections physically 5 would not be an issue. 6 Mr. Rouse confirmed that the request is regarding 7 approval of the licensed appraiser trainee 8 application. 9 Ms. Hennessy Hemler explained that it was brought 10 before everybody because one of the questions on the 11 licensed appraiser trainee application is whether 12 they are over 50 miles from their supervisor and how 13 they are going to do inspections once licensed. 14 Mr. Rouse noted that Mr. Scanlon would be doing 15 inspections in Pennsylvania with his supervisor and 16 training would be done virtually. 17 Mr. Beltran stated there would be Zoom calls and email communications, along with computer testing, 18 19 which is the non-inspection aspect. 20 Mr. Smeltzer asked whether there is anything in 21 their regulations that the trainee has to be within 22 50 miles of the supervisor. He commented that it may 23 be a question so they could check into other things 24 but did not believe it is a requirement in their 25 regulations to become a licensed trainee.

27 Mr. Rouse suggested going back into Executive 1 2 Session for further discussion.] 3 * * * 4 Review of Requests 5 MR. ROUSE: 6 Item 13 is a matter where the Board 7 received correspondence from Cherie 8 Jones asking a question of whether a 9 certified broker appraiser would have 10 to comply with valuation bias and fair 11 housing laws and regulations continuing 12 education requirement as a condition of 13 biennial renewal. 14 It is part of Section 109(b.2) of 15 the Real Estate Appraiser Certification 16 Act. It states that a certified 17 broker-appraiser shall be subject to 18 the same continuing education 19 requirements for certification as a 20 certified residential and certified 21 general appraiser; therefore, certified broker-appraisers would have to comply 22 23 with the continuing education for 24 valuation bias and fair housing laws 25 and regulations.

I believe the Chair would entertain 1 2 a motion to direct Board Counsel to 3 draft a response letter to Cherie Jones consistent with that. 4 5 MR. AUSHERMAN: 6 I so move. 7 MS. BROWN: 8 Second. 9 MS. HENNESSY HEMLER: 10 Joe Pasquarella, aye; Mark Smeltzer, 11 aye; John Ausherman, aye; William 12 Stoerrle, aye; Michael McFarlane, aye; 13 Martha Brown, aye; Ginger Etter, aye; 14 Paul Wentzel, aye. 15 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 16 17 Review of Requests - Ratifications 18 MR. ROUSE: We could group items 14, 15, and 19 19 20 together for Emily Whary, Ann Marie 21 Chopick, and Seth Litman. 22 After discussion in Executive 23 Session, I believe the Chair would 24 entertain a motion to Ratify the 25 Licensed Appraiser Trainee Renewal

29 1 Extension for Emily Whary at item 14 on 2 the agenda, Ann Marie Chopick at item 3 15 on the agenda, and Seth Litman at item 19 on the agenda. 4 5 MR. MCFARLANE: I so move. 6 7 MR. AUSHERMAN: 8 Second. 9 MS. HENNESSY HEMLER: 10 Joe Pasquarella, aye; Mark Smeltzer, 11 aye; John Ausherman, aye; William 12 Stoerrle, aye; Michael McFarlane, aye; 13 Martha Brown, aye; Ginger Etter, aye; 14 Paul Wentzel, aye. 15 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 16 MR. ROUSE: 17 18 We could group items 16, 17, and 18 on 19 the agenda for Amanda Mellinger, 20 Michele A. Dennison, and Michael J. 21 Robinson. 22 After discussion in Executive 23 Session, I believe the Chair would 24 entertain a motion to Ratify the 25 Continuing Education Extension of Time

1 for Amanda Mellinger, Michele A. 2 Dennison, and Michael J. Robinson. 3 MR. AUSHERMAN: 4 I so move. 5 MS. BROWN: Second. 6 7 MS. HENNESSY HEMLER: 8 Joe Pasquarella, aye; Mark Smeltzer, 9 aye; John Ausherman, aye; William 10 Stoerrle, aye; Michael McFarlane, aye; 11 Martha Brown, aye; Ginger Etter, aye; 12 Paul Wentzel, aye. 13 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 14 15 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, 16 at 11:45 a.m. the Board entered into Executive 17 Session with Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, 18 to have attorney-client consultations and for the 19 purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations 20 regarding item 12 on the agenda. The Board returned 21 to open session at 11:58 a.m.] * * * 22 23 MR. ROUSE: 24 Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the 25 Sunshine Act, the Board is returning

from Executive Session with Board 1 2 Counsel after having attorney-client 3 consultations and for the purpose of conducting guasi-judicial 4 5 deliberations. Regarding item 12, I believe the 6 7 Chair would entertain a motion to grant the Licensed Appraiser Trainee 8 9 Application of Connor Scanlon. 10 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA: 11 Do I have such a motion? MR. STOERRLE: 12 13 I make the motion. 14 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA: 15 Do I have a second? MR. AUSHERMAN: 16 I second. 17 18 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA: 19 Kristel, call the roll. 20 21 Joe Pasquarella, aye; Mark Smeltzer, 22 aye; John Ausherman, aye; William 23 Stoerrle, aye; Michael McFarlane, aye; 24 Martha Brown, aye; Ginger Etter, aye; 25 Paul Wentzel, aye.

1 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 2 3 Public Comment/Discussion 4 [Randy Waggoner, CPE, Assessors' Association of 5 Pennsylvania, informed Board members that he has been 6 appointed as the liaison to the Board by the 7 Assessors' Association of Pennsylvania (AAP). He asked whether there was a tentative start date for 8 9 the 15-Hour USPAP class that would be required as 10 part of the qualification course requirements for 11 CPEs. 12 Mr. Rouse explained that it is in one of the 13 regulatory packages and referred to Regulation 16A-14 7026, which was general revisions for certified 15 Pennsylvania evaluators to comply with the Act 88 of 16 2020 amendment. He explained that when the Board put 17 its annex out as an exposure draft, a stakeholder 18 recommended that assessors also take the 15-hour 19 USPAP course as part of their qualifying education 20 and that was not something in the statute. He noted 21 the Board decided to add that as a part of the 22 regulatory amendment and may be finalized some time 23 in 2024. 24 Mr. Waggoner mentioned the Board's discussion

32

25 concerning bias and fair housing qualification

1	education, as well as the continuing education. He
2	referred the Assessor's Certification Act, Act 28,
3	and Title 49 rules and regulations, where certified
4	Pennsylvania evaluators (CPEs) are required to get 28
5	hours of continuing education every cycle, including
6	7 hours for the USPAP update and 2 hours for Act 28.
7	Mr. Waggoner asked whether the qualifications are
8	going to be required of CPEs, as well as the
9	appraisers, and whether the continuing education the
10	first time around of 7 hours and then 4 hours is
11	going to be part of the 19 hours of elective
12	education or be added on for CPEs.
13	Mr. Rouse informed Mr. Waggoner that the Board
14	has not made a determination of whether the valuation
15	bias and fair housing rules and laws and regulations
16	also applies to certified Pennsylvania evaluators and
17	referred to 16A-7032 but asked whether the Board is
18	contemplating having certified Pennsylvania
19	evaluators be required to take the 7-hour course.
20	Mr. Ausherman commented the CPEs do not typically
21	go into an individual's house.
22	Mr. Waggoner stated the International Association
23	of Appraising Officers (IAAO) has come out with data
24	collection standards that many counties have adopted.
25	He noted that the policy or work instructions for

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

their data collectors is to not go in the dwelling
 even if the homeowner encourages it.

3 Mr. McFarland asked whether it would be an AQB 4 violation of USPAP if certified Pennsylvania 5 evaluators under the Board's regulations are not 6 subject to bias training.

7 Mr. Smeltzer explained that AQB does not have 8 anything to do with the regulation of evaluators and 9 is something that is done separately in the state.

10 Chairman Pasquarella commented that bias training 11 goes well beyond issues pertaining to inspections. 12 He believed bias training is going to be focused on 13 many aspects relevant to appraisal to include 14 evaluation and assessment and would be appropriate 15 for evaluators, so they do not inadvertently fall 16 into a bias trap themselves.

Mr. Smeltzer agreed with Chair Pasquarella and would not want to move forward excluding a group from education on bias and believed it should be included in the 28. He mentioned that the Board is not adding any hours to residential or general and should not be adding any hours to the CPE.

23 Mr. Waggoner stated the education would be 24 invaluable to whoever is going to do what they call 25 neighborhood delineations, which is one of the first

> Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

steps in order to work toward the creation of the 1 2 valuation models that go behind Computer-Assisted 3 Mass Appraisal (CAMA) or digital assessment systems. 4 Mr. Waqqoner agreed that no one would want to be 5 accused of creating neighborhood boundaries or 6 neighborhood delineations based on any kind of bias. 7 He noted that bias and fair housing is definitely 8 important and not personally opposed to CPEs being 9 required to take it. He mentioned that 15 hours of 10 USPAP is already being added and that they would have 11 to plan accordingly if more hours were added, along 12 with finding the time frame for continuing education 13 and what is going to be expected. 14 Mr. Rouse noted that any type of annex would go 15 to stakeholders for them to make written comments, so 16 the stakeholders would still have a say in the 17 process of why or why it would not be appropriate for 18 certified Pennsylvania evaluators. 19 Chair Pasquarella thanked Mr. Waggoner for coming 20 before the Board.] 21 MR. ROUSE: 22 Would the Chair entertain a motion to 23 direct Board Counsel to draft an annex 24 regarding valuation bias and fair 25 housing laws and regulations for

> Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

1 certified Pennsylvania evaluators and 2 appraisers. 3 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA: 4 Anybody want to make that motion? 5 MR. WENTZEL: So moved. 6 7 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA: 8 Do I have a second? 9 MR. STOERRLE: 10 Second. 11 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA: Kristel. 12 13 14 Joe Pasquarella, aye; Mark Smeltzer, 15 aye; John Ausherman, aye; William 16 Stoerrle, aye; Michael McFarlane, aye; Martha Brown, aye; Ginger Etter, aye; 17 18 Paul Wentzel, aye. 19 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 20 Public Comments/Discussion 21 22 [Mark Smeltzer, Vice Chairman, Professional Member 23 commented that the Board requires five years of 24 experience as a certified appraiser prior to becoming 25 a supervisor and requested having a Board discussion

regarding changing that to AQB's requirement of three 1 2 years on the next agenda. 3 Mr. Rouse informed Board members that he 4 submitted the request up the chain and would provide 5 an update.] * * * 6 7 Adjournment 8 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA: 9 Do I have a motion to adjourn today's 10 meeting? MR. WENTZEL: 11 12 So moved. 13 MR. AUSHERMAN: 14 Second. 15 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA: 16 All in favor, aye. * * * 17 18 [There being no further business, the State Board of 19 Certified Real Estate Appraisers Meeting adjourned at 20 12:19 p.m.] * * * 21 22 23 24 25 26

	38
1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	
4	I hereby certify that the foregoing summary
5	minutes of the State Board of Certified Real Estate
6	Appraisers meeting, was reduced to writing by me or
7	under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately
8	summarize the substance of the State Board of
9	Certified Real Estate Appraisers meeting.
10	
11	Cean Meeber
12	com meder
13	Ian Weber,
14	Minute Clerk
15	Sargent's Court Reporting
16	Service, Inc.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

1 2 3		STATE BOARD OF CERTIFIED REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS REFERENCE INDEX
3 4 5 6		July 27, 2023
7 8 9	TIME	AGENDA
9 0 1 2	9:00 10:30	Executive Session Return to Open Session
2 3 4	10:30	Official Call to Order
5	10:31	Roll Call
5 7 8	10:31	Introduction of Attendees
9 0	10:36	Approval of Minutes
1 2	10:38	Regulations/Statute
2 3 4	10:54	Matters for Discussion
4 5 6	10:59	Appointment - Michael McDonald
7 3	11:03	Matters for Discussion (cont.)
9	11:14	Matters for Deliberation
1 2	11:17	Report of Board Administrator
3	11:21	Exploratory Committee
5	11:22	Applications for Review
7 3	11:38	Review of Requests
9 0 1	11:45 11:58	Executive Session Return to Open Session
2 3	11:59	Public Comments/Discussion
5 4 5	12:19	Adjournment
6 7		
8 9		
С		