State Board of Accountancy September 17, 2020

BOARD MEMBERS:

Keri A. Ellis, CPA, Chair K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of

Professional and Occupational Affairs Monique M. Ericson, CPA, Office of Attorney General

Mary Jensik, CPA

Paul J. Kelly III, CPA

Charles A. O'Brien, CPA

Michael D. Ocker, CPA

Sheri L. Risler, CPA

Michael P. Rollage, CPA

David W. Stonesifer, CPA - Absent

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel

Angela B. Lucci, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison Ray Michalowski, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecutor

Sara Fox, Board Administrator

Andrew LaFratte, MPA, Policy Fellow, Department of State

Theodore Stauffer, Executive Secretary, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

ALSO PRESENT:

Annette Knapp, Government Relations Coordinator, Pennsylvania Institute of Certified Public Accountants

Randy L. Brandt, CPA, Owner/Lead Accountant, Brandt Accounting

* * *

1

4

5

6

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 State Board of Accountancy

3 | September 17, 2020

* * *

[Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 9:00 a.m. the Board entered into executive session with Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, to have attorney-client consultations and for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations. The Board returned to open session at 10:30 a.m.]

11 ***

The regularly scheduled meeting of the State

Board of Accountancy was held on Thursday, September

17, 2020. Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel,

called the meeting to order at 10:32 a.m.

K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs, was not present during commencement of the meeting.

19 ***

[Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, stated the meeting of the State Board of Accountancy was being held by teleconference pursuant to Governor Wolf's March 16, 2020 waiver of the physical presence requirement in Section 2.3(c) of the Certified Public Accountant (CPA) Law. He noted the conference was

```
being recorded, and those continuing to participate in
1
2
   the meeting were giving their consent to being
3
   record. ]
                               * * *
4
5
   [Roll call was taken.]
                               * * *
6
   Miscellaneous - Election of Officers
   MR. ROUSE:
                  Is there a motion for the position of
10
                  Chair of the State Board of Accountancy?
11
                       Is there such a motion?
12
   MR. OCKER:
                  I nominate Keri Ellis.
13
14
   MR. KELLY:
15
                  Second.
16
   MR. ROUSE:
17
                  All those in favor of Keri Ellis as
18
                  Chair, say aye. All those opposed, say
19
                  nay.
20
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
21
                               * * *
22
   MR. ROUSE:
23
                  As for the position of Vice Chair, is
24
                  there a motion for Vice Chair?
25
   CHAIR ELLIS:
```

```
5
                  I would like to make a motion to
1
2
                  nominate David Stonesifer as Vice Chair.
3
   MR. ROUSE:
4
                  Is there a second?
5
   MR. KELLY:
6
                  Second.
7
   MR. ROUSE:
                  Any discussion? All those in favor, say
9
                  aye. All those opposed, say nay.
10
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
11
12
   Approval of minutes of the July 21, 2020 meeting
1.3
   CHAIR ELLIS:
14
                  The first item we have on the agenda is
15
                  approval of the minutes from the July 21
16
                  meeting.
17
                       Has everyone had an opportunity to
18
                  review the minutes? Are there any
19
                  proposed changes? Hearing none, I ask
20
                  for a motion to approve the July 21
21
                  meeting minutes.
22
   MR. KELLY:
2.3
                  So moved.
2.4
   MS. RISLER:
25
                  Second.
```

6 1 CHAIR ELLIS: 2 All those in favor, say aye. 3 opposed, say nay. The Board meeting 4 minutes from the last meeting are 5 approved. 6 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 8 Case Status Report - No Report 9 10 Report of Prosecutorial Division - No Report * * * 11 12 Introduction of Public Members 13 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, requested 14 the introduction of public members.] 15 16 Report of Board Counsel 17 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, addressed 18 the proposed Act 41 annex for licensure by 19 endorsement. He reported receiving one written comment regarding the exposure draft from Michael 20 21 Colgan, CAE, CEO & Executive Director, Pennsylvania 22 Institute of Certified Public Accountants (PICPA), 23 concerning the definition of "substantial 24 equivalency." 25 Mr. Rouse noted that PICPA believed, although the

statute already contained a definition of "substantial equivalency," the proposed draft ignored the existing definition and defined it for purposes of licensure by endorsement. He noted PICPA's concern that a(1)(i) did not require 150 hours and suggested including the 150-hour requirement language or to refer to and incorporate Section 5.2 of the statute, which establishes the substantial equivalency rather than creating a new definition.

Mr. Rouse explained that Mr. Colgan was talking about the definition in the statute in the CPA Law as well as in the regulations, which refers to substantial equivalency; however, that was a different issue than what the Board was referring to by licensure by endorsement. He stated a person can obtain a CPA license by applying to the Commonwealth for a licensure or obtain a CPA license by reciprocity.

Mr. Rouse also noted another way of practicing in the Commonwealth, which is practice by substantial equivalency. He noted the issue with practice by substantial equivalency was that this is a method under the CPA Law, which allows someone to practice public accounting in the Commonwealth without obtaining a certificate issued by the Board.

Mr. Rouse further explained that a person may practice public accounting in the Commonwealth under substantial equivalency if the person has a valid certificate or right to practice public accounting from a state whose requirements are considered substantially equivalent to those of the Commonwealth and the individual's principal place of business was not in the Commonwealth.

2.4

Mr. Rouse commented that the CPA Law and regulations allow for reciprocal licensure and practice under substantial equivalency without a Commonwealth-issued license, and Act 41 licensure by endorsement actually provides another path of licensure for individuals who hold a current CPA license from another United States jurisdiction but have their principal place of business in Pennsylvania or for individuals who want a Commonwealth-issued CPA license even though they can practice in the Commonwealth under substantial equivalency.

Mr. Rouse commented that substantial equivalency defined under the Act was for someone who is not requesting a Commonwealth-issued CPA license and someone who has a principal business outside of the commonwealth and have a license from another jurisdiction considered substantially equivalent. He

noted the Board determines licensure by endorsement,

where someone can obtain a Pennsylvania-issued license

because they have fulfilled the requirements of the

Board for consideration of substantial equivalency

under licensure by endorsement.

2.3

Mr. Rouse stated the other jurisdictions from which an individual has a CPA license would require a bachelor's degree or higher from a college or university accredited by an accrediting agency nationally recognized by the United States Department of Education or college or university approved by the Board and the achievement of a passing score on the Uniform Certified Public Accountant Examination or other Board-approved skills examination.

Mr. Rouse also noted a person may obtain licensure by endorsement if they demonstrate competency in public accounting by showing experience in the professional occupation at least 2 of the 5 years preceding their application and completion of 80 hours of continuing education and achieving a passing score on a Board-approved skills examination within 5 years immediately preceding the date of application.

Chair Ellis noted the Board's intention by putting "and" in the annex was to declare individuals who achieved a passing score on the Uniform Certified

- 1 Public Account Examination would have the necessary
- 2 hours, which should be 150 or not. She commented that
- 3 every jurisdiction was going to be substantially
- 4 equivalent if they have a passing score on the CPA
- 5 Examination.
- 6 Mr. Rouse stated the written comment about
- 7 substantial equivalency refers to a very different
- 8 issue.
- 9 Ms. Risler commented that Mr. Colgan might be
- 10 | thinking of candidates who passed the exam in other
- 11 jurisdictions after January 1, 2012, when the 150-
- 12 credit hours requirement went into effect in
- 13 Pennsylvania and probably not the intent of this
- 14 regulation. She noted the Board would not want
- 15 candidates to backdoor requirements if these rules
- 16 were not clear. She noted mentioning the 150-credit
- 17 | hours issue when it was discussed initially and
- 18 believed that was reason Mr. Colgan was raising that
- 19 issue.
- 20 Mr. Rouse explained that substantial equivalency
- 21 | under the act requires an individual's principal place
- 22 of business to be somewhere else other than
- 23 Pennsylvania, so it is like a temporary practice,
- 24 where the individual is doing a particular project and
- 25 | not planning to live in Pennsylvania.

Mr. Rouse further explained that licensure by endorsement would be for those who worked in New York, got their CPA license in New York, received a new job in Pennsylvania and planned to move to Pennsylvania for a while. The applicant would want an actual CPA license and want their license in New York to count in Pennsylvania.

2.1

2.4

Mr. Rouse made it clear that practicing by substantial equivalency was different than licensure by endorsement, because with practicing by substantial equivalency, an individual was not getting a license issued by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and their principal place of business was not in Pennsylvania.

Mr. Rouse questioned whether the Board wanted to make any changes to the document or go forward with preparing the regulatory packet for licensure by endorsement.

Ms. Risler questioned whether any modifications could be made to the regulations to clarify some of the points discussed.

Chair Ellis commented that the discussion helped to better explain the two separate items and suggested placing language in the preamble for better clarification.

Mr. Rouse ensured clarifying information would be

```
12
   part of the preamble.]
1
                              * * *
2
3
   MR. ROUSE:
                  Is there a motion to draft the
 4
5
                  regulatory packet for Act 41 licensure
 6
                  by endorsement and bring it back to the
7
                  Board?
   MR. ROLLAGE:
9
                  So moved.
10
   MR. OCKER:
11
                  Second.
12
   MR. ROUSE:
13
                  I heard a motion, and I heard a second.
14
                  All those in favor, say aye. All those
15
                  opposed, say nay.
16
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                              * * *
17
18
   [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, addressed
19
   Act 53 of 2020, which was enacted on July 1, 2020, to
20
   codify Act 48 and Title 63 of the Pennsylvania
21
   Consolidated Statutes under § 3102 to § 3111. He also
22
   noted it created new sections § 3112 to § 3118 that
23
   provide direction on how boards must consider criminal
24
   convictions, such as issuance of licenses and
25
   disciplinary matters.
```

Mr. Rouse referred to § 3113, which provides the procedure for determining whether an individual with a criminal conviction qualifies for a license. He stated the Board shall not consider moral character, crimes of moral turpitude, ethics, dishonest practice, § 9124 of the Criminal History Information Act, or any other statutory provision that disqualifies an individual from holding a license.

2.0

Mr. Rouse stated the Board will be determining whether the criminal conviction directly relate to the profession for which the individual seeks licensure by reviewing the applicable schedule of offenses, which must be completed by December 27, 2020. He provided a definition of "directly relates."

Mr. Rouse stated, if the offense is on the list of offenses, there would be a rebuttable presumption that the licensure of the individual would pose a substantial risk to the health and safety of the public.

Mr. Rouse noted an individualized assessment would occur to determine whether a license should be granted anyway and provided a list of factors.

Mr. Rouse referred to § 3112, which provides issuance of a restricted license to occupations and professions other than barbers and cosmetologists as

an alternative to refusing to issue, renew, suspend, revoke, or otherwise restrict the license due to a criminal conviction.

2.2

2.3

Mr. Rouse stated, to be eligible to offer a restricted license, the occupation or profession must be one offered for training through the county correctional facility or the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections, noting the restricted license would not apply to accountancy.

Mr. Rouse referred to § 3114, which states the Board cannot consider an applicant's juvenile adjudications.

Mr. Rouse referred to § 3116, where the Department of State shall, within 180 days in collaboration with the Board, set up a best practices guide for applicants with a criminal history. He also noted the commissioner must publish a schedule of criminal convictions that directly relate to licensed professions within 180 days. He noted discussing a proposed list of crimes directly related to the profession with prosecution to develop a schedule of offenses.

Commissioner Johnson stated the plan at the next Board meeting would be to elicit feedback from the members of the regulated community and Board members

who have reviewed the list of offenses, so Act 53 can 1 2 be implemented by the end of December.] 3 MOTIONS 4 MR. ROUSE: 5 6 Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, the Board entered into executive session with Board counsel 9 this morning at 9 a.m. to have attorney-10 client consultations and for the purpose 11 of conducting quasi-judicial 12 deliberations. 1.3 One of the matters that was 14 discussed was Case No. 17-55-013530, 15 which is the matter of BPOA v. Lorraine 16 Ann Palka, CPA. 17 As to this matter, I believe the 18 Board would entertain a motion to adopt 19 the adjudication and order as presented

Board would entertain a motion to adopt the adjudication and order as presented by Board counsel and to direct Board counsel to prepare the Board's final order. Is there such a motion?

23 CHAIR ELLIS:

24 So moved.

25 MR. KELLY:

2.0

2.1

2.2

16 Second. 1 2 MR. ROUSE: 3 Any discussion? All those in favor, say 4 aye. All those opposed, say nay. 5 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 6 7 MR. ROUSE: Number 5 on the agenda, Case No. 19-55-9 008579, the Matter of BPOA v. Victor S. 10 Rozier, CPA. This also was a matter 11 that was discussed this morning in 12 executive session. I believe the Board would entertain 13 14 a motion to adopt the adjudication and 15 order as presented by Board counsel and 16 to direct Board counsel to prepare the Board's final order. 17 Is there such a motion? 18 MR. OCKER: 19 20 So moved. 21 CHAIR ELLIS: 22 Second. 2.3 MR. ROUSE: 2.4 Any discussion? All those in favor, say 25 aye. All those opposed, say nay.

```
17
1
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
2
3
   MR. ROUSE:
                  Case No. 13-55-08142. This was actually
4
5
                  a proposed Adjudication and Order in the
6
                  matter of BPOA v. Neal Prence, CPA.
                  This was also a matter that was
                  discussed this morning in executive
9
                  session.
10
                       I believe the Board would entertain
11
                  a motion to adopt the proposed
12
                  adjudication and order and to direct
13
                  Board counsel to prepare the final
                  order.
14
                       Is there such a motion?
15
16
   CHAIR ELLIS:
                  So moved.
17
   MR. ROLLAGE:
18
19
                  Second.
20
   MR. ROUSE:
21
                  Is there any discussion? All those in
                  favor, say aye. All those opposed, say
22
2.3
                  nay.
24
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
25
```

1 MR. ROUSE: 2 Regarding No. 7 on the agenda, which is 3 Case No. 19-55-010348. This is the 4 matter of BPOA v. Metz & McCaw LLC, 5 This matter was discussed this CPAs. 6 morning in executive session. I believe the Board would entertain a motion to adopt the proposed 9 adjudication and order and direct Board 10 counsel to prepare the final 11 adjudication and order. 12 Is there such a motion? CHAIR ELLIS: 13 14 So moved. 15 MR. KELLY: 16 Second. 17 MR. ROUSE:

- Any discussion? All those in favor, say
- aye. All those opposed, say nay.
- 20 [The motion carried unanimously.]
- 21 ***
- 22 Report of Board Chair
- 23 [Keri A. Ellis, CPA, Chair, addressed revised Board
- 24 committee assignments. She also discussed a
- 25 governance committee for succession planning, agenda

1 topics, and overall running of the meeting. Chair

2 | Ellis, Mr. O'Brien, and Ms. Risler will be on the

3 committee.

4 Ms. Risler was hopeful to soon have a solid draft

5 of the newsletter from the National Association of

6 | State Boards of Accountancy (NASBA) to distribute by

7 the end of the year.

Chair Ellis noted the Pennsylvania Sunshine Act

9 and the Gift Ban Policy for the Board's review.]

10 ***

11 Report of Commissioner - No Report

12 ***

13 Report of Board Administrator - No Report

14

15 Review of Requests

16 [Keri A. Ellis, CPA, Chair, noted extension of Peer

17 Review and extension of CPA exam credits.]

18

19 MR. ROUSE:

For Item No. 11, which is Kollias Peer

21 Review Extension, do I have a motion to

22 ratify that Peer Review Extension?

23 MR. OCKER:

24 So moved.

25 MS. JENSIK:

20 1 Second. 2 CHAIR ELLIS: 3 All those in favor, say aye. All those 4 opposed, say nay. 5 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 6 7 MR. ROUSE: Next are items 12 through 24 on the 9 agenda and are extensions of various 10 parts of the CPA exam. 11 Is there a motion to approve the Extension of CPA Exam Credits for each 12 of these individuals as listed in the 13 14 letters in each agenda topic? 15 MR. ERICSON: 16 So moved. 17 MR. OCKER: 18 Second. 19 CHAIR ELLIS: 20 All in favor, please say aye. Anyone 21 opposed, say nay. 22 [The motion carried unanimously.] 23 * * * 2.4 Miscellaneous 25 [Keri A. Ellis, CPA, Chair, noted the next scheduled

- meeting is November 17. She also noted approval of 2 2021 meeting dates at the prior meeting.
- Chair Ellis referred to the NASBA 2nd Quarter
 Candidate Care Report, which notes all candidate
 issues as they are taking the exam. She noted 890
 issues and 14 retests awarded in Pennsylvania from
 April 1 through June 10.
- Chair Ellis addressed the NASBA CPA Exam

 Performance and Overall Statistics for the 2nd quarter

 of 2020. She noted Pennsylvania had a ranking of 10

 for the number of candidates, 38 for a pass rate, and

 34 for an average score.
- 13 Chair Ellis noted NASBA 2020 membership dues of 14 \$6,600.]
- 15 ***
- 16 [Board members congratulated Chair Ellis on completing 17 her first meeting.
- Chair Ellis noted being honored and excited to be meeting with everyone again in person.]
- 20 ***
- 21 | Adjournment
- 22 CHAIR ELLIS:
- I'd like to make a motion to adjourn.
- 24 MR. KELLY:
- 25 Second.

```
22
   CHAIR ELLIS:
1
2
                  All those in favor, say aye. Opposed?
3
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
4
5
   [There being no further business, the State Board of
   Accountancy Meeting adjourned at 11:29 a.m.]
6
                               * * *
8
9
                          CERTIFICATE
10
11
         I hereby certify that the foregoing summary
12
   minutes of the State Board of Accountancy meeting, was
   reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and
13
14
   that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of
15
   the State Board of Accountancy meeting.
16
17
18
19
                          Morgan McKendrick,
                          Minute Clerk
20
21
                          Sargent's Court Reporting
22
                             Service, Inc.
2.3
2.4
25
```

			23
1 2 3 4 5 6		STATE BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY REFERENCE INDEX	
4		September 17, 2020	
6	TIME	AGENDA	
8 9	9:00 10:30	Executive Session Return to Open Session	
10	10:32	Official Call to Order	
12	10:33	Roll Call	
14 15	10:34	Miscellaneous	
16 17	10:36	Approval of Minutes	
18 19	10:38	Introduction of Public Members	
20 21 22	10:39	Report of Board Counsel	
23 24	11:21	Report of Board Chair	
25 26	11:23	Review of Requests	
27 28	11:27	Miscellaneous (Continued)	
29 30	11:29	Adjournment	
31 32			
33			
34 35 36			
37			
38 39			
40 41			
42 43			
44 45			
46 47			
48 49			
50			