1	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
2	DEPARTMENT OF STATE
3	BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAI
4	
5	FINAL MINUTES
6	
7	MEETING OF:
8	
9	STATE BOARD OF AUCTIONEER EXAMINERS
10	
11	TIME: 10:38 a.m.
12	
13	Held at
14	PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF STATE
15	2601 North Third Street
16	One Penn Center, Board Room C
17	Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17110
18	as well as
19	VIA MICROSOFT TEAMS
20	
21	Monday, September 12, 2022
22	
23	

State Board of Auctioneer Examiners September 12, 2022

BOARD MEMBERS:

Nevin B. Rentzel, Chairman, Professional Member Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs Sherman E. Hostetter Jr., Professional Member Gerald A. Rader, Professional Member - Absent Daniel A. Trace, Secretary, Professional Member Christopher Dey, Deputy Attorney General, Consumer Protection Division

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Heather J. McCarthy, Esquire, Senior Prosecuting Attorney

Jason E. McMurry, Esquire, Board Counsel
J. Karl Geschwindt, Esquire, Board Prosecution
Liaison

Terrie Kocher, Board Administrator

Andrew LaFratte, MPA, Executive Policy Specialist, Department of State

Amanda Richards, Acting Fiscal Chief, Bureau of Finance and Operations, Department of State Michelle Witmer, Bureau of Finance and Operations, Department of State

ALSO PRESENT:

 Anthony Cox Jr., Esquire, Eckert Seamans, on behalf of the Pennsylvania Auctioneers Association

* * *

1

3

4

13

14

15

16

17

2 State Board of Auctioneer Examiners

September 12, 2022

* * *

5 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 10:00 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session 7 with Jason E. McMurry, Esquire, Board Counsel, for the 8 purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations and 9 to receive legal advice on matters that are currently 10 pending before the Board. The Board returned to open 11 session at 10:30 a.m.]

12 ***

The regularly scheduled meeting of the State
Board of Auctioneer Examiners was held on Monday,
September 12, 2022. Nevin B. Rentzel, Chairman,
Professional Member, called the meeting to order at
10:38 a.m.

- 19 Introduction of Board Members/Attendees
- 20 [Chairman Rentzel requested an introduction of Board
- 21 members and attendees.]
- 22 ***
- 23 | Agenda Amendment
- 24 CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:
- I would like to make a motion to amend

```
our agenda for today to add a regulatory
1
2
                  work session following our regular
3
                  meeting.
   MR. TRACE:
4
5
                  Second.
6
   CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:
                  Roll call vote.
8
9
                  Nevin Rentzel, aye; Arion Claggett, aye;
10
                  Sherman Hostetter, aye; Daniel Trace,
11
                  aye; Christopher Dey, aye.
12
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
13
                               * * *
14
   Approval of minutes of the July 11, 2022 meeting
15
   CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:
16
                  First on our regular agenda was approval
17
                  of the draft minutes. Does anyone have
18
                  any additions or corrections?
19
   [The Board discussed corrections to the minutes.]
20
                       Is there a motion to amend and
21
                  accept the minutes from July 11, 2022?
22
   MR. HOSTETTER:
2.3
                  So moved.
2.4
   MR. TRACE:
25
                  Second.
```

```
5
1
   MS. KOCHER:
2
                  Nevin Rentzel, aye; Arion Claggett, aye;
3
                  Sherman Hostetter, aye; Daniel Trace,
 4
                  aye; Christopher Dey, aye.
5
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
6
   Report of Prosecutorial Division
   [J. Karl Geschwindt, Esquire, Board Prosecution
   Liaison, presented the Consent Agreement for Case No.
10
   20-64-006831.1
11
   CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:
12
                  Is there a motion to accept the Consent
13
                  Agreement and Order as presented?
14
   MR. TRACE:
15
                  So moved.
16
   MR. HOSTETTER:
17
                  Second.
   CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:
18
19
                  Additional comments? Roll call vote.
20
21
                  Nevin Rentzel, aye; Arion Claggett, aye;
22
                  Sherman Hostetter, aye; Daniel Trace,
2.3
                  aye; Christopher Dey, aye.
24
    [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
25
```

1 Report of Prosecutorial Division

- 2 [J. Karl Geschwindt, Esquire, Board Prosecution
- 3 Liaison, presented the Consent Agreement for Case No.
- 4 21-64-004680.]
- 5 CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:
- Do I hear a motion to accept the Consent
- 7 Agreement?
- 8 MR. TRACE:
- 9 So moved.
- 10 MR. HOSTETTER:
- 11 Second.
- 12 MS. KOCHER:
- Nevin Rentzel, aye; Arion Claggett, aye;
- 14 | Sherman Hostetter, aye; Daniel Trace,
- aye; Christopher Dey, aye.
- 16 [The motion carried unanimously.]
- 17
- 18 Report of Board Counsel
- 19 | [Jason E. McMurry, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted
- 20 agenda items 4 through 10 on the agenda were all
- 21 comments and responses regarding Act 41 licensure by
- 22 endorsement. He mentioned the proposed annex and
- 23 preamble were published as well as responses from the
- 24 Pennsylvania Auctioneers Association (PAA) to proposed
- 25 | rulemaking, House of Representatives, and Independent

Regulatory Review Commission.

2.4

Mr. McMurry addressed a letter from the Senate Professional Licensure Committee for the Board's review. He noted the letter was sent to all boards and commissions regarding the regulations and the Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs (BPOA) responded for all boards.

Mr. McMurry noted receiving a comment from the Pennsylvania Auctioneers Association on June 15, 2022, in support of the regulation but questioned the residency requirement and wanted the Board to add a residency requirement. He stated the Auctioneer Licensing and Trading Assistant Registration Act does not have a residency requirement and did not see the Board having the ability to make a residency requirement.

Anthony Cox Jr., Esquire, Eckert Seamans, on behalf of the Pennsylvania Auctioneers Association, stated the intent of the General Assembly is the fear that licensure by endorsement is only intended for people who intend to change their residency to Pennsylvania and not intended for an individual who is a Virginia auctioneer who plans to stay in Virginia but wants to seek licensure in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Mr. Cox explained that there is a fear that it would lead to a flood of litigation for BPOA and the Board if it is not explicitly stated. He further explained that it is not intended for an auctioneer from New York City who wants to stay in New York City and decides to seek licensure by endorsement to operate in Pennsylvania while still being a resident of New York because the intent is to provide an alternative way to seek licensure for those who intend to relocate.

2.0

Mr. Cox asked the Board to be explicit that the intent and use of the words in the Commonwealth is there, so the intent is that it applies to residents of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. He believed there should be an explicit indication that there is a residency requirement.

Chairman Rentzel commented that the Board would have to decide and address that in the final annex.

Acting Commissioner Claggett noted that is seen in other boards where individuals may live on a border state and come here and want to be licensed to work in Pennsylvania as well, but their license is in New Jersey and work in both places.

Chairman Rentzel referred to the Auctioneer
Licensing and Trading Assistant Registration Act of

- 1 2016, noting that the law they were operating under
- 2 before the act was passed distinguished the difference
- 3 between in-state auctioneers and out-of-state
- 4 auctioneers and went away when Act 88 of 2016 passed.
- 5 He commented that they would have to have a residency
- 6 requirement for all portions if they have the
- 7 requirement for this portion.
- 8 Mr. Hostetter addressed individuals coming from
- 9 either a state or country, where a nurse has to
- 10 | physically come in but an online auction company,
- 11 whether it is an auctioneer or trading assistant,
- 12 could be in Florida and book an auction in
- 13 Pennsylvania but never have to set foot in the state
- 14 because everything is online.
- Mr. Hostetter explained that the individual
- 16 probably would not have an escrow account in
- 17 | Pennsylvania, so the money is in Florida or some
- 18 | country and how would they get it and fix the problem.
- 19 He commented that the Board's main job is to protect
- 20 the public and did not see where this does that.
- 21 Mr. Trace commented that the only way the Board
- 22 | could do it with their act is if somebody complains,
- 23 where the Board could go after their license and cease
- 24 and desist.
- 25 Chairman Rentzel informed the Pennsylvania

Auctioneers Association that the Board had inhibitions about the same residency requirements when this was first proposed for them to adopt and did not adopt until they got a response and were told that the act was written that way and they are to adopt it.

Chairman Rentzel thanked Mr. Cox for his comments, noting the Board would do their best to address the issue through their regulatory authority.

2.2

2.3

Acting Commissioner Claggett commented that licensure by endorsement was written because some boards did not have licensure by endorsement at all, so they had no other pathway to licensure and is why it is covering all the boards under BPOA. He noted it is not just for individuals who live out of the country.

Mr. McMurry further explained that their Board has a distinct path to licensure from another state and Acting Commissioner Claggett was saying that other boards do not have that, so from a practical standpoint for this Board, all the act really did was open it up to someone in another territory or country because the Board already has a process with another state.

Chairman Rentzel stated the Board would have to review requirements of someone from South Africa who

applies through endorsement to see if the requirements are similar to Pennsylvania, and if not, they are unlicensed. He explained that the issue is when someone is granted a license.

1.3

2.0

2.2

Chairman Rentzel noted that there is a difference between the ability to license and the ability to enforce. He stated any licensee who violates the act is prosecuted. He explained that it comes down to where does the ability go if there is a civil penalty and they do not pay it, how would that be enforced and is a separate conversation outside of the ability to be licensed. He commented that the Board has multiple functions and can enforce the law if there is a violation.

Mr. Cox commented that it does not appear that licensure by endorsement is meant to replace reciprocity and meant to supplement reciprocity. He noted the difference in some of the other professions is there is a physical presence required in most of the other boards. He asked what the difference is between reciprocity and licensure by endorsement.

Chairman Rentzel informed Mr. Cox that the Board would be working on regulations and recognizing what states would be reciprocal, where anything not covered by that would be on an individual case basis for the

Board to accept or reject based on the laws where they
are licensed compared to saying someone is from state
X and everyone who met those requirements gets a
license.

2.2

Acting Commissioner Claggett further explained that someone from another state who is substantially equivalent may be missing one item, where they cannot come in by reciprocity and would try to come in under Act 41, so the Board would be looking at Act 41 for people from other states also.

Mr. McMurry informed Board members that the comments would be formally addressed through the preamble, and any changes would be made to the annex and draft of the regulation based on the comments. He noted it would be brought back to the Board for a vote on the final package and then sent through the process.

Mr. McMurry addressed the House of
Representatives Letter, dated July 7, where the House
comment was that the applicant has to provide
qualifications for licensure. He noted Board Counsel
and Board staff would research it as far as licensure
requirements, and that finding the information may
take a long time. He commented that the applicant has
the burden to show the Board they are licensed and did

not necessarily know what the change would be because
the applicant has to prove their licensure. He
informed Board members that all of the comments would
be answered in the final annex the Board would see and
vote on.

Mr. McMurry addressed another comment from the legislature, where a section that states an applicant must not have any discipline to issue a license and recommended clarifying this section by formal discipline and time frame.

Mr. McMurry commented that this would be limiting the Board's ability and flexibility to either hold someone accountable or not allow them to be licensed or in reviewing something as a Board to find someone may not be a threat to the public and would be okay to license.

Mr. McMurry addressed the next section in the act regarding provisional licensure that gives the Board the authority to issue a provisional license for less than a year and subsequent provisional license. He commented that an individual needs to complete what is asked of them by the Board to receive a provisional license, and if they do not, why should they keep getting multiple chances and keep coming back to the Board. He mentioned that it also gives individuals an

incentive to complete whatever is required of them in a certain time frame. He informed Board members that he would be sending a letter from the Board that all comments were taken into consideration.

Mr. McMurry referred to comments from the Independent Regulatory Review Committee on July 20, including equivalence in jurisdiction, noting they are similar to the House comments and would be addressed with the House comments.

Mr. McMurry noted he is dealing with the Regulatory Analysis Form.

Mr. McMurry informed Board members of an additional document for the Board's review, which would be discussed in detail during the work session.]

15 **

16 Report of Board Chairperson

5

6

10

11

12

1.3

14

17

18

19

2.0

21

[Nevin B. Rentzel, Chairman, Professional Member, suggested further discussion at the next Board meeting regarding states recognized as being reciprocal for those who are out of state and would like to get a Pennsylvania license by reciprocity, so the applicant

22 can be issued a license if they met all the

23 requirements instead of each one having to go through

24 the subcommittee. He mentioned that recognizing

25 states the Board believed to be reciprocal would make

1 the process easier for administration and relax some

- 2 of the burden.
- 3 Acting Commissioner Claggett commented that the
- 4 list would be added to the Board's website for public
- 5 access once finalized.]
- 6 ***
- 7 Report of Acting Commissioner No Report
- * * *
- 9 Report of Board Administrator No Report
- 10 ***
- 11 | Meeting Dates
- 12 [Nevin B. Rentzel, Chairman, Professional Member,
- 13 noted the next scheduled 2022 Board meeting date is
- 14 November 14. He also noted the 2023 Board meeting
- 15 dates.
- Mr. Hostetter requested a breakdown of complaints
- 17 and prosecution status.
- 18 Acting Commissioner Claggett offered to make the
- 19 request to Board Counsel to provide the status
- 20 report.]
- 21 ***
- 22 | Adjournment
- 23 CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:
- Do I hear a motion to adjourn this
- 25 meeting?

```
16
 1
   MR. TRACE:
 2
                   So moved.
 3
   MR. HOSTETTER:
 4
                   Second.
 5
   CHAIRMAN RENTZEL:
 6
                  All in favor, say aye.
 7
   The motion carried unanimously.]
 8
   [There being no further business, the State Board of
10
   Auctioneer Examiners Meeting adjourned at 11:39 a.m.]
                               * * *
11
12
   [A State Board of Auctioneer Examiners Regulatory Work
13
   Session will be held immediately following the regular
   Board meeting.]
14
                               * * *
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
```

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Auctioneer Examiners meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Auctioneers meeting.

Minute Clerk

Sargent's Court Reporting

Service, Inc.