## State Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers April 13, 2023

4 5 6

7

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

1

2

3

#### BOARD MEMBERS:

8 Joseph D. Pasquarella, Chairman, Professional
9 Member

Mark V. Smeltzer Sr., Vice Chairman, Professional Member

John D. Ausherman, Secretary, Professional Member William T. Stoerrle Jr., Professional Member

Michael McFarlane, Professional Member

Randy L. Waggoner, Professional Member

Martha H. Brown, Esquire, Secretary of the Commonwealth designee - Absent

Paul D. Edger, Esquire, Senior Deputy Attorney General, Office of Attorney General designee

Paul H. Wentzel Jr., Senior Legislative Director, Department of Banking and Securities designee - Absent

### BUREAU PERSONNEL:

262728

29

30

31

32

33

Dean F. Picarella, Esquire, Senior Counsel, Counsel Division

Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel

Ray Michalowski, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecutor and Board Prosecution Liaison

Timothy A. Fritsch, Esquire, Board Prosecutor

Kristel Hennessy Hemler, Board Administrator Jessica Zukoski, Law Clerk, Department of State

34 35 36

### ALSO PRESENT:

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

50

Charles (Chachi) Angelo, Director of External Affairs,

Pennie

Teresa Cochran, Executive Director, Assessors' Association of Pennsylvania

Scott DiBiasio, Manager, State and Industry Affairs, Appraisal Institute

Emme Reiser, Political Programs Manager, Pennsylvania Association of Realtors

48 Mark Kellerman, Assessors' Association of

49 | Pennsylvania

R. Scott Hartman, SRA, Appraisal Institute

# State Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers April 13, 2023

ALSO PRESENT: (cont.)

Camdon Porterfield, CPE, PR Administrator/CPE at
Evaluator Services and Technology, Inc.
Michelle Bradley, Certified General Appraiser,
Appraiser Qualifications Board Certified Uniform
Standards of Professional Appraiser Practice
Bob Myers, MAI, Appraisal Institute - Philadelphia
Metropolitan Chapter
Jeffrey Carpenter
Tim Booth
James Parker
John B. Taylor
Reid Fox
Thomas Yanchick

2 State Board of Certified 3 Real Estate Appraisers

April 13, 2023

\* \* \*

[Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 9:00 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session with Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, to have attorney-client consultations and for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations. The Board returned to open session at 10:30 a.m.]

[Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, informed everyone that the meeting of the State Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers was being held in a hybrid format, in person and by livestreaming teleconference, pursuant to Act 100 of 2021, which requires boards to use a virtual platform to conduct business when a public meeting is held.

Mr. Rouse also noted that the Board entered into Executive Session with Board Counsel to have attorney-client consultations and for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations.]

\* \* \*

The regularly scheduled meeting of the State

```
5
1
   Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers was held on
2
   Thursday, April 13, 2023. Joseph D. Pasquarella,
3
   Chairman, Professional Member, officially called the
4
   meeting to order at 10:31 a.m.
                              * * *
5
   Roll Call/Introduction of Attendees
6
7
   [Joseph D. Pasquarella, Chairman, Professional
   Member, requested a roll call of Board members and
   introduction of attendees.]
10
11
   Approval of minutes of the March 2, 2023 meeting
12
   CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA:
13
                  Do I have a motion to approve the
14
                  minutes?
15
   MR. WAGGONER:
16
                  So moved.
17
   CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA:
18
                  Second?
   MR. AUSHERMAN:
19
20
                  Second.
21
   CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA:
                  Roll call vote.
22
23
24
                  Joseph Pasquarella, aye; Mark Smeltzer,
25
                  abstain; John Ausherman, aye; William
```

6 1 Stoerrle, aye; Michael McFarlane, 2 abstain; Randy Waggoner, aye; Paul 3 Edger, aye. 4 [The motion carried. Mark Smeltzer and Michael 5 McFarlane abstained from voting on the motion.] \* \* \* 6 7 Report of Prosecutorial Division [Timothy A. Fritsch, Esquire, Board Prosecutor, presented the Consent Agreement for Case Nos. 21-70-019979 & 22-70-013528.] 10 11 MR. ROUSE: 12 Regarding the Consent Agreement at item 13 2 on the agenda at Case Nos. 21-70-14 019979 & 22-70-013528, after discussion 15 in Executive Session, I believe the 16 Chair would entertain a motion to adopt 17 the Consent Agreement. 18 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA: 19 Would someone make that motion, please? 20 MR. MCFARLANE: 21 So moved. 22 MR. EDGER: 23 Second. 24 MR. ROUSE: 25 Any discussion. Chairman, do you want

a roll call? 1 2 3 Joseph Pasquarella, aye; Mark Smeltzer, 4 aye; John Ausherman, aye; William 5 Stoerrle, nay; Michael McFarlane, aye; Randy Waggoner, aye; Paul Edger, aye. 6 7 [The motion carried. William Stoerrle opposed the 8 motion. Case Nos. 21-70-019979 & 22-70-013528 is the matter of Jeffrey A. Carpenter.] 10 11 Report of Prosecutorial Division 12 [Ray J. Michalowski, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecutor 13 and Board Prosecution Liaison, informed Board members 14 that he would be giving a presentation for the 15 Keystone Chapter of the Appraisal Institute (AI) in 16 April and the Southeast Chapter in June. He noted 17 that he would also be attending an online course on 18 appraiser bias to ensure they are teaching things 19 appropriately, along with Mr. Fritsch and Ms. 20 Zukoski, once approvals are finalized. 21 Chairman Pasquarella thanked Mr. Michalowski for 22 his time and reaching out to the appraisal community 23 and offering critical topics.] 24

Report of Board Counsel - Miscellaneous

- 1 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, provided an
- 2 update on The Appraisal Foundation's progress on the
- 3 recommendations made in the Property Appraisal and
- 4 | Valuation Equity (PAVE) Task Force Report. He stated
- 5 PAVE issued an action plan on March 31, 2022, with
- 6 the aim of providing a blueprint for how all
- 7 Americans could benefit fairly from the equity built
- 8 through home ownership.
- 9 Mr. Rouse stated the action plan outlined the
- 10 historical role of racism and valuation of
- 11 residential property, examined the forms of bias that
- 12 | could impact property valuation, and described
- 13 affirmative steps that federal agencies would take to
- 14 advance equity in the appraisal process.
- Mr. Rouse stated The Appraisal Foundation
- 16 released an update of its progress on March 14, 2023,
- 17 on following its commitment to the PAVE Action Plan
- 18 by highlighting 10 achievements. First, by updating
- 19 the 90-minute section of the 7-hour National Uniform
- 20 | Standards of Professional Appraiser Practice (USPAP)
- 21 | course focused on bias and discrimination, in
- 22 | consultation with fair housing law firm, Relman
- 23 Colfax.
- 24 Second, creation of the Council to Advance
- 25 Residential Equity (CARE) to bring previously

```
unrecognized stakeholders with the mission of civil
1
2
   rights, fair housing, or consumer advocacy to the
3
   table to provide input on proposed changes to
   standards and qualifications. Third, institution of
4
5
   a new process for the public to submit comments,
6
   questions, and requests for the boards, both the
7
   Appraiser Qualifications Board (AQB) and the
8
   Appraisal Standards Board (ASB), on any topic at any
9
   time.
10
```

Fourth, The Appraisal Foundation is removing the requirement that a majority of The Appraisal Foundation trustees must be appraisers. Fifth, The Appraisal Foundation has been conducting a comprehensive review of the ethics rule with the advice from Relman Colfax, resulting in proposed changes making it clear that bias and discrimination against protected classes have always been prohibited by USPAP and that USPAP has always required appraisers to comply with applicable fair housing laws.

Sixth, The Appraisal Foundation is supporting the adoption of the Practical Applications of Real Estate Appraisal (PAREA) as a simulated training alternative for aspiring appraisers to fulfill their experience requirements in 40 states and counting. Seventh, The

Appraisal Foundation is developing a scholarship through the Pathway to Success initiative that would prioritize serving aspiring appraisers who are people of color, veterans, or those who wish to work in underserved communities.

Eighth, Mr. Rouse stated The Appraisal Foundation is proposing changes to the AQB criteria that would require fair housing education for incoming and existing credential holders. Nineth, The Appraisal Foundation is also designing resources for consumers to learn more about the appraisal process and how to proceed if they need to make a complaint. Finally, The Appraisal Foundation is publishing a report of the Automated Valuation Model (AVM) Task Force, which makes recommendations on potential standards for AVMs.

Mr. Rouse addressed agenda item number 4, the report on AQB's first exposure draft of proposed changes to the AQB criteria regarding valuation bias and fair housing. He noted prior Board discussion concerning AQB's proposed changes to the criteria, including requiring appraiser candidates to have an 8-hour Valuation Bias and Fair Housing Law and Regulations course as part of their required qualifying education by January 1, 2025; all

credentialed appraisers would have to take a 7-hour
Valuation Bias and Fair Housing Law and Regulations
course by December 31, 2025; and as of January 1,
2026, certified real estate appraisers would have to
take a 4-hour Valuation Bias and Fair Housing Update
course during each biennial renewal period as part of
the 28 hours of continuing education.

Mr. Rouse mentioned that the Valuation Bias, Fair Housing and/or Equal Opportunity currently listed in the AQB criteria as an allowable continuing education topic for appraisers would be renamed Valuation Bias and Fair Housing Laws and Regulations.

Mr. Rouse addressed his attendance at AQB's public meeting on March 22, 2023, and provided an overview of the comments received regarding the first exposure draft. He mentioned there was mainly support for the proposed changes to AQB criteria but also concerns about the implementation date being too soon for the Valuation Bias and Fair Housing Law and Regulations course depending on the rulemaking process of each state, noting AQB is taking that into consideration.

Mr. Rouse also addressed concern that the implementation date for all credentialed appraisers to have completed the Valuation Bias and Fair Housing

```
Law and Regulations course may not coincide with
1
2
   appraiser licensing renewal cycles. He mentioned
3
   that some appraisers objected to having the Valuation
 4
   Bias and Fair Housing Law Regulations course because
5
   they do not perform residential appraisal assignments
6
   for a lending institution. He also mentioned that
7
   some appraisers asked why everyone needed to take the
   course and suggested "just get rid of the bad
9
   apples."
10
        Mr. Rouse informed Board members that AQB
11
   accepted the comments and voted on March 22 to
12
   release a second exposure draft of proposed changes
13
   to the AOB criteria. He commented that he would
14
   provide the second exposure draft when available and
15
   the AQB would set a new date for a public
16
   discussion. 1
17
18
   Appointment - Assessors' Association of
19
     Pennsylvania (AAP)
20
   [Teresa Cochran, Executive Director, Assessors'
21
   Association of Pennsylvania, presented to the Board
22
   to discuss the Valuation Bias and Fair Housing
23
   training that may soon be required of certified
24
   Pennsylvania evaluator (CPEs). She stated AAP
25
   supports the intent of offering the course.
```

expressed concern with the 4-hour course being part of the 28 hours of continuing education assessors are required to have every 2 years because coupling that with the 7 hours of USPAP and 2 hours of Act 28 reduces the ability of AAP to be able to offer elective courses to assessors.

Ms. Cochran reported a significant turnover in assessment offices and having a lot of new certified Pennsylvania evaluators (CPE) CPEs. She stated making half of the 28 hours required courses allows every little leeway for courses that are germane to their job. She noted concern with being able to provide quality information to members and making sure they are properly trained.

Ms. Cochran asked whether they would consider taking the 4 hours of fair housing training and making that not part of the 28 hours or whether there would be consideration of changing the statute to increase that 28 hours to 32 hours every 2 years if it still is going to be part of their continuing education.

Mr. Rouse explained that the Board did not have a discussion of whether or not the requirement would also be a requirement of certified Pennsylvania evaluators. He mentioned that there has not been a

vote from AQB about whether it is going to be adopted and asked whether the Board believed the discussion to be too soon.

Mr. Smeltzer commented that the requirements are AQB plus the 2 hours, including the 2-hour state law required course. He believed the continuing education for CPEs was the same as certified residential and general appraisers and asked whether the Board could look at the regulation, noting it would have to go through the legislature to allow a different requirement for the CPEs than certified residential and certified general appraisers.

Mr. Rouse explained that changing a statute is a legislative function and the Board looks at what is in the statute because that gives the Board the authority and the Board does rulemaking to amend its regulations to be consistent with the authority granted through the statute.

Mr. Smeltzer asked whether it would be a legislative or a Board function to make the change and whether the Board has the authority under the current legislation to have one set of requirements for CPEs and a separate one for residential and general appraisers.

Mr. Rouse explained that the Board has the

authority for appraisers because it states that the
Board has to work consistent with AQB criteria but no
such thing for CPEs.

Mr. Smeltzer commented that the Board would have the authority to add the 4-hour requirement for CPEs as reference by Ms. Cochran.

Mr. Rouse noted Mr. Smeltzer to be correct but that it would be a legislative function.

R. Scott Hartman, SRA, Appraisal Institute, commented that the Appraisal Institute would strongly object to removing the 4-hour requirement but probably be supportive of adding it legislatively. He mentioned the importance of public trust in the assessment and real estate profession. He noted appraisers have the same problem, where their members would have difficulty and may have to spend money out of their own pocket because employers only pay for 28 hours every 2 years.

Ms. Cochran commented that AAP would fully support training with the hope of being able to increase the required hours to 32 hours. She mentioned that counties are looking at their budgets every year, and the assessment office seems to be one of the first areas where they look at cutting their education and did not believe it was fair to lump

CPEs in with the appraisal industry.

2 Chairman Pasquarella thanked Ms. Cochran and Mr.

3 | Hartman for their contributions today.]

\* >

1

4

5 Appointment - Pennsylvania Health Insurance Exchange

6 Authority (Pennie) Presentation

7 [Charles (Chachi) Angelo, Director of External

8 Affairs at Pennie, stated Pennie was formed by

9 | bipartisan unanimous legislation with Act 42 of 2019

10 to move away from HealthCare.gov, increase premium

11 | savings for Pennsylvanians, and launch a reinsurance

12 program for lower income families. He noted Pennie

13 is the official health care marketplace with a

14 | mission to maximize the number of Pennsylvanians with

15 affordable quality health coverage and the only

16 source that connects individuals to financial

17 assistance to reduce the cost of coverage and care.

18 Mr. Angelo explained that Pennie helps

19 individuals and families who do not have access to

20 health care through their employer. He mentioned

21 Pennie operates a no wrong door policy to help

22 | individuals to the appropriate program and also works

23 | with Medicaid and the Children's Health Insurance

24 Program (CHIP).

25 Mr. Angelo reported 371,516 enrollees through

- Pennie and that 9 out of 10 Pennie customers qualify
  for financial assistance. He explained that the
  health insurance offered through Pennie is high
  quality and includes 10 essential health benefits
  from the Affordable Care Act, including medical and
  dental.
  - Mr. Angelo noted the advanced premium tax credit is immediate and cost-sharing reductions help with copays and deductibles for available plans, including gold, silver, and bronze. He mentioned that individuals may not be aware of Pennie and that the amount of financial assistance is higher than it has ever been.

- Mr. Angelo stated Pennie wanted to make health insurance purchasing easier by providing self-help and customer service and provided examples of financial assistance. He noted over one-third of Pennie enrollees are between 55 and 64 and early retirees not eligible for Medicare.
- Mr. Angelo addressed the enrollment period, noting Pennie added an additional month and runs from November 1 to January 15, along with a special enrollment period that runs throughout the year for a qualifying life event. He noted a special enrollment period opens automatically for someone whose income

1 falls below or at 150 percent of the federal poverty 2 scale.

Mr. Angelo discussed the Path to Pennie, where someone fills out a form during tax season that the Department of Revenue sends to Pennie and then informs the individual that they are eligible for financial assistance.

Mr. Angelo addressed changes to Medicaid redetermination starting in April, where those who were on Medicaid and CHIP were not allowed to be kicked off even if they no longer qualified because of COVID-19 relief efforts.

Mr. Angelo referred to the Consolidated

Appropriations Act of 2023, where the process would

return to normal and anyone ineligible for Medicaid

would be sent to Marketplace coverage. Pennie in that

sense. He noted that all Medicaid and CHIP

recipients must complete an annual renewal to see if

they qualify for coverage, noting it would be taking

place of the next 12 months.

Mr. Angelo explained that the Department of Human Services (DHS) would be sending out communications to individuals 90 days ahead of their renewal with the renewal packet arriving in 60 days and notifying them it is time to complete their renewal packet in 30

days. He noted that individuals would be informed whether they are eligible for Medicaid or Pennie.

Mr. Angelo noted that anyone ineligible for Medicaid would be automatically transferred over to Pennie, where Pennie could set up their account, basically submit their application for them, and then contact these individuals to choose a plan and stay enrolled. He mentioned that Pennie also reaches out to individuals who did not reply to their Medicaid renewal packet.

Mr. Angelo stated Pennie would be doing a lot of advertising over the next year to get the word out. He mentioned that anyone who loses medical assistance (MA) would have 120 days of that special enrollment period to enroll in a plan but are encouraged to enroll within the first 60 days to avoid a gap in coverage.

Mr. Angelo stated the change would affect about 3 million Pennsylvanians and wanted to raise the awareness of Pennie and the redetermination process. He encouraged anyone who may come across somebody who lost MA coverage to send them to the Department of Human Services website or Pennie. He also encouraged everyone to become a Pennie helper to receive updates. He mentioned that Pennie is a great

option and the easiest way to drive down health care costs because they are Pennsylvania's only access to financial assistance.

Mr. Angelo informed Board members that Pennie would be available to attend educational sessions and events, along with having a community partner workgroup that meets the second Friday of every month from 11 a.m. until noon to review general and operational updates. He also provided links for accessing their toolkits.

Chairman Pasquarella thanked Mr. Angelo for the presentation.]

14 | Applications for Review

15 MR. ROUSE:

Item 10 on the agenda is the Request for Extension of Approval to Sit for the Certified Residential Real Estate Appraiser Examination of John B.

Taylor, Case No. 22-70-004500.

This was a matter that was discussed in Executive Session. I believe the Chair would entertain a motion to grant John B. Taylor's Request for Extension of Approval to

Request for Extension of Approval to

21 Sit for the Certified Residential Real 1 2 Estate Appraiser Examination for 90 3 days. 4 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA: 5 Does someone care to make that motion, 6 please? 7 MR. AUSHERMAN: 8 I so move that the extension be granted 9 for 90 days. 10 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA: Do we have a second? 11 MR. EDGER: 12 I'll second. 13 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA: 14 15 Let's do the roll call. 16 Joseph Pasquarella, aye; Mark Smeltzer, 17 18 aye; John Ausherman, aye; William 19 Stoerrle, aye; Michael McFarlane, aye; 20 Randy Waggoner, aye; Paul Edger, aye. 21 [The motion carried unanimously.] 22 23 Review of Requests 24 MR. ROUSE: 25 Item 11 on the agenda is the Licensed

22 Appraiser Trainee Renewal Extension 1 2 Request for Reid Fox. 3 This was a matter that was discussed in Executive Session. 4 5 believe the Chair would entertain a motion to grant the Licensed Appraiser 6 7 Trainee Renewal Extension Request of 8 Reid Fox at item 11 on the agenda. 9 MR. SMELTZER: 10 I so move. MR. AUSHERMAN: 11 12 I second. 13 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA: 14 Thank you. 15 16 Joseph Pasquarella, aye; Mark Smeltzer, aye; John Ausherman, aye; William 17 18 Stoerrle, aye; Michael McFarlane, aye; 19 Randy Waggoner, aye; Paul Edger, aye. 20 [The motion carried unanimously.] \* \* \* 21 22 MR. ROUSE: 23 Item 12 on the agenda is Thomas 24 I believe the Chair would Yanchick. 25 entertain a motion to table this matter

2.3

```
1
                  of the request of Thomas Yanchick for
2
                  Extension of a Licensed Appraiser
3
                  Trainee Renewal.
4
   MR. AUSHERMAN:
5
                  I so move that it is tabled until the
 6
                  May meeting.
7
   MR. WAGGONER:
8
                  Second.
9
   CHAIRMAN PASOUARELLA:
10
                  Joseph Pasquarella, aye; Mark Smeltzer,
11
                  aye; John Ausherman, aye; William
12
                  Stoerrle, aye; Michael McFarlane, aye;
13
                  Randy Waggoner, aye; Paul Edger, aye.
14
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
15
16
   Report of Board Counsel - Miscellaneous
17
   [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, referred to
18
   the fifth exposure graft of proposed changes to
19
   USPAP, noting proposed advisory opinions 39 and 40
20
   were issued by the Appraisal Standards Board and a
21
   copy could be found on The Appraisal Foundation's
22
   website at www.theappraisalfoundation.org.
23
        Mr. Rouse stated the Appraisal Standards Board
24
   is accepting written comments to the exposure draft
25
   through April 29, 2023, and should be submitted to
```

2.4

www.surveymonkey.com/r/asbcomments or
asbcomments@appraisalfoundation.org. He also
informed everyone of a webinar on the fifth exposure
draft at 1 p.m., noting registration at
www.appraisalfoundation.org.

- Mr. Rouse addressed proposed changes to the fifth exposure draft, including a nondiscrimination section that has been added to the Ethics Rule. He stated the nondiscrimination section has four prohibitions that apply across appraisal disciplines regardless of whether antidiscrimination laws or regulations also apply. He noted the prohibitions apply with respect to an enumerated list of personal characteristics that are drawn from federal antidiscrimination protections including race, ethnicity, color, religion, sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression, marital status, familial status, age, receipt of public assistance income, or disability of any person.
- Mr. Rouse stated the four prohibitions in the nondiscrimination section, where an appraiser must not develop and/or report an opinion of value based, in whole or in part, on any of the listed characteristics; an appraiser must not base an opinion of value upon the homogeneity of the

inhabitants of the geographic area is relevant for
the appraiser; an appraiser must not perform an
assignment with bias with respect to any of the
listed characteristics; an appraiser must not use or
rely upon another characteristic as a pretext to
conceal the use of or reliance upon a listed
characteristic.

Mr. Rouse stated there would be limited permissive language which identifies that an appraiser may use or rely on a protected characteristic if any of the following apply: use or reliance on protective characteristics must not be prohibited by antidiscrimination laws and regulations and the use or reliance on protected characteristics must be essential to the assignment and necessary for credible assignment results.

Mr. Rouse noted that an example of when reliance on a protected characteristic may be permitted is in the case of age-restricted housing. He noted the fifth exposure draft also proposes to add advisory opinions 39 and 40, where advisory opinion 39 shows how the Fair Housing Act, Equal Credit Opportunity Act, and the Civil Rights Act of 1886 are relevant to appraisal practice. He stated advisory opinion 39 provides examples of how certain actions can violate

these laws and also explains the concept of disparate treatment and disparate impact.

Mr. Rouse explained that advisory opinion 40 provides guidance related to the prohibitions on using particular protected characteristics in developing an opinion of value for residential real property assignments and in the research, analysis, and reporting of location-related data. He noted advisory opinion 40 also provides examples of code words that could be identified as a pretext for discrimination.

Mr. Rouse stated the fifth exposure draft proposes to retire the definition of certain words, including assignment elements, misleading, and relevant characteristics. He noted definitions would be modified for appraiser, personal inspection, and workfile.

Michelle Czekalski Bradley, Chair, Appraisal Standards Board; CGA, AQB-certified USPAP Instructor, requested comments regarding the fifth exposure draft be submitted by April 29 because the board would be meeting that week. She informed everyone of a virtual public meeting on May 5 and encouraged everyone to sign up for that meeting on The Appraisal Foundation's website at appraisal foundation.org,

where potential changes could be adopted.

Ms. Czekalski Bradley pointed out a change from the fourth exposure draft, where the Board was proposing to delete the definition of personal inspection in all prior drafts but proposing to modify the definition in the fifth exposure draft. She also noted a revised advisory opinion 2, which relates to inspections in general.]

\* \* \*

10 | Regulations/Statute

final-omitted regulation.

[Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, informed Board members that he is working on Regulation 16A-7031. He noted there was a change in regulations identified that their regulation regarding appraisal management company (AMC) owner limitations is inconsistent with the federal law and is doing a

Mr. Rouse referred to Section 204 of the Commonwealth Documents Law, where the Board is authorized to omit the regular procedures of a proposed rulemaking if the Board finds the specified procedures are impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest. He mentioned that they may be able to move it as final omitted since it is an inconsistency with federal law and unnecessary

to go through the proposed rulemaking process.

Mr. Rouse addressed 16A-7025 regarding fees, noting he received comments from regulatory counsel and would have to review numbers with the Bureau of Finance and Operations (BFO) but would keep the Board posted.

Mr. Rouse requested Board approval to bring Regulation 16A-7027 regarding broker price opinion (BPO) back at the next meeting to allow him to address an issue with the surety bond that is also a part of the AMC statute, and the Board approved his request.]

13 \*\*\*

14 Matters for Discussion

[Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, informed Board members that the Appraisal Subcommittee (ASC) has issued a 60-day notice regarding an information collection request for ASC to conduct an Appraiser Profession Survey project. He noted a copy of the notice can be accessed through the Federal Register website at www.federalregister.gov/d/2023-05838.

Mr. Rouse stated ASC is requesting public comments be submitted to webmaster@asc.gov by May 22, 2023. He mentioned that ASC is seeking approval from the Office of Management and Budget to collect

```
29
1
   information directly from appraisal professionals who
2
   belong to underserved communities. He explained that
3
   the Appraiser Profession Survey is expected to
4
   provide data to better understand the challenges
5
   facing the current appraisal industry, including
6
   barriers to entry into the profession as well as
7
   appraiser shortages.
8
        Mr. Rouse further explained that the objective
9
   is to collect new data about real estate appraisers
10
   of single-family residential properties to improve
11
   ASC's understanding of current demographics of the
12
   appraisal profession, barriers to entry, especially
13
   women and persons from underserved communities,
   including people of color, and current trends and
14
15
   patterns of the appraisal practice.
16
        Mr. Rouse provided data from the United States
   Bureau of Labor Statistics in 2022 showing
17
18
   approximately 100,000 property appraisers and
19
   assessors, noting approximately 7,600 are persons of
20
   color and 7,800 who identified as Hispanic.]
21
22
   Report of Board Chairman - No Report
23
24
   Report of Board Administrator - No Report
```

\* \* \*

Conference Reports - No Reports

\* \*

3 Exploratory Committee

4 [Michael McFarlane, Professional Member; Chairman,

5 Exploratory Committee, provided an update of where

6 the committee stands regarding seeking approval to

7 obtain grants. He believed he had all of the

Regulatory Officials (AARO) Conference.

8 | necessary elements to submit a pre-application for

9 review and comments from the Appraisal Subcommittee

10 and then hopefully expedite the process as quickly as

11 possible.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

Mr. McFarlane noted a narrative is required to be associated with some of the ASC forms and provided an overview of the primary topics for which the Board is seeking funding. He stated the Board would be seeking a grant related to the cost of Pennsylvania State Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers members attending the Association of Appraiser

Mr. McFarlane mentioned the importance of Board members staying abreast of current empirical information to best administrate and regulate licensees within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. He noted AARO Conferences to be the best source of information to collectively stay abreast of industry

topics and share experiences with other state boards or regulatory officials.

Mr. McFarlane stated the Board would be asking for a grant to upgrade some of their technology, particularly conference room audio, as well as the issuance of Microsoft Surface tablets for each board member.

Mr. McFarlane noted the Board would be seeking a bias in appraising grant to subsidize the forthcoming costs related to new mandatory continuing education topics for all licensees under the Board's regulation. He explained that the intent is to establish a fund or scholarship fund at the state level if it is permissible through the ASC and administer funds or grants based on applications to the Board for this type of request.

Mr. McFarlane mentioned also seeking to establish a scholarship or grant fund held by the Board to provide grants for individuals in need to offsetting the cost for the Practical Applications of Real Estate Appraisal (PAREA), which goes hand-in-hand with the opportunity to increase market entrance, particularly for individuals from rural areas or underserved communities.

Mr. McFarlane stated the Board would also be

seeking a grant related to offsetting the cost of USPAP for certified Pennsylvania evaluators.

Mr. McFarlane noted Excel documents are available for the Board's review and is essentially asking for \$116,000 from the Appraisal Subcommittee as their initial grant award. He requested a Board vote as to whether now is a good time to submit the grant package for pre-application review.

Mr. Rouse asked whether there was any Board discussion or any pre-application package amendments. He addressed his attendance at a State Regulatory Advisory Group Meeting yesterday, noting a discussion about how David Button had a meeting with the International Association of Assessing Officers (IAAO). He noted there is national interest in some type of association with the assessors of the national assessors' association.

Mr. McFarlane further explained that this would be the initial grant request but could be modified in any subsequent years and believed the Board should submit the request as soon as possible.

Mr. Smeltzer asked whether any consideration was made into sending Ms. Hennessy Hemler, Mr. Rouse, and Mr. Michalowski because there are separate breakout groups for the administrators at the AARO Meeting and

believed the Board would benefit.

Mr. Michalowski mentioned that a lot of the legal courses were taught separately outside of AARO but seem to have moved to be coincidental with AARO and not offered separately.

Mr. Smeltzer commented that AARO has separate breakout sessions for investigators, administrators, and Board attorneys and suggested they be included. He pointed out that The Appraisal Foundation has the association with the assessors' group but does not mean the ASC does. He mentioned that ASC is strictly under the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (FIRREA), where The Appraisal Foundation existed before FIRREA and covers everything in appraising, so there are separate standards for developing mass appraisals.

Mr. McFarlane informed Mr. Smeltzer that all members of the Coalition of Pennsylvania Real Estate Appraisers (CPREA) have been included in the package and not just the professional members.]

21 MR. ROUSE:

Do we have a motion to approve the submission of the pre-application package?

25 MR. AUSHERMAN:

1 I so move.

2 MR. STOERRLE:

8

3 Second.

4 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA:

Joseph Pasquarella, aye; Mark Smeltzer,

aye; John Ausherman, aye; William

7 Stoerrle, aye; Michael McFarlane, aye;

Randy Waggoner, aye; Paul Edger, aye.

9 [The motion carried unanimously.]

10 \*\*\*

11 | Public Comment/Discussion

12 [R. Scott Hartman, SRA, Appraisal Institute, referred

13 to regulations read that a trainee must have at least

14 1,500 hours of experience in interior and exterior

15 inspections. He mentioned that Mr. Myers, also from

16 the Appraisal Institute, wants to hire a trainee but

17 his practice is primarily right-of-way land and does

18 not have an interior. He asked whether his trainee

19 would be denied if they turned in 2,000 hours of that

20 kind of experience.

21 Mr. Rouse explained that the Board, Board

22 | Counsel, and Board office cannot provide an advisory

23 opinion.

Bob Myers, MAI, Appraisal Institute, referred to

 $25 \mid \$ 36.12(e)(2)$ , where at least 50 percent of the total

experience requirement must be in the actual preparation of real estate appraisal reports, which includes physical inspections of the interior and exterior of the subject properties, in accordance with § 36.13.

Chairman Pasquarella commented that he could not see a reason why they would be denied because there is no interior of land and would be referring to the inspection. He stated it would not be a place where the Board would deny those hours of credit because there is no interior when inspecting corridors for a right-of-way appraisal.

Mr. Myers stated his practice has a lot of contested litigation appraisals, where there is a building and not just land but no interior inspection is granted. He asked what he could tell his trainees to expect up front when they put their application in if they do inspect improved properties but only the exterior. He explained that it is not a drive by but a full exterior but from off-site inspection.

Mr. Rouse explained that the Board cannot provide an advisory opinion because the Board does not know what someone is actually presenting without an application for the Board to review and could only direct someone to the statute and the regulation.

Mr. Smeltzer mentioned that more people are doing desktop and hybrid appraisals and would be running into the same issue, but their regulation for general states that 1,250 has to include interior inspection out of the 1,500 hours. He noted it to also be an issue for appraisers who specialize in hybrid and desktop appraisals. He referred to a question the Board had about what form those should be utilized because they do not include either an interior or exterior inspection.

Chairman Pasquarella commented that it is not applicable for land, air, or mineral rights, because there is nothing interior to look at. He noted the Board cannot speak on improved property because they have to see what is actually being sought after in the application. He mentioned that the Board needs to address hybrid appraisals at some future date.

Mr. Smeltzer stated the Board does not review experience hours at the beginning and is reviewed by staff to make decisions. He noted the importance of providing some guidance and direction regarding what form is utilized for a land appraisal because there is a form for interior, exterior, exterior only, and other but asked whether they need one for land.

Mr. Rouse explained that any questions staff

1 would have concerning land would then go to the Board 2 for review.

Chairman Pasquarella suggested having a discussion regarding hybrids and properties that cannot be inspected at the next meeting. He thanked Mr. Myers and Mr. Hartman for bringing the issue forward, noting the Board would definitely take it into consideration.

Scott DiBiasio, Manager, State and Industry
Affairs, Appraisal Institute, commented that the
Board completed action several months ago on PAREArelated rules but have not been put into the
Independent Regulatory Review Commission (IRRC)
process. He noted they are getting close to the time
when PAREA programs would be available in the
marketplace and would like to do everything they can
to ensure the rules, regulations, policies, and
procedures are in place by the time the programs are
available. He asked where they stand in the
submission of the rules.

Mr. Rouse explained that the packet has not been submitted for the proposed rulemaking to the legislature and IRRC and is still in the process at his office. He mentioned it would then be distributed for publication in the *Pennsylvania* 

Bulletin but are not quite there yet.

Mr. Rouse further explained that the legislature and IRRC receive a copy of the proposed rulemaking and then it is published in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*, where the public would be given 30 days to make written comments, and then IRRC is given 30 days to review those comments and make its own comments. He stated it would then go back to his office to determine whether there were any recommended changes based on the public comments and IRRC's review and then be moved to the final rulemaking process.

Mr. Smeltzer commented that the initial PAREA programs are supposed to be coming out as early as September of this year and requested information regarding how many states already approved it and where Pennsylvania stands on that list.

Mr. DiBiasio explained that there are about 43 states that have indicated they would accept PAREA to one degree or another, and Pennsylvania is in that category; however, many states are still in the midst of the rulemaking process and may or may not have everything in place by the time the programs are available.

Mr. DiBiasio stated the Appraisal Institute is working with many states to move them along in the

- 1 rulemaking process and have everything in place to
  2 accept PAREA when those programs come online in a few
  3 months.
- Chairman Pasquarella mentioned the importance of the PAREA program and training appraisers properly.

  He believed PAREA to be a great body of knowledge that the Board should do as much as they can quickly to see it to completion. He thanked Mr. DiBiasio for
- Mr. Smeltzer mentioned that he is looking forward to seeing presentations from McKissock and the Appraisal Institute on PAREA at the AARO Meeting.]

bringing the matter to the Board's attention.

- 13
- 14 | Adjournment
- 15 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA:
- Do I have a motion to adjourn this
- 17 meeting?
- 18 MR. MCFARLANE:
- 19 So moved.
- 20 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA:
- 21 Second?
- 22 MR. AUSHERMAN:
- 23 Second.
- 24 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA:
- 25 All in favor, say aye?

40 [The motion carried unanimously.] 1 2 3 [There being no further business, the State Board of 4 Certified Real Estate Appraisers Meeting adjourned at 5 12:23 p.m.] \* \* \* 6 7 8 CERTIFICATE 9 10 I hereby certify that the foregoing summary 11 minutes of the State Board of Certified Real Estate 12 Appraisers meeting, was reduced to writing by me or 13 under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately 14 summarize the substance of the State Board of 15 Certified Real Estate Appraisers meeting. 16 17 18 19 Benjamin Morrow, 20 Minute Clerk 21 Sargent's Court Reporting 22 Service, Inc. 23 24 25

			41
1 2 3 4 5 6 7		STATE BOARD OF CERTIFIED REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS REFERENCE INDEX	
5 6		April 13, 2023	
8	TIME	AGENDA	
9 .0 .1	9:00 10:30	Executive Session Return to Open Session	
.3	10:31	Official Call to Order	
5	10:32	Roll Call	
6 7	10:32	Introduction of Public Attendees	
8 9	10:34	Approval of Minutes	
0 1	10:35	Report of Prosecutorial Division	
2 3	10:41	Report of Board Counsel	
4 5 6	10:51	Appointment - Assessors' Association of Pennsylvania	-
7 8 9 0	11:00	Appointment - Pennsylvania Health Insurance Exchange Authority (Pennie)	
1 2	11:28	Applications for Review	
3	11:31	Review of Requests	
	11:32	Report of Board Counsel (cont.)	
	11:41	Regulations/Statute	
3	11:44	Matters for Discussion	
0   1	11:48	Exploratory Committee	
2	12:01	Public Comment/Discussion	
4 5 6 7 8	12:23	Adjournment	
9			