State Board of Accountancy January 19, 2022

3 4 5

6

1

2

BOARD MEMBERS:

7

10

Keri A. Ellis, CPA, Chair Arion Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of 9 Professional and Occupational Affairs

Monique M. Ericson, CPA, Office of Attorney General Mary Jensik, CPA

11 Paul J. Kelly III, CPA 12

13 Charles A. O'Brien, CPA 14 Michael D. Ocker, CPA

15 Sheri L. Risler, CPA, Vice Chair - Absent

Michael P. Rollage, CPA David W. Stonesifer, CPA

17 18 19

16

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

20 21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

32

33

34

35

Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel Carolyn A. DeLaurentis, Deputy Chief Counsel, Prosecution Division Dean F. Picarella, Esquire, Senior Board Counsel

Ray Michalowski, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecutor Angela L. Solomon, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison

Timothy Smith, Esquire, Board Prosecutor

29 Miranda Murphy, Board Administrator

30 Deena Parmelee, Legal Office Administrator 1, Department of State 31

Andrew LaFratte, MPA, Executive Policy Specialist, Department of State

Danie Bendesky, Director of Intergovernmental Affairs, Department of State

Michael Merten, Legal Intern

36 37 38

ALSO PRESENT:

39 40 41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

Michael D. Warden, Esquire, Sidley Austin LLP Michele L. Aronson, Esquire, Sidley Austin LLP Jennifer Cryder, CPA, MBA, Chief Operating Officer and Executive Vice President, Pennsylvania Institute of Certified Public Accountants

Jen Smeltz, Republican Executive Director, Senate Consumer Protection & Professional Licensure Committee

Randy L. Brandt, CPA, Pennsylvania Society of Tax & Accounting Professionals

1

4

10

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 State Board of Accountancy

returned to open session at 10:43 a.m.]

January 19, 2022

[Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 9:00 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session with Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, to have attorney-client consultations and for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations. The Board

* * *

11 ***

[Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, stated the meeting of the State Board of Accountancy was being held by teleconference pursuant to the Act of September 30, 2021, also known as Act 73 of 2021, which extends the waiver of the physical presence requirement in Section 2.3(c) of the Certified Public Accountant (CPA) Law until March 31, 2022.

Mr. Rouse noted that the meeting was being recorded, and those who continued to participate were giving their consent to being recorded.

Mr. Rouse also noted the Board met in Executive Session to have attorney-client consultations and for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations.]

* * * 1

The regularly scheduled meeting of the State Board of Accountancy was held on Wednesday, January 19, 2022. Keri A. Ellis, CPA, Chair, called the meeting to order at 10:43 a.m.

* * *

7 Roll Call

2

3

4

5

6

12

1.3

14

15

[A roll call of Board members was taken by Mr. Rouse.]

* * *

10 Approval of Amended Agenda

11 MR. ROUSE:

> There was an amended agenda that was posted to the Board's website yesterday. Under the amended Sunshine Act, Board agendas have to be posted to the website

> Sunshine Act; item 10, the Gift Ban; and item 123, Board committee assignments.

These are items that are normally on our Board agenda, but they had been left off. Under the amended Sunshine

16 at least 24 hours in advance. 17 We did have a prior agenda that had 18 been posted; however, there was an 19 amendment that was made yesterday to 2.0 include three items. It was item 9, the 2.1

2.3

2.2

2.4

Act, matters can be added to the agenda if the matters are de minimis, if they do not cost a fee, and if they are not involving entering into a contract.

At this time, I am asking if there is a motion to ratify the amended agenda that was posted to the Board's website yesterday, January 18, 2022, regarding today's Board meeting.

10 CHAIR ELLIS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

11 So moved.

12 MR. ROUSE:

13 Is there a second?

14 MR. OCKER:

15 Second.

16 MR. ROUSE:

Any discussion? All those in favor, say

aye. All those opposed, say nay. Any

abstentions? Any recusals?

20 [The motion carried unanimously.]

21 ***

22 Miscellaneous - Prosecution Division Annual Report

23 Presentation

24 | [Carolyn A. DeLaurentis, Esquire, Deputy Chief

25 | Counsel, Prosecution Division, provided a summary of

the prosecution division's caseload during 2021.

2 Ms. DeLaurentis informed the Board that 162 cases

3 were opened in 2021 for the State Board of

4 Accountancy, which is consistent with 2020 at 168.

5 | She noted closing 130 cases in 2021 and 156 in 2020.

6 She reported 153 open cases for the Board as of

7 | January 1, 2022.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Ms. DeLaurentis addressed enforcement actions, noting 16 cases that resulted in discipline in 2021 with 5 fines, 4 Act 48 citation fines, 1 suspension, 2 automatic suspensions, 4 revocations or voluntary surrenders, and 1 probation. She noted 42 warning letters in 2021, which is a decrease from 54 in 2020.

Ms. DeLaurentis noted the prosecution division opened 1,223 COVID-related cases in 2020 with 1 of those cases for the Board. She reported 543 COVID cases were opened in 2021 with 0 of those cases for the Board.

Ms. DeLaurentis reported 18,363 cases were opened in 2021 and thanked their administrative assistants for all of their work. She reported 13,394 cases were opened in 2020. She noted closing 15,994 cases for 2021 and 13,274 in 2020.

Ms. DeLaurentis noted the total number of current cases for the office as of January 1, 2022, is 15,141.

She thanked all of the prosecutors and senior prosecutor, Mr. Michalowski, for a successful year.

Mr. Kelly expressed A concern and not being satisfied with the level of understanding that the prosecutorial division tends to bring to the cases that involve discipline before the Board.

Mr. Kelly referred to a case that resulted in a Commonwealth Court ruling basically compelling the Board to give an individual his license back.

Mr. Kelly stated for the record that there needs to be some training in place on a regular basis for the prosecutors. He noted being vexed that the Board is handicapped in their ability to protect the public interest due to the lack of competency on the part of certain members of the prosecutorial division.

Ms. DeLaurentis assured Mr. Kelly that the prosecutors are trained regularly and the senior prosecutor overseeing the Board is very knowledgeable as well as their liaison. She informed Mr. Kelly that she would speak to her staff about his concerns on that specific case.

Ray Michalowski, Esquire, Senior Commission

Prosecutor, noted being aware of the case and strongly disputed that it had anything to do with the prosecution division and a matter of whether the

- 1 Commonwealth through the prosecution division had
- 2 obtained a revocation and permanent voluntary
- 3 surrender. He noted prosecution did not want a
- 4 hearing granted based on the language of the original
- 5 | consent agreement, but once a hearing was granted,
- 6 they had to do the best they could with the evidence
- 7 presented by respondent.
- 8 Mr. Michalowski noted that the Board still found
- 9 in the same favor of what they asked for, which is
- 10 that he not be granted the license, and the
- 11 | Commonwealth Court overturned it based more on a
- 12 matter of law and their view of whether or not
- 13 somebody can be permanently disbarred from the
- 14 profession.
- 15 Mr. Kelly did not recall the Board granting
- 16 anything and thought Mr. Michalowski may have been
- 17 | misstating the situation.
- 18 Ms. DeLaurentis suggested Mr. Rouse speak with
- 19 the Board in Executive Session to make sure everyone
- 20 is on the same page. She offered to return to the
- 21 next Board meeting to address the specific data.
- 22 Mr. Kelly noted for the record that Mr. Rouse had
- 23 already spoken to the Board but would be happy to do
- 24 that again.
- 25 Chair Ellis thanked Ms. DeLaurentis for the

```
10
   presentation.]
1
                              * * *
2
3
   Approval of minutes of the November 16, 2021 meeting
4
   CHAIR ELLIS:
5
                  Approval of the November 16, 2021
6
                  minutes. I just wanted to see if
                  anybody had any revisions or comments on
                  the minutes? Hearing none.
9
                       Do I have a motion to approve the
10
                  November 16, 2021 minutes?
11
   MR. ROLLAGE:
12
                  So moved.
   CHAIR ELLIS:
13
14
                  Do I have a second?
15
   MS. JENSIK:
16
                  Second.
17
   CHAIR ELLIS:
18
                  All those in favor, please say aye. All
19
                  those opposed, say nay. Any
20
                  abstentions?
   [The motion carried. David Stonesifer abstained from
21
22
   voting on the motion.]
2.3
24
   Report of Prosecutorial Division
25
   [Angela L. Solomon, Esquire, Board Prosecution
```

```
11
   Liaison, presented the Consent Agreement for Case No.
1
2
   21-55-014655.
3
        Michael D. Warden, Esquire, Sidley Austin LLP,
4
   Counsel for the Respondent, was present.]
5
   MR. ROUSE:
6
                  Regarding the Consent Agreement at item
7
                  3 on the amended agenda at Case No. 21-
                  55-014655, I believe the Board would
8
9
                  entertain a motion to adopt the Consent
10
                  Agreement.
11
                       Is there such a motion?
12
   CHAIR ELLIS:
                  So moved.
13
   MR. OCKER:
14
15
                  Second.
16
   MR. ROUSE:
17
                  Any abstentions? Any recusals? All
18
                  those in favor, say aye. All those
19
                  opposed, say nay.
20
   [The motion carried unanimously. This is the matter
   of BPOA v. John C. Shea, CPA, Case No. 21-55-014655.]
21
                              * * *
22
23
   [Angela L. Solomon, Esquire, Board Prosecution
24
   Liaison, presented the Consent Agreement for Case Nos.
25
   20-55-013195 \& 20-55-012737. She noted typographical
```

12 errors and made oral amendments. 1 Victoria Andrews, Esquire, Faegre, Drinker, 2 3 Biddle, & Reath LLP, counsel for respondent, was 4 present.] MR. ROUSE: 5 6 Regarding the Consent Agreement at item 7 4 on the agenda at Case Nos. 20-55-013195 & 20-55-012737, I believe the 9 Board would entertain a motion to adopt 10 the Consent Agreement as amended at 11 respondent's license number and 12 respondent's license expiration date. Is there such a motion? 13 CHAIR ELLIS: 14 15 So moved. MR. ROUSE: 16 17 Is there a second? MR. OCKER: 18 19 Second. 20 MR. ROUSE: 2.1 Any discussion? All those in favor, say 22 aye. All those opposed, say nay. 2.3 abstentions? Any recusals?

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

* * *

[The motion carried unanimously.]

2.4

```
Report of Board Counsel - Final Adjudication and Order
1
2
   MR. ROUSE:
3
                  Item 5 on the agenda, which is a Final
 4
                  Adjudication and Order.
5
                       Regarding the Final Adjudication
                  and Order for BPOA v. George Thomas,
 6
                  CPA, Case No. 15-55-15240, at item 5 on
                  the agenda, I believe the Board would
9
                  entertain a motion to direct Board
10
                  counsel to prepare the Board's Final
                  Order as stated in Executive Session.
11
12
                       Is there such a motion?
13
   CHAIR ELLIS:
14
                  So moved.
15
   MR. ROLLAGE:
16
                  Second.
17
   MR. ROUSE:
18
                  Any discussion? All those in favor, say
19
                  aye. All those opposed, say nay. Any
20
                  abstentions? Any recusals?
21
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                              * * *
2.2
23
   Report of Board Counsel - Matters for Deliberation
24
   [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, addressed
25
   Act 100 of 2021 concerning modernization of the Bureau
```

- 1 of Professional and Occupational Affairs (BPOA) state
- 2 | board meetings and functions. He noted that in
- 3 addition to the requirements of their CPA Law to hold
- 4 public meetings to conduct business, each licensing
- 5 board and licensing commission shall use a virtual
- 6 | platform to conduct business when a public meeting is
- 7 held.
- 8 Mr. Rouse noted that licensing boards may now use
- 9 a virtual platform to establish a quorum and
- 10 effectuate business if the platform allows for live
- 11 participation. He mentioned that board meetings
- 12 conducted in this matter would not need to meet
- 13 location requirements as they are required in the
- 14 practice act. He noted that a person may be able to
- 15 participate virtually to make a quorum.
- 16 Mr. Rouse stated each licensing board and
- 17 | licensing commission shall establish rules and
- 18 regulations for continuing education that provides for
- 19 distance education. He noted the Board has that piece
- 20 covered in § 11.64 regarding sources of continuing
- 21 professional education (CPE) hours.
- 22 Mr. Rouse commented that in order to meet
- 23 supervision requirements for license, certificate,
- 24 registration, or permit hours in a practice act that
- 25 each licensing board and licensing commission shall

establish rules and regulations providing for virtual supervision. He mentioned to become a licensed CPA in the Commonwealth that a candidate must satisfy the experience requirements under Section 4.2(d) of the CPA Law and § 11.56 of the regulations.

Mr. Rouse noted trying to determine whether or not the Board needs to do some rules or regulations regarding virtual supervision when it comes to the person who is verifying a candidate's experience requirement.

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

Chair Ellis commented that most of the accounting profession have worked remotely and virtually supervised people who worked for them the past two years, where you can review the work product whether you are right in front of them or not.

Mr. Rouse referred to the regulations, noting it is silent on the issue of virtual supervision and asked whether the Board wanted him to draft a regulation amending § 11.56 regarding the verification of experience to just address that virtual supervision is allowed.

Mr. Kelly commented that virtual supervision has been allowed ever since they went to electronic work papers.

25 Chair Ellis did not think it was an issue because

```
16
   that is the way they do business but did not have a
1
2
   problem clarifying it.]
3
   MR. ROUSE:
                  Would the Board entertain a motion to
 4
 5
                  direct Board counsel to draft an annex
 6
                  to clarify virtual supervision is
                  allowed?
8
                       Is there such a motion?
9
   CHAIR ELLIS:
10
                  So moved.
11
   [The Board discussed the motion. Mr. O'Brien
   suggested tweaking the wording because the requirement
12
13
   is effective supervision acknowledging that
14
   interaction between people can be in person or
15
   virtual, noting there needs to be actual supervision.
16
        Mr. Rouse mentioned that would be part of the
17
   clarification in the regulations. He commented that
18
   it has to be part of the regulation even though the
19
   regulations are actually silent on the issue and has
20
   been allowed, where it may be something as simple as
21
   adding in-person and remote virtual supervision is
2.2
   allowed.]
2.3
   MR. ROUSE:
2.4
                  Based on that, again, would the Board
25
                  entertain a motion to direct Board
```

17 counsel to draft an annex regarding in-1 2 person and virtual supervision? 3 CHAIR ELLIS: 4 So moved. 5 MR. KELLY: Second. 6 7 MR. ROUSE: Any discussion? All those in favor, say 9 aye. All those opposed, say nay. 10 abstentions? Any recusals? 11 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 12 13 [Jen Smeltz, Republican Executive Director, Senate Consumer Protection & Professional Licensure 14 15 Committee, commented that she wrote the language but 16 was trying to help with all of the COVID waivers to 17 make the language broad enough for all of the boards 18 to comply in a way that suited them. She did not want 19 to create more work but provide advantages if they 20 could be helpful. She stated the Board was doing 21 everything right and offered assistance from the 2.2 Senate Consumer Protection & Professional Licensure 23 Committee if they could be helpful.] 2.4 25 Report of Board Counsel - Final Adjudication and Order

		18
1	MR. ROUSE:	
2		I want to go back to item 5 on the
3		agenda, which is <u>BPOA v. George Thomas</u> ,
4		CPA, because I think I garbled up the
5		motion.
6		Regarding item 5 on the agenda
7		regarding the Final Adjudication and
8		Order for BPOA v. George Thomas, CPA,
9		Case No. 15-55-15240, I believe the
10		Board would entertain a motion to adopt
11		the Adjudication and Order as presented
12		by Board counsel and to direct Board
13		counsel to prepare the Board's Final
14		Order.
15		Is there such a motion?
16	CHAIR ELLIS:	
17		So moved.
18	MR. ROUSE:	
19		Is there a second?
20	MR. OCKER:	
21		Second.
22	MR. ROUSE:	
23		All those in favor, say aye. All those
24		opposed, say nay. Any abstentions? Any
25		recusals?

```
19
   [The motion carried unanimously. Mr. Rouse noted he
1
2
   previously did a motion that would have been
   appropriate more for a proposed adjudication as
3
4
   opposed to a final adjudication.
                              * * *
5
6
   Report of Board Counsel - Proposed Adjudication and
7
     Order
   MR. ROUSE:
                  Item 7 is the Proposed Adjudication and
10
                  Order for BPOA v. John M. Swirsding,
11
                  CPA, Case No. 19-55-014718, which is
12
                  item 7 on the agenda.
1.3
                       I believe the Board would entertain
                  a motion to direct Board counsel to
14
15
                  prepare a Final Adjudication and Order
                  consistent with the discussion in
16
                  Executive Session.
17
                       Is there such a motion?
18
19
   CHAIR ELLIS:
20
                  So moved.
21
   MR. ROUSE:
22
                  Is there a second?
2.3
   MR. OCKER:
24
                  Second.
25
   MR. ROUSE:
```

Any discussion? All those in favor, say aye. All those opposed, say nay. Any abstentions? Any recusals?

[The motion carried unanimously.]

* * *

Report of Board Counsel - Regulatory Discussion

[Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, addressed

16A-5516 regarding competence to supervise attest
services. He provided an update, noting there was a
second exposure draft of 16A-5516, which was released
to stakeholders on November 17, 2021.

Mr. Rouse stated the Board received one written comment on November 22, 2021, from the Pennsylvania Institute of Certified Public Accountants (PICPA) in support of the second exposure draft clarifying licensees who supervise, sign, or authorize another to sign an accountant's report for attest services must meet the established competency requirements.

Mr. Rouse provided a revised preamble, which similarly states the clarification that licensees who supervise, sign, or authorize another to sign an accountant's report for attest services must meet the competency requirements in § 11.23(a) of the regulations. He asked whether the Board wanted to adopt the second exposure draft for the proposed annex

```
21
   and the proposed preamble.
1
2
        Chair Ellis noted it to be consistent with what
3
   was discussed at the last meeting.
 4
        Jennifer Cryder, CPA, MBA, Chief Operating
5
   Officer and Executive Vice President, Pennsylvania
6
   Institute of Certified Public Accountants, thanked the
   Board for considering their perspective from the last
   meeting and is in full support after review of the
   second draft.1
10
   MR. ROUSE:
11
                  Regarding Regulation 16A-5516,
12
                  competence to supervise attest services,
                  I believe the Board would entertain a
1.3
14
                  motion to adopt the proposed annex and
15
                  the proposed preamble and move forward
16
                  with the regulatory process.
                       Is there such a motion?
17
   CHAIR ELLIS:
18
19
                  So moved.
20
   MR. ROUSE:
21
                  Is there a second?
2.2
   MS. JENSIK:
2.3
                  Second.
```

Any discussion? All those in favor, say

2.4

25

MR. ROUSE:

aye. All those opposed, say nay. Any abstentions? Any recusals?

3 [The motion carried unanimously.]

4 **

5 Report of Board Chair

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

6 [Keri A. Ellis, CPA, Chair, noted item 9, the Sunshine 7 Act, and item 10, the Gift Ban Policy, were added to

8 | the agenda for the Board's information.

Mr. Rouse highlighted a few things concerning the Sunshine Act for the record. He stated Senate Bill 554 amended the Pennsylvania Sunshine Act to include the requirement that agencies post the agenda for all public meetings at least 24 hours in advance effective August 29, 2021, which applies to all agencies covered by the Sunshine Act.

Mr. Rouse explained that the agenda requirement applies to all regular and special public meetings but does not include work sessions, conferences, and Executive Session. He noted the agenda should include all issues to be deliberated on and any planned official action.

Mr. Rouse mentioned that any agency with a website or other social media platform that is publicly accessible must post the agenda. He stated postings are also required at the agencies offices at

the meeting site and copies of the agenda must be 1 2 available for the public at the meeting itself. He 3 commented that an agency can change the agenda within 4 the 24-hour threshold provided the changes are de 5 minimis, involve no expenditure of funds, and do not 6 entail entering into a contract. Mr. Rouse noted an agency can also add to the agenda at the meeting itself by a majority vote, but the reason for the change must be announced prior to 10 any official action, including a vote. He also noted 11 the amended agenda must be posted within 24 hours 12 after the meeting, and the meeting minutes must 13 reflect that there was a change made.] 14 15 Report of Commissioner - No Report 16 17 Report of Board Administrator - No Report * * * 18 19 Report of Committees - Good Moral Character MR. ROUSE: 2.0 2.1 For item 11, John Klett, I believe the 2.2

Board would entertain a motion to approve the CPA Certification Application for a License on Probation for two years.

2.3

2.4

```
24
 1
                       Is there such a motion?
 2
   CHAIR ELLIS:
 3
                  So moved.
 4
   MR. OCKER:
 5
                  Second.
 6
   MR. ROUSE:
                  Any discussion? All those in favor, say
 8
                  aye. All those opposed, say nay. Any
 9
                  abstentions? Any recusals?
10
    [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
11
   MR. ROUSE:
12
13
                  I believe item 12 from the Good Moral
14
                  Character Committee is Mark Trappio,
15
                  which is a CPA Domestic Reciprocity
16
                  Application.
                        I believe the Board would entertain
17
                  a motion to deny the CPA Certification
18
19
                  Application of Mark Trappio at item 12
20
                  on the agenda.
21
                       Is there such a motion?
   CHAIR ELLIS:
22
23
                  So moved.
2.4
   MR. ROUSE:
25
                  Is there a second?
```

```
25
1
   MR. ROLLAGE:
2
                  Second.
3
   MR. ROUSE:
                  Any discussion? All those in favor, say
 4
5
                  aye. All those opposed, say nay. Any
                  abstentions? Any recusals?
6
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
   Review of Program Sponsor Applications
10
   MR. ROUSE:
                  I believe the Board would entertain a
11
12
                  motion to approve the Program Sponsor
13
                  Application of Montgomery Bar
14
                  Association at item 13 on the agenda.
15
                       Is there such a motion?
16
   CHAIR ELLIS:
17
                  So moved.
   MR. ROUSE:
18
19
                  Is there a second?
20
   MR. KELLY:
21
                  Second.
22
   MR. ROUSE:
2.3
                  Any discussion? All those in favor, say
2.4
                  aye. All those opposed, say nay. Any
25
                  abstentions? Any recusals?
```

```
26
1
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
2
   Review of Requests - CPE Waivers - Approved
3
4
   MR. ROUSE:
5
                  I want to start with items 14 through
6
                  20, Lesko; Rizol; Point; Pipe-Mazo;
                  Lovett; Lopez; and Rosano.
                       I believe the Board would entertain
9
                  a motion to ratify the CPE Waivers at
10
                  items 14 through 20.
                       Is there such a motion?
11
   CHAIR ELLIS:
12
                  So moved.
13
   MR. ROUSE:
14
15
                  Is there a second?
16
   MR. OCKER:
17
                  Second.
   MR. ROUSE:
18
19
                  Any discussion? All those in favor, say
20
                  aye. All those opposed, say nay. Any
21
                  abstentions? Any recusals?
22
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
2.3
2.4
   MR. ROUSE:
25
                  Item 21. I believe we have a recusal on
```

27 this matter. Charles O'Brien is 1 2 recusing himself from this matter. 3 Regarding item 21, Depman, which is a CPE Waiver, I believe the Board would 4 5 entertain a motion to ratify the CPE 6 Waiver at item 21, Depman. 7 Is there such a motion? CHAIR ELLIS: So moved. 10 MR. ROUSE: 11 Is there a second? 12 MR. OCKER: 13 Second. MR. ROUSE: 14 15 Any discussion? All those in favor, say 16 aye. All those opposed, say nay. Any 17 abstentions? We have one recusal. [The motion carried. Charles O'Brien recused himself 18 19 from deliberations and voting on the motion.] * * * 20 21 MR. ROUSE: 22 Regarding items 22 through 54 on the 2.3 agenda, Hayden; Culleiton; Wyland; 2.4 Fenster; Brogan; Stoecklein; Knoebel; 25 Butler; Ongley; Li; Castelline;

```
28
                  Worthington; Booher; Benedict; Martinez
1
2
                  Velazquez; Morales; Masel; Connolly;
3
                  Patel; Beattie; Pray; McCarthy; Bercek;
 4
                  Kerr; Ducas; McHugh; Boylan; Baer;
5
                  Powell; Scott-Thurston; Cornelius;
 6
                  Kaiser-Jones; and Jenkins, I believe the
                  Board would entertain a motion to ratify
                  the CPE Waivers at items 22 through 54.
9
                       Is there such a motion?
10
   CHAIR ELLIS:
11
                  So moved.
   MR. ROUSE:
12
13
                  Is there a second?
   MR. ROLLAGE:
14
15
                  Second.
   MR. ROUSE:
16
17
                  Any discussion? All those in favor, say
18
                  aye. All those opposed, say nay. Any
19
                  abstentions? Any recusals?
20
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                              * * *
21
2.2
   MR. ROUSE:
2.3
                  Regarding item 55, Morningstar, I
2.4
                  believe we have one recusal. I believe
25
                  we have one recusal.
```

```
29
                       Regarding item 55, Morningstar, I
1
2
                  believe the Board would entertain a
3
                  motion to ratify the CPE Waiver at item
                  55.
 4
5
                       Is there such a motion?
6
   CHAIR ELLIS:
                  So moved.
   MR. ROUSE:
9
                  Is there a second?
10
   MR. OCKER:
11
                  Second.
12
   MR. ROUSE:
13
                  Any discussion? All those in favor, say
14
                  aye. All those opposed, say nay.
15
                  abstentions? Ericson was the recusal.
16
   [The motion carried. Monique Ericson recused herself
17
   from deliberations and voting on the motion.]
                               * * *
18
19
   MR. ROUSE:
2.0
                  Regarding items 56 through 69,
2.1
                  Cunningham; Theys; Holmes; Schad; Hinds;
2.2
                  Calipa; Lucas; Kelly; Lestini; Paris;
2.3
                  Lenker; Ali; Culver; and Rogers, I
2.4
                  believe the Board would entertain a
25
                  motion to ratify the CPE Waivers at
```

30 items 56 through 69. 1 2 Is there such a motion? 3 CHAIR ELLIS: 4 So moved. MR. ROUSE: 5 6 Is there a second? 7 MR. OCKER: Second. 9 MR. ROUSE: 10 Any discussion? All those in favor, say 11 aye. All those opposed, say nay. Any 12 abstentions? Any recusals? 13 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 14 15 Review of Requests - CPE Waivers - Not Approved 16 MR. ROUSE: Regarding items 70 through 74 and 76 17 18 through 82, which were O'Dowd; Smith; 19 Zhu; Yeager; Watson; Nofal; Dwyer; 2.0 Mimnaugh; Deckter; Pellegrini; Coffman; 2.1 and Redmond, I believe the Board would 2.2 entertain a motion to ratify the denial 2.3 of CPE Waivers at items 70 through 74 2.4 and 76 through 82. 25 Is there such a motion?

```
31
   CHAIR ELLIS:
1
2
                  So moved.
3
   MR. ROUSE:
4
                  Is there a second?
5
   MR. OCKER:
6
                  Second.
7
   MR. ROUSE:
                  All those in favor, say aye. All those
9
                  opposed, say nay. Any abstentions?
10
                  recusals?
11
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
12
13
   MR. ROUSE:
14
                  Regarding item 75, Miller, I believe the
15
                  Board would entertain a motion not to
                  ratify the denial of item 75, Miller.
16
17
                       Is there such a motion?
   CHAIR ELLIS:
18
19
                  So moved.
20
   MR. ROUSE:
21
                  Is there a second?
22
   MR. OCKER:
23
                  Second.
24
   MR. ROUSE:
25
                  Any discussion? All those in favor, say
```

32 aye. All those opposed, say nay. 1 2 abstentions? Any recusals? 3 [The motion carried unanimously.] 4 MR. ROUSE: 5 Also regarding item 75, Miller, I 6 believe the Board would entertain a motion to approve the CPE Waiver of 9 Miller, item 75 on the agenda. 10 Is there such a motion? CHAIR ELLIS: 11 12 So moved. MR. OCKER: 13 14 Second. 15 MR. ROUSE: 16 Any discussion? All those in favor, say aye. All those opposed, say nay. Any 17 18 abstentions? Any recusals? 19 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 20 Review of Requests - CPE Extensions - Approved 21 22 MR. ROUSE: 2.3 Regarding items 83 through 90, which is 2.4 Emmi; Williams; C. Smith; Tran; Garrett; 25 Dobrowolski; Spitzberg; and Santanasto,

33 I believe the Board would entertain a 1 2 motion to ratify the CPE Extensions at 3 items 83 through 90. Is there such a motion? 4 5 CHAIR ELLIS: 6 So moved. 7 MR. OCKER: Second. 9 MR. ROUSE: 10 Any discussion? All those in favor, say 11 aye. All those opposed, say nay. Any 12 abstentions? Any recusals? 13 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 14 15 Review of Requests - CPE Extensions - Not Approved 16 MR. ROUSE: 17 Regarding CPE Extensions that were not 18 approved, which are items 91 through 93, 19 McGoogan; Zdunek; and Roe, I believe the 2.0 Board would entertain a motion to ratify 2.1 the denial of CPE Extensions for 91 22 through 93. 2.3 Is there such a motion? 2.4 CHAIR ELLIS: 25 So moved.

```
34
1
   MR. OCKER:
2
                  Second.
3
   MR. ROUSE:
 4
                  Any discussion? All those in favor, say
5
                  aye. All those opposed, say nay. Any
6
                  abstentions? Any recusals?
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
   Review of Requests - Extension of CPA Examination
10
     Credit - Decision Not Yet Reached
   MR. ROUSE:
11
12
                  Regarding the Extension of CPA
13
                  Examination Credits for Dominic
14
                  Ganchoso, item 94 on the agenda, I
15
                  believe the Board would entertain a
16
                  motion to deny the Extension of CPA
17
                  Examination Credits for Dominic
18
                  Ganchoso.
                       Is there such a motion?
19
20
   CHAIR ELLIS:
21
                  So moved.
22
   MR. OCKER:
2.3
                  Second.
2.4
   MR. ROUSE:
25
                  Any discussion? All those in favor, say
```

35 aye. All those opposed, say nay. 1 2 abstentions? Any recusals? 3 [The motion carried unanimously.] 4 5 Review of Requests - Extension of CPA Examination 6 Credit - Approved 7 MR. ROUSE: Regarding the Extension of CPA 9 Examination Credits that were approved, 10 items 95 and 96 on the agenda, Rodriguez 11 and Seder, I believe the Board would 12 entertain a motion to ratify the 1.3 Extension of CPA Examination Credits for 14 items 95 and 96 on the agenda. 15 Is there such a motion? 16 CHAIR ELLIS: 17 So moved. MR. ROUSE: 18 19 Is there a second? 20 MR. OCKER: 21 Second.

22 MR. ROUSE:

23 Any discussion? All those in favor, say
24 aye. All those opposed, say nay. Any
25 abstentions? Any recusals?

The motion carried unanimously.]

3 Review of Requests - Extension of CPA Examination

4 Credit - Not Approved

5 MR. ROUSE:

2

9

10

11

12

13

Regarding item 97, I believe there is a recusal. This is for Extension of CPA Examination that is not approved.

I believe the Board would entertain a motion to ratify the denial of Extension of CPA Examination Credits in the matter of <u>Antosek</u>, item 97 on the agenda.

14 Is there such a motion?

15 CHAIR ELLIS:

16 So moved.

17 MR. ROUSE:

18 Is there a second?

19 MR. OCKER:

20 Second.

21 MR. ROUSE:

22 Any discussion? All those in favor, say

aye. All those opposed, say nay. Any

abstentions? We have one recusal.

25 [The motion carried. Charles O'Brien recused himself

```
37
1
   from deliberations and voting on the motion.]
                               * * *
2
3
   MR. ROUSE:
                  Regarding item 98, Rosenfeld, I believe
 4
5
                  the Board would entertain a motion to
 6
                  ratify the denial of Extension of CPA
                  Examination Credit at item 98,
                  Rosenfeld.
9
                       Is there such a motion?
10
   CHAIR ELLIS:
11
                  So moved.
   MR. OCKER:
12
                  Second.
13
   MR. ROUSE:
14
15
                  Any discussion? All those in favor, say
16
                  aye. All those opposed, say nay. Any
                  abstentions? Any recusals?
17
18
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
19
20
   Review of Requests - Peer Review Extensions - Approved
21
   MR. ROUSE:
                  Items 99 through 102, items 104 through
2.2
2.3
                  116, and items 118 to 119. These are
2.4
                  William E. Howe & Company; Miller Dixon
25
                  Drake PC; Lawrence J. Hoffman, CPA PC;
```

38 Joseph Whitaker; Molinari Oswald LLC; S 1 2 and S CPAs LLP; Sanville & Company LLP; 3 Tomasi & Company PC; Denk & Associates; 4 Cynthia Smith; John M.J. Cipriani; W.D. 5 Smith CPA LLC; Miller-Gentry; Monica 6 Hellings/Hellings CPA Group LLP; T.R. Oxenreiter CPA LLC; Schneider Downs & Company, Incorporated; Mark Kukla; David W. Hagstrom CPA PC; and Stone Trembly & 10 Associates, Incorporated. 11 With those items, I believe the 12 Board would entertain a motion to ratify the Peer Review Extension for items 99 1.3 14 through 102, 104 through 116, and 118 to 15 119. Is there such a motion? 16 17 CHAIR ELLIS: 18 So moved. 19 MR. OCKER: 2.0 Second. 21 MR. ROUSE: 22 Any discussion? All those in favor, say 2.3 aye. All those opposed, say nay. Any 2.4 abstentions? Any recusals? 25 [The motion carried unanimously.]

39 * * * 1 2 MR. ROUSE: 3 Regarding item 103, I believe we have a recusal. Regarding item 103, I believe 4 5 the Board would entertain a motion to 6 ratify the Peer Review Extension of J.T. Goldstein LLC, item 103 on the agenda. 8 Is there such a motion? CHAIR ELLIS: 10 So moved. 11 MR. OCKER: 12 Second. MR. ROUSE: 13 14 Any discussion? All those in favor, say 15 aye. All those opposed, say nay. Any abstentions? We have one recusal. 16 [The motion carried. Charles O'Brien recused himself 17 18 from deliberations and voting on the motion.] * * * 19 20 MR. ROUSE: 2.1 Item 117, Aprio LLP, I believe we have 2.2 one recusal. Regarding item 117, I 2.3 believe the Board would entertain a 2.4 motion to ratify the Peer Review 25 Extension of Aprio LLP.

```
40
1
                       Is there such a motion?
2
   CHAIR ELLIS:
3
                  So moved.
4
   MR. ROUSE:
5
                  Is there a second?
6
   MS. JENSIK:
                  Second.
   MR. ROUSE:
                  Any discussion? All those in favor, say
10
                  aye. All those opposed, say nay. Any
                  abstentions? We had one recusal.
11
12
   [The motion carried. Michael Ocker recused himself
   from deliberations and voting on the motion.]
13
14
15
   Review of Requests - Peer Review Exemptions - Approved
16
   MR. ROUSE:
                  Items 120 and 121, Terry H. Jones &
17
18
                  Company PC and Eric J. Bleiler CPA &
19
                  Company LLC.
2.0
                       I believe the Board would entertain
2.1
                  a motion to ratify the Peer Review
                  Exemptions for items 120 and 121.
22
2.3
                       Is there such a motion?
2.4
   CHAIR ELLIS:
25
                  So moved.
```

41 MR. OCKER: 1 2 Second. 3 MR. ROUSE: 4 Any discussion? All those in favor, say 5 aye. All those opposed, say nay. Any abstentions? Any recusals? 6 [The motion carried unanimously.] Miscellaneous - Board Committees 10 [Keri A. Ellis, CPA, Chair, referred to Board 11 committee assignments for the Board's information, 12 noting assignments are consistent with previous 13 assignments.] 14 * * * 15 Miscellaneous - Next Meeting Dates 16 [Keri A. Ellis, CPA, Chair, referred to Board meeting 17 dates for 2022, noting the next scheduled meeting is 18 April 21, 2022. 19 Mr. Rollage asked whether the April 21 meeting 20 would be in person or virtual. 21 Mr. Rouse commented that the waiver of the 22 physical presence requirement is through March 31, 23 2022, and suggested the Board plan for an in-person 24 meeting. He stated the Board would be informed of any 25 new information between now and then.]

```
42
                               * * *
1
2
   Adjournment
3
   CHAIR ELLIS:
                  Is there a motion to adjourn the January
 4
5
                  19, 2022 meeting of the State Board of
6
                  Accountancy?
7
   MR. KELLY:
                  So moved.
9
   MR. O'BRIEN:
10
                  Second.
   CHAIR ELLIS:
11
12
                  All those in favor, please say aye.
13
                  Anyone opposed? Hearing none.
14
                        This concludes our meeting of the
15
                  State Board of Accountancy. Thank you
16
                  everyone for attending. I am looking
17
                  forward to seeing everybody in April,
18
                  hopefully in person.
19
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
20
21
   [There being no further business, the State Board of
22
   Accountancy Meeting adjourned at 12:04 p.m.]
2.3
                               * * *
2.4
25
26
```


CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Accountancy meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Accountancy meeting.

Minute Clerk

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc.

		44
1 2 3 4 5 6		STATE BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY REFERENCE INDEX
4		January 19, 2022
7	TIME	AGENDA
8 9 10 11	9:00 10:30	Executive Session Return to Open Session
12	10:43	Official Call to Order
13	10:43	Roll Call
15 16	10:45	Approval of Amended Agenda
17 18 19 20 21	10:48	Miscellaneous - Carolyn A. DeLaurentis, Esquire, Deputy Chief Counsel, Prosecution Division Annual Report Presentation
22 23	11:01	Approval of Minutes
24 25	11:03	Report of Prosecutorial Division
26 27	11:12	Report of Board Counsel
28 29	11:32	Report of Board Chair
30 31	11:35	Report of Committees
32	11:37	Review of Program Sponsor Applications
34 35	11:39	Review of Requests
36 37	11:59	Miscellaneous (cont.)
38 39 40 41	12:04	Adjournment
42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50		