

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF STATE
BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS

F I N A L M I N U T E S

MEETING OF:

STATE ARCHITECTS LICENSURE BOARD

TIME: 9:02 A.M.

PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF STATE
Board Room B
One Penn Center
2601 North Third Street
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17110

January 9, 2020

1 ***

2 State Architects Licensure Board

3 January 9, 2020

4 ***

5 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State
6 Architects Licensure Board was held on Thursday,
7 January 9, 2020. Philip M. Leinbach, RA, President,
8 called the meeting to order at 9:02 a.m.

9 K. Kalonji Johnson, Acting Commissioner, Bureau
10 of Professional and Occupational Affairs, was not
11 present at the commencement of the meeting.

12 ***

13 Roll Call

14 [Roll call was taken. A quorum was noted to be
15 present.]

16 ***

17 Introduction of Audience Members

18 [President Hill requested visitors identify
19 themselves.]

20 ***

21 Pledge of Allegiance

22 [The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.]

23 ***

24 Review of the Agenda

25 [Philip M. Leinbach, RA, President, reviewed the

1 agenda, noting the budget presentation scheduled for
2 9:15 a.m. He also noted a presentation by Mr. Kegg
3 from the Department of Labor & Industry scheduled for
4 10:00 a.m.]

5 ***

6 Approval of minutes of the November 14, 2019 meeting

7 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

8 We have the minutes from the November
9 14, 2019 meeting in front of us. Were
10 there any questions, comments, changes,
11 or clarifications on those?

12 Do I have a motion to approve those
13 minutes as submitted?

14 MR. HILL:

15 So moved.

16 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

17 Mr. Hill. Second?

18 MR. ROLLER:

19 Second.

20 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

21 Any further discussion? Hearing none.
22 Vote by acclamation. All in favor, aye?
23 Any opposed? Any abstentions? Minutes
24 are approved unanimously.

25 [The motion carried unanimously.]

Miscellaneous - Appointment - Bureau of Finance and
Operations Annual Budget Presentation

[Kimberly Adams, Chief of Fiscal Management, Bureau of
Finance and Operations, addressed licensee population
from a revenue standpoint. She noted FY 2015-2016 at
9,811 licensees, which increased by 80 in FY 2017-2018
to 9,891 and FY 2019-2020 to 10,119 at the date it was
recorded with an additional 15 licensees since the
report was prepared at 10,134.

Ms. Adams reviewed revenue on a biennial basis,
noting 94.3 percent of the revenue was from renewals
and applications. She also noted the biennial total.

Ms. Adams provided a categorical breakdown of
expenses for FY 2017-2018 and FY 2018-2019 with the
current budget for FY 2019-2020 and the expenses as of
December 26, 2019. She noted a recent increase in
expenses. She mentioned expenses are incurred from
direct-based charges, timesheet-based charges, and
licensee-based charges.

Ms. Adams noted the anticipated budget for FY
2019-2020 after combining revenues and expenses,
noting revenues would exceed the expenses.

Mr. Roller questioned why the budget reflected a
reduced revenue in FY 2021-2022 versus FY 2020-2021.

1 Ms. Adams noted it to be a nonrenewal year, stating
2 that there would be a lower year followed by a higher
3 year based on the receipt of renewals.

4 Ms. Adams reviewed Board member expenses, noting
5 that the current budget had been increased to allow
6 for any fluctuations for FY 2020-2021. She stated any
7 money not spent will remain in the Board's account for
8 other use. She mentioned Board member expenses for FY
9 2019-2020.

10 President Leinbach mentioned tracking pretty
11 close on Board wages to the budgeted amount and the
12 possible need to bump the figure up in the future once
13 the Board is full with another public and professional
14 member. Ms. Adams explained the figured had been
15 bumped up to cover full staff in the future.

16 Mr. Potter questioned whether both individuals
17 and firm registrations were included in the roughly
18 10,000 licensees. Ms. Adams stated they were included
19 with registered architects currently at 8,607 and
20 architectural firms at 1,527 for a total of 10,134.]

21 ***

22 Report of Prosecutorial Division

23 [Ray Michalowski, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison,
24 addressed the reason for the increase in legal costs.
25 He noted one very large case with an enormous amount

1 of attorney, investigative, and expert time and
2 getting caught up on many older cases.

3 Mr. Michalowski addressed complaints regarding
4 advertising, noting the Board has protected
5 terminology, and there was not a lot of concern from
6 architects about use of hashtags and Twitter.

7 Mr. Michalowski worked with Mr. Armour to
8 investigate complaints from architects concerned with
9 those trying to take the architecture business to a
10 person who was not an architect. There were not very
11 many people who were practicing unlicensed.

12 Mr. Michalowski commented that many Boards are
13 dependent on advertising and structured advertising
14 rules and regulations. Mr. Armour would send those
15 individuals a letter to cease, desist and remove the
16 false information from a website, which was less
17 expensive than going through an entire hearing.

18 President Leinbach announced a Department of
19 Labor & Industry (L&I) presentation later in the
20 meeting to open dialogue on identifying potential
21 illegal practice issues. He requested the
22 prosecutorial division provide a short form to L&I,
23 which could be circulated to their code officials who
24 are reviewing documents to identify an issue of plan
25 stamping and the misuse a seal to collectively protect

1 the public.

2 Mr. Fritz updated the Board on the status of the
3 electronic seal, noting it to be on administration's
4 priority list.

5 Mr. Roller requested updates on the Board's major
6 case. Mr. Michalowski mentioned the case was still
7 pending and active, with the Board having referred it
8 for final decision to the hearing examiner. The case
9 was expected to be final within the next few months.]

10 ***

11 Report of Board Counsel

12 [C. William Fritz II, Esquire, Board Counsel,
13 discussed the status of cases. He also addressed the
14 major case, noting the parties were still actively
15 involved in satisfying the requirements that were
16 outlined in the agreement between the parties.

17 Mr. Fritz mentioned the Pennsylvania Justice
18 Network (JNET) as a source causing an uptake in the
19 amount of work for prosecutors with licensees at all
20 Board levels.

21 Mr. Fritz referred to the status of cases, noting
22 the bulk of the work was provisional denials of
23 applications. He mentioned one active application
24 that was scheduled for a hearing for next month. He
25 noted nothing to report regarding regulations. He

1 commented that the seals regulation was active and
2 moving.]

3

4 Regulations/Statute

5 [C. William Fritz II, Esquire, Board Counsel,
6 addressed licensure by endorsement under Act 41. He
7 referred to § 9.72(c) concerning the use of seals,
8 where the prior version allowed an applicant from
9 another state to use the stamp and seal from the state
10 the applicant was coming from until they met
11 Pennsylvania's requirements. He noted a
12 recommendation from a higher source to remove that
13 requirement and to enforce the same requirements as
14 any other reciprocal licensee applicant, where a
15 Pennsylvania stamp and seal would be required.

16 Mr. Fritz noted the State Architects Licensure
17 Board had not receive any applications for Act 41. He
18 and Ms. Li were actively reviewing applications to
19 determine the qualifications of an individual applying
20 for licensure by reciprocity and whether they may be
21 better suited to go through Act 41 licensure.

22 Mr. Fritz noted those who would qualify under Act
23 41 would be reviewed by the application committee and
24 would be given a provisional license provided the
25 requirements are met within 12 months. He stated the

1 applicant would receive a standard license number with
2 a "P" for provisional to identify that the individual
3 was working under guidelines of a provisional license.
4 He mentioned individuals satisfying all requirements
5 would receive a regular license.

6 Mr. Fritz discussed the possibly of putting a
7 blurb on the website or through the association to
8 make licensees aware of the "P" for provisional
9 license.

10 Anthony S. Potter, Esquire, American Institute of
11 Architects Pennsylvania, applauded the Board for not
12 using another state's seal. He noted the importance
13 of clarity for building code officials. He questioned
14 whether a provisional license would be a staff or
15 Board determination. Mr. Fritz explained that Board
16 staff would review reciprocal licensure applications
17 and forward to Board counsel for determination of
18 falling under an Act 41 provisional. He noted an
19 application falling under Act 41 would be sent to
20 Board committee members to recommend the requirements
21 to be placed during the maximum 12-month period. The
22 final determination would be by the full Board.

23 There was a question whether the provisional
24 license would be the non-National Architectural
25 Accrediting Board (NAAB) degree and whether or not

1 those educational deficiencies would be made up by the
2 experience. President Leinbach explained the Board's
3 approach as being the initial for reciprocal license
4 under the act and regulations and falling into one of
5 the special categories, like military spouses or
6 relocation.

7 President Leinbach noted his appreciation of the
8 simplification of the language, just say comply with
9 the regulation in § 9.142 but suggested adding
10 something in § 9.142 regarding the provisional seal,
11 where it was clear that the seal expires at some point
12 in time so the public can recognize a requirement to
13 be met.

14 Mr. Potter noted his concern regarding staff
15 analyzing necessary experience and matching up with
16 that criteria because a provisional license was a
17 license that can sign and seal building plans. It was
18 noted that the license will be valid for only one
19 year, and the individual had to come into compliance
20 before that license times out and cannot reapply under
21 the act again. The provisional license was a path to
22 provide those with time to come into compliance.

23 Mr. Fritz explained the staff was already
24 reviewing these applications. Those that do not meet
25 the checklist would normally be denied and given

1 another avenue. He reviews the application and then
2 Board members themselves will consider the application
3 to determine the requirements to be completed within
4 12 months.

5 There was a question regarding what happens with
6 those project contract documents that are on file for
7 construction when a provisional license was granted
8 and ultimately the Board decides the individual was
9 not qualified. President Leinbach explained the
10 documents would valid if the individual were in
11 compliance and following the law at the time the
12 documents were signed. The application committee
13 would handle issues via email related to an individual
14 needing to send back their stamp and seal. He stated
15 provisional licenses would fall under the same
16 requirements as a standard licensee.

17 The Board discussed adding § 9.141 within the
18 regulation, the identifier of that provisional seal,
19 whether it was the letter "P" or what have you, or
20 whether it was something right here in the act. Mr.
21 Fritz noted that licensees are given a visual
22 depiction of the seal.

23 Mr. Fritz questioned whether the Board wanted to
24 send Act 41 language and the addition of § 9.141 and
25 § 9.142" to the next step.]

1

2 [K. Kalonji Johnson, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of
3 Professional and Occupational Affairs, entered the
4 meeting at 9:45 a.m.]

5

6 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

7

Do I hear a motion to move this on as
8 recommended for amendment?

8

9 MR. HILL:

10

So moved.

11

PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

12

Is there a second?

13

MR. JOHNSON:

14

Second.

15

PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

16

Mr. Hill. Mr. Johnson seconds. Any
17 further discussion? If not, vote by
18 acclamation. All in favor, aye? Any
19 opposed? Any abstentions?

20

[The motion carried unanimously.]

21

22

Pennsylvania Department of Labor & Industry

23

Presentation

24

[Philip M. Leinbach, RA, President, mentioned prior

25

discussions at the Board level and with the National

1 Council of Architectural Registration Boards (NCARB),
2 along with ongoing dialogues in national meetings on
3 how to better protect the health, safety, and welfare
4 of the public.

5 President Leinbach noted many Boards in other
6 states and jurisdictions having more direct engagement
7 with the code officials and working with the Boards
8 concerning illegal practice. He would open the
9 dialogue to see how the Board could better work with
10 L&I.

11 President Leinbach mentioned that Mr. Roller had
12 been working with Region 2 on a committee involved in
13 outreach and working with code officials. He noted
14 the need to know how to approach legislators directly
15 to see how the Board can better work with them.

16 Matthew Kegg, Director, Bureau of Occupational
17 and Industrial Safety, Pennsylvania Department of
18 Labor & Industry, noted the Uniform Construction Code
19 under their purview and the ability to go to different
20 municipalities and different stakeholders to have
21 conferences and do outreach for the Uniform
22 Construction Code.

23 Mr. Kegg introduced, Ron Englar, Manager, Uniform
24 Construction Code Plan Review Division, who identified
25 many plans that are signed and sealed by the

1 architects and individuals who would fall under the
2 Board's purview. He mentioned the importance of
3 additional information provided by the Board to L&I
4 would be beneficial.

5 Mr. Keggs addressed the importance of utilizing
6 PALS to look up the validity of a seal. He mentioned
7 the prosecutorial division becomes involved when there
8 may be a question or an issue, noting that Mr.
9 Michalowski was present to see if there was some type
10 of a short form cheat sheet that could be shared with
11 code officials.

12 Acting Commissioner Johnson questioned whether
13 there was anything in the Uniform Construction Code
14 (UCC) that places an administrative requirement to
15 verify the status of an individual seal or to provide
16 proof of compliance with the current licensing
17 provisions.

18 It was noted there was nothing specifically
19 stating that in the Pennsylvania Construction Code Act
20 or the UCC; however, the UCC does state that the
21 documents need to be signed and sealed in accordance
22 with the Architects Licensure Law.

23 The importance of communicating and understanding
24 the difference between the practice of architecture
25 and the practice of engineering, as well as requiring

1 the right seal on a set of drawings, was discussed.

2 There was question regarding the interaction
3 between L&I regarding certified plan examiners and
4 field staff and whether there would be an opportunity
5 for L&I officials as well as 2,600 municipalities
6 around the state to come together.

7 Mr. Keggs addressed interaction through
8 conferences, stakeholder outreach, and going to
9 municipalities. He mentioned trying personally to hit
10 every municipality or code official, noting the
11 difficulty engaging everyone

12 President Leinbach suggested continued dialogue
13 with Mr. Roller for promote ideas of how L&I and the
14 Board could work together to move forward, better
15 engage, and keep an ongoing dialogue for the
16 betterment of the citizens in the Commonwealth. He
17 requested Mr. Roller provide a report at the March
18 meeting.

19 Mr. Roller agreed to provide additional dialogue
20 and assist with anything to help L&I verify licenses.
21 He provided information to help reviewers determine an
22 architectural structure or engineering structure. He
23 mentioned the clearest definition of architecture was
24 from the act, where the practice of architecture
25 relates to design and construction of a structure or

1 group of structures which have as their principle
2 purpose human habitation. He noted a bridge or an
3 abutment as probably engineering.

4 The importance of consulting with Mr. Michalowski
5 or prosecution as to filing charges or a complaint if
6 someone may be in violation was discussed. The Board
7 cannot be involved in an individual license and
8 whether or not to prosecute.

9 Acting Commissioner Johnson strongly advised L&I
10 to meet Mr. Farrell after the meeting for the
11 opportunity to discuss things like a checklist. He
12 offered PALS training for program staff at L&I if that
13 would be helpful in terms of license checks and
14 license review.

15 Mr. Hamm questioned professional credentials,
16 requirements, and education of inspectors in the
17 Commonwealth.

18 It was noted the Pennsylvania Construction Code
19 Act and UCC give them the authority under Labor &
20 Industry to certify individuals for 15 different
21 disciplines for plan review as well as inspections.
22 He commented the individuals have to take a test,
23 mostly by the International Code Council that
24 administers these test. The individuals are then
25 vetted to ensure their receipt of a card reflecting

1 their certification in a specific category.

2 President Leinbach was hopeful this was the
3 beginning of a relationship for the benefit of
4 consumers in the Commonwealth to keep them safe,
5 healthy, and well.]

6 ***

7 [The Board recessed from 10:18 a.m. until 10:33 a.m.]

8 ***

9 Report of Acting Commissioner

10 [K. Kalonji Johnson, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of
11 Professional and Occupational Affairs, reminded
12 everyone to check their email to see if it working
13 properly.

14 Acting Commissioner Johnson announced a change to
15 Management Directive 230.10, where the standard
16 reimbursement rate had changed from \$0.58 per mile to
17 \$0.575 per mile and other vehicles decreased from
18 \$0.20 per mile to \$0.17 per mile.

19 Acting Commissioner Johnson mentioned the
20 department would be sending out information and
21 instructions on getting set up. He referred to a
22 memorandum regarding new changes with regard to doing
23 away with the ADTRAV website and completely integrated
24 all Board and commission members into this new Concur
25 system by the second quarter of this year.

1 Acting Commissioner Johnson addressed new
2 security procedures and Capitol Police. He noted that
3 doors open to the public at 8:30 a.m. He commented
4 that Board members who need to enter the building
5 earlier should use their Commonwealth employee badge,
6 and there will be someone to let them in if there was
7 ever any difficulty with building access.

8 President Leinbach questioned whether there was
9 any movement concerning Board vacancies. Acting
10 Commissioner Johnson was awaiting notification of all
11 the positions being sent from the Governor's Office,
12 which will be vetted by legal staff over the next two
13 weeks.

14 Acting Commissioner Johnson was hopeful the Board
15 will be added to PALS in March.

16 Acting Commissioner Johnson addressed wall
17 certificates, noting a meeting set up with the
18 Department of General Services (DGS) in the beginning
19 of February to discuss some of the finer points in
20 terms of logistics. He mentioned the only issue left
21 after that would be the cost and determining the
22 impact for licensees and was hopeful to have that up
23 and running by summer.

24 It was suggested to provide the certificate in a
25 PDF to allow the recipient to print it, which would

1 Amal Mahrouki, Director of Legislative Affairs,
2 American Institute of Architects Pennsylvania, updated
3 the Board regarding House Bill 1497. She explained
4 the delineation between architecture and engineering,
5 where the bill had the potential to add another
6 signature to those construction documents. She
7 mentioned a recent meeting with Kaitlyn Spehar in the
8 Office of Policy and Planning, where she noted AIA's
9 concerns about this bill being under the Office of
10 Attorney General.

11 Ms. Mahrouki noted that a lot of the things laid
12 out in House Bill 1497 might be more appropriate under
13 the Department of State and the Bureau of Professional
14 and Occupational Affairs (BPOA), where there are
15 policies in place. She noted the bill was still in PA
16 House Consumer Affairs, and AIA had engaged Maverick
17 Strategies to help them with legislative activity on
18 the bill. She mentioned that Ms. Spehar asked for the
19 Sunrise Evaluation and was going to do some homework
20 on that.

21 One of the resolutions being considered by NCARB
22 was to basically repeal the resolution that was passed
23 around 1997, which was a stance in opposition to
24 licensing of interior designers. The Policy Advisory
25 Committee was in agreement to repealing that, and the

1 position was that it was not really for NCARB to take
2 a position, but the fear the committee had was
3 repealing this was going to be the implication.

4 Acting Commissioner Johnson questioned whether
5 this was a recent amendment or was it always in the
6 original bill about requiring the submission of the
7 registration. Ms. Mahrouki explained the history of
8 the bill, noting that it was introduced and referred
9 to professional licensure last session but did not see
10 a vote as a strategy move on behalf of the interior
11 design coalition and their lobbyist to have it
12 referred to Consumer Affairs because they were
13 unsuccessful putting anything under the Department of
14 State.

15 Ms. Mahrouki stated it had been a registration
16 under the Office of Attorney General for the past two
17 sessions. She noted it to be House Bill 1102 from
18 2017 and was a Representative Sonney bill. Ms.
19 Mahrouki announced a couple of new Board members and
20 strategic planning for the next couple of years. She
21 noted the revamping of a lot of their government
22 affairs and communication committees. She mentioned
23 Architects Action Day scheduled for May 5, 2020.

24 President Leinbach referred to the Pennsylvania
25 School Boards Association Bulletin (PSBA) magazine

1 regarding a focused foundation for school design and
2 construction planning. He noted that to be one of
3 their problem organizations in promulgating a
4 Guaranteed Energy Savings Act (GESA) approached
5 contracting. He mentioned prior discussions and
6 concerns of potentially violating how contracts are
7 supposed to be held and architectural oversight that
8 borders on the practice of architecture, questioning
9 whether this would be discussed at the conference.

10 Ms. Mahrouki noted the conference to be a
11 combination of AIA Pennsylvania having some of these
12 discussions with PSBA about the Guaranteed Energy
13 Savings Act. She stated there was a loophole to get
14 around school code and be able to deliver school
15 construction through GESA with an energy contractor.
16 She noted the conference was intended to discuss best
17 practices and the value of an architect at the table
18 and looking at the new provisions put in place by Act
19 70.

20 Ms. Mahrouki will ask the planning committee to
21 see if practiced architecture could be discussed in
22 open session with best practices when it comes to
23 school construction.

24 President Leinbach referred to the Region 2
25 activity update regarding nominations for regional

1 offices for the Board's review. He addressed the
2 first draft on the regulation portion of the Model Law
3 at the beginning of December. He noted the Policy
4 Advisory Committee did a line-by-line review of that
5 and comments will be returned to the Board.

6 President Leinbach mentioned the national board
7 meeting coming up in the middle of January. He
8 mentioned one other kind of housekeeping type of
9 resolution that needs cleaned up. He commented that
10 there was a glitch found when the NCARB laws, bylaws,
11 and rules were updated.

12 President Leinbach mentioned a conference call
13 coming up that he will be on as Board Chair and also
14 as Chair of Region 2 on January 15, 2020.

15 Mr. Hamm noted a Board meeting in a couple of
16 weeks. He mentioned engaging in a phone conversation
17 with regional directors, President Allers, and Mr.
18 Armstrong for a preview of the Board meeting. He was
19 also making a similar call for the public member
20 community in the next week or two.

21 Mr. Hamm noted NCARB was beginning their second
22 century. He stated Mr. Younger, President/CEO of
23 McKinley Advisors, was going attend the next Board
24 meeting to give some metrics about engaging and
25 implementing a strategic plan in the near term and

1 midterm. He stated the Board of directors will be
2 asked to move forward or not three potential
3 resolutions.

4 Mr. Hamm noted NCARB authored a letter for his
5 signature soliciting more candidates to replace him as
6 the public director on the Board.

7 Mr. Roller questioned why the Board would not
8 take a position that regulation of interior design was
9 inappropriate. Mr. Hamm commented that language in
10 the bill goes beyond what NCARB was supposed to do and
11 being an advocate of states' rights, licensure and
12 regulation of that profession was a scope of practice
13 issue and resides at the jurisdictional level.

14 President Leinbach noted members sit in a
15 different position in Pennsylvania as an architects
16 only Board, which gives them a lot of strength that
17 some of the multidisciplinary Boards do not have but
18 creates conflict where you have NCARB members sitting
19 on multidisciplinary Boards with interior design
20 firms, and there was existing resolution that says we
21 oppose licensure or certification.

22 Mr. Roller stated unless the Board was willing to
23 represent that there was something called interior
24 design that deals with the health, safety, and welfare
25 of the public, it runs counter to that whole mission

1 of protection of the public.

2 President Leinbach encouraged AIA to get in touch
3 with the interior task force members for more
4 information, noting their recommendation to repeal
5 this. He noted it to be a bad move 20 years ago but
6 leaving it sit there does not seem appropriate either.

7 President Leinbach referred to Board initiatives
8 concerning code officials' outreach, mentioning
9 Mr. Roller's continued involvement with the code
10 officials and legislators outreach with the region as
11 well as the dialogue established today for perhaps an
12 update at the March meeting.

13 President Leinbach noted Mr. Roller would be
14 spearheading the effort for the Board newsletter.

15 Mr. Roller addressed having a newsletter once a
16 year with a message from the Board president, any
17 significant activities that happened, updates on the
18 Act 41 changes and electronic seals probably from Mr.
19 Fritz, and a list of those newly licensed architects.
20 He also mentioned the newsletter should be one or two
21 pages in PDF.

22 Ms. Li noted the need for approval at the next
23 Board meeting in March for it to go through the proper
24 channels for final approval.

25 President Leinbach requested an engagement with a

1 NAAB school representative for the May meeting.

2 President Leinbach noted NCARB correspondence for
3 the Board's review.]

4 ***

5 Report of Board Administrator

6 [Amanda Li, Board Administrator, addressed Architect
7 Registration Examination (ARE) and the Board not
8 approving candidates that have NAAB-accredited
9 degrees.]

10 President Leinbach noted the Board would accept
11 an applicant for approval to take the ARE if an
12 individual was getting a job to work in Pittsburgh but
13 was currently in school in another state and can
14 provide documentation.]

15 ***

16 Miscellaneous - Correspondence

17 [Philip M. Leinbach, RA, President, noted the
18 Statement of Financial Interests form to be completed
19 by May 1, 2020.]

20 President Leinbach noted correspondence from
21 applicants for the Board's information.]

22 ***

23 [Mr. Fritz updated the Board on the case discussed
24 earlier, noting it was remanded to Mr. Blackburn and
25 conditionally dismissed. He anticipated the case

1 would be finally dismissed probably by the end of the
2 month and would not impact the Board's budget.]

3

4 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at
5 11:23 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session
6 with C. William Fritz II, Esquire, Board Counsel, for
7 the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial
8 deliberations. The Board returned to open session at
9 11:48 a.m.]

10

11 MOTIONS

12 MR. FRITZ:

13 The Board met in executive session and
14 conducted quasi-judicial deliberations
15 on the following matter: The Board
16 would entertain a motion approving the
17 Reciprocal Licensure Application of
18 Richard Bozic.

19 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

20 Do I hear a motion?

21 MR. ROLLER:

22 So moved.

23 MR. HILL:

24 Second.

25 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

1 All in favor, aye? Any opposed?
2 Abstentions?

3 [The motion carried unanimously.]

4 ***

5 MR. FRITZ:

6 The Board will entertain a motion
7 provisionally denying the applications
8 for ARE approval of Natasha Lynne Coyle
9 and Glenn James Mancini.

10 MR. ROLLER:

11 So moved.

12 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

13 Mr. Roller motioned. Second?

14 MS. MCCLENAGHAN:

15 Second.

16 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

17 Ms. McClenaghan seconds. Any further
18 discussion? Hearing none. All in
19 favor, aye? Any opposed? Abstentions?

20 [The motion carried unanimously.]

21 ***

22 MR. FRITZ:

23 The Board would entertain a motion to
24 provisionally denying the application
25 for ARE approval of Wael A. Mohamed.

1 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

2 Do I hear a motion?

3 MS. MCCLLENAGHAN:

4 So moved.

5 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

6 Ms. McClenaghan. Second?

7 MR. HOUGH:

8 Second.

9 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

10 We have a motion from Mary for the
11 provisional denial. Mr. Hough seconds.
12 Any further discussion? All in favor,
13 aye? Opposed? Mr. Hill opposes. Any
14 abstentions?

15 [The motion carried. Mr. Hill opposed the motion.]

16 ***

17 MR. FRITZ:

18 The Board would entertain a motion to
19 provisionally deny the ARE application
20 of Matthew Eugene Strahan.

21 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

22 Do I hear a motion in that regard?

23 MS. MCCLLENAGHAN:

24 I'll motion.

25 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

1 Ms. McClenaghan. Second?

2 MR. ROLLER:

3 Second.

4 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

5 Jerry. Any discussion? All in favor,
6 aye? Any opposed? Any abstentions?

7 [The motion carried unanimously.]

8 ***

9 Adjournment

10 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

11 Do I hear a motion to adjourn?

12 MR. HILL:

13 So moved.

14 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

15 Mr. Hill. Second?

16 MR. HAMM:

17 Second.

18 PRESIDENT LEINBACH:

19 Mr. Hamm. We are adjourned.

20 ***

21 [There being no further business, the State Architects
22 Licensure Board Meeting adjourned at 11:53 a.m.]

23 ***

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Architects Licensure Board meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Architects Licensure Board meeting.



Hyun Soo Lee,
Minute Clerk
Sargent's Court Reporting
Service, Inc.

STATE ARCHITECTS LICENSURE BOARD
REFERENCE INDEX

January 9, 2020

	TIME	AGENDA
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	9:02	Official Call to Order
10		
11	9:02	Roll Call/Introduction of Audience
12		Members
13		
14	9:03	Pledge of Allegiance
15		
16	9:05	Approval of Minutes
17		
18	9:05	Miscellaneous - Appointment - Kimberly
19		Adams, Chief of Fiscal Management,
20		Bureau of Finance and Operations Annual
21		Budget Presentation
22		
23	9:19	Report of Prosecutorial Division
24		
25	9:26	Report of Board Counsel
26		
27	9:40	Regulations/Statute
28		
29	9:56	Miscellaneous - Appointment - Matthew
30		Kegg, Director, Bureau of Occupational
31		and Industrial Safety, PA Department of
32		Labor & Industry Presentation
33		
34	10:18	Recess
35	10:33	Return to Open Session
36		
37	10:33	Report of Acting Commissioner
38		
39	11:17	Report of Board Administrator
40		
41	11:22	Miscellaneous - Correspondence
42		
43	11:23	Executive Session
44	11:48	Return to Open Session
45		
46	11:48	Motions
47		
48	11:53	Adjournment
49		
50		