State Board of Accountancy March 29, 2023

BOARD MEMBERS:

Sheri L. Risler, CPA, Chair Arion Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs - Absent

Keri A. Ellis, CPA

Monique M. Ericson, CPA, Office of Attorney General John J. Grater, CPA

Benjamin Holland, CPA

14 | Mary Jensik, CPA - Absent

Charles A. O'Brien, CPA

Michael D. Ocker, CPA, Vice Chair - Absent

17 John Petchel, Public Member

18 David W. Stonesifer, CPA - Absent

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel Miranda Murphy, Board Administrator Ryan Dumbroff, Law Student Intern, Office of General Counsel

ALSO PRESENT:

Jennifer Cryder, CPA, MBA, Chief Executive Officer, Pennsylvania Institute of Certified Public Accountants

3 * * * 1 2 State Board of Accountancy 3 March 29, 2023 * * * 4 5 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, informed everyone the Special Meeting of the State Board of 6 7 Accountancy was being held in a hybrid format of both in-person and livestream teleconference pursuant to Act 100 of 2021, which requires boards to use a 10 virtual platform to conduct business when a public 11 meeting is held.] * * * 12 13 The State Board of Accountancy Special Meeting 14 was held on Wednesday, March 29, 2023. Sheri L. Risler, CPA, Chair, called the meeting to order at 15 16 10:35 a.m. * * * 17 18 Roll Call 19 [Sheri L. Risler, CPA, Chair, requested a roll call of Board members. There was a quorum.] 20 * * * 21 22 Matters for Deliberation 23 [Sheri L. Risler, CPA, Chair, noted the importance of 24 the Board having a say on a recent exposure draft by

the National Association of State Boards of

25

Accountancy (NASBA) requiring changes to the Uniform

Accountancy Act (UAA) Model Rule 5-7 regarding CPA

Exam credits. She stated the reason for the special

meeting is because the next Board meeting in April

would be after the date their response would be due.

Mr. Rouse informed Board members that the NASBA Uniform Accountancy Act Committee released an exposure draft of amendments to UAA Model Rule 5-7 on February 15, 2023, and is requesting written comments be submitted to uaacomments@nasba.org by April 17, 2023.

Mr. Rouse mentioned that the Board discussed an exposure draft from October 22, 2022, regarding proposed changes to Model Rule 5-7, but the February 15, 2023 exposure draft has a significant change regarding the amount of time a candidate has to pass all four sections of the Certified Public Accountant (CPA) Exam from a rolling 18 months to 24 months and requires Board discussion.

Mr. Rouse explained that Rule 5-7(a) would be amended to say that a candidate may take the required test sections individually in any order and that credit for any test section passed shall be valid for 24 months from the date the passing score was released by NASBA to the candidate or the Board

regardless of the number of test sections taken or having to obtain a minimum score on any failed section.

Mr. Rouse further explained that the first date the person passes the exam would mark the beginning of the 24-month clock, where someone took the exam four times and failed but passed the fifth time, noting the fifth time becomes starts the clock as opposed to when the person first took the exam but failed the exam.

Mr. Rouse referred to Rule 5-7(a)(1), which would be amended to state that a candidate must pass all test sections within a rolling 24-month period that begins with the date the first passing scores are released by NASBA to the candidate or the Board. He noted the rolling window would conclude on the date that candidate sits for the final test section that is passed regardless of when the score is released by NASBA for the final test section.

Mr. Rouse provided an example, where it would still be within the 24-month rolling period if someone took the exam on the last possible day of the 24-month rolling period and found out afterwards they passed.

Mr. Rouse noted Rule 5-7(a)(2) would state that

a candidate who earns credits toward one or more test sections must sit for and complete the remaining test sections by midnight local time at the Board's main office on the last day of the 24-month period. In Pennsylvania, the Board's main office is in Harrisburg; therefore midnight local time in Harrisburg would apply.

Mr. Rouse noted Rule 5-7(a)(3) states that if all test sections are not passed within 24 months, credit for the first passed section shall expire, and the date the second passing score was released by NASBA to the candidate or the Board would mark the beginning of the next 24-month period. He also noted if all testing sections are not passed within that 24-month rolling cycle, a new rolling 24-month cycle would begin with the date that the next test section passing score was released by NASBA to the candidate or the Board.

Mr. Rouse stated the cycle of 24-month rolling periods and test section credit expirations would continue until the candidate passes all four sections of the CPA Examination within a 24-month rolling period, and if a candidate stops testing for a 24-month period, then all credit for previously passed test sections would expire.

Mr. Rouse addressed Model Rule 5-7(b), which proposes to prohibit a candidate from retaking a failed test section until the candidate has been notified of the score from the most recent attempt of the failed test section.

Mr. Rouse noted Rule 5-7(c) provides that a candidate would be deemed to have passed the CPA Examination if the candidate obtains credit for passing all required test sections within one rolling 24-month period.

Mr. Rouse stated Rule 5-7(d) provides that a candidate shall retain credit for any and all test sections of the examination passed as a candidate of another state if such credit would have been given under then applicable requirements in this state.

Mr. Rouse noted Rule 5-7(e) provides that notwithstanding subsections (a), (b), and (c) of Rule 5-7, the period of time to pass all sections of the examination may be extended by the Board upon a showing that the credit was lost by reason of individual hardship including, but not limited to, health; military service; a disruption at a local, regional, or national level impacting the candidate; or other circumstances beyond the candidate's control.

Chair Risler commented that the Board is asked to extend expiration dates on a regular basis and are reviewed by herself and Ms. Ellis. She stated candidates have challenging circumstances and adding another 6 months would help them successfully pass the CPA Exam. She noted being hopeful that extending it to 24 months would reduce the number of requests for extension.

Chair Risler noted there was a NASBA Meeting on March 21, 2023, where NASBA outlined all of the different initiatives to help promote the CPA license. She mentioned that the purpose of the meeting is to discuss this specific exposure draft but other things are being proposed by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) and NASBA and would be discussed at the next Board meeting.

Mr. Grater requested more information regarding hardship and other exceptions.

Ms. Ellis explained that those situations are on a case-by-case basis. She mentioned being in support of moving to 24 months but wanted the Board to consider everything and discuss whether 24 months is the right number.

Chair Risler asked whether the Board could make

a comment in their response after further discussion if it decided to support the 24 hours but also be in support of a longer period.

Mr. Rouse explained that the Board could provide a comment to NASBA of their support of 24 months but may also recommend a longer period of time.

Ms. Ellis commented that the Board wanted to consider all of their options before extending it over 24 months, because candidates tend to procrastinate on taking the exam if they know they have more time.

Mr. Holland stated 18 months is a short period of time and agreed that candidates would take longer if given more time but would rather see a longer period of time and not lose candidates but still maintain the rigorousness of the exam.

Chair Risler stated the content of the CPA Exam is about to change on January 1 with a new exam and is something the Board should consider. She noted the exam was shortened to an 18-month window when it became electronic and agreed with 24 months as well but wanted to propose in the Board's response that it is open to further evaluation of a longer period.

Chair Risler commented that there is not enough information at this time and that data regarding

1 exploring a longer period is being evaluated by 2 AICPA.

Ms. Ellis requested more information regarding the timing and what happens to individuals who already started with the 18-month period.

Mr. Rouse explained that this is about NASBA's Board and whether or not they are going to adopt the new Model Rule and then all of the state boards could decide what is needed in terms of adopting the rulemaking as well. He commented that he cannot speak to the time frame NASBA is going to have to make their decision.

Chair Risler stated there would need to be some transition but NASBA wanted to see if the Board agrees.

Ms. Ellis suggested to also include in the Board's response that they would anticipate some transition guidance for candidates who are in the CPA exam pipeline.

Ms. Ericson commented on the need for a longer transition period and to recapture people who may have given up on taking exams.

Chair Risler noted that those are also some of the initiatives NASBA and AICPA are looking at, including people who lost credits during COVID.

Jennifer Cryder, CPA, MBA, Chief Executive
Officer, Pennsylvania Institute of Certified Public
Accountants, addressed her recent attendance at a
NASBA Meeting and informed Board members that NASBA
intends on voting immediately at the close of the
April 17 window and moving quickly on changing the
Model Rules.

Ms. Cryder mentioned that all of the state boards have already adopted the transition guidance for extending credits tied to CPA Evolution, and by moving quickly, NASBA's intention is that state boards would all be able to change their regulations and would take effect after that extension expires.

Ms. Cryder explained that they want to set up candidates for the continuous pathway of longer credit windows to not get caught going back and forth between the extension for CPA Evolution and then lose that and put the new regulations in place.

Ms. Ellis asked for clarification as to whether there would be a window of time and candidates may lose parts that are still under the 18 months, where the Board would still have to decide what to do with those individuals in that situation.

Ms. Cryder commented that there would not be a window because anybody who has the credits as of

December 31, 2023, is getting that longer extension tied to CPA Evolution anyway, so NASBA's hope is for each state to make regulatory changes by the time that period expires.

Chair Risler noted being appreciative of everyone who joined the meeting and the importance of strengthening the CPA in Pennsylvania and helping the candidates.

Mr. Rouse asked whether Board members had any other discussion on issues NASBA may want to consider as part of the exposure draft.

Chair Risler stated the Board had a great conversation and whatever has been addressed could be included in the letter.

Mr. Rouse suggested having a roll call of those in attendance to see if there are any comments regarding the exposure draft, and Ms. Murphy provided the roll call.

Ms. Cryder stated NASBA highlighted the provision on when extensions get approved because of variation across state boards, where some states provide no extensions under any circumstances and felt it was important to put uniformity in the extension process given the varying of all the state boards.

Ms. Cryder noted NASBA also highlighted that it is going to take 54 state boards changing their regulations to effect this change and is asking for input from the public because it is unlikely the matter would be opened back up as a question again. She agreed with waiting to see the data but encouraged the Board to think about it as something that is not likely to be opened up again soon.

Mr. Rouse noted Board members seemed to be in support of the exposure draft, and Board members noted that to be correct. He asked whether the Board wanted to comment on transition guidance for candidates who are currently in the exam pipeline, and the Board wanted to comment. He noted that while the Board was in support of the 24-month period of time, the Board also is not opposed to considering a longer period of time.

Mr. Rouse asked whether there were any other comments the Board wanted to consider in their letter, such as letting NASBA know that the rulemaking process in Pennsylvania takes about 18 months, and the Board agreed.]

23 MR. ROUSE:

Would the Chair entertain a motion to direct Board Counsel to draft and

14 submit a letter of support from the 1 2 Pennsylvania State Board of Accountancy 3 for the exposure draft of the proposed changes to the UAA Model Rule 5-7? 4 5 CHAIR RISLER: I would like to make a motion to direct 6 7 Board Counsel to draft and submit a letter of support from the PA State 9 Board of Accountancy for the exposure 10 draft of the proposed changes to the UAA Model Rule 5-7 and to include in 11 12 that letter the items Ron previously 13 mentioned. 14 MS. ELLIS: 15 So moved. 16 MR. O'BRIEN: 17 Second. 18 MR. ROUSE: 19 Any other discussion? 20 CHAIR RISLER: Roll call. 21 22 23 Sheri Risler, aye; Keri Ellis, aye; Monique Ericson, aye; John Grater, aye; 24 25 Benjamin Holland, aye; Charles O'Brien,

15 1 aye; John Petchel, aye. 2 [The motion carried unanimously.] 3 4 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, informed 5 Board members that he would draft the letter and 6 submit it to uaacomments@nasba.org. 7 Chair Risler requested Mr. Rouse share the letter with the Board upon completion.] 9 10 [Sheri L. Risler, CPA, Chair, thanked everyone for 11 their participation and wished everyone a happy busy 12 tax season.] 13 * * * 14 Adjournment 15 CHAIR RISLER: 16 Do I have a motion to adjourn the 17 meeting? 18 MR. HOLLAND: 19 So moved. 20 CHAIR RISLER: Second? 21 22 MS. ELLIS: 23 Second. 24 CHAIR RISLER: 25 Thank you everyone. Have a wonderful

day.

* * *

[There being no further business, the State Board of Accountancy Special Meeting adjourned at 11:12 a.m.]

* *

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Accountancy meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Accountancy meeting.

Benjamin Morrow

Ben Morrow,

Minute Clerk

Sargent's Court Reporting

Service, Inc.

		17
	STATE BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY REFERENCE INDEX	
	March 29, 2023	
TIME	AGENDA	
10:35	Official Call to Order	
10:36	Roll Call	
10:36	Matters for Deliberation	
11:05	Roll Call of Attendees	
11:12	Adjournment	