State Board of Barber Examiners February 28, 2022

Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs - Absent

BOARD MEMBERS:

Dominic A. Muniz, Chairman, Professional Member Ronald Gray Jr., Vice Chairman, Professional Member Ginger Etter, Secretary, Consumer Protection Member Burr L. Edsall, Professional Member

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel

Michael McAndrew, Professional Member

Charles Seright, Professional Member

Carolyn DeLaurentis, Deputy Chief Counsel, Prosecution Division

Heather J. McCarthy, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecutor James Ritchie Espino Ostman, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison

Sparkle Thompson, Board Administrator

Deena Parmelee, Legal Office Administrator 1, Department of State

Danie Bendesky, Director of Intergovernmental Affairs, Department of State

Andrew LaFratte, MPA, Executive Policy Specialist, Department of State

ALSO PRESENT:

Jen Smeltz, Republican Executive Director, Senate Consumer Protection & Professional Licensure Committee

Jerry J. Livingston, Democratic Executive Director, Senate Consumer Protection & Professional Licensure Committee

Michael Yanoff, Esquire, Goldstein Law Partners

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

1

2 State Board of Barber Examiners 3 February 28, 2022

* * *

4

5

6

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

[Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 9:00 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session with Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, to have attorney-client consultation and for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations. The Board concluded its Executive Session at 10:30 a.m.]

11 ***

[Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted the meeting was being recorded, and those who continued to participate were giving their consent to be recorded.

Mr. Rouse informed everyone that the Board met in Executive Session to have attorney-client consultation and for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations prior to the Board meeting.]

19 ***

The regularly scheduled meeting of the State Board of Barber Examiners was held on Monday, February 28, 2022. Dominic A. Muniz, Chairman, Professional Member, called the meeting to order at 10:32 a.m.

* * *

25 Pledge of Allegiance

```
4
   [The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.]
1
                               * * *
2
   Roll Call
3
   [Roll call was taken by Mr. Rouse. A quorum was
5
   present.]
                               * * *
6
   Introduction of Attendees
   [Sparkle Thompson, Board Administrator, provided an
   introduction of attendees.
10
11
   Approval of minutes of the December 20, 2021 meeting
12
   CHAIRMAN MUNIZ:
13
                  Could I have a motion for the approval
14
                  of minutes of December 20, 2021?
15
                       Do I have a motion?
16
   MR. GRAY:
17
                  Motion.
   MR. MCANDREW:
18
19
                  Second.
20
   CHAIRMAN MUNIZ:
21
                  All in favor, say aye. Anyone opposed?
22
                  Any nay?
23
   [The motion carried. Charles Seright abstained from
24
   voting on the motion.]
                               * * *
25
```

```
1 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, welcomed new 2 Board member, Charles Seright.
```

Mr. Seright noted being ready to learn and to serve on the Board.

5 Chairman Muniz also welcomed Mr. Seright.]

* *

3

4

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2022.

7 Appointment - Prosecution Division Annual Report 8 Presentation

[Carolyn A. DeLaurentis, Esquire, Deputy Chief Counsel, Prosecution Division, provided a summary of the prosecution division's caseload during 2021.

Ms. DeLaurentis informed the Board that 471 cases were opened in 2021, which is a decrease from 2020 at 528 cases for the State Board of Barber Examiners. She noted closing 457 cases in 2021, which is an increase in closures from 2020 at 400 cases. She thanked Board members, prosecutors, and counsel for working together for the safety of the public and for protecting the integrity of the profession. She

Ms. DeLaurentis addressed enforcement actions, noting 70 cases resulted in discipline in 2021 with 1 fine, 60 Act 48 fines or citations, 2 suspensions, 5 automatic suspensions, and 2 reprimands. She also

reported 518 open cases for the Board as of January 1,

```
reported 66 warning letters in 2021, which was an
1
2
  increase from 37 in 2020.
```

Ms. DeLaurentis addressed COVID-related cases, noting that one complaint may result in more than one case being opened. She reported 1,223 cases were opened regarding COVID in 2020 with 115 of those cases for the State Board of Barber Examiners. She also reported a significant decrease in COVID-related complaints in 2021, mostly for business-related boards but an increase in healthcare-related boards. 11 reported opening 543 COVID-related cases in 2021 with 23 of those for the Board.

Ms. DeLaurentis noted a record number of cases opened last year and thanked the administrative assistants for processing so many files. She reported 18,363 cases were opened in 2021, which is an increase from 2020 at 13,394.

Ms. DeLaurentis reported 15,994 cases were closed in 2021 and 13,274 in 2020. She noted 15,141 open cases as of January 1, 2022.]

* * * 2.1

22 Report of Prosecutorial Division - No Report

2.3

2.4 Report of Board Counsel - Motion to Deem Facts

25 Admitted

3

4

5

6

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1 MR. ROUSE: 2 Item 2 on the agenda, which is a Motion 3 to Deem Facts Admitted in the Matter of 4 BPOA v. Robert A. Young, Case No. 18-42-5 007894, which was a matter discussed in Executive Session. 6 Regarding that matter, I believe the Board would entertain a motion to 9 grant the Motion to Deem Facts Admitted 10 and to direct Board counsel to prepare 11 the Adjudication and Order in accordance with the discussions in Executive 12 Session. 13 Is there such a motion? 14 MR. EDSALL: 15 16 Motion. 17 MR. ROUSE: 18 Is there a second? 19 MS. ETTER: 20 Second. 21 MR. ROUSE: 2.2 Any discussion? All those in favor, say 2.3 aye. All those opposed, say nay. Any 24 abstentions? Any recusals? 25 [The motion carried unanimously.]

8 * * * 1 2 Report of Board Counsel - Final Adjudications and 3 Orders MR. ROUSE: 4 5 Item 3 on the agenda is BPOA v. Timothy Woodward Barber Shop, Case No. 17-42-6 12026. Regarding that matter, I believe 9 the Board would entertain a motion to 10 adopt the Adjudication and Order as 11 presented by Board counsel and to direct 12 Board counsel to prepare the Board's Final Order. 13 Is there such a motion? 14 15 MR. GRAY: 16 Motion. 17 MR. ROUSE: 18 Is there a second? 19 CHAIRMAN MUNIZ: 20 Second. 21 MR. ROUSE: 22 Any discussion? All those in favor, say 2.3 aye. Any opposed, say nay. Any 24 abstentions? Any recusals? 25 [The motion carried unanimously.]

9 * * * 1 2 MR. ROUSE: 3 Item 4 on the agenda is a Final Adjudication in the Matter of BPOA v. 4 5 Wellington Rosero d/b/a Boss Barber 6 Shop, Case No. 19-42-011796. I believe the Board would entertain a motion to adopt the Adjudication and 9 Order as presented by Board counsel and 10 to direct Board counsel to prepare the Board's Final Order. 11 12 Is there such a motion? 13 CHAIRMAN MUNIZ: 14 Motion. 15 MR. MCANDREW: Second. 16 MR. ROUSE: 17 18 Any discussion? All those in favor, say 19 aye. Any opposed, say nay. Any 20 abstentions? Any recusals? 21 [The motion carried unanimously.] 22 23 Report of Board Counsel - Citation Appeals 2.4 MR. ROUSE: 25 Next is the Citation Appeal of Dave's

1 Barber Styling, Case No. 20-42-002280. 2 Is there anyone in the public here for 3 that matter? No one regarding that matter. This matter was discussed in 4 Executive Session.

> Regarding the Citation Appeal of Dave's Barber Styling, Case No. 20-42-002280 at item 5 on the agenda, I believe the Board would entertain a motion to direct Board counsel to prepare an order vacating the hearing examiner's Default Judgment Order of December 10, 2021 and remanding this matter to the hearing examiner for a hearing.

> > Is there such a motion?

17 CHAIRMAN MUNIZ:

Motion. 18

19 MR. ROUSE:

5

6

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

20 Is there a second?

21 MS. ETTER:

22 Second.

2.3 MR. ROUSE:

2.4 Any discussion? All those in favor, say 25 aye. All those opposed, say nay. Any

11 1 abstentions? Any recusals? 2 [The motion carried unanimously.] 3 4 MR. ROUSE: 5 Regarding the Citation Appeal of Brian 6 D. Penna, Case No. 20-42-003090. there anyone in the public here on that matter? Hearing none. 9 Regarding the Citation Appeal of 10 Brian D. Penna at item 6 on the agenda, I believe the Board would entertain a 11 motion to direct Board counsel to 12 1.3 prepare an order vacating the hearing 14 examiner's Default Judgment Order of 15 January 3, 2022 and remanding this 16 matter to the hearing examiner for a 17 hearing. Is there such a motion? 18 19 CHAIRMAN MUNIZ: 20 Motion. 21 MR. GRAY: 2.2 Second. 2.3 MR. ROUSE: 2.4 Any discussion? All those in favor, say 25 aye. All those opposed, say nay. Any

```
abstentions? Any recusals?
1
2
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
3
4
   Report of Board Counsel - Regulatory Discussion
5
   [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, referred to
6
   16A-4211. He provided a letter, dated January 26,
   2022, issued by the Independent Regulatory Review
   Commission (IRRC) informing the Board IRRC approved
   the State Board of Barber Examiners regulation
10
   regarding graduated fee increases for the Board's
11
   review. He noted the regulation is now with the
12
   Pennsylvania Office of Attorney General for approval
13
   and will eventually be published in the Pennsylvania
14
   Bulletin for public notice of fee increases.
15
        Mr. Rouse thanked the Board for their hard work
16
   getting the regulation approved.]
17
18
   Report of Board Counsel - Other
19
   [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, referred to
20
   Act 100 of 2021 regarding the modernization of BPOA
21
   state board meetings and functions. He noted it
22
   allows each licensing board and commission to use a
23
   virtual platform to conduct business when public
24
   meetings are held. He also noted licensing boards and
25
   commissions may use a virtual platform to establish a
```

1 quorum and effectuate business if the platform allows 2 for live participation.

Mr. Rouse stated each licensing board and commission shall establish rules and regulations for continuing education that provides for distance education if continuing education is required for a license. He mentioned that the Board does not have continuing education and would not be an issue for the Board.

Mr. Rouse commented that in order to meet supervision requirements for license, certificate, registration, or permit hours in a practice act that each licensing board and licensing commission shall establish rules and regulations providing for virtual supervision. He mentioned virtual supervision would be discussed further under the general revisions regulation.

Mr. Rouse addressed Act 76 of 2021 regarding barber distance education, which amends Section 12 of the Barbers' License Law by allowing barber schools to offer up to 50 percent of a school's curriculum through distance education. He stated a student may only earn barbering study and training hours through distance education for theory-based subjects, and the barber school curriculum may not offer practical

demonstrations through distance education.

Mr. Rouse noted prior discussion at the last meeting and further discussion under General Revisions at item 7 on the agenda.

* * *

Report of Board Counsel - Regulatory Discussion

[Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, addressed

Regulation 16A-429 regarding general revisions. He

referred to § 3.1 under definitions and noted the

addition of definitions for distance education,

asynchronous, synchronous, and hybrid. He asked

whether the Board had any amendments to the

definitions, and Board members approved the

definitions.

Mr. Rouse referred to § 3.11 regarding types of licenses, noting the change from barbershop permit to barbershop license and the change from barber school permit or license to barber school license because the Board issues a license.

Mr. Rouse referred to § 3.13 regarding display, replacement, and change of address. He note the deletion of the word "and" and addition of the word "or," where every license or permit issued by the Board shall be displayed in a conspicuous place in the place of business or place of employment of the holder

1 in § 3.13(a).

2.2

Mr. Rouse referred to § 3.13(b), when an original license or permit is lost, stolen or destroyed, the licensee shall immediately report the incident to the Board. He noted the deletion of the word "immediately" and the addition of "within 10 calendar days."

Mr. Rouse referred to § 3.13(c), barbers changing their registered address shall immediately notify the Board of the change. He noted deleting the word "immediately" and the addition of "within 10 calendar days."

Mr. Rouse referred to § 3.13(d), barbershop owners seeking to change their registered address or persons taking over as owners of an existing shop shall apply to the Board for an application for a new permit and return the permit issued for the former shop with the completed application for it to read, "barbershop owners seeking to change their registered address or persons taking over as owners of an existing barbershop shall apply to the Board for an application for a new license and return the license issued for the former barbershop with the completed application."

Mr. Rouse referred to § 3.14(a) prohibitions, noting the addition of the word "shop" for it to read,

- an individual who is not properly licensed by the

 Board may not be employed in a licensed barbershop or

 barber school. He noted the deletion of "registered

 with" and the addition of "licensed by" for § 3.13(b)

 to read, an individual to whom a license or permit has

 been issued may not work in a barbershop or barber

 school that is not licensed by the Board.
 - Mr. Rouse referred to § 3.15 escrow of licenses and failure to renew, noting the change to "inactive status and failure to renew."

Mr. Rouse noted changes to § 3.15(a) to read, a barber, manager-barber or barber-teacher who fails to renew a license for a 5-year period is required to take the appropriate practical examination and pay applicable back fees prior to renewal of the license. A licensee failing to file a biennial renewal registration application or pay the required registration fee by the registration date shall have these licenses classified as expired. As long as a licensee holds an expired license, the licensee is not permitted to practice in this Commonwealth. A licensee who practiced during a period in which the license was expired is required to pay a late fee of \$5 for each month or part of a month since the expiration of the biennial renewal registration and

may be subject to disciplinary proceedings before the Board or criminal prosecution or both.

2.3

Mr. Rouse noted new section § 3.15(a)(1) to read, a barbershop or barber school that fails to file a biennial renewal registration application or pay the required registration fee shall have its license classified as expired. As long as the barbershop or barber school holds an expired license, the barbershop or barber school is not permitted to operate in this Commonwealth. A barbershop or barber school that operates during a period of which its license has expired is required to pay a later fee of \$5 for each month or part of a month since the expiration of the biennial renewal registration and may be subject to disciplinary proceedings before the Board or criminal prosecution or both.

Mr. Rouse noted new section § 3.15(a)(2) to read, a licensee whose license has expired must file a reactivation application with the Board and pay the appropriate fee. He stated the licensee may not resume the practice of barbering or operation of the facility until the Board has reactivated the license was a detail added for clarification.

Chairman Muniz expressed concern with removing escrow from the wording because escrow is one of the

words someone has to know when a student takes their
barbering exams.

2.1

2.2

2.3

Mr. Rouse explained that changes in the statute or changes in regulations need to be a part of the examination and would be submitted to Pearson VUE or exam administrator.

Mr. Rouse referred to § 3.21 temporary student license, noting the deletion of "license" and the addition of "permit" and § 3.21(a) to read, upon payment of the required fee, a temporary permit may be issued to an applicant who is eligible for admission to the barber examination. An applicant who is issued a temporary permit shall practice only under the supervision of a licensed manager-barber until results are available from the next scheduled examination.

Temporary permits are granted for a 9-month period.

Mr. Rouse also noted § 3.21(c) to read, the shop owner or manager shall verify the graduation or completion date before allowing the student to work in the shop.

Chairman Muniz commented that manager-barber should be barber-manager.

Mr. Rouse stated that manager-barber could be changed to barber-manager universally throughout the document to be consistent with the license received,

and Board members agreed. Board members also agreed with changing the word "license" to "permit."

2.0

2.2

Mr. Rouse also asked whether the Board wished to make any changes to the 9-month temporary permit period and asked whether the 9 months is an incentive to get people to test as quickly as possible.

Chairman Muniz commented that people drag testing out longer when they have 9 months, noting they have another year after that point in order to take their practical or theory and is like a year and a half or a year and 9 months.

Chairman Muniz referred to situations where people receive their license and are going through the application process, and the application on the Pennsylvania Licensing System (PALS) expires but they are able to check a box for another 9-month temporary license and actually get one.

Mr. Rouse mentioned that there has always been one 9-month permit for the life of the candidate from the Board's office standpoint. He stated allowing them another 9 months is something that would have to be in the regulations.

Chairman Muniz noted being okay with allowing someone a second temporary license after their application expired. He further explained that their

application does not expire on PALS because they have not completed their application but depends on testing. He explained that someone whose application was processed but did not take their test and it is already a year later is when that license expires.

2.4

Chairman Muniz further explained that someone who takes their theory at month 6 and has a year after that point to take their practical that the application would expire for the following year, but if they do not do anything and go past that year where they are not able to take their practical, that is when the license expires. He stated permission would be needed to confirm their availability to reapply for that license again.

Mr. Rouse offer to add language with those details because that has been the part that has not been clear, where they would be able to get a temporary permit off the new application.

Mr. Edsall expressed concern with allowing another temporary license because it would prolong their testing. He stated a second temporary license should not be available, and the 9-month temporary license makes the applicant get their application and testing done.

Chairman Muniz commented that every situation is

different and not everyone has guidance, where many are working for 9 months and studying afterwards. He noted the applicant should be able to reapply and continue to work, because at that point, they cannot work and could weigh down on the barbershop also.

Mr. Rouse mentioned the importance of clarity in terms of whether the permit is tied to the application as opposed to having a temporary permit 3 years ago you are not getting another one, where someone it is a new application if someone wants to come back to the profession.

Mr. Seright suggested lessening the time period of the practical exam to encourage everyone to get those two tests done within a short amount of time before the expiration of the application.

Chairman Muniz explained that the practical examination is bimonthly and not in every city, where Pittsburgh and Philadelphia only have bimonthly testing and it could be difficult to schedule their exam because seats are full, especially with COVID.

Mr. Rouse commented that the issue is more in terms of whether someone who has a new application can get a new temporary permit and offered to write it in and present it back to the Board at the next Board meeting.

Mr. Edsall stated the 9-month temporary license is a good time period, where theory can be taken anytime and practical can be done three or four times a year. He noted being opposed to having a temporary license in regular non-COVID times, but COVID has brought along bigger challenges and suggested addressing the issue later on to see how the testing is being accommodated.

2.3

Mr. Rouse noted the question is whether there should be clear language in the regulation, where someone can get a temporary permit if they have to reapply, because the current language reads one 9-month permit for the life of the candidate.

Chairman Muniz commented that it would allow barber numbers to stay high and allow people a chance to get their license and not take anybody's opportunity away from them.

Mr. Rouse informed that Board that he would write in the language for further discussion at the next Board meeting. He noted the document has to be released to stakeholders as an exposure draft at some point and would give them the opportunity to weigh in on this issue as well.

Mr. Seright commented that 9 months is long enough and agreed that an extension due to the

circumstances over the last couple of years may be granted but disagreed with continuing to receive 9 months over and over again.

2.2

Chair Muniz suggested having a certain time frame, possibly within 3 years, to be able to reapply and get a temporary license again but to not take it away completely.

Mr. Rouse referred to § 3.53 minimum space requirement amendment discussed by the past Board, where a barbershop still has a minimum of at least 180 square feet for a 1 chair shop, at least 240 square feet for a 2 chair shop, and 60 additional square feet for each additional chair. An applicant may apply in writing for a variance from the space requirements in this section. The Board may grant a variance if the Board believes it is reasonable.

Chairman Muniz stated it should be kept the way it is, and there should not be any changes to the 12 x 15 identified for each additional chair.

 $\mbox{Mr.}$ Rouse noted the regulations will stay the same as far as space requirements.

Mr. Rouse referred to § 3.54 minimum equipment requirements and noted past Board discussion changing § 3.54(16), two razors for each chair in operation, at least one of which is nondisposable to "one straight

razor, which may be disposable for each chair."

2.2

2.3

Chairman Muniz agreed with that change and suggested having at least 1 washbowl or sink for every 2 chairs, noting he has a 10 chair barbershop and never used more than 2 washbowls. He stated no more than 2 washbowls are needed after 4 chairs inside of a barbershop.

Mr. Edsall addressed Pennsylvania inspectors, noting they have a different interpretation as to whether something is a shampoo bowel or a wash sink and questioned whether the verbiage needed to be changed or should it say washbowl or washstand. He noted the importance of being specific with the verbiage, where no more than 2 shampoo sinks are necessary.

Mr. Rouse noted the Board decided on the minimum requirement of 1 shampoo bowl for 3 chairs and 2 shampoo bowls for 4 chairs and over.

Mr. Rouse stated the past Board removed 1 strop and 1 hone as a requirement and stated a barbershop may provide for the following: 1 strop, 1 hone, and hair tonic, face lotion, cold cream, or massage cream.

Chairman Muniz noted that is optional and should not be a regulation because not everybody provides facials at their barbershops.

- 1 Mr. Rouse noted § 3.55 maintenance and sanitation 2 is cleaning up the language.
- Mr. Edsall referred to § 3.54(7) minimum

 quipment requirements, noting a requirement for 1

 covered trash container for every two chairs should

 just read trash container.
- Chair Muniz, referred to § 3.54(23) 2 clean haircloths for each chair should read, 2 clean haircloths or drapes.

14

15

16

17

18

- 10 Chairman Muniz suggested changing language to a
 11 barbershop may provide for the following: 1 strop, 1
 12 hone, hair tonic lotion, and massage cream so it is
 13 optional.
 - Mr. Rouse referred to § 3.55 maintenance and sanitation, noting a change in (d) where a barbershop shall provide hot and cold running water at a convenient location within the barbershop, and Board members agreed.
- Mr. Rouse referred to § 3.84 space, noting the
 Board decided to keep the space requirement the way it
 is now.
- Mr. Rouse referred to § 3.85 equipment, noting
 the past Board wanted to remove those items, and Board
 members agreed.
- 25 Chairman Muniz suggested changing lather mixer to

1 lather machine, a minimum of 4 shampoo bowls within a
2 school, 1 waste container for every 2 chairs, 1
3 covered hamper, and one 24 x 30 mirror.

1.3

2.2

Mr. Rouse referred to § 3.90(a), where a barber school may offer up to 50 percent of the schools' curriculum through distance education. The student at a barber school may only earn barbering study and training hours for theory-based coursework. Practical demonstrations may not be offered through distance education. Up to 625 hours of theory-based coursework of the 1250-hour barber curriculum and barber-teacher curriculum may be delivered at a barber school through distance education.

Mr. Rouse stated the numbers for the hours of theory-based coursework are based on the numbers the Board discussed when they did the waivers for COVID and is consistent with Act 76 of 2021, which is the amendment to the Barbers' License Law to say that barber schools can provide up to 50 percent of theory coursework through distance education.

Mr. Rouse further explained that it is 50 percent of the entire 1250 hours but must be theory coursework. He noted prior discussion regarding the courses to see what part would be theory because even with practical courses, there is a theory part that

needs to be taught first and that is how the Board came up with the numbers.

2.2

2.3

Mr. Rouse noted that the 50 percent of a barber curriculum for distance education could be monitored with the passage rates for the exam and could be discussed during public session to try to pinpoint the issues.

Mr. Rouse stated there was a new section added regarding the barber crossover curriculum, where 347 hours of the 695 hours could be provided through theory-based coursework and will be discussed at the next Board meeting.

Mr. Rouse asked whether Board members wished to add a section for barbershops similar to what was added to barber schools regarding distance education because Act 100 of 2021 requires some type of provision for virtual supervision for students. He mentioned prior Board discussion about what percentage of distance education could be provided in barbershops and believed the number was 350 hours through virtual learning.

Mr. Edsall expressed concern with being able to monitor students in one-on-one interactive education.

Chairman Muniz discussed his attendance at the National Association of Barber Boards of America

(NABBA), where there was a company that had
apprenticeships online with a system capable of
monitoring hours and able to record everything. He
suggested obtaining information as to whether someone
in Pennsylvania would be able to provide program a
monitored apprenticeship course online.

Mr. Rouse commented that the Board may want to clarify in the regulations that such a program would be like synchronous distance education, where the barber and apprentice would have that contact simultaneously.

2.0

Chairman Muniz commented that students who would be assigned questions and exams at home would be giving their time and putting hours in that would be hours they could be getting toward their apprenticeship.

Mr. Edsall noted that the Milady program and workbook are the most they have ever used, where they are reading chapters and doing workbook assignments outside but is not monitored work.

Chairman Muniz mentioned the Cengage program with Milady, where they can monitor things like that but only a barber school owner has access to that. He stated apprenticeships are limited as far as what can be provided and suggesting leaving that alone for now.

1

2

3

5

Mr. Rouse informed the Board that he would write up all the changes the Board made and place it on the agenda for discussion at the next Board meeting.]

* * *

6 Report of Acting Commissioner - No Report

* * *

8 Report of Board Chair

9 [Dominic A. Muniz, Chairman, Professional Member,

10 noted the importance of going through all the

11 regulations and talking about general revisions

12 because it is a big part of the profession.]

13

14 Report of Board Administrator - No Report

15 ***

16 Application

17 MR. ROUSE:

18

Cutting Edge Family Barbershop LLC,

19 which was a Variance Request discussed

in Executive Session. Is there anyone

21 here on the <u>Cutting Edge Family</u>

Barbershop matter? Hearing none.

I believe regarding the Application

of Cutting Edge Family Barbershop LLC,

Application No. AA0003470805, the Board

```
30
                  would entertain a motion to
1
2
                  provisionally deny the request for a
3
                  variance.
                       Is there such a motion?
 4
5
   CHAIRMAN MUNIZ:
6
                  Motion.
7
   MR. EDSALL:
                  Second.
9
   MR. ROUSE:
10
                  Any discussion? All those in favor, say
11
                  aye. All those opposed, say nay. Any
12
                  abstentions? Any recusals?
13
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
14
   MR. ROUSE:
15
16
                  If we could amend the agenda because it
17
                  has the application as number 1 when it
18
                  is number 11, and the appointment, which
19
                  is listed as number 2, should be number
                  12.
20
21
                       Is there a motion to amend these
22
                  items on the agenda?
2.3
   CHAIRMAN MUNIZ:
24
                  Motion.
25
   MR. ROUSE:
```

```
31
1
                  Is there a second?
2
   MR. GRAY:
3
                  Second.
4
   MR. ROUSE:
5
                  Any discussion? All those in favor, say
6
                  aye. All those opposed, say nay. Any
7
                  abstentions? Any recusals?
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
9
10
   Meeting Dates
11
   [Dominic A. Muniz, Chairman, Professional Member,
12
   noted the next Board meeting date is scheduled for
   April 25, 2022.]
13
14
                               * * *
15
   Adjournment
16
   CHAIRMAN MUNIZ:
17
                  Motion to adjourn?
18
   MR. GRAY:
19
                  Motion.
20
   CHAIRMAN MUNIZ:
21
                  Could I get a second?
22
   MR. EDSALL:
23
                  Second.
24
   CHAIRMAN MUNIZ:
25
                  That's it.
```

```
32
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
1
2
3
   [There being no further business, the State Board of
4
   Barber Examiners Meeting adjourned at 12:52 p.m.]
                               * * *
5
6
                          CERTIFICATE
9
        I hereby certify that the foregoing summary
10
   minutes of the State Board of Barber Examiners
11
   meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my
12
   supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize
13
   the substance of the State Board of Barber Examiners
14
   meeting.
15
16
17
18
                                       Witherow,
                               Minute Clerk
19
20
                               Sargent's Court Reporting
21
                                  Service, Inc.
22
2.3
24
25
```