State Board of Barber Examiners April 25, 2022

Matthew Eaton, Division Chief for Business Licensing

3 4 5

6

1

2

BOARD MEMBERS:

Division, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs, on behalf of Arion Claggett, Acting Commissioner

11 Dominic A. Muniz, Chairman, Professional Member 12 Ronald Gray Jr., Vice Chairman, Professional Member 13 Ginger Etter, Secretary, Consumer Protection Member 14 Burr L. Edsall, Professional Member Michael McAndrew, Professional Member 15

16 17

18

19 20 21

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

26 27

32 33 34

35

36

37 38 39

40

41

50

Steven A. Mimm, Esquire, Board Counsel

Charles Seright, Professional Member

Carole Clarke Smith, Esquire, Senior Board Counsel Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel

Carolyn DeLaurentis, Deputy Chief Counsel, Prosecution

Division Heather J. McCarthy, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecutor James Ritchie Espino Ostman, Esquire, Board

Prosecution Liaison Sparkle Thompson, Board Administrator

Amanda Richards, Fiscal Chief, Bureau of Finance and Operations, Department of State

Michelle Witmer, Bureau of Finance and Operations, Department of State

ALSO PRESENT:

Kelly Kolling, Director, Barber School of Pittsburgh Ruthann Mulay, Lead Barber Evaluator, Pearson VUE Barbara Sprindis, Program Manager, Pearson VUE Derek Richmond

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

3

1

2 State Board of Barber Examiners

April 25, 2022

* * *

3

4

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

5 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 9:00 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session 7 with Steven A. Mimm, Esquire, Board Counsel, to have 8 attorney-client consultation and for the purpose of 9 conducting quasi-judicial deliberations. The Board 10 concluded its Executive Session at 10:30 a.m.]

11 ***

[Steven A. Mimm, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted the meeting was being recorded, and those who continued to participate were giving their consent to be recorded.

Mr. Mimm informed everyone that the Board met in Executive Session to have attorney-client consultation and for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations prior to the Board meeting.]

19 ***

The regularly scheduled meeting of the State Board of Barber Examiners was held on Monday, April 25, 2022. Dominic A. Muniz, Chairman, Professional Member, called the meeting to order at 10:30 a.m.

* * *

25 | Pledge of Allegiance

```
[The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.]
1
2
   Roll Call/Introduction of Attendees
3
   [Steven A. Mimm, Esquire, Board Counsel, provided a
   roll call and introduction of attendees. I
                              * * *
6
   [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, expressed
   gratitude for his years as Board counsel for the State
   Board of Barber Examiners, noting the Board is in good
10
   hands with Steve Mimm. 1
11
   Approval of minutes of the February 28, 2022 meeting
12
1.3
   MR. MIMM:
14
                  Our first order of business is approval
15
                  of the minutes. The minutes were
16
                  submitted from our last meeting on
                  February 28, 2022.
17
18
                       Do I hear a motion for approval of
19
                  the minutes?
20
   CHAIRMAN MUNIZ:
21
                  Motion.
22
   MR. MIMM:
2.3
                  Do I hear a second?
2.4
   MR. GRAY:
25
                  Second.
```

5 1 MR. MIMM: 2 Could we just briefly go through and 3 make sure we are all in agreement? 4 5 Ms. Etter, yes; Mr. Edsall, yes; Mr. 6 Seright, yes; Mr. Muniz, yes; Mr. Gray, 7 yes; Mr. Eaton, yes. [The motion carried unanimously.] 9 10 Report of Prosecutorial Division 11 [James Ritchie Espino Ostman, Esquire, Board 12 Prosecutor, presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 18-42-009511.] 13 * * * 14 15 [Michael McAndrew, Professional Member, entered the 16 meeting at 10:39 a.m.] * * * 17 MR. MIMM: 18 19 Hearing and seeing no questions 2.0 regarding the Consent Agreement at item 2.1 4 of your agenda, Case No. 18-42-009511. I believe the Board would like to 2.2 2.3 entertain a motion to adopt the Consent 2.4 Agreement. 25 Do I hear such a motion?

6 1 CHAIRMAN MUNIZ: 2 Motion. MR. MIMM: 3 4 Do I hear a second? 5 MS. ETTER: Second. 6 7 MR. MIMM: Just to do a brief polling, do you agree 9 with the adoption of this Consent 10 Agreement? 11 12 Mr. Muniz, yes; Mr. Gray, yes; Ms. 1.3 Etter, yes; Mr. Edsall, yes; Mr. 14 McAndrew, yes; Mr. Seright, yes; Mr. 15 Eaton, yes. 16 [The motion carried unanimously. The Respondent's name is Miguel Angel Castillo, Case No. 18-42-009511.] 17 * * * 18 19 Report of Department of State - Bureau of Finance and 20 Operations Annual Budget Presentation 21 [Michelle Witmer, Bureau of Finance and Operations, 22 Department of State, provided the 2021-2022 budget 23 presentation. She addressed the licensee population 24 for the Board, noting the Bureau of Finance and 25 Operations (BFO) looks at the licensee population on a

biennial basis to provide renewal and nonrenewal
information from a revenue standpoint. She compared
previous renewal years, noting continued growth in the
licensee population.

Ms. Witmer reported an increase of 1,386 licensees from FY15-16 to FY17-18 and a decrease of 893 licensees from FY17-18 to FY19-20. She noted an increase to 9,176 licensees for FY21-FY21 as of the date the report was prepared with an increase to 9,225 licensees as of this morning.

Ms. Witmer noted the current revenue. She stated the Board was working through their first renewal increase currently and BFO expected to see the revenue grow. She referred to the new cost for a license, which will be in April 2024. She noted the Board extended their renewal period in FY20 due to COVID, which ran through into the following fiscal period. She also noted BFO made adjustments for that on the financial statement for the years going forward.

Ms. Witmer addressed expenses, noting the Board incurs expenses through direct costs, timesheets, and licensees. She reported expenses in FY19-20, FY20-21, and the current figure. She mentioned a recent increase. She noted BFO's projection for their budget for the remainder of the fiscal year. She reported it

1 to be a significant increase over the past two years,
2 noting the Board is seeing a high volume of cases.

Ms. Witmer explained that the Bureau of
Enforcement and Investigation (BEI) reported the
number of inspections have already equaled what was
done in the past year. She mentioned BFO did not want
to project expenses high throughout coming fiscal
years and based them on a more normal level but will
monitor it.

Ms. Witmer addressed revenue and expenses, noting BFO is projecting the Board to have a positive balance in FY24-25 with the fee increase.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

balance for FY19-20, FY20-21, and the current balance. She stated BFO is going to continue to carry the \$15,000 budget. She noted any money not used goes back into the restricted fund.

Ms. Witmer noted the Board member direct cost

Chairman Muniz commented that the Board's pay is \$60 per diem and asked whether there is any way to increase the per diem. He also mentioned Board travel is fairly low.

Ms. Witmer explained that the rate is a state statute and not set by the Board itself. She noted travel expenses are expected to increase as more members start attending meetings in Harrisburg.]

9

1

2 Miscellaneous - Pearson VUE Practical Examination

3 Update

4 | [Ruthann Mulay, Lead Barber Evaluator, Pearson VUE,

5 stated Pearson VUE testing was returning to the way it

6 tested pre-COVID but would be following the Centers

7 for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Guidelines.

Chairman Muniz asked whether there were any changes made to the candidate handbook.

Ms. Mulay informed the Board that the candidate
handbook has an attachment informing candidates of
pre-COVID testing. She noted Pearson VUE has a roster
and all of the candidates' emails, where it would

14 email the candidate directly a week prior, and there

15 would be COVID testing if there is a mask mandate in

16 their city or pre-COVID testing if there is no mask

17 mandate in their city.]

18 MR. MIMM:

2.0

Do I hear a motion to entertain a vote

on changing back to pre-COVID

21 restrictions?

22 CHAIRMAN MUNIZ:

23 Motion.

24 MR. MIMM:

25 Do I hear a second?

10 1 MR. EDSALL: 2 Second. 3 MR. MIMM: 4 Do you agree with the motion of pre-5 COVID restriction? 6 Mr. Muniz, yes; Mr. Gray, yes; Ms. Etter, yes; Mr. Edsall, yes; Mr. 9 McAndrew, yes; Mr. Seright, yes; Mr. 10 Eaton, yes. 11 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 12 13 Report of Board Counsel - Motion to Deem Facts 14 Admitted MR. MIMM: 15 16 We are going to move on to number 5, the 17 Motion to Deem Facts Admitted, BPOA v. Ronald David Woodley, Case No. 19-42-18 002396. 19 2.0 I believe the Board would have a 2.1 motion to grant the Motion to Deem Facts Admitted and direct Board counsel to 2.2 2.3 prepare the Adjudication and Order in 2.4 accordance with discussion in Executive 25 Session.

11 1 Do I hear such a motion? 2 CHAIRMAN MUNIZ: 3 Motion. MR. MIMM: 4 5 Do I hear a second? 6 MR. GRAY: Second. MR. MIMM: 9 Is this motion approved? 10 11 Mr. Muniz, yes; Mr. Gray, yes; Ms. 12 Etter, yes; Mr. Edsall, yes; Mr. 13 McAndrew, yes; Mr. Seright, yes; Mr. 14 Eaton, yes. 15 [The motion carried unanimously.] 16 Report of Board Counsel - Final Adjudication and 17 Order 18 19 MR. MIMM: 20 The next item on the agenda is the Final 21 Order and Adjudication, number 6, BPOA 22 v. Robert A. Young aka Robert Ameen 2.3 Young Jr. The Adjudication and Order 2.4 was discussed in Executive Session. 25 It is my understanding that the

12 Order as prepared will be adopted. 1 2 Do I hear a motion to adopt that 3 Adjudication? CHAIRMAN MUNIZ: 4 5 Motion. 6 MR. MIMM: Do I hear a second? MR. MCANDREW: 9 Second. 10 MR. MIMM: 11 Are you in agreement to adopt the Final Adjudication and Order for Mr. Young, 12 13 Case No. 18-42-007894? 14 15 Mr. Muniz, yes; Mr. Gray, yes; Ms. 16 Etter, yes; Mr. Edsall, yes; Mr. 17 McAndrew, yes; Mr. Seright, yes; Mr. 18 Eaton, yes. 19 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 20 21 Report of Board Counsel - Regulatory Discussion 22 [Steven A. Mimm, Esquire, Board Counsel, referred to 23 16A-429 regarding general revisions, noting a number 2.4 of issues have been discussed at previous Board 25 meetings.

Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, former Board counsel, mentioned that part of the prior discussion included adding definitions to the definition section regarding different types of virtual education and adding a definition for distance education. He noted the Board was in agreement with those definitions being added to the regulations at the last Board meeting.

2.3

Mr. Rouse noted the Board agreed to change the term "manager barber" to "barber manager" universally throughout the regulations because everyone knows the license as a barber manager license and not a manager barber license.

Mr. Rouse noted the Board addressed inactive status and failure to renew with adding additional language that the licensees must file a reactivation application with the Board and pay the appropriate fee. The licensee may not resume the practice of barbering or the operation of a facility until the Board has reactivated the license.

Mr. Rouse referred to § 3.21 regarding a temporary student permit, where a change was made from "temporary student license" to "temporary student permit."

Mr. Rouse informed the Board of an addition since the last meeting that reads, "if an applicant submits

a new application to retake the barber examination

pursuant to § 3.43, the applicant may be issued a

temporary student permit if they meet the requirements

of § 3.21(a)" to provide a clarification to applicants

regarding student permits.

2.4

Kelly Kolling, Director of Operations, Barber School of Pittsburgh, wanted to be clear in understanding, where they have an application and they fail one portion of it, their application is good for one year. She noted their permit currently is nine months, and upon reapplying after that one-year expiration, a new temporary license was received.

Mr. Rouse further explained that there needed to be a clarification because there had been a question mark historically from the Board office of whether it is just one per the lifetime of that applicant. He stated the language added was clarifying the fact that if it was a new application that person was eligible for the temporary permit.

Mr. Rouse addressed additions regarding minimum equipment requirements under § 3.54, including subsection (9), where at least one shampoo bowl for shops with one to three chairs and at least two shampoo bowls for shops with four or more chairs.

Mr. Rouse also noted additions to subsection (16)

one straight razor, which may be disposable for each chair; subsection (21) two clean hair cloths or drapes for each chair; and subsection (b) a barbershop may provide for the following: 1 strop, 1 hone, hair tonic, face lotion, cold cream, or massage cream.

2.2

2.3

Mr. Rouse referred to the addition for § 3.71(d) regarding a licensed cosmetologist who wishes to take the barber examination, where a barber teacher or barber manager providing instruction in a shop may offer up to 345 hours of training and virtual supervision through distance education. He noted a student barber shop may only earn barbering study and training hours for theory-based coursework, and practical demonstrations may not be offered through distance education. He also noted up to 345 hours of theory-based coursework of the 1250-hour barber curriculum may be delivered through distance education.

Mr. Rouse addressed subjects and hours of theory coursework for distance education. He noted state boards have to account for virtual supervision of students and allow for that under Act 100 of 2021. He mentioned the Board determined in 2020 that 345 hours of training and virtual supervision could be through distance education when it comes to the cosmetology

and barber crossover.

2.0

2.4

Chairman Muniz commented that he is looking at it like the apprenticeships inside the barbershops, where they are able to have that 345 hours which is not even a quarter of their hours as far as distance education for apprenticeships.

Chairman Muniz suggested highlighting it in there, distance education or at barbershops for apprenticeships, so there is no confusion because that was just for apprenticeships.

Mr. Rouse noted the language could be added to make it clear this is for apprenticeship or training to be a barber in a barbershop.

Ms. Kolling asked whether the Board is imbedding asynchronous or synchronous learning into the regulation.

Mr. Rouse stated the Board had definitions for asynchronous and synchronous but did not believe the Board discussed whether asynchronous was prohibited for the regulation.

Ms. Kolling mentioned being thrown off that shops would be allowed to do this online, where students will get their hours, get to ready to take the boards, have zero idea or preparation to take those boards, and end up coming to the school anyway.

Chairman Muniz addressed attending the National Association of Barber Boards of America (NABBA) conferences and discussing matters with different states, where there have been developments regarding online systems and tracking. He noted the importance of not limiting it and keeping an open mind.

2.0

Mr. Rouse commented that there are online tools being developed to accurately collect the hours and believed the Board did not want to limit anything if something is developed. He reminded everyone that regulations take about 18 months to 2 years to go through the process, and it would be prudent to explore all possibilities and not necessarily cut off a possibility just because they do not necessarily see it yet.

Chairman Muniz stated upgrades and adjustments were being made to applications. He noted the development is not definite but will provide people a chance and not limit anyone.

Mr. Rouse addressed prior discussion regarding the written and practical exam, where Board members made the argument of the practical exam being the thing that shows whether or not someone knows how to do the shave and cutting but also how they deal with health and safety issues. He commented that it would

come out somewhere in the examination process if asynchronous ended up being a problem.

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.4

Chairman Muniz referred to apprentices completing 1,250 hours and allowing schools to complete 50 percent of the curriculum, noting they should give the barbershops the opportunity to do at least 25 percent of the curriculum online because there are things they are able to do as teachers at home with the students also.

Mr. Rouse explained that the section was put in to fulfil the requirements of Act 100 of 2021 regarding virtual supervision because this would essentially be the supervision of a student.

Chairman Muniz agreed with the apprenticeships need to be inside the barbershop also, noting they are not taking that away but stated some of the bookwork could be completed at home.

Mr. Rouse referred to § 3.90(a), where a barber school may offer up to 50 percent of the curriculum through distance education because of the amendment to the Barbers' License Law. He noted the Board had reviewed Milady's to discussed what courses could be done as theory coursework and is how the Board decided on 625 hours and 347 hours for a crossover program.]

MR. ROUSE:

19 Would the Board entertain a motion to 1 2 direct Board counsel to release a copy 3 of this proposed annex as an exposure draft to the stakeholders with the 4 amendment to § 3.71(a) that the Board 5 6 discussed regarding adding the language 7 of the apprenticeship program? 8 CHAIRMAN MUNIZ: 9 I will entertain a motion. MR. ROUSE: 10 11 Is there a second? 12 MR. MCANDREW: Second. 13 MR. ROUSE: 14 15 Any other discussion? 16 MR. MIMM: 17 Are you in agreement? 18 19 Mr. Muniz, yes; Mr. Gray, yes; Ms. 20 Etter, yes; Mr. Edsall, yes; Mr. 21 McAndrew, yes; Mr. Seright, yes; Mr. 22 Eaton, yes. 23 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 24 25 Report of Board Counsel

```
20
   [Steven A. Mimm, Esquire, Board Counsel, informed
1
   Board members 16A-4211 regarding fees was finalized
2
3
   and will be updated on the website.
 4
        Mr. Rouse mentioned the Independent Regulatory
5
   Review Commission (IRRC) approved 16A-4211, and the
6
   final rulemaking was published in the Pennsylvania
   Bulletin on March 12, 2022. He noted renewal fees for
   barber-related licenses were implemented on March 12,
   2022, and increases for initial miscellaneous barber-
10
   related applications will start on July 1, 2022.
11
        Mr. Rouse thanked the Board for their hard work
   on the fee regulation.]
12
13
                              * * *
14
   Report of Board Counsel - Other
15
   [Steven A. Mimm, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted item 9
   on the agenda regarding travel reimbursement was
16
17
   tabled till the next agenda.]
   MR. MIMM:
18
19
                  It is my understanding that we have a
2.0
                  motion to elect the probable cause
                  individuals, Mr. Muniz and, as a backup,
2.1
2.2
                  Mr. Edsall.
2.3
                       Do I hear such a motion?
2.4
   CHAIRMAN MUNIZ:
25
                  Motion.
```

21 MR. MIMM: 1 2 Do I hear a second to that motion? 3 MR. GRAY: 4 Second. 5 MR. MIMM: 6 Are you in agreement to having Mr. Muniz 7 as the Probable Cause Committee and Mr. Edsall as the alternate? 9 10 Mr. Muniz, abstain; Mr. Gray, yes; Ms. 11 Etter, yes; Mr. Edsall, abstain; Mr. McAndrew, yes; Mr. Seright, yes; Mr. 12 13 Eaton, yes. 14 [The motion carried. Mr. Muniz and Mr. Edsall 15 abstained from voting on the motion.] 16 17 Report of Board Chair 18 [Dominic A. Muniz, Chairman, Professional Member, 19 thanked Mr. Rouse for doing a great job and welcomed 20 Mr. Mimm to the Board.] 21 * * * 22 Report of Acting Commissioner 23 [Matthew Eaton, Division Chief for Business Licensing 24 Division, Bureau of Professional and Occupational 25 Affairs, on behalf of Arion Claggett, Acting

22 Commissioner, also thanked Mr. Rouse for his time 1 2 serving on the Board. He thanked Mr. Mimm and Ms. 3 Thompson for all of their help with the hybrid Board 4 meeting.] * * * 5 6 Report of Board Administrator [Sparkle Thompson, Board Administrator, also thanked Mr. Rouse for his help.] * * * 10 Applications MR. MIMM: 11 12 Item 11, Application AA0003470805, 13 Cutting Edge Family Barbershop LLC. 14 This is a Request for a Variance. I 15 believe we are going to have a motion to 16 grant the Variance at item 11. Do I hear such a motion? 17 MR. EDSALL: 18 19 Motion. 20 MR. MIMM: 21 Do I hear a second? 22 MR. MCANDREW: 2.3 Second. 2.4 MR. MIMM: 25 Are you in agreement?

23 1 2 Mr. Muniz, yes; Mr. Gray, yes; Ms. 3 Etter, yes; Mr. Edsall, yes; Mr. 4 McAndrew, yes; Mr. Seright, yes; Mr. 5 Eaton, yes. 6 [The motion carried unanimously.] 7 * * * 8 MR. MIMM: 9 Item 12. That matter will be revisited 10 and is on hold for a request for more information and will not be voted on 11 12 today. 13 * * * 14 Adjournment 15 CHAIRMAN MUNIZ: 16 Motion to adjourn? 17 MR. GRAY: 18 Motion. 19 MR. MIMM: 20 Do I hear a second? 21 MR. MCANDREW: 22 Second. 23 MR. MIMM: 24 We are hereby adjourned from the 25 meeting.

```
1
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
2
3
   [There being no further business, the State Board of
4
   Barber Examiners Meeting adjourned at 11:40 a.m.]
                               * * *
5
6
                          CERTIFICATE
9
        I hereby certify that the foregoing summary
10
   minutes of the State Board of Barber Examiners
11
   meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my
12
   supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize
13
   the substance of the State Board of Barber Examiners
14
   meeting.
15
16
17
18
                               Derek Richmond,
19
                               Minute Clerk
20
                               Sargent's Court Reporting
21
                                  Service, Inc.
22
2.3
24
25
```

26