

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF STATE
BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS

F I N A L M I N U T E S

MEETING OF:

STATE BOARD OF AUCTIONEER EXAMINERS

TIME: 9:02 a.m.

BOARD ROOM C

One Penn Center

2601 North Third Street

Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17110

Monday, March 9, 2020

State Board of Auctioneer Examiners
March 9, 2020

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

BOARD MEMBERS:

Nevin B. Rentzel, Chairman, Professional Member
Sherman E. Hostetter Jr., Professional Member
Theodore Stauffer, Executive Assistant, Bureau of
Professional and Occupational Affairs, on behalf of
K. Kalonji Johnson, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of
Professional and Occupational Affairs
Gerald A. Rader, Professional Member
Daniel A. Trace, Secretary, Professional Member
Heather M. Troutman, Consumer Protection Agent

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Kenneth J. Suter, Esquire, Board Counsel
Tara J. Wikhian, Esquire, Board Prosecutor
Terrie Kocher, Board Administrator

ALSO PRESENT:

Patrick Morgan, Auctioneer, Pennsylvania Auctioneers
Association
George A. Michak, Esquire, Pennsylvania Auctioneers
Association

1 ***

2 State Board of Auctioneer Examiners

3 March 9, 2020

4 ***

5 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State
6 Board of Auctioneer Examiners was held on Monday,
7 March 9, 2020. Nevin B. Rentzel, Chairman,
8 Professional Member, called the meeting to order at
9 9:02 a.m.

10 ***

11 Moment of Silence

12 [Chairman Rentzel asked for a moment of silence.]

13 ***

14 Introduction of Audience

15 [Chairman Rentzel requested the introduction of
16 audience members in attendance.]

17 ***

18 Approval of minutes of the January 6, 2020 meeting

19 CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:

20 At this time, I ask for approval of
21 minutes of our last meeting on January
22 6, 2020?

23 MR. HOSTETTER:

24 So moved.

25 CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:

1 Chairman Rentzel mention the bill to be an
2 addendum to their law if passed, whereby any horse
3 would need to be held for 72 hours before being sold,
4 which could have a tremendous impact on livestock
5 auctions.

6 Mr. Suter stated the department as a whole takes
7 positions on pieces of legislation, and it would be
8 appropriate for the Board to provide feedback for the
9 department's position. He commented that the Board
10 cannot take a position as a Board, because it was not
11 within their authority and ability. He noted it to be
12 perfectly appropriate for professional members of the
13 Board to make their feelings known, not as a Board
14 member but as a professional member of their
15 association.

16 He stated the association could certainly lobby
17 and take official positions. The Board internally can
18 work if the bill would create a nonpolitical problem
19 in terms of licensing or the practice of the
20 profession.

21 Mr. Hostetter questioned the ability to obtain
22 the history on the bill. Mr. Suter commented that
23 there was a cosponsorship memorandum circulated, where
24 cosponsorship members can completely explain and
25 address issues. He will place that information on the

1 next agenda or send it out in between the Board
2 meetings.

3 Mr. Hostetter questioned the time frame and
4 suggested the Board consider amendments to their
5 license law. Mr. Suter mentioned asking
6 policy/legislative people to attend the next Board
7 meeting to provide feedback. He commented that the
8 vast majority of legislation introduced never goes
9 anywhere and their timing was good in terms of the
10 next Board meeting to be on top of this from their
11 perspective.

12 Mr. Suter stated if the Board anticipates the
13 bill creating potential problems to the auction
14 profession that it would be appropriate to funnel the
15 information through the policy and legislative office
16 to make the department aware of the same.

17 Mr. Suter also stated the Board could recommend
18 amendments to legislation, if it was drafted
19 incorrectly or with issues, through their association.

20 Patrick Morgan, Pennsylvania Auctioneers
21 Association (PAA), addressed House Bill 2193, stating
22 that the proposed legislation would require horse
23 auctions to use the freeze branding or microchip
24 information. The information would be advertised on
25 the horse auctions website 72 hours prior.

1 Mr. Morgan explained that freeze branding and
2 microchips are to be registered on the auction's
3 website. He stated freeze branding is done primarily
4 in the Standardbred industry, and microchips are done
5 in the thoroughbred industry. He commented that many
6 times there will be a horse that is sold at one of the
7 Standardbred or Thoroughbred racehorse auctions for a
8 lower dollar figure that is never going to be raised.

9 Mr. Morgan noted off-the-track horses that will
10 not race again and go to stockyard auctions where
11 Amish and Plain communities buy horses. He also
12 mentioned many times the horses will leave an auction
13 held somewhere out of state, even on a Friday, and
14 show up in New Holland on a Sunday for a sale on
15 Monday.

16 Mr. Morgan noted that would eliminate the 72-hour
17 threshold for that horse to be sold at that auction,
18 where there would be several Plain community horse
19 traders whose business would be infringed upon with
20 that 72-hour requirement. In addition to that, New
21 Holland would not have the infrastructure to post
22 those horses on their website or the time frame. That
23 would infringe upon their business.

24 Mr. Morgan stated this would devastate the
25 smaller businesses, not just auctions but actual horse

1 traders. It would force them to find a different way
2 to sell those horses. He commented that the
3 Pennsylvania Auctioneers Association is closely
4 monitoring this matter and implored the Board to do
5 all it can to protect the auction industry and the
6 auction license.

7 Mr. Morgan addressed the legislative end, stating
8 that he spoke with Capital Associates. He will attend
9 an upcoming meeting to address the issue. He felt
10 that it is too soon to tell if it would move out of
11 committee or not but is being closely watched.

12 George A. Michak, Esquire, Pennsylvania
13 Auctioneers Association, discussed potential issues
14 impacting the industry and consumers regarding this
15 bill. He noted an issue with civil liability when the
16 responsibility to police the movement of horses and
17 sale of horses is put on the industry. He questioned
18 whether this bill could give rise to civil liability
19 from the auctioneer to the owner of the horse if there
20 is not strict compliance with that statute.

21 Mr. Michak mentioned this would impose an
22 obligation not just to go to a registry but actually
23 to search for the potential owner of the horse to
24 determine whether the horse was stolen or not. He
25 believed this raises questions in terms of the burden

1 being placed on the industry and in terms of the
2 possibility of civil liability for failure to strictly
3 comply.

4 Mr. Michak discussed the difficulty with
5 compliance in relation to a timing perspective. He
6 mentioned that horses are brought to all kinds of
7 auctions, including livestock auctions. He commented
8 that the bill was basically attempting to assign
9 responsibility for policing a segment of the
10 equestrian industry onto the auction industry, and
11 there are broader ramifications than had been fully
12 considered.]

13 ***

14 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at
15 9:23 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session
16 with Kenneth J. Suter, Esquire, Board Counsel, for the
17 purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations on
18 matters that are currently pending before the Board.
19 The Board returned to open session at 11:28 a.m.]

20 ***

21 [Theodore Stauffer, Executive Assistant, Bureau of
22 Professional and Occupational Affairs, exited the
23 meeting during executive session.]

24 ***

25 MOTIONS

1 MR. SUTER:

2 The Board was in executive session. The
3 purpose of the executive session was to
4 discuss the following items: Item No. 2
5 on the agenda, which was the Bauer
6 litigation and an update on that; Item
7 No. 3 on the agenda, which is the final
8 Adjudication and Order for Michael L.
9 Goepfert as well as No. 9 on the agenda,
10 which was a discussion regarding
11 auctioneers that are expired seven or
12 more years, Item No. 10 on the agenda,
13 reciprocity.

14 Going to Item No. 3 on the agenda,
15 I understand the Board will entertain a
16 motion to approve the final Adjudication
17 and Order in this matter, Case No. 18-
18 64-007473.

19 CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:

20 Do I hear a motion to approve?

21 MR. HOSTETTER:

22 So moved.

23 CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:

24 Is there a second?

25 MR. RADER:

1 process.

2 Chairman Rentzel questioned whether these
3 applications would come before the Board in the same
4 process as the reciprocal applications. Mr. Suter
5 commented that the applications would come before the
6 Board through the Act 41 Committee. He noted, if the
7 committee approves the individual, the license would
8 be granted. The Board would simply be told at a
9 subsequent meeting the license was approved through
10 the committee. If the committee would deny the
11 individual, the applicant would then come before the
12 Board for a provisional denial as each applicant is
13 entitled to due process. He stated the applications
14 would be handled very similarly to the way the
15 Application Committee presently deals with
16 reciprocals.

17 Mr. Suter explained that it is a statute, and the
18 Board is required to adopt either 2 of the last 5
19 years prior to the application or a certain number of
20 continuing education credits. He mentioned the Board
21 adopted 2 of the last 5 years.

22 Chairman Rentzel commented that this was already
23 law, and the Board has to give individuals an option
24 as far as what is acceptable out of two options. He
25 commented that everyone who applies through this

1 vehicle still must apply and go through review.

2 Mr. Suter noted auctioneering to be potentially
3 unique in comparison to most other professions,
4 because auctioneering can be done anywhere. He
5 commented that this was mandatory, but concerns could
6 be brought up as an individual licensee with their
7 legislator or through their association.

8 Mr. Michak suggested the Board review the goals
9 on the licensing law and under Act 41, where basically
10 the Board's responsibility is to craft regulations
11 that satisfy those two sets of goals.

12 Mr. Michak stated if the goals are to protect the
13 consumer to make sure people are licensed in a way
14 that is comfortable to the Board and to provide
15 portability of licensing, which means an individual is
16 bringing their license with them. He suggested a
17 residency requirement is implied and certainly the
18 authority to oppose that would be implied to the
19 Board.

20 Mr. Suter will approach the Governor's Policy
21 Office and Office of General Counsel with any
22 regulation.

23 Mr. Rader questioned whether this pertains to all
24 licensed auction companies, apprentices, and
25 auctioneers. Mr. Suter commented that it was drafted

1 as a general regulation, and the act applies to all
2 licenses. He stated trading assistants are really
3 just a registration and better off just to register
4 than jumping through these hoops. He stated an
5 auction company would have to have practiced 2 of the
6 5 years immediately preceding, where jurisdiction laws
7 must be substantially equivalent to Pennsylvania.

8 Mr. Suter stated auctioneers and apprentice would
9 need to be substantially equivalent to qualify under
10 this provision before even getting into the analysis
11 of having practiced 2 of the 5.

12 Mr. Suter addressed the provisional license,
13 which is a separate section, but applies to people who
14 are applying for a license under Act 41. He stated a
15 provisional license is intended for those individuals
16 who have met criteria and are substantially equivalent
17 and have practiced 2 of the last 5 years but have not
18 taken the required continuing education (CE).

19 Mr. Suter stated the individual could be given a
20 provisional license with a year to obtain the CE or
21 any other requirement the Board deems necessary. He
22 mentioned that a provisional license only applies to
23 people who qualify under Act 41, and the Board would
24 not be granting a provisional license to an applicant
25 through reciprocity provisions or any other provisions

1 of the act.

2 Chairman Rentzel added that after an individual
3 receives a provisional license, they are not eligible
4 to reapply for the same kind of license and have to
5 apply for a license under regulation 3.(e) by
6 examination or reciprocity. He stated otherwise the
7 applicant would fall under the same jurisdiction of
8 everyone who lives within the Commonwealth.

9 Mr. Suter explained a provisional license as
10 somebody coming to Pennsylvania for licensure by
11 endorsement so all of the first part applies but
12 something is missing, and they have not met the
13 criteria. He stated the Board or committee could
14 determine to give that person a license and give them
15 time to complete what is missing.

16 Mr. Suter noted that the individual would receive
17 the provisional license for one year to complete the
18 necessary requirements. He mentioned that the Board
19 could extend that for another year.

20 Mr. Rader questioned whether there would be a
21 criminal background check. Ms. Kocher explained there
22 would be a criminal background check on the initial
23 application.

24 Mr. Suter discussed applicants from China, noting
25 that they do not have a reliable criminal history

1 record. He did not foresee many applicants under this
2 provision in Pennsylvania because an individual would
3 have to be able to communicate well to be an
4 auctioneer. He commented that individuals licensed in
5 other states many times can get a license through
6 reciprocity. He noted no auctioneer applications so
7 far.]

8

9 CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:

10 Do we have a motion to adopt our
11 language for Act 41?

12 Do I hear a motion? For lack of a
13 motion, it has been tabled.

14

15 [Mr. Suter addressed 16A-648, the proposed Schedule of
16 Civil Penalties annex. He stated the prosecution
17 office will issue a citation instead of filing an
18 order to show cause, but in order to issue a citation,
19 it must be on a schedule of citations that are
20 published. He noted the Board looked at citations and
21 decided to remove some items on the schedule as they
22 were more serious than the citation, and the
23 prosecutor should file an order to show cause.

24 Mr. Suter stated, when a citation is issued, if
25 the person is successfully prosecuted, there is no

1 discretion in terms of the hearing examiner, where
2 they have to implement the civil penalty that the
3 Board has prescribed according to the schedule.

4 Mr. Suter went through the original version and
5 amended the language as directed by the Board. He
6 mentioned being informed by the legal office of an
7 omnibus regulation to correct any incorrect sites.

8 Mr. Suter noted being informed to identify
9 necessary amendments to the Auctioneer Act but to not
10 change any of the substantive changes by Board, on or
11 off the schedule or changing the penalty. He stated
12 it was not drafted as a proposed regulation, so it
13 would be well on its way.

14 Mr. Suter will provide revisions in the omnibus
15 piece of regulation that deal with all the Boards and
16 matters the Board wanted to be addressed, noting that
17 it now needs to be put into its own regulation and
18 move forward on its own. Mr. Suter mentioned that the
19 Board already voted on the regulation but would like
20 the Board's review and approval before moving forward.

21 Mr. Suter noted that an auctioneer or apprentice
22 auctioneer operating on a lapsed license is a \$500
23 civil penalty. He noted one renewal cycle or less is
24 \$50 per month lapsed, not to exceed \$1,000. He stated
25 the second offense is now \$1,000, which would change

1 and be taken out. He noted any auctioneer or
2 apprentice auctioneer practicing more than one renewal
3 cycle would require formal action.

4 Mr. Hostetter questioned why § 734.20(a)(12)
5 regarding an escrow account was being deleted.

6 Mr. Suter stated the Board felt that for a
7 violation of the escrow account, there should be a
8 formal action as it is a serious offense and would not
9 be in the citation schedule.

10 Mr. Suter referred to the whole advertisement
11 section, noting it not to be in the prior citation
12 schedule.

13 Mr. Hostetter questioned why just a warning
14 letter was not sent for the first offense. Mr. Suter
15 stated prosecution always has the discretion to issue
16 a warning letter versus prosecuting.

17 Tara J. Wikhian, Esquire, Board Prosecutor, on
18 behalf of J. Karl Geschwindt, Esquire, Board
19 Prosecution Liaison, noted prosecution will not issue
20 a citation or take formal action on minor violations
21 and will give a warning letter.

22 Mr. Suter further explained that minor violations
23 are not the highest priorities in prosecution, and the
24 first time is a warning letter for every Board. He
25 noted it would be a first offense for individuals who

1 are prosecuted for the second time.

2 Mr. Hostetter addressed a lapsed license, noting
3 that more than likely it is not intentional but maybe
4 because they are not doing it every day and suggested
5 issuing a warning letter instead of giving them a
6 record. Mr. Suter stated a lapsed license is not the
7 highest priority in prosecution, and the first time is
8 a warning letter for every Board. He explained that
9 the first time around, prosecution issues a warning
10 letter, and if an individual is prosecuted for the
11 second time, it counts as the first offense because
12 they were not prosecuted.

13 Ms. Wikhian explained that individuals past the
14 expiration of the license have to fill out a
15 reactivation application, and if they answer yes to
16 practicing on an expired license, it goes to the
17 Professional Compliance Office (PCO), which triggers
18 the prosecution office to be involved.]

19 ***

20 CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:

21 We need to entertain a motion to approve
22 the annex Schedule of Civil Penalties.

23 MR. RADER:

24 So moved.

25 MR. TRACE:

1 Second.

2 CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:

3 It's been moved and seconded.

4 Discussion?

5 MR. HOSTETTER:

6 The amendment would be that we put a
7 warning letter as the first offense on
8 the licensee, § 734.20(a)(15).

9 MR. SUTER:

10 The first offense is a warning letter as
11 proposed.

12 CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:

13 The first is a warning letter, second
14 \$250, and \$500.

15 We are going to see if we have a
16 second? Do I hear a second to that
17 motion? That part dies.

18 We're back to the original to
19 accept what is listed here before us.
20 We had a first, second. Any further
21 discussion? All those in favor, give
22 their consent by saying aye. Opposed?

23 [The motion carried unanimously.]

24 ***

25 [Mr. Suter addressed § 1.41 Schedule of Fees regarding

1 the graduated fee increase annex, noting the need for
2 changes related the reciprocal auctioneer application.
3 He and Ms. Kocher were spending more time on
4 reciprocal applications and should be at least the
5 same fee as other applications.

6 Mr. Suter noted asking Ms. Adams from the Bureau
7 of Finance and Operations (BFO) to attend the next
8 Board meeting, because he noticed that there were no
9 biennial renewals for trading assistant companies that
10 was included in their fee schedule. He noted
11 everything else to be the same as the Board voted on
12 and discussed at a prior Board meeting.

13 Chairman Rentzel explained that the Board was
14 adopting what it had already adopted with the
15 exception of the application fee being the same across
16 the Board instead of having a different reciprocal
17 application fee.

18 Mr. Suter noted existing fees in the regulation
19 would be deleted. He referred to § 1.41(a), the new
20 graduated application fees broken down into categories
21 by the effective date. He referred to § 1.41(b)
22 regarding biennial renewals. He included, in the
23 biennial renewals, the trading assistant and trading
24 assistant company because those were the fees the
25 Board agreed to for biennial renewals but were not in

1 the budget. Mr. Suter stated BFO became confused
2 because the fee was implemented through statute. He
3 mentioned there was no trading assistant or trading
4 assistant company fee on the first page. He stated it
5 was implemented with the 2016 amendments, but the
6 legislature gave the Board the authority to change
7 those fees through regulation. He commented that when
8 BFO does their reports, they review the regulation and
9 board fees, so they did not capture what was in the
10 statute.

11 Mr. Suter noted the new graduated fee, which is
12 what the Independent Regulatory Review Commission
13 (IRRC) and the Governor's Office want to see for
14 fees.]

15 ***

16 CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:

17 Is there a motion to accept the annex
18 graduated fee increase as presented?

19 MS. TROUTMAN:

20 So moved.

21 CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:

22 Is there a second?

23 MR. TRACE:

24 Second.

25 CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:

1 Any discussion?

2 MR. HOSTETTER:

3 When do we get out of the red?

4 MS. TROUTMAN:

5 The end of the third renewal period, we
6 are supposed to be in the black.

7 MR. SUTER:

8 They are trying to look at a 10-year
9 period of time for the fee increases.
10 They certainly do not want to see us for
11 at least 6 years. From what we are
12 looking at from BFO, they are thinking
13 this will get us through. We are doing
14 increases in each biennial renewal, so
15 that takes us to 6 years.

16 CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:

17 Any additional discussion? We have a
18 motion on the floor and a second. All
19 in favor of accepting the graduated fee
20 increase as presented, say aye.

21 Opposed?

22 [The motion carried unanimously.]

23 ***

24 Report of Board Counsel - Probable Cause Screening
25 Committee

1 [Heather M. Troutman, Consumer Protection Agent, noted
2 she is the only member on the Probable Cause Screening
3 Committee.

4 Mr. Suter mentioned that the Board may have an
5 immediate temporary suspension because that applies to
6 all of the Boards. He noted the importance of
7 reappointed Ms. Troutman to the Probable Cause
8 Screening Committee.

9 Mr. Suter questioned whether the Application
10 Committee would be the same as the Act 41 Committee,
11 as currently set up.]

12 ***

13 CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:

14 Heather, first off, are you comfortable
15 being that committee?

16 MS. TROUTMAN:

17 Yes, I'm fine.

18 CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:

19 Motion?

20 MR. HOSTETTER:

21 Yes.

22 CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:

23 Is there a second?

24 MR. RADER:

25 Yes.

1 CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:

2 All in favor, say aye.

3 [The motion carried unanimously.]

4 ***

5 Application Review Committee/Act 41 Committee

6 CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:

7 Currently, Gerry and Sherman are
8 handling that. Are you still
9 interested?

10 MR. HOSTETTER:

11 I'm good with that.

12 MR. RADER:

13 Good.

14 CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:

15 Do I hear a motion to accept?

16 MR. TRACE:

17 So moved.

18 CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:

19 Is there a second?

20 MS. TROUTMAN:

21 Yes.

22 CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:

23 Any discussion? All those in favor, say
24 aye.

25 [The motion carried unanimously.]

1 ***

2 [Nevin B. Rentzel, Chairman, Professional Member,
3 announced Steve Latanishen would not be able to attend
4 the meeting to discuss Board member vacancies but
5 requested he attend the next Board meeting.

6 Chairman Rentzel requested a list of possible
7 candidates for the trading assistant.

8 Mr. Kocher mentioned that Acting Commissioner
9 Johnson noted the method for finding a trading
10 assistant should not be done by the Board looking at a
11 list. She noted the Board could bring a name forward
12 of somebody who wants to be on the Board.

13 Mr. Kocher will ask Mr. Latanishen to attend the
14 next meeting for updates as far as the two public
15 member vacancies and one trading assistant vacancy.

16 Mr. Hostetter stated a trading assistant has to
17 be registered that way but questioned whether they can
18 also be an auctioneer. Mr. Suter did not see why they
19 could not be both as long as they are a registered
20 trading assistant.

21 Chairman Rentzel noted the Board will discuss
22 this with Mr. Latanishen at the next meeting.]

23 ***

24 Report of Board Chairman - No Report

25 ***

1 Report of Acting Commissioner - No Report

2 ***

3 Report of Board Prosecution - No Report

4 ***

5 Report of Board Administrator

6 [Terrie Kocher, Board Administrator, noted the need
7 for election of officers for 2020.]

8 ***

9 MR. HOSTETTER:

10 I nominate Nevin Rentzel as chair.

11 MR. TRACE:

12 Second.

13 MR. SUTER:

14 Is there any discussion on that? So
15 it's unanimous.

16 [The motion carried unanimously.]

17 ***

18 MR. HOSTETTER:

19 I nominate Dan for secretary.

20 MR. RADER:

21 Second.

22 CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:

23 Any discussion? All in favor say aye.

24 [The motion carried unanimously.]

25 ***

1 [Ms. Kocher noted information regarding the
2 coronavirus update from the Department of Health for
3 the Board's review but also noted newer additional
4 updates.

5 Ms. Kocher informed the Board she will not be
6 attending the May meeting but will have a
7 representative in her place.]

8 ***

9 Miscellaneous

10 [Terrie Kocher, Board Administrator, noted May 11,
11 July 13, September 14, and November 9 as remaining
12 Board meeting dates.]

13 ***

14 New Business

15 [Sherman E. Hostetter Jr., Professional Member,
16 addressed his attendance at the Digital Marketing
17 Summit in Kentucky. He noted the many changes taking
18 place and getting more and more complex as far as
19 digital market. He suggested taking a look at this
20 regarding license law and possibly adding more digital
21 marketing to Class B schools.

22 Mr. Suter was putting a package on the agenda for
23 the next meeting, not only from an educational
24 perspective but from a regulatory perspective.

25 Mr. Hostetter mentioned the importance of having

1 Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) compliance on
2 their sites. He noted programs that attorneys could
3 use to verify whether a site is 60% ADA compliant. He
4 stated all of the marketing today was so complex that
5 he was in the process of hiring somebody.

6 Mr. Suter suggested Mr. Hostetter forward
7 information on this matter to Ms. Kocher to be added
8 to the agenda.]

9

10 CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:

11 Do I hear a motion to adjourn?

12 MR. HOSTETTER:

13 So moved.

14 CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:

15 Second?

16 MS. TROUTMAN:

17 Second.

18 CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:

19 All in favor?

20 [The motion carried unanimously.]

21

22 [There being no further business, the State Board of
23 Auctioneer Examiners Meeting adjourned at 12:55 p.m.]

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Auctioneer Examiners meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Auctioneers meeting.



Derek Richmond,

Minute Clerk

Sargent's Court Reporting
Service, Inc.

STATE BOARD OF AUCTIONEER EXAMINERS
REFERENCE INDEX

March 9, 2020

TIME	AGENDA
9:02	Official Call to Order
9:03	Introduction of Audience Members
9:03	Approval of Minutes
9:05	Report of Board Counsel
9:23	Executive Session
11:28	Return to Open Session
11:28	Motions
11:32	Report of Board Counsel (Continued)
12:47	Report of Board Administrator
12:49	Miscellaneous
12:49	New Business
12:55	Adjournment

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50