## State Board of Barber Examiners June 21, 2021

1 2

## BOARD MEMBERS:

K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs Dominic A. Muniz, Chairman, Professional Member Ronald Gray Jr., Vice Chairman, Professional Member Ginger Etter, Secretary, Consumer Protection Member Burr L. Edsall, Professional Member Michael McAndrew, Professional Member

## BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel
Dean F. Picarella, Esquire, Senior Board Counsel
James Ritchie Espino Ostman, Esquire, Board
Prosecution Liaison
Heather J. McCarthy, Esquire, Senior Prosecutor

Heather J. McCarthy, Esquire, Senior Prosecutor Kelly I. Diller, Board Administrator Theodore Stauffer, Executive Assistant, Bureau of

Professional and Occupational Affairs
Andrew LaFratte, MPA, Executive Policy Specialist,
Department of State

## ALSO PRESENT:

Jen Smeltz, Republican Executive Director, Senate Consumer Protection & Professional Licensure Committee

Jennifer Bersch, Program Manager, Pearson VUE Kellie Tavoularis, Technical Evaluation Manager, Pearson VUE

Kelly Kolling, Director of Operations, Barber School of Pittsburgh

Ruthann Mulay, Lead Barber Evaluator, Pearson VUE Stephanie Brayall, Regional Manager, Pearson VUE

3 \* \* \* 1 State Board of Barber Examiners 2 3 June 21, 2021 \* \* \* 4 5 [Theodore Stauffer, Executive Assistant, Bureau of 6 Professional and Occupational Affairs, noted the meeting was being recorded, and those who remained on the line were giving their consent to be recorded.] 10 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 11 9:00 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session with Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, to have 12 13 attorney-client communications and for the purpose of 14 conducting quasi-judicial deliberations. The Board 15 concluded its Executive Session at 10:30 a.m.] 16 17 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State Board 18 of Barber Examiners was held on Monday, June 21, 2021. 19 Dominic A. Muniz, Chairman, Professional Member, 20 called the meeting to order at 10:31 a.m. 21 22 Pledge of Allegiance 23 [The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.] \* \* \* 24 25 Roll Call

[Roll call was taken by Ms. Diller. A quorum was 1 2 noted to be present. 3 Chairman Muniz introduced and welcomed Burr Edsall as the newest member to the State Board of 4 Barber Examiners. 6 Mr. Edsall expressed his pleasure to serve on the Board. Mr. Rouse thanked Ken Jaram for his service to the State Board of Barber Examiners.] \* \* \* 10 Introduction of Guests 11 12 [Chairman Muniz requested an introduction of guests.] 13 \* \* \* Approval of minutes of the August 19, 2019 meeting 14 15 MR. ROUSE: 16 I believe there is a motion to accept 17 the minutes of August 19, 2019. Is there such a motion? 18 19 CHAIRMAN MUNIZ: 20 Motion. 21 MR. ROUSE: 22 Is there a second? 2.3 MS. ETTER: 24 Second. 25 MR. ROUSE:

5 Any discussion? All those in favor, say 1 2 aye. All those opposed, say nay. 3 [The motion carried. Mr. McAndrew and Mr. Edsall abstained from voting on the motion.] \* \* \* 5 6 Approval of minutes of the April 19, 2021 meeting MR. ROUSE: I believe there is a motion to accept 9 the minutes of April 19, 2021. 10 Is there such a motion? 11 MS. ETTER: 12 Motion. MR. ROUSE: 13 14 Is there a second? 15 MR. MCANDREW: Second. 16 17 MR. ROUSE: 18 Any discussion? All those in favor, say 19 aye. All those opposed, say nay. Any 20 abstentions? 21 [The motion carried. Mr. Edsall abstained from voting 22 on the motion. 2.3 8 \* \* \* 24 Report of Prosecutorial Division 25 [James Ritchie Espino Ostman, Esquire, Board

1 Prosecution Liaison, had no formal report to offer the 2 Board.

3

4 | Appointment - Pearson VUE

5 Jennifer Bersch, Program Manager, Pearson VUE,

6 addressed the lifting of COVID-19 restrictions,

7 | particularly in Pennsylvania across Pearson VUE

B testing sites. She reminded everyone that as of

9 August 17, 2020, the Pennsylvania State Board of

10 Barber Examiners approved the resumption of the PA

11 Barber Practical Examination beginning with the

12 September 2020 exams.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Ms. Bersch stated the Board approved exam modifications and confirmed the changes were meant to be temporary and the result of the COVID-19 pandemic safety protocols. She commented that Pearson VUE had been testing in their third-party practical sites with the modifications in place.

Ms. Bersch noted current modifications related to the actual facial shave, which was still currently being conducted on the live model, where the model has to remove the mask from behind his or her ears and places the mask in a vertical position so the shave steps can be conducted. She also noted the modified steps for the facial procedure are all taking place

currently on the manikin that the exam candidate brings with them to the exam site.

2.4

Ms. Bersch stated further conversations and details regarding how and when the modified exam steps can be lifted have yet to be determined. Masks are still required in Pearson VUE testing centers, but temperatures were no longer being taken of the models and candidates.

Ms. Bersch provided testing date information with an exam at the Harrisburg and Philadelphia locations on July 12, 2021, and at the Pittsburgh location on July 19, 2021.

Ms. Bersch expected more information to become available within the next few weeks and will convey the same to the Board for further discussion and modifications as the Board sees fit.

Ms. Bersch commented that Pennsylvania barber schools and barber shops requirements and regulations have changed, things are lifting, and Pearson VUE may not be 100 percent aligned at this time. She noted discussion with Pearson VUE's counsel talking about the next steps and what can be done for the Board when administering examinations, considering the modified steps are still in place.

Mr. Rouse addressed barber shop and school clinic

COVID-19 guidance, where the COVID-19 guidance for barber shops and barber schools was updated on the State Board of Barber Examiner's website on May 25, 2021, following the current CDC guidance for businesses regarding masking and social distancing.

1.3

2.0

2.2

Mr. Rouse provided a summary of the new guidance. He stated barber shops can take walk-in appointments. Barber shops and schools can open up to 100 percent. People can sit in a barber shop. Magazines are permitted on site. Barber shops can operate shoe shining businesses and allied businesses associated with barbering.

Mr. Rouse commented that the Board cannot provide guidance on how to determine whether or not someone is fully vaccinated or not fully vaccinated, noting it to be a business decision that has to be made and is part of the discussion that Pearson VUE was talking about, where they still require everyone to keep the mask on. He mentioned that the current modification of the barber exam should continue at this point to be consistent with the business decision that Pearson VUE has made because there is a question in terms of policing who is vaccinated and not vaccinated.

Mr. Rouse commented that the temporary modifications will continue at this time and Ms.

```
Bersch will return to the next Board meeting to
1
2
   provide an update and continue that discussion should
3
   things change.]
                              * * *
 4
5
   Report of Board Counsel - Adjudications and Order
6
   MR. ROUSE:
                  Item 3 on the agenda is the proposed
8
                  Adjudication and Order In the Matter of
9
                  BPOA v. Aurelio Rivera-Lopez, Case No.
                  18-42-011643. This was a matter that
10
                  was discussed in Executive Session.
11
12
                       I believe the Board would entertain
                  a motion to direct Board counsel to
1.3
14
                  prepare a Final Adjudication and Order
15
                  consistent with the discussion in
                  Executive Session.
16
17
                       Is there such a motion?
   CHAIRMAN MUNIZ:
18
19
                  Motion.
20
   MR. ROUSE:
21
                  Is there a second?
2.2
   MS. ETTER:
2.3
                  Second.
2.4
   MR. ROUSE:
25
                  Any discussion? All those in favor, say
```

```
10
1
                  aye. All those opposed, say nay.
2
                  abstentions?
3
   [The motion carried. Mr. Edsall abstained from voting
   on the motion.
                              * * *
5
6
   MR. ROUSE:
                  Item 4 on the agenda, which is the Final
                  Adjudication and Order of Anthony
8
                  Dennis, Case No. 20-42-010793, will be
10
                  placed on the next Board agenda.
                              * * *
11
12
   MR. ROUSE:
13
                  Item 5, the proposed Memorandum and
14
                  Order for BPOA v. Johnny D. Rodriguez,
15
                  Case No. 18-42-008052, will be placed on
16
                  the next Board agenda.
                              * * *
17
18
   Veterans' Licensure Report
19
   [Andrew LaFratte, MPA, Executive Policy Specialist,
20
   Department of State, presented results of the
21
   Veterans' Licensure Survey conducted over 2019 and
22
   2020. He stated the Veterans' Licensure Survey is
23
   part of a $422,000 three-year grant the Department of
2.4
   State received from the United States Department of
25
   Labor in 2018 with the goal to reduce occupational
```

1 licensure requirements and explore alternative
2 approaches, such as certification and maintaining
3 public health and safety.

2.2

2.4

Mr. LaFratte commented that the first year of the study was a 50-state comparison of Pennsylvania license requirements to the other 49 states and would be available on the Department of State's website within the next few weeks. He also mentioned a study for year three of the project concerning potential licensees who have moved to Pennsylvania within the last five or so years and fall under the category of immigrant, refugee, or asylee status.

Mr. LaFratte stated Pennsylvania has one of the largest veteran populations in the United States with nearly 1 million veterans and active-duty service members, military spouses, and dependents. He noted the United States Department of Labor estimated that the military trains people in nearly 1,000 civilian occupations; however, veterans continue to report finding employment as the most difficult challenge in transitioning to civilian life and work.

Mr. LaFratte addressed military spouses, where 35-50 percent work in fields that require licensure or certification. He commented that the United Service Organization (USO) estimates that military families

move once every three years, resulting in over 70

percent of military spouses having to renew their

license or having a license reissued upon moving. He

noted many military spouses are forced with holding

multiple state licenses at a time due to the

uncertainty of further and future moves, which becomes

expensive.

Mr. LaFratte noted licensure regulations may deter veterans or military spouses from entering licensed professions. He stated the goal is to remove barriers from veterans and military spouses and help them in transitioning from military to civilian employment and to obtain their occupational license.

2.2

2.3

Mr. LaFratte stated 215 veterans and military spouses were surveyed who held a license in Pennsylvania or in another state, and follow-up data was obtained identifying issues with the transition process.

Mr. LaFratte addressed marketing and data collection strategies, including the Department of Veterans Affairs, social and traditional media, and Facebook and Twitter posts in terms of getting the word out.

Mr. LaFratte addressed respondent profiles, noting a good response from cosmetologists and a

handful of barbers. He stated over a third of respondents served in the Army with a lot of representation from the Army National Guard, Air Force, Navy, and the Marines.

2.0

2.4

Mr. LaFratte addressed the GI Bill and the use of that as it pertains to education at 69 percent, noting the most popular major was healthcare, business, engineering, education, and criminal justice.

Mr. LaFratte provided a transition overview, where 42 percent were able to find civilian employment within three months and 16 percent over one year. He addressed Pennsylvania's licensure process compared to other states, noting Pennsylvania is in line with the national trend in terms of reciprocity. He mentioned that most respondents received their occupational license within three months of applying, noting most respondents spent between \$200 and \$500 in initial licensing expenses with the second most popular answer in the \$0 to \$200 range.

Mr. LaFratte addressed difficulty in transferring military credentials and experience to satisfy state occupational licensure requirements, which seemed to pose the most significant barrier to veterans. He noted that transferring credentials was the veterans most popular answer, whereas the licensure fees was

the most popular answer for military spouses.

2.3

2.4

Mr. LaFratte stated respondents reported acceptance of military training, education and experience, and licensure fee waivers to be the most beneficial to veterans and military spouses.

Mr. LaFratte addressed the open comment section, where respondents provided feedback related to the survey for occupational licensing in general. He stated experience and training received from the military needs to be identified in the civilian sector, creating a portal to match service members' talents to civilian employment requirements, assistance with licensure fees would ease the transition, and the constant moves between states lead to expensive licensure costs.

Mr. LaFratte addressed policy recommendations and hurdles, such as duplicate coursework and unnecessary training. He noted veterans without college degrees are often pushed to low-skilled jobs even though their background translates well into the abilities required to perform the positions. He mentioned the need for uniform recognition of veterans' skills to aid those in transitioning into the civilian workforce and to reduce unfairness.

Mr. LaFratte addressed the Maryland Veterans'

- 1 Full Employment Act of 2013, where Maryland licensing
- 2 | boards are required to consider relevant military
- 3 experience when calculating their years of practice
- 4 and requires licensing boards to credit any
- 5 substantially equivalent military training and
- 6 education.
- Mr. LaFratte stated the act requires certain
- 8 health occupation boards to assign advisors to
- 9 military applicants who would guide veterans through
- 10 the licensing process and direct them to opportunities
- 11 where they can build credentials if they do not
- 12 possess the requisite military experience.
- Mr. LaFratte stated the Department of State
- 14 Office of Policy is developing a military occupational
- 15 crosswalk to identify civilian career opportunities
- 16 for veterans using their military experience.
- 17 Mr. LaFratte is projecting the crosswalk will
- 18 | contain over 350 military occupations across all five
- 19 branches of the armed forces with over 70 percent of
- 20 occupations residing in the healthcare field. He
- 21 noted the goal of the crosswalk is to educate the
- 22 | state legislature, licensing boards and commissions,
- 23 veteran community, and employers on how military
- 24 training and experience aligns directly with civilian
- 25 education and work experience.

Mr. LaFratte addressed a policy recommendation to be done legislatively to expedite an application review for veterans and military spouses by creating an efficient review process minimizing the amount of administrative documents and requirements and even waiving certain licensure prerequisites, including fees and redundant training requirements.

2.0

Mr. LaFratte provided a map of states that allow temporary permits for military spouses and states that offer expedited application review for military spouses. He noted Pennsylvania offers temporary permits for military spouses. He noted this would have to be done legislatively and with the infrastructure on the Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs (BPOA) side.

Mr. LaFratte addressed initial licensure fee waivers for military spouses because of the burden in terms of expenses and renewal of multiple state licenses due to the uncertainty of moving from state to state.

Mr. LaFratte addressed increasing the presence of veterans and military spouses on licensing boards to reflect the licensee population by bringing in different perspectives to allow for more inclusive decision-making processes to help govern the

professions and remove the barriers for veterans.

Mr. LaFratte addressed licensure by examination for veterans who have already performed the occupation in the military but may not have the opportunity to apply for a civilian license in the same field due to overbearing licensure statutes requiring completion of a civilian training program before they sit for an examination. He noted competency-based skills and knowledge assessments will give veterans an opportunity to get back to work quickly and prove their extensive training background and competency.

Mr. LaFratte addressed a policy recommendation to work with education providers and the United States

Department of Defense to develop bridge programs to fill gaps in training for returning veterans, so when the overlaps exist between skill set in the military occupations and those with comparable civilian occupations, states should provide direction for bridge training programs for veterans focusing on gaps.

Mr. LaFratte addressed Arizona House Bill 2076 allowing veterans with a healthcare designation to complete bridge coursework based on a gap analysis published by the National Council of State Boards of Nursing. He noted that, in order to identify the

- 1 bridge coursework, that a crosswalk was developed from
- 2 | Gateway Community College's LPN curriculum, NCSBN's
- 3 | gap analysis, and Arizona State Board of Nursing
- 4 rules. He commented that it resulted in a 12-credit
- 5 4-month course that prepares students to sit for the
- 6 National Council Licensure Examination (NCLEX) for
- 7 practical nurses.
- 8 Mr. LaFratte stated the Illinois Department of
- 9 | Veterans Affairs developed a Corpsman to Practical
- 10 Nurse program, where service members with previous
- 11 healthcare training were eligible for the program to
- 12 become certified as an LPN.
- 13 Mr. LaFratte mentioned the United States
- 14 Department of Defense (DoD) launched a SkillBridge
- 15 program in 2014 that started to gain traction in
- 16 Pennsylvania and gives service members the opportunity
- 17 to gain work experience through specific industry
- 18 training, apprenticeships, or internships during the
- 19 last six months of military service. He noted service
- 20 members who participate in the SkillBridge program
- 21 | will receive military compensation and benefits, where
- 22 | all the industry partner or employer has to provide is
- 23 the training and work experience.
- 24 Mr. LaFratte provided resources, including the
- 25 | Pennsylvania Veterans Registry in partnership with the

PA Department of Military and Veterans Affairs. He noted valuable information on state benefit programs and other services offered to veterans and military spouses. He also noted the Department of State professional licensing pages for the latest updates and any new information related to professional licensure in Pennsylvania. He provided a summary of the survey questions and a list of the Department of Defense SkillBridge participants.

Mr. LaFratte noted the link to the full report is on the Department of State website under the professional licensing pages.

2.0

2.3

Mr. Rouse noted there is some reference to veterans in the Board's statute and referred to Section 3(b) of the Barbers' License Law, where any person who has been honorably discharged from service with the armed forces of the United States and takes an examination for licensure as a barber under the provisions of this act shall have 10 per centum added to his or her examination score.

Mr. Rouse also noted Section 3(b) states that any person registered as an apprentice barber prior to induction into the armed forces of the United States may be admitted to an examination for licensure as a barber under the provisions of this act upon

presentation of his or her certificate of honorable discharge from such service within one year from date thereof.

2.4

Chairman Muniz commented that the presentation pertained more to active duty veterans and spouses to make sure they are able to transfer to employment easily with less fees.

Mr. Rouse also referred to Act 41 regarding licensure by endorsement, where the Board made some decisions about barbers and barber teachers to help military and military spouses obtain a license within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Kelly Kolling, Director of Operations, Barber School of Pittsburgh, noted dealing with a number of veterans but has yet to encounter any veterans that have had previous military experience in barbering. She mentioned having a lot of recent success with their veterans and participating in a survey with all of their veteran students to ensure they do not have job-related skills that could be transferred over.

Mr. LaFratte also mentioned there are not a lot of people who have military experience as a barber and trying to get into the profession after the fact. He also found that the Navy seems to be the only branch to have a standard barbering position.

Ms. Kolling stated the institution also includes 1 2 the licensing fees in their tuition in a lump sum and 3 will make sure that does not get separated out just for the GI Bill regulations and compliance. 4 Mr. Rouse thanked Mr. LaFratte for speaking to 5 the State Board of Barber Examiners and the work put 6 into the presentation.] 8 Report of Board Counsel (cont.) 10 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, referred to 11 House Bill 255 of 2020 (sic), noting it to be the former bill, but amended the agenda to include House 12 13 Bill 1268 of 2021 regarding barber teachers, which is 14 the current bill on the same matter. He noted House 15 Bill 255 was referred to the House Professional Licensure Committee in 2019; however, House Bill 1268 16 17 of 2021 was introduced on April 23, 2021, and referred 18 to the House Professional Licensure Committee. 19 Mr. Rouse explained that the purpose of House Bill 1268 of 2021 is to amend the Barbers' License Law 2.0 21 to encourage people to apply and obtain a barber 2.2 teacher license. He referred to Section 3(d)(1) of

the Barbers' License Law. He noted House Bill 1268 of

2021 would amend the age of a barber teacher applicant

from age 23 to age 18. He also noted Section 3(d)(1)

2.3

24

25

would be amended to state that a person could apply for a barber teacher license to take the exam if the person has five years' experience as a licensed barber in a licensed barber shop or shared shop or if the person was trained for 500 hours under a licensed barber teacher in a licensed barber or cosmetology school as a teacher trainee.

1.3

Mr. Rouse noted it to be different from the current Barbers' License Law, where an applicant could be a barber manager who trained 1250 hours as a teacher trainee by a licensed teacher in licensed barber school. He mentioned that, with the amendment, the 500 hours as a licensed teacher trainee would be in addition to the hours necessary to qualify for a license to practice barbering.

Mr. Rouse commented that the additional 500 hours as a barber teacher trainee would be training that is limited to teaching methods and would not need to specifically be related to barbering, so they would be basically training you how to teach.

Mr. Rouse addressed another amendment in Section 3(d)(2), which states that at the end of the biennial renewal period following the effective date of this paragraph, a barber manager licensee who has a minimum of three years' experience as a barber manager as of

January 1, 2020, and has no record of disciplinary action may opt to become a barber teacher without meeting the training or examination requirements to the Barbers' License Law.

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

Mr. Rouse commented that Section 3.2(b) would also be amended, where an individual with both a barber and cosmetology license may obtain a barber teacher license if they complete the training and examination required for licensure as either a barber teacher or a cosmetology teacher.

Mr. Rouse noted Section 4(a) would be amended to state that someone with a barber teacher license from another jurisdiction can obtain a Pennsylvania license without examination by reciprocity or obtain a Pennsylvania license by examination by taking an endorsement examination as a barber teacher.

Mr. Rouse noted Section 13(a) would be amended to state that a nonlicensed person may shampoo hair under the supervision of a barber manager or a barber teacher.

Mr. Rouse noted Section 13.1 would be amended to state that a person with a temporary permit may practice under the supervision of a barber manager or a barber teacher.

Chairman Muniz stated the amendments were

presented but not approved, and it was the Board's job to be aware of changes that can affect the profession.

2.0

2.2

2.4

Mr. Rouse further explained the amendments, where an individual would not need to have a barber manager license to be a barber teacher. He stated an individual would need a barber's license and need to take that additional 500 hours of training under a licensed barber teacher school or cosmetology school to learn how to teach.

Mr. Rouse noted two ways to currently be a barber teacher, one is without the barber manager license and one is with the barber manager license. He noted the amendment, where basically both routes would be that an individual would not need a barber manager license.

Chairman Muniz addressed the importance of experience when getting a barber teacher's license. He noted going from 1250 hours to 500 hours will teach an individual how to teach but mentioned the importance of barbering being a craft.

Mr. Edsall commented that he owned a barber school and worked with the Department of Corrections, administering at two different locations, and opposed the changes. He stated the current laws were adequate and noted the importance of schools educating students with a quality base of teachers.

Mr. Edsall stated the five years of barbering experience was very important in getting a teacher's license. He commented that an individual would not have the barbering experience or the professional experience to be an adequate teacher at 18 years of age. He desired to see more licensed teachers but did not think cutting the experience and the age and amount of training hours would get the needed results.

Ms. Kolling also addressed the need for teachers and instructors and questioned whether something could be collectively proposed that is feasible and preserves the craft to increase quality teachers. She also does not agree with individuals coming out of cosmetology school and taking 500 hours in teaching and then teaching barbering. She also agrees that someone who is 18 years old cannot effectively teach.

Chairman Muniz stated the current laws had been in place for a long time, noting the five years' experience and 1250 hours of teaching were easy and fair and gives the barber time to get to where he needs to be in order to teach properly.

Ms. Kolling commented that some students finish the program, fully want to teach, and have a lot of life experience when it comes to the management aspect. She stated it is not up to the institutions

to preserve their quality of instructors and believes
a pretty high bar is set and is requesting
modifications.

2.3

Chairman Muniz did not agree with individuals applying for their teacher's license without the examination, noting the importance of holding future teachers accountable for knowing what is required of them.

Commissioner Johnson commented that the purpose for the bill may have been to create opportunities for barber teachers because of the perception that eligibility requirements are stifling entry, but that is not the issue. When hearing from the Board and stakeholders, it is an incentivization issue.

Ms. Kolling believed it to be a Department of Corrections issue.

Mr. Edsall mentioned that he worked for the Department of Corrections and did not want to speak for them but that they do not have a teacher's program, and he did not know how that would benefit the Department of Corrections to make the training shorter and younger.

Mr. Edsall stated the problem with the Department of Corrections was many of their jails are in remote areas and not everybody wants to teach there. He did

not think this bill would help and was going in the wrong direction.

2.2

2.3

Chairman Muniz mentioned the importance of not looking at the teacher's license as being an issue and looking at the barber licensing. He noted the bill is making it easier for people to get their teacher's license but individuals should gain the experience and earn it.

Mr. Edsall commented that making it an easier process does not help and actually hurts the industry.

Ms. Kolling stated she has been running her school for six years and opened a second school and is focusing on individuals who are serious about the profession and follow through on licensure. She noted the importance of cracking down on shops allowing individuals to work unlicensed, which would increase licensure. She also mentioned shops that are falsely advertising as barber shops but are not and allowing people to work unlicensed.

Commissioner Johnson emphasized the importance of protecting the public and the obligation to provide that information to the compliance office. He mentioned the issue to be getting numbers up overall and more people in the profession, where there would be a more likelihood to find someone interested in

teaching.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Commissioner Johnson referred to Act 41 of 2019 and Act 53 of 2020 that make it much easier for individuals from other places to get established in Pennsylvania that are already licensed and encourages individuals to come to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania who already have the experience and requirements.

Commissioner Johnson commented that maybe it is too early for a bill like this to pick up steam and apply a solution in search of a problem and may be a discussion with the co-sponsor or at the administrative level, if necessary. He mentioned the importance of relying on stakeholders during open discussions on the record to provide information and educate colleagues on bills like this, where we are encouraging more teachers but it may not necessarily benefit public protection.

Commissioner Johnson thanked everybody for engaging in the discussion for public record and educating him personally.

Chairman Muniz mentioned being glad to be part of the discussion and know that fellow professional members are on the same page, noting the importance of being aware of these things and have a say before the

bill passes through and has an effect on the
profession.

2.0

2.3

2.4

Ms. Kolling stated she has reached out to schools in the past and has been an advocate to quash the similar bill in the past, getting petitions and having meetings with the executive director of professional occupational departments, and questioned whether the Board is able to get this quashed without those steps and if stakeholders could provide assistance.

Commissioner Johnson stated taking comments made on the record back to the policy and legislative offices, to the sponsors of the bill, would be helpful and encouraged stakeholders to engage elected officials in their capacity as well and let their voices be heard.

Chairman Muniz noted the importance of being aware of these issues and being able to react quickly, like Ms. Kolling's approach of getting hold of her senators.

Commissioner Johnson informed that Board that he and Mr. Rouse can work through the Office of Legislative Affairs to reach out to sponsors and communicate concerns through the executive directors in the House and Senate.

Mr. Rouse noted the importance of keeping the

Board aware of where the bill is and possibly bring it up at the next Board meeting as well.

2.0

2.4

Mr. Rouse referred to House Bill 1182 of 2021 regarding distance education, which was referred to the House Professional Licensure Committee on April 15, 2021. He noted the bill passed after third consideration on June 15, 2021, and was referred to the Senate Consumer Protection & Professional Licensure Committee. He noted the bill would amend Section 3(a) of the Barbers' License Law regarding distance education.

Mr. Rouse stated the amendment would allow a licensed barber school to offer up to 50 per centum of the school's curriculum through distance education. He stated a student at a licensed barber school may only earn barbering study and training hours through distance education for theory-based subjects and not practical demonstrations through distance education.

Mr. Rouse questioned how the Board feels about distance education for barber schools up to 50 percent of the school's curriculum. He noted prior discussions about the waiver beginning on June 29, 2020, where one of the considerations was the fact that that National Accrediting Commission of Career Arts & Sciences (NACCAS) was approving 50 percent of

distance education for curriculum.

2.2

Ms. Kolling stated NACCAS approved 50 percent permanent since then unless a state otherwise allots more but would require proof of allowing more, noting many schools have moved toward a permanent distance education for a portion of education through NACCAS.

Mr. Rouse addressed the importance of accreditation for some schools and questioned whether it is a fact that accreditation helps students qualify for financial aid.

Ms. Kolling stated accreditation does help students qualify for financial aid and also helps to substantiate legitimacy of programs.

Chairman Muniz mentioned the importance of schools having a big responsibility in making sure students are actually receiving the required education. He commented that he is not opposed to virtual theory, but an individual would not gain the necessary experience if it was practical.

Ms. Kolling addressed asynchronous versus synchronous delivery. She stated asynchronous is where students have the availability of completing the task work at their own will within a given range, and synchronous is actually having an instructor in front of the students virtually. She mentioned trying

asynchronous with a night class and found it more difficult to prove that the student actually spent the required amount of hours necessary to become efficiently licensed because they have to complete the 1250 hours. She recommended mandating synchronous if it were her choice.

Mr. Rouse commented that the Barbers' License Law is clear, where at least 1250 hours of training is required, and it would be difficult to attest to the fact that someone completed those hours if it is asynchronous, especially with the fact that someone is going to have to sign a notarized statement saying the applicant did complete those hours.

2.4

Chairman Muniz agreed, noting that synchronous is pretty much live, where an individual needs to be in attendance, rather than asynchronous, where somebody is just completing work and would have to be more specified within the law.

Commissioner Johnson stated maintaining some level of accountability for asynchronous was nearly impossible compared to the synchronous portion. He mentioned the importance of clearly defining asynchronous versus synchronous and providing some level of discretion for the course providers to determine if they would have some type of

accountability component.

2.0

Chairman Muniz commented that synchronous will be the verbiage that will need to be added, and it is the school's responsibility to make sure students are doing what they need to do and also what the Board allows. He mentioned the importance of teachers and school owners doing their part.

Mr. Edsall commented that 50 percent of the school's curriculum can be done with distance education, but 50 percent of the curriculum is not theory and probably about 25 or 30 percent of the total amount of hours.

Ms. Kolling noted that the original law was 40/60 and there could be modification, agreeing that 50 percent for the program is probably a little excessive.

Chairman Muniz appreciated the different point of view, noting 50 percent may be too much and 40 percent is the way to go.

Mr. Rouse reiterated that about 30-40 percent of the curriculum would be theory, recognizing that the house bill says up to 50 and requires theory-based courses, emphasizing on the language in terms of the up to language and then requiring the theory.

Chairman Muniz mentioned the importance of having

a set number because licensed barber schools may take advantage of the percentage.

2.2

Ms. Kolling commented that it was easier for NACCAS to draw the line at 50 percent across the board because they deal with schools nationally and each school has a different requirement. She explained that NACCAS already offered the distance education option to schools but when it became a broad-spectrum issue, they approved it across their whole organization for all states. She noted some states' emergency act enacted the permanent distance education, where Pennsylvania just did temporary.

Chairman Muniz commented that having two experienced Pennsylvania school owners saying 50 percent is too much should be taken into consideration.

Chairman Muniz mentioned working with Mr. Rouse on a breakdown of distance education for COVID and could maybe do that again and have discussion to thoroughly look at that at the next meeting rather than make a quick decision.

Mr. Rouse questioned whether the apprentice program was left out of this because it is hands on.

Chairman Muniz stated the apprentice program must be within the barber shop by law.

Mr. Rouse also questioned the crossover programs in a barber school.

Chairman Muniz explained that crossover programs are less hours. He stated they are less than 695 hours and need to remain hands on and in the school also unless there is a breakdown of the theory within that crossover program, which might be 150 hours.

Mr. Edsall commented that whatever the proper percentage is going to be will be the same percentage for the crossover program because it gives credit for something already studied, noting 695 hours is only a portion of the curriculum of the whole barber program.

Mr. Rouse mentioned he will continue to track the bill and provide a status report. He explained that the bill is the same in terms of how the Board's role is an adjudicatory role. He noted the Board would need to draft regulations if the bill became an act, where it was signed by the Governor.]

\* \*

20 Report of Commissioner

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21 [K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of

22 | Professional and Occupational Affairs, also welcomed

23 Mr. Edsall and noted being excited by the experience

24 and world view he brings to the Board makeup.

25 Commissioner Johnson also thanked Mr. Jaram for

his service to the Board as well.

Commissioner Johnson addressed the current state of affairs concerning waivers. He mentioned being engaged with the general assembly to identify waivers that were beneficial and available information to make decisions about legislative amendments that need to be made regarding practitioners and licensees before October 1. He noted the importance of stakeholders helping to guide the individuals in the committees and legislators through that process.

Commissioner Johnson noted overwhelming response with regard to positive feedback from Board members and members of the public and stakeholders who appreciated the virtual platform. He addressed transitioning and figuring out what the return to normal operations would look like and incorporating as much of the technology as possible into the new normal. He stated the Board will be informed as things develop.]

2.0 \*\*\*

21 Report of Board Chair

[Dominic A. Muniz, Chairman, Professional Member, requested clarification regarding the travel ban,

24 noting the upcoming National Association of Barber

25 Boards of America (NABBA) Conference September 19-23,

2021, in Arlington, VA.

Commissioner Johnson addressed physical travel requests, noting physical travel is considered up to the pre-COVID number of one or two members with the rest of the Board having the option of attending

6 virtually. He encouraged requests be submitted as

soon as possible for approval from the Governor's

8 Office.1

1

9 MR. ROUSE:

I believe there is a motion for Chairman

Muniz and Burr Edsall to attend the NABA

12 Conference in Arlington, Virginia,

September 19-23, 2021, subject to the

Governor's approval of travel.

Is there such a motion?

16 MR. GRAY:

14

17 Motion.

18 MR. ROUSE:

19 Is there a second?

20 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON:

21 Second.

22 MR. ROUSE:

23 Any discussion? All those in favor, say

aye. All those opposed, say nay.

25 [The motion carried unanimously.]

1 \*\*\*

- 2 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON:
- I would move that we allow for virtual
- 4 participation for all members of the
- 5 Board who are interested.
- 6 MR. ROUSE:
- 7 Is there a second?
- 8 CHAIRMAN MUNIZ:
- 9 Second.
- 10 MR. ROUSE:
- 11 Any discussion? All those in favor, say
- 12 aye. All those opposed, say nay.
- 13 [The motion carried unanimously.]
- 14
- 15 Report of Board Administrator No Report
- 16 \*\*\*
- 17 | Exam Statistical Reports
- 18 | [Dominic A. Muniz, Chairman, Professional Member,
- 19 referred to exam statistics from April 19, 2021 to
- 20 June 8, 2021, for the Board's review.
- 21 Chairman Muniz noted 52 individuals took the PA
- 22 Barber exam with a pass rate of 79% and 20 repeaters
- 23 | with a 35% pass rate, giving a 67% pass rate for
- 24 | first-time takers and repeaters; 17 took the PA Barber
- 25 | Manager exam, 11 passed and 6 failed. He noted 2 PA

1 | Barber Teacher, 2 PA Barber Endorsement, 2 PA Barber

- 2 | Veteran, and 3 PA Barber Teacher Practical exams at
- 3 100% pass rate with 2 PA Barber Practical at a 93%
- 4 pass rate.
- 5 \*\*\*
- 6 Miscellaneous
- 7 | [Dominic A. Muniz, Chairman, Professional Member,
- 8 noted the next scheduled Board meeting date is August
- 9 23, 2021. He mentioned term limits were discussed
- 10 | earlier.
- 11
- 12 | Adjournment
- 13 MR. ROUSE:
- Is there a motion to adjourn?
- 15 MR. GRAY:
- 16 Motion.
- 17 MR. ROUSE:
- 18 Is there a second?
- 19 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON:
- 20 Second.
- 21 MR. ROUSE:
- 22 All those in favor, say aye.
- 23 [The motion carried unanimously.]
- 24 \*\*\*
- 25 [There being no further business, the State Board of

Barber Examiners Meeting adjourned at 1:24 p.m.] \* \* \* CERTIFICATE I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Barber Examiners meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Barber Examiners meeting. Samantha Sabatini, Minute Clerk Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc.