State Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers January 14, 2021

В

BOARD MEMBERS:

Joseph D. Pasquarella, Chairman, Professional Member

Jeffrey L. Walters, Vice Chairman, Professional Member

Mark V. Smeltzer Sr., Secretary, Professional Member - Absent

John Ausherman, Professional Member

Martha H. Brown, Esquire, Secretary of the Commonwealth designee

Merna T. Hoffman, Esquire, Deputy Attorney General, Office of Attorney General Designee

K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

David Matthews, Professional Member

William T. Stoerrle Jr., Professional Member

Paul H. Wentzel Jr., Senior Legislative Director, Department of Banking and Securities designee

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel

Ray Michalowski, Esquire, Senior Board P

Ray Michalowski, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecution Liaison

Carolyn DeLaurentis, Deputy Chief Counsel, Prosecution Division

Caroline A. Bailey, Esquire, Board Prosecutor

Christopher K. McNally, Esquire, Board Prosecutor

Tiffany A. Raker, Esquire, Board Prosecutor

Todd P. Kriner, Esquire, Assistant Counsel, Counsel Division

Heidy M. Weirich, Board Administrator

Theodore Stauffer, Executive Secretary, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

Marc Farrell, Deputy Policy Director, Department of State

State Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers January 14, 2021

ALSO PRESENT:

Jen Smeltz, Republican Executive Director, Senate Consumer Protection & Professional Licensure Committee

Jerry J. Livingston, Democratic Executive Director, Senate Consumer Protection & Professional Licensure Committee

Shannon Snell, Research Analyst, Democratic House Professional Licensure Committee

Wayne C. Crawford, Esquire, Public Policy Manager, Pennsylvania Association of Realtors

Michelle Bradley, Certified General Appraiser, Appraiser Qualifications Board Certified Uniform Standards of Professional Appraiser Practice Instructor

Dan Bradley, Appraisal Curriculum and Content Director, McKissock

4 * * * 1 State Board of Certified 2 3 Real Estate Appraisers January 14, 2021 4 * * * 5 6 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 9:00 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session with Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, to have attorney-client consultations and for the purpose of 10 conducting quasi-judicial deliberations. The Board 11 returned to open session at 10:30 a.m.] * * * 12 13 [Theodore Stauffer, Executive Secretary, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs, noted the 14 15 meeting was being recorded, and those who remained on the line were giving their consent to being recorded.] 16 * * * 17 18 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State 19 Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers was held on 20 Thursday, January 14, 2021. Joseph D. Pasquarella, 21 Chairman, Professional Member, officially called the 22 meeting to order at 10:30 a.m. 23

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

[Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, informed

everyone that the meeting of the State Board of

24

```
Certified Real Estate Appraisers was being held via
1
2
   teleconference pursuant to Governor Wolf's March 16,
3
   2020 waiver of the physical presence requirement in
4
   Section 4(i) of the Real Estate Appraisers
5
   Certification Act. He also noted, as stated before,
6
   the teleconference was being recorded, and those
   continuing to participate in the meeting were giving
   their consent to being record.]
10
   [Roll Call/Introduction of Public Members]
11
   [Joseph D. Pasquarella, Chairman, Professional Member,
12
   requested a roll call and introduction of public
13
   members.1
                              * * *
14
15
   Report of Prosecutorial Division
   [Caroline A. Bailey, Esquire, Board Prosecutor,
16
17
   presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 20-70-
   001586.
18
19
        Ms. Bailey thanked Ms. Weirich for all she has
20
   done for prosecution and wished her the best in her
21
   retirement.1
                              * * *
2.2
2.3
   MR. ROUSE:
2.4
                  Regarding the Consent Agreement at Item
25
                  No. 1 on the agenda at Case No. 20-70-
```

```
6
                  001586, I believe the Board would
1
2
                  entertain a motion to adopt the Consent
3
                  Agreement.
                       Is there such a motion?
 4
5
   MR. STOERRLE:
6
                  I make the motion.
7
   MR. ROUSE:
                  Is there a second?
9
   MR. AUSHERMAN:
10
                  Second.
11
   MR. ROUSE:
12
                  Any discussion? All those in favor, say
13
                  aye. All those opposed, say nay.
14
   [The motion carried unanimously. Case No. 20-70-
15
   001586 is the matter of BPOA v. Thomas William
16
   Yanchick Jr.]
                               * * *
17
18
   [Tiffany A. Raker, Esquire, Board Prosecutor,
19
   presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 19-70-
20
   017110.]
                               * * *
21
22
   MR. ROUSE:
2.3
                  Regarding the Consent Agreement at Item
2.4
                  No. 2 on the agenda at Case No. 19-70-
25
                  017110, I believe the Board would
```

```
entertain a motion to adopt the Consent
1
2
                  Agreement.
3
                       Is there such a motion?
4
   MR. AUSHERMAN:
5
                  I make the motion that we adopt the
6
                  Consent Agreement.
7
   MR. ROUSE:
                  Is there a second?
9
   MS. BROWN:
10
                  Second.
11
   MR. ROUSE:
12
                  Any discussion? All those in favor, say
13
                  aye. All those opposed, say nay.
14
   [The motion carried unanimously. Case No. 19-70-
15
   017110 is the matter of BPOA v. Jason Thomas Grec.]
16
17
   Report of Board Counsel
18
   [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, addressed
19
   the fourth exposure draft of the 2022-2023 edition of
2.0
   Uniform Standards of Professional Appraiser Practice
21
   (USPAP). He informed everyone that the Appraisal
22
   Foundation and the Appraisal Standards Board will be
23
   having a virtual discussion of the proposed changes in
   the fourth exposure draft of the 2022-2023 Edition of
24
25
   USPAP.
```

Q

Mr. Rouse stated Wayne Miller, the Chair of the Appraisal Standards Board, and Lisa Desmarais, the Vice President of Appraisal Institute at The Appraisal Foundation, will discuss how the proposed changes would solve current issues and answer questions and comments. He mentioned that anyone interested in participating can register to attend the virtual discussion by going to The Appraisal Foundation website at www.appraisalfoundation.org and click the events tab.

Mr. Rouse addressed the grant update from the Appraisal Subcommittee (ASC), noting the Appraisal Subcommittee has \$10 million in grants to support state appraiser regulatory agencies. He noted states were awarded grants that ranged from \$57,100 to \$120,000 for the first round, and applications for the next round must be submitted by February 15, 2021.

Chairman Pasquarella suggested the Board request money for training of Board members and attendance at the Association of Appraiser Regulatory Officials (AARO) Conference.]

22 ***

23 MR. ROUSE:

2.4

Is there a motion to submit an application for funding for training and

```
9
                  the AARO Conference submitted to the
1
2
                  Appraisal Subcommittee grant program?
3
   MR. MATTHEWS:
                  I make that motion.
 4
   MR. STOERRLE:
5
                  I second that motion.
6
7
   MR. ROUSE:
                  Any discussion? All those in favor, say
9
                  aye. All those opposed, say nay.
10
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
11
   Matters for Discussion
12
13
   MR. ROUSE:
14
                  Regarding the Application of Certified
15
                  Pennsylvania Evaluator of Calvin Kent at
                  Item No. 6 on the agenda, I believe the
16
                  Board would entertain a motion to
17
18
                  approve the application.
                       Is there such a motion?
19
20
   MR. AUSHERMAN:
21
                  I make a motion that we approve the
22
                  application.
2.3
   MR. ROUSE:
24
                  Is there a second?
25
   MR. WENTZEL:
```

10 1 Second. 2 MR. ROUSE: 3 Any discussion? All those in favor, say 4 aye. All those opposed, say nay. 5 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 6 7 MR. ROUSE: Item No. 7 on the agenda is a Request 9 for Reconsideration of John Troup at 10 Case No. 20-70-012124 regarding the 11 request for reconsideration on the 12 Application for Licensed Appraiser 1.3 Trainee for John Troup. 14 I believe the Board would entertain 15 a motion to direct Board counsel to send 16 a letter to the applicant consistent with the discussions in executive 17 session. 18 19 Is there such a motion? 20 MR. WENTZEL: 21 So moved. 22 MR. ROUSE: 2.3 Is there a second? 2.4 MR. STOERRLE: 25 Second.

MR. ROUSE:

Any discussion? All those in favor, say aye. All those opposed, say nay.

4 [The motion carried unanimously.]

* * *

Appointment - Carolyn A. DeLaurentis, Esquire, Deputy
Chief Counsel, Prosecution Division Presentation
[Carolyn A. DeLaurentis, Esquire, Deputy Chief
Counsel, Prosecution Division, provided highlights of
the prosecution division's work during the past year.
She commended staff for all of their hard work to make
telework successful. She noted all decisions were
made thoughtfully and with the safety of all in mind.

Ms. DeLaurentis stated the Board prosecutors made every effort to monitor the restrictions, waivers, policies, and new procedures specific to the practice in each profession regarding challenges imposed on licensees by the COVID-19 pandemic.

Ms. DeLaurentis noted the Pennsylvania Licensing System (PALS) to be a lifeline. She stated the Bureau of Enforcement and Investigation (BEI) worked closely with prosecution to continue investigations and figure out the best methods during statewide shutdowns and stay-at-home orders. She also noted the Professional Compliance Office continued opening cases and

processing files. Legal assistants were working a hybrid schedule to ensure mailings and filings are completed.

1.3

Ms. DeLaurentis commented that the Board, Board staff, and counsel all have adapted to virtual Board meetings. Prosecution will continue to work with everyone to ensure efficient and effective presentation and resolution of cases. She mentioned 2020 was a year filled with challenges, but the prosecution division led by the incredible senior staff rose to the challenge and will continue to do so.

Ms. DeLaurentis informed the Board that 137 files were opened in 2020 and 143 in 2019 with 143 files closed in 2020 for the Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers. She noted 110 open files as of January 1, 2021. She thanked Timothy Smith for his assistance providing information for 2020.

Ms. DeLaurentis addressed enforcement actions with 24 total cases that resulted in discipline in 2020. She reported 36 warning letters, 14 fines, 1 suspension, 1 reprimand, 2 voluntary surrenders, and 1 probation in 2020. She noted 6 remediation agreements since the last update, noting 5 in 2020 and 1 with an effective order of January 6, 2021.

Ms. DeLaurentis explained that complainants are notified every time a final action is taken on a case, unless they request to remain anonymous.

Ms. DeLaurentis discussed 2020 overall prosecution as a whole. She noted 44 immediate temporary suspensions in 2020. She mentioned 6 of the 1,223 opened COVID-19 cases are for the Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers in 2020. She reported the total number of open cases as of January 1 is 12,250 and the total number of files opened overall in 2020 is 13,394, which is down from 2019 at 16,295. She noted 13,274 files were closed in 2020.

Mr. Wentzel requested more information on the nature of COVID complaints.

Mr. Michalowski explained that the vast majority of the appraiser complaints occurred in the early stages in a significantly restricted environment, where people were going into homes without masks. He noted people have been abiding by the requirements and standards now put in place.]

21 ***

22 Report of Board Counsel (Continued)

23 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, addressed

24 16A-7026 regarding general revisions to comply with

25 Act 88 of 2020 amendments of the Assessors

Certification Act. He noted Section 2 of the
Assessors Certification Act has been amended to
include the definition in § 36.201 of "certified
Pennsylvania evaluator" and deletes the definition of
assessor. He noted it also includes definitions of
"property valuation model," "revaluation company" and
"revaluation company personnel."

Chairman Pasquarella referred to § 36.201, where all persons responsible for the valuation of real property for ad valorem taxation purposes in the Commonwealth, that all revaluation company personnel shall be certified under the Act. He noted that seems to preclude general certified real estate appraisers and residential real estate appraisers that had been performing assessment appeal work and ad valorem work for many years and are competent in that area.

Chairman Pasquarella mentioned there would be resistance and conflict from appraisers and possibly harming the public, because there would not be an avenue by which property owners in the state of Pennsylvania would have a path to appeal the taxes.

Chairman Pasquarella explained that if a county in Pennsylvania assesses a property, performs a revaluation developing an opinion of an assessment of the property, which is recorded and is a significant

increase over what it was, and the property owner disagrees with the assessment, the property owner has the right of appeal. He explained that if a general or residential appraiser are not permitted to do real estate for ad valorem purposes, they would be subjecting the public to a potential issue.

Chairman Pasquarella further explained that the property owner would hire an attorney to appeal the taxes, and the attorney hires an appraiser to establish their opinion of value for assessment purposes. He stated the appraiser must identify the intended use of the appraisal. If it is done for assessment purposes, it typically reads that the intended use of the appraisal is for ad valorem taxation purposes.

Chairman Pasquarella noted a potential problem with the language used in the first sentence that would not give property owners the right of appeal, because there would not be anybody to do the appraisal if it is for ad valorem tax purposes.

Ms. Brown expressed concern with § 36.202, noting the header reads "certification requirements," and the text reads, "It shall be the duty of the Board to certify any person responsible for the valuation." She stated it sounds like the Board has to certify

everyone and suggested cross-referencing the act or deleting the section, noting the requirements are now in Act 88.

2.0

2.4

Chairman Pasquarella referred to § 36.201 and suggested revisiting the sentence requiring all persons responsible for the valuation of real property for ad valorem taxation purposes to allow an appraisal to be completed by a certified general appraiser or certified residential appraiser to also opine as to the value of the property for ad valorem tax purposes.

Mr. Rouse will research whether or not there is a specific statement in the Real Estate Certification Act regarding appraisers conducting ad valorem assessments and make that reference. He will add a section regarding an exception if not included already. He mentioned the proposed Annex will be updated and discussed again at the next Board meeting.

Mr. Stoerrle questioned whether the property owner would also be excluded, because property owners are also allowed to make an appeal.

Mr. Rouse agreed to research the issue, noting there may have to be a whole different section in the regulations, because it seemed like it was not currently in the regulations.

Chairman Pasquarella commented that an

application to appeal an assessment is done by the property owner or attorney, noting a real estate appraiser cannot make an application without the

Chairman Pasquarella addressed differences
between an appeal application versus an appraisal for
the appealer. He stated the Act may cover only the
initial assessment, like in the case of a reassessment
of the property performed by the county or the
jurisdiction. It is the initial assessment, but the
act may not preclude having an appeal done of the
property.]

13 ***

14 | Report of Board Chairman

property owner.

15 | [Joseph D. Pasquarella, Chairman, Professional Member,

16 acknowledged the retirement of Heidy Weirich, thanking

17 her for her hard work, diligence, and attention to

18 detail. Board, Board staff, and public members also

19 | wished her well.

Ms. Weirich wished everybody a happy and healthy

21 life and thanked everybody for their beautiful

22 | sentiments.]

4

5

6

10

11

12

23 ***

24 | [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted the

25 minutes for the December Board meeting will be

18 1 addressed at the next Board meeting.] 2 3 Report of Board Administrator 4 [Heidy M. Weirich, Board Administrator, reminded 5 everyone to file their code of conduct and financial 6 disclosures by May 1.] * * * Public Comment [Michelle Bradley, Certified General Appraiser, 10 Appraiser Qualifications Board Certified Uniform 11 Standards of Professional Appraiser Practice 12 Instructor, reminded everyone that comment letters for the upcoming 2022-2023 edition of USPAP are due 13 14 February 17, 2021. She informed the Board of an 15 upcoming webinar that will be recorded and also 16 available on The Appraisal Foundation website.] * * * 17 18 Adjournment 19 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA: 20 May I have a motion to adjourn? 21 MR. WALTERS: 22 Motion to adjourn. 2.3 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA: 2.4 Do we have a second? 25 MS. BROWN:

1 Second. 2 CHAIRMAN PASQUARELLA: 3 All in favor? Any opposed? 4 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 5 6 [There being no further business, the State Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers Meeting adjourned at 11:53 a.m.] 10 11 CERTIFICATE 12 I hereby certify that the foregoing summary 13 minutes of the State Board of Certified Real Estate 14 15 Appraisers meeting, was reduced to writing by me or 16 under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of 17 18 Certified Real Estate Appraisers meeting. 19 20 21 2.2 Evan Bingaman, 2.3 Minute Clerk 2.4 Sargent's Court Reporting 25 Service, Inc.

		20
1 2 3	STATE BOARD OF CERTIFIED REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS REFERENCE INDEX	
2 3 4 5 6	January 14, 2021	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	TIME AGENDA	
	9:00 Executive Session 10:30 Return to Open Session	
	10:30 Official Call to Order	
	10:36 Report of Prosecutorial Division	
	10:42 Report of Board Counsel	
	10:50 Matters for Discussion	
	10:55 Appointment - Carolyn A. DeLaurentis, Esquire, Deputy Chief Counsel, Prosecution Division Presentation	
25 26	11:07 Report of Board Counsel (Continued)	
27 28 29 30	11:31 Report of Board Chairman	
	11:52 Public Comment	
31 32	11:53 Adjournment	
33 34		
35 36		
37 38		
39 40 41		
41 42 43		
44 45		
46 47		
48 49		
50		