

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF STATE
BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS

F I N A L M I N U T E S

MEETING OF:

**STATE BOARD OF SOCIAL WORKERS,
MARRIAGE AND FAMILY THERAPISTS,
AND PROFESSIONAL COUNSELORS**

TIME: 11:10 A.M.

Held at

PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF STATE

2601 North Third Street

One Penn Center, Board Room C

Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17110

as well as

VIA MICROSOFT TEAMS

September 19, 2023

1 State Board of Social Workers,
2 Marriage and Family Therapists,
3 and Professional Counselors
4 September 19, 2023
5
6

7 BOARD MEMBERS:
8

9 Michelle Santiago, Psy.D., LPC, Chair
10 Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of
11 Professional and Occupational Affairs
12 Richard Behun, Ph.D., LPC, NCC, ACS
13 Farida E. Boyer, MS, LMFT, AS
14 Eric DeCriscio, MA, LPC, ACS
15 Kimberly Early, LSW
16 Erika Evans, Ph.D., LMFT, Vice Chair
17 Linda A. Martin, LCSW, RN
18 Cindy Moyer, LCSW
19 Mayte Garcia, LCSW, LCADC, SAP, CEAP
20
21

22 BUREAU PERSONNEL:
23

24 Shana M. Walter, Esquire, Acting Senior Counsel
25 Tyesha C. Miley, Esquire, Board Counsel
26 Jacqueline A. Wolfgang, Esquire, Regulatory Counsel
27 Heather J. McCarthy, Esquire, Senior Board
28 Prosecutor and Prosecution Liaison
29 Nathan C. Giunta, Esquire, Board Prosecutor
30 Mark R. Zogby, Esquire, Board Prosecutor
31 Jason T. Anderson, Esquire, Board Prosecutor
32 Adam J. Williams, Esquire, Board Prosecutor
33 Lindsay Szymanski, Esquire, Board Prosecutor
34 Kelsey B. Ashworth, Esquire, Board Prosecutor
35 Jonelle Harter Eshbach, Esquire, Board Prosecutor
36 Sarah E. McNeill, Board Administrator
37 Andrew LaFratte, MPA, Deputy Policy Director,
38 Department of State
39 Holly Hoffman, Law Clerk, Department of State
40 Andrew LaFratte, MPA, Deputy Policy Director,
41 Department of State
42
43

44 ALSO PRESENT:
45

46 Johanna Byrd, ACSW, IOM, CAE, Executive Director,
47 National Association of Social Workers, Pennsylvania
48 Chapter
49 Mariss
50

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

State Board of Social Workers,
Marriage and Family Therapists,
and Professional Counselors
September 19, 2023

ALSO PRESENT: (cont.)

- Carlton Smith, Deputy Chief Counsel of the Prosecution Division
- Wesley J. Rish, Esquire, Rish Law Office, LLC
- Raymond Bracy, LMSW
- Casey O'Hara
- Patrick Greene
- James Waiters, MPA, LSW
- Jessica Berti
- Sophia Mahoney

1 ***

2 State Board of Social Workers,
3 Marriage and Family Therapists
4 and Professional Counselors

5 September 19, 2023

6 ***

7 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act,
8 at 9:00 a.m., the Board entered into Executive
9 Session with Tyesha C. Miley, Esquire, Board Counsel,
10 for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial
11 deliberations and to have attorney-client
12 consultation. The Board entered into public session
13 at 11:00 a.m.]

14 ***

15 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State
16 Board of Social Workers, Marriage and Family
17 Therapists, and Professional Counselors was held on
18 Tuesday, September 19, 2023. Michelle Santiago,
19 Psy.D., LPC, Chair, called the meeting to order at
20 11:10 a.m.

21 ***

22 Introduction of Board Members
23 [Chair Santiago requested an introduction of Board
24 members.]

25 ***

1 Introduction of Attendees

2 [Sarah E. McNeill, Board Administrator, provided an
3 introduction of attendees.]

4 ***

5 [Tyesha C. Miley, Esquire, Board Counsel, advised
6 everyone that the meeting was being recorded, and
7 those who continued to participate were giving their
8 consent to be recorded.

9 Ms. Miley noted the virtual meeting was being
10 held by hybrid format and is permitted for boards and
11 licensing commissions in order to conduct business.

12 Ms. Miley also noted the Board met in Executive
13 Session to have attorney-client consultation and for
14 the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial
15 deliberations.]

16 ***

17 Appointment - Introduction

18 [Michael McDonald, Policy Director, Department of
19 State, introduced himself and provided a brief
20 history of his personal and professional background.

21 He informed everyone that the policy office works
22 with the secretary of the department, governor's
23 office and administration, and all of the program
24 areas, including all of the licensing boards and
25 commissions. He noted they review legislation and

1 assess the interest and impact on the licensee
2 population and citizens across the Commonwealth of
3 Pennsylvania.

4 Mr. McDonald noted that they work closely with
5 counsel to review and draft regulations and implement
6 new policies and research the impact. He also noted
7 they keep tabs on updates in the profession and
8 initiatives in other jurisdictions to make sure
9 everyone is informed.

10 Mr. McDonald mentioned that he also works closely
11 with Acting Commissioner Claggett and counsel and
12 encouraged Board members to reach out to him or
13 Deputy Policy Director Andrew LaFratte as a resource
14 for any questions or concerns.

15 Mr. McDonald thanked Board members for their
16 service to the licensees and citizens of the
17 Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.]

18 ***

19 [A Formal Hearing was held from 11:18 a.m. until
20 12:14 p.m. in the Matter of Applicant, Binta Barry-
21 Toe, Case No. 23-69-001381.]

22 ***

23 [A Formal Hearing was held from 12:16 p.m. until
24 12:41 p.m. in the Matter of Applicant, Akiah
25 Robinson, Case No. 23-69-001379.]

1 ***

2 Approval of minutes of the June 11, 2023 meeting

3 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

4 Agenda item 1 is the approval of draft
5 minutes. Are there any corrections,
6 additions, addendums? Anything to the
7 minutes, folks? Seeing nothing from
8 anyone.

9 Could I please have a motion to
10 accept the draft minutes?

11 MR. DECRISCIO:

12 So moved.

13 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

14 Could I have a second, please?

15 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:

16 Second.

17 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

18 Any discussion, Board members? All
19 right, seeing none. Sarah, could we
20 have a vote, please?

21

22 Santiago, aye; Claggett, aye; Behun,
23 abstain; DeCriscio, aye; Early,
24 abstain; Evans, aye; Martin, aye;
25 Moyer, aye; Garcia, aye; Boyer,

1 abstain.

2 [The motion carried. Richard Behun, Kimberly Early,
3 and Farida Boyer abstained from voting on the
4 motion.]

5 ***

6 Report of Prosecutorial Division

7 [Nathan C. Giunta, Esquire, Board Prosecutor,
8 presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 23-69-
9 008758.]

10 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

11 I believe the Board will entertain a
12 motion to adopt the Consent Agreement
13 at Case No. 23-69-00875.

14 May I have a motion, please?

15 MS. EARLY:

16 I move that we adopt the Consent
17 Agreement.

18 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

19 Could I have a second?

20 MR. DECRISCIO:

21 Second.

22 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

23 Any discussion, Board members? Seeing
24 none. Sarah, could we have a roll call
25 vote, please?

1

2

Santiago, aye; Behun, aye; DeCriscio,

3

aye; Early, aye; Evans, aye; Martin,

4

aye; Moyer, aye; Garcia, aye; Boyer,

5

abstain; Claggett, abstain.

6

[The motion carried. Farida Boyer and Arion Claggett

7

abstained from voting on the motion.]

8

9

[Nathan C. Giunta, Esquire, Board Prosecutor,

10

presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 23-69-

11

009404.]

12

CHAIR SANTIAGO:

13

I believe the Board will entertain a

14

motion to adopt the Consent Agreement

15

at item 3, Case No. 23-69-009404.

16

May I have a motion?

17

MS. EARLY:

18

I move that we adopt the Consent

19

Agreement.

20

MR. DECRISCIO:

21

Second.

22

CHAIR SANTIAGO:

23

Any additional discussion, Board

24

members? Seeing none. Sarah, could we

25

have a roll call vote, please?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Santiago, aye; Claggett, aye; Behun,
aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, aye; Evans,
aye; Martin, aye; Moyer, aye; Garcia,
aye; Boyer, abstain.

[The motion carried. Farida Boyer abstained from
voting on the motion.]

Report of Board Counsel - Matters for Deliberation

CHAIR SANTIAGO:

Item 4 on the agenda. I believe the
Board will entertain a motion to
approve the Application of Caitlin
Hoover.

Do I hear a first?

MS. EARLY:

I make a motion that we grant the
Application.

MR. DECRISCIO:

Second.

CHAIR SANTIAGO:

Any additional discussion, Board
members? Seeing none. Sarah, could we
have a roll call vote, please?

1 Santiago, nay; Claggett, aye; Behun,
2 aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, aye; Evans,
3 aye; Martin, aye; Moyer, aye; Garcia,
4 nay; Boyer, abstain.

5 [The motion carried. Michelle Santiago and Mayte
6 Garcia opposed the motion. Farida Boyer abstained
7 from voting on the motion.]

8 ***

9 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

10 Item 5 on the agenda. I believe the
11 Board will entertain a motion to
12 approve the Application of Cole Davis.

13 Do I have a first? Would someone
14 like to make a motion?

15 MS. EARLY:

16 I move that we grant the Application.

17 MR. DECRISCIO:

18 Second.

19 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

20 Any discussion, Board members? Seeing
21 none. Could we have a roll call vote,
22 please?

23

24 Santiago, nay; Claggett, aye; Behun,
25 aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, aye; Evans,

1 voting on the motion.]

2

3 Report of Board Counsel - Notice of Intent to Review

4 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

5

6

7

8

Item 7 on the agenda. I believe that the Board will direct counsel to draft an A&O consistent with discussions held in Executive Session.

9 MS. MILEY:

10

11

12

In the matter of Michael Bruckner at Case No. 22-69-013836.

Is there a motion?

13 MS. EARLY:

14

15

16

I move that we direct counsel to draft the document consistent with the conversation in Executive Session.

17 MR. DECRISCIO:

18

Second.

19 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

20

21

22

23

Any discussion, Board members? There is no discussion. Could we have a roll call vote, please?

24

25

Santiago, aye; Claggett, aye; Behun, aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, aye; Evans,

1 motion. Farida Boyer abstained from voting on the
2 motion.]

3 ***

4 Report of Board Counsel - Final Adjudication and
5 Order

6 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

7 Item 9 on the agenda. I believe the
8 Board will entertain a motion to adopt
9 the Final Adjudication and Order for
10 Amy Lohr, Case No. 19-69-003779.

11 Could I have a motion, please?

12 MS. EARLY:

13 I move that adopt.

14 MR. DECRISCIO:

15 Second.

16 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

17 Any discussion, Board members? Okay.
18 Could we have a roll call vote, please?

19
20 Santiago, aye; Claggett, aye; Behun,
21 aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, aye; Evans,
22 aye; Martin, aye; Moyer, aye; Garcia,
23 aye; Boyer, abstain.

24 [The motion carried. Farida Boyer abstained from
25 voting on the motion.]

1 ***

2 Review of Applications

3 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

4 Item 14 on the agenda is Social Worker
5 by Examination for Cara Robitaille. I
6 believe that the Board will entertain a
7 motion to approve the Application of
8 Applicant, Cara Robitaille.

9 May I have a motion, please?

10 MS. EARLY:

11 I move that approve the Application.

12 MR. DECRISCIO:

13 Second.

14 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

15 Any discussion, Board members? Seeing
16 none. Could we have a roll call vote,
17 please?

18
19 Santiago, aye; Claggett, aye; Behun,
20 aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, aye; Evans,
21 aye; Martin, aye; Moyer, aye; Garcia,
22 aye; Boyer, abstain.

23 [The motion carried. Farida Boyer abstained from
24 voting on the motion.]

25 ***

1 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

2 Item 15 on the agenda. I believe the
3 Board will entertain a motion to
4 provisionally deny the Application of
5 Casey O'Hara.

6 Do I have a motion?

7 MS. EARLY:

8 I move that we provisionally deny.

9 MR. DECRISCIO:

10 Second.

11 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

12 Any discussion, Board members? Seeing
13 no discussion. Could we have a roll
14 call vote, please?

15
16 Santiago, aye; Claggett, nay; Behun,
17 aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, aye; Evans,
18 aye; Martin, nay; Moyer, nay; Garcia,
19 aye; Boyer, abstain.

20 [The motion carried. Michelle Santiago, Arion
21 Claggett, Linda Martin, and Cindy Moyer opposed the
22 motion. Farida Boyer abstained from voting on the
23 motion.]

24 ***

25 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

1 Item 16 on the agenda. I believe the
2 Board will entertain a motion to
3 provisionally deny the Application of
4 Christina Johnson.

5 Could I have a first, please?

6 MS. EARLY:

7 I move that we provisionally deny.

8 MR. DECRISCIO:

9 Second.

10 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

11 Any discussion, Board members? Hearing
12 none. Could we have a roll call,
13 please?

14

15 Santiago, aye; Claggett, aye; Behun,
16 aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, aye; Evans,
17 aye; Martin, aye; Moyer, aye; Garcia,
18 aye; Boyer, abstain.

19 [The motion carried. Farida Boyer abstained from
20 voting on the motion.]

21

22 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

23 Item 17 on the agenda. I believe the
24 Board will entertain a motion to
25 provisionally deny the Application of

1 Cindy Bello.

2 Could I have a motion, please?

3 MS. EARLY:

4 I move that we provisionally deny the
5 Application.

6 MR. DECRISCIO:

7 Second.

8 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

9 Any discussion, Board members? Hearing
10 none. Could we have the voice vote,
11 please?

12
13 Santiago, aye; Claggett, aye; Behun,
14 aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, aye; Evans,
15 aye; Martin, aye; Moyer, aye; Garcia,
16 aye; Boyer, abstain.

17 [The motion carried. Farida Boyer abstained from
18 voting on the motion.]

19 ***

20 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

21 Item 18 on the agenda. I believe the
22 Board will entertain a motion to
23 approve the Application of Daniel
24 Lorence. Could I have a motion,
25 please?

1 MS. EARLY:

2 I move that we approve the Application.

3 MR. DECRISCIO:

4 Second.

5 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

6 Any discussion, Board members? Seeing
7 none. Could we have the voice vote,
8 please?

9

10 Santiago, aye; Claggett, aye; Behun,
11 aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, aye; Evans,
12 aye; Martin, aye; Moyer, aye; Garcia,
13 aye; Boyer, abstain.

14 [The motion carried. Farida Boyer abstained from
15 voting on the motion.]

16

17 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

18 Item 19 on the agenda. I believe the
19 Board will entertain a motion to
20 approve the Application of Davin Rowe
21 Sr.

22 May I have a motion, please?

23 MS. EARLY:

24 I move that we approve the Application.

25 MR. DECRISCIO:

1 Second.

2 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

3 Any discussion, Board members? There
4 is none. Could we have the voice vote,
5 please?

6
7 Santiago, aye; Claggett, aye; Behun,
8 aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, aye; Evans,
9 aye; Martin, aye; Moyer, aye; Garcia,
10 aye; Boyer, abstain.

11 [The motion carried. Farida Boyer abstained from
12 voting on the motion.]

13 ***

14 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

15 Item 20 on the agenda. I believe the
16 Board will entertain a motion to
17 provisionally deny the Applicant,
18 Lawrence Berti.

19 Could I have a motion, please?

20 MS. EARLY:

21 I move that we provisionally deny the
22 Applicant.

23 MR. DECRISCIO:

24 Second.

25 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

1 Any discussion, Board members? Seeing
2 none. Could I have the vote, please?

3
4 Santiago, aye; Claggett, aye; Behun,
5 aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, aye; Evans,
6 aye; Martin, aye; Moyer, aye; Garcia,
7 aye; Boyer, abstain.

8 [The motion carried. Farida Boyer abstained from
9 voting on the motion.]

10 ***

11 Review of Applications - Preapproved Provider of
12 Continuing Education

13 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

14 Item 21 on the agenda, the Preapproved
15 Provider of Continuing Education. I
16 believe the Board will entertain a
17 motion to provisionally deny the
18 Application of the Housing Alliance of
19 Pennsylvania.

20 Could I have a motion, please?

21 MS. EARLY:

22 I move that we provisionally deny the
23 Application.

24 MR. DECRISCIO:

25 Second.

1 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

2 Any discussion, Board members? Seeing
3 none. Could we have the voice vote,
4 please?

5
6 Santiago, aye; Claggett, nay; Behun,
7 aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, aye; Evans,
8 aye; Martin, nay; Moyer, nay; Garcia,
9 aye; Boyer, abstain.

10 [The motion carried. Arion Claggett, Linda Martin,
11 and Cindy Moyer opposed the motion. Farida Boyer
12 abstained from voting on the motion.]

13 ***

14 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

15 Item 22 on the agenda, the Preapproved
16 Provider of Continuing Education, The
17 Lincoln Center for Family & Youth. I
18 believe the Board will entertain a
19 motion to provisionally deny the
20 Application.

21 May I have a motion, please?

22 MR. DECRISCIO:

23 So moved.

24 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

25 May I have a second?

1 MS. EVANS:

2 Second.

3 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

4 Any discussion, Board members? Seeing
5 none. Could we have the voice vote,
6 please?

7

8 Santiago, nay; Claggett, nay; Behun,
9 nay; DeCriscio, nay; Early, yes; Evans,
10 yes; Martin, nay; Moyer, nay; Garcia,
11 aye; Boyer, abstain.

12 [The motion failed. Michelle Santiago, Arion
13 Claggett, Richard Behun, Eric DeCriscio, Linda
14 Martin, and Cindy Moyer opposed the motion. Farida
15 Boyer abstained from voting on the motion.]

16 ***

17 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

18 The Board will now entertain a motion
19 to approve or grant the Application at
20 item 22, The Lincoln Center for Family
21 & Youth.

22 Could I have a motion, please?

23 MR. DECRISCIO:

24 So moved.

25 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

1 Second?

2 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:

3 Second.

4 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

5 Any discussion, Board members? Hearing
6 none. Could we have a vote, please?

7

8 Santiago, nay; Claggett, aye; Behun,
9 aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, no; Evans,
10 nay; Martin, aye; Moyer, aye; Garcia,
11 nay; Boyer, abstain.

12 [The motion carried. Michelle Santiago, Kimberly
13 Early, Erika Evans, and Mayte Garcia opposed the
14 motion. Farida Boyer abstained from voting on the
15 motion.]

16

17 Review of Applications - Act 41 Review

18 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

19 Moving on to Act 41 Review, item 23,
20 Ciyauah Daniels. I believe the Board
21 will entertain a motion to
22 provisionally deny the Application of
23 Ciyauah Daniels.

24 May I have a motion, please?

25 MS. EARLY:

1 I move that we provisionally deny the
2 Application.

3 MR. DECRISCIO:

4 Second.

5 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

6 Any discussion, Board members? Seeing
7 none. Could we have a voice vote,
8 please?

9
10 Santiago, aye; Claggett, nay; Behun,
11 aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, aye; Evans,
12 aye; Martin, aye; Moyer, aye; Garcia,
13 aye; Boyer, abstain.

14 [The motion carried. Arion Claggett opposed the
15 motion. Farida Boyer abstained from voting on the
16 motion.]

17 ***

18 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

19 Item 24 on the agenda. I believe the
20 Board will entertain a motion to
21 approve the Application of Gwendolyn
22 Ogilvie.

23 May I have a motion, please?

24 MS. EARLY:

25 I move that we approve the Application.

1 MR. DECRISCIO:

2 Second.

3 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

4 Any discussion, Board members? Seeing
5 none. Could we have a vote?

6

7 Santiago, nay; Claggett, aye; Behun,
8 aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, aye; Evans,
9 nay; Martin, aye; Moyer, aye; Garcia,
10 aye; Boyer, abstain.

11 [The motion carried. Michelle Santiago and Erika
12 Evans opposed the motion. Farida Boyer abstained
13 from voting on the motion.]

14 ***

15 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

16 Item 25 on the agenda. I believe the
17 Board will entertain a motion to
18 provisionally deny the Application of
19 Katherine McHugh.

20 May I have a motion, please?

21 MS. EARLY:

22 I move that we provisionally deny the
23 Application.

24 MR. DECRISCIO:

25 Second.

1 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

2 Any discussion, Board members? There
3 is none. Could we have a vote, please?

4
5 Santiago, aye; Claggett, aye; Behun,
6 aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, aye; Evans,
7 aye; Martin, aye; Moyer, aye; Garcia,
8 aye; Boyer, abstain.

9 [The motion carried. Farida Boyer abstained from
10 voting on the motion.]

11 ***

12 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

13 Item 26 on the agenda. I believe the
14 Board will entertain a motion to grant
15 an unrestricted license to Lori
16 Frazier.

17 May I have a motion, please?

18 MS. EARLY:

19 I move that we grant an Unrestricted
20 License.

21 MR. DECRISCIO:

22 Second.

23 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

24 Any discussion, Board members? Seeing
25 none. Could we have a vote, please?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Santiago, aye; Claggett, aye; Behun,
aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, aye; Evans,
aye; Martin, aye; Moyer, aye; Garcia,
aye; Boyer, abstain.

[The motion carried. Farida Boyer abstained from
voting on the motion.]

CHAIR SANTIAGO:

We are going to table item 27, Maryann
Dolezal.

CHAIR SANTIAGO:

Item 28 on the agenda. I believe the
Board will entertain a motion to grant
a license to Shadsun Rice.

May I have a motion?

MS. EARLY:

I move that we grant the license.

MR. DECRISCIO:

Second.

CHAIR SANTIAGO:

Any discussion? Seeing none. Could we
have a vote, please?

1 [The motion carried. Farida Boyer abstained from
2 voting on the motion.]

3 ***

4 Miscellaneous

5 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

6 I believe we are putting together item
7 30. These are all NCE Accommodation
8 Requests. We are putting together item
9 30, Brian Flatley Jr.; item 31, Darleen
10 Russell; item 32, Evelyn Colon-Vazquez;
11 and item 33, Genaro Arias.

12 May I have a motion to approve the
13 Accommodation Requests for these folks?

14 MS. EARLY:

15 I move that we approve the
16 Accommodation Requests for items 30
17 through 33.

18 MR. DECRISCIO:

19 Second.

20 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

21 Any discussion, Board members? Seeing
22 none. Could we have a voice vote,
23 please?

24

25 Santiago, aye; Claggett, aye; Behun,

1 [The motion carried. Michelle Santiago, Richard
2 Behun, Eric DeCriscio, and Linda Martin opposed the
3 motion. Farida Boyer abstained from voting on the
4 motion.]

5 ***

6 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

7 Item 35 is an Accommodation Request for
8 Victoria Herrera. I believe the Board
9 will entertain a motion to deny the
10 Accommodation Request for Victoria
11 Herrera.

12 May I have a motion, please?

13 MS. EARLY:

14 I move that we deny the Accommodation.

15 MR. DECRISCIO:

16 Second.

17 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

18 Any discussion, Board members? Seeing
19 none. Could we have a vote, please?

20
21 Santiago, aye; Claggett, aye; Behun,
22 aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, aye; Evans,
23 nay; Martin, aye; Moyer, aye; Garcia,
24 nay; Boyer, abstain.

25 [The motion carried. Erika Evans and Mayte Garcia

1 opposed the motion. Farida Boyer abstained from
2 voting on the motion.]

3 ***

4 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

5 Items 36 and 37, Fictitious Name
6 Requests. I believe the Board will
7 entertain a motion to grant the
8 Fictitious Name Requests of Skylight
9 Wellness Center, LLC, and TAH, LLC.

10 Could I have a motion, please?

11 MS. EARLY:

12 I move that we grant the Requests.

13 MR. DECRISCIO:

14 Second.

15 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

16 Any discussion, Board members? Could
17 we have a voice vote, please?

18
19 Santiago, aye; Claggett, aye; Behun,
20 aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, aye; Evans,
21 aye; Martin, aye; Moyer, aye; Garcia,
22 aye; Boyer, abstain.

23 [The motion carried. Farida Boyer abstained from
24 voting on the motion. It is the motion to grant the
25 Fictitious Name Request for Anna Murphey and Skylight

1 Wellness Center, LLC, and Fictitious Name Request for
2 Taylor Hemphill for TAH, LLC.]

3 ***

4 New Business

5 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

6 Item 39 on the agenda. We are looking
7 for travel approval for a Board member
8 to attend ASWB's Annual Meeting of
9 Delegate Assembly, which is November 2-
10 4 in Memphis, Tennessee.

11 Is there any discussion, Board
12 members?

13 I'm entertaining a motion to
14 approve travel. The Board
15 administrator wants to travel to this.

16 Is there any discussion? Seeing none.

17

18 I will entertain a motion that we
19 approve the travel to the ASWB Annual
20 Meeting of Delegate Assembly November
21 2-4.

22 Could I have a motion, please?

23 MS. EARLY:

24 I move that we approve the travel
25 request.

1 MR. DECRISCIO:

2 Second.

3 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

4 Any other discussion, folks? Could I
5 have a voice vote, please?

6
7 Santiago, aye; Claggett, aye; Behun,
8 aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, aye; Evans,
9 aye; Martin, aye; Moyer, aye; Garcia,
10 aye; Boyer, abstain.

11 [The motion carried. Farida Boyer abstained from
12 voting on the motion.]

13 ***

14 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

15 Item 40 on the agenda. This is a
16 travel approval request for the
17 American Association of State
18 Counseling Boards 2024 Annual
19 Conference January 7-9 in Arizona. I
20 believe this is for our Board
21 administrator, Sarah McNeill. Is there
22 any discussion or questions? Seeing
23 none.

24 Could I have a motion to approve
25 the travel?

1 MS. EARLY:

2 I move that we approve the travel
3 request.

4 MR. DECRISCIO:

5 Second.

6 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

7 Any discussion? Seeing none. Could we
8 have a voice vote, please?

9

10 Santiago, aye; Claggett, aye; Behun,
11 aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, aye; Evans,
12 aye; Martin, aye; Moyer, aye; Garcia,
13 aye; Boyer, abstain.

14 [The motion carried. Farida Boyer abstained from
15 voting on the motion.]

16

17 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

18 Item 41 is travel approval for the
19 AMFTRB 2023 Annual Conference in Utah.

20 I believe this is Dr. Evans.

21 Could I have a motion to approve
22 travel for Dr. Evans for the AMFTRB
23 Annual Conference.

24 MS. EARLY:

25 I move that we approve the travel

1 request.

2 MR. DECRISCIO:

3 Second.

4 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

5 Any discussion? Seeing none. Could we
6 have a voice vote, please?

7

8 Santiago, aye; Claggett, aye; Behun,
9 aye; DeCriscio, aye; Early, aye; Evans,
10 aye; Martin, aye; Moyer, aye; Garcia,
11 aye; Boyer, abstain.

12 [The motion carried. Farida Boyer abstained from
13 voting on the motion.]

14 ***

15 [Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of
16 Professional and Occupational Affairs, noted the
17 travel request was just approved, however, may not go
18 through because it was submitted late. He
19 recommended the Board provide more lead time when
20 submitting travel requests in the future.]

21 ***

22 Report of Regulatory Counsel

23 [Tyesha C. Miley, Esquire, Board Counsel, introduced
24 regulatory division counsel, Jacqueline Wolfgang.

25 Ms. Wolfgang informed Board members that she was

1 working as regulatory counsel for the Department of
2 State for about five years. She explained that she
3 was assigned to their Board, along with seven other
4 boards, to help move their regulations through the
5 regulatory process.

6 Ms. Miley provided the most up-to-date annex
7 pertaining to the general revisions for the Board's
8 review. She noted receiving an inquiry from Johanna
9 Byrd regarding supervision.

10 Johanna Byrd, ACSW, IOM, CAE, Executive Director,
11 National Association of Social Workers, requested
12 clarification as to whether a course taken prior to
13 the regulations would have to be taken post
14 regulations or if it would count.

15 Ms. Miley stated it was her understanding that
16 courses taken now would be applicable and they would
17 not need something subsequent to the actual
18 enactment.

19 Ms. Miley asked whether the Board had changes to
20 any portions of the electronic supervision or other
21 sections of the general revisions before it proceeds
22 forward in the process.

23 Ms. Martin referred to page 2 under § 47.1a.,
24 qualifications for supervisors of individuals
25 pursuing clinical social work, where it lists a

1 number of criteria saying that an individual shall
2 meet one of the following criteria. She clarified
3 that any of the criteria singly could be a
4 requirement that approves a supervisor holding the
5 active license for five years; hold an active license
6 in a related field, up until January 2006 had
7 practiced as a clinical social worker with five
8 years' experience, and at least six continuing
9 education units on supervision.

10 Ms. Martin stated the way she read it was that a
11 supervisor would be qualified by meeting any of those
12 four requirements, not all of them but any of them.
13 She noted that number 4 is not an across-the-Board
14 requirement but a possible requirement. She also
15 noted that at the beginning of the regulation, to
16 qualify as a supervisor, an individual shall meet one
17 of the following criteria and wanted to clarify that
18 it is written that way, that any one of those
19 criteria would approve a supervisor.

20 Ms. Miley noted that it would be just one of
21 those things based on the drafting, so the Board
22 decided to add the continuing education requirement
23 as one of those. She stated the intent was for the
24 continuing education to be in addition to one of the
25 other three.

1 Ms. Wolfgang commented that it looks like it is a
2 requirement to have one of the four but believed it
3 may have been a drafting error to put continuing
4 education (CE) as number 4. She mentioned that it
5 seems like they want to keep options to be 1 through
6 3 and then the additional requirement of number 4.
7 She noted it would have to be redrafted to make it an
8 additional requirement, so one of the three, plus the
9 CE.

10 Ms. Miley referred to 16A-6923 and wanted to
11 confirm that a change needs to take place in § 49.3
12 and § 48.3 since the desire is to have supervisors
13 always submit to the continuing education, so it is a
14 completely separate requirement.

15 Ms. Miley informed Board members that she would
16 make the continuing education a standalone
17 requirement and not something that is part of one of
18 the other ones.

19 Ms. Miley informed Board members that no comments
20 were received for 16A-6925 as a result of the
21 exposure draft. She addressed language that other
22 boards have been utilizing in their Act 41
23 regulations for competency determination. She
24 mentioned that one of their Board's competency
25 requirements is the examination, noting some other

1 boards have included "provided the passing score was
2 achieved at least two or more years but no longer
3 than five years prior to the date of the
4 application."

5 Ms. Miley mentioned that the change would not
6 have to undergo a second exposure, but any comments
7 related to it would come through once they propose
8 the regulations.

9 Ms. Martin commented that Act 41 is a path to
10 help out-of-state licensees get licensed in
11 Pennsylvania and believed including "provided the
12 passing score was achieved at least two or more years
13 but no longer than five years prior to the date of
14 the application" to be a barrier.

15 Ms. Miley explained that other boards viewed the
16 language as someone who received a license in August
17 and took the exam in August would be able to come to
18 Pennsylvania, but their exam was not at least two
19 years after their licensure and is just a way of
20 guiding the competency conversation.

21 Ms. Martin believed the Board already has the
22 requirement of working for two years post license.
23 She commented that someone who passed the exam met
24 that competency and does not matter how long ago they
25 passed it or how recently they passed it.

1 Ms. Wolfgang clarified that Act 41 has a
2 qualification requirement, where boards have to
3 decide whether qualifications in the other state are
4 substantially equivalent. She noted Act 41 also has
5 criteria, where boards have to decide whether the
6 qualified person is competent and has maintained
7 their competency.

8 Ms. Wolfgang stated the Board could deem a person
9 competent if they had at least two years of practice
10 in the last two years or if they have achieved a
11 passing score on the exam. She noted that is a bit
12 of an overlap to their qualifications, because that
13 is a qualification, but the Board is looking at that
14 to show competency. She mentioned that it is a
15 little bit of an empty competency because they
16 already looked at it for qualifications, so does
17 passing the exam really show the person has
18 maintained their competency.

19 Ms. Wolfgang mentioned that some boards wanted to
20 make sure the person has maintained their competency
21 even if they took the exam, where passing the exam in
22 the two- to five-year period could show that
23 competency piece.

24 Ms. Miley further explained that someone who took
25 the exam last month and passed it would be all they

1 need and would not have to show two years of practice
2 because either they passed the exam or have been
3 practicing actively for the last two of five years
4 under the regulation.

5 Ms. Miley stated the regulations would be on
6 every agenda as long as they keep moving them
7 forward. She explained that no action needs to be
8 taken today but would need to be discussed in a
9 public meeting if the change is desired. She further
10 explained that it would prevent someone who just took
11 the exam in August from meeting the competency to
12 just be given an unrestricted license in September.

13 Chair Santiago commented that she is still
14 thinking about the difference between "or" and "and."

15 Ms. Miley explained that the Board decided not to
16 include an "and" as a result of the discussion with
17 Deputy Montgomery regarding Act 41.

18 Ms. Wolfgang commented that putting "and" in the
19 competency piece would be problematic because Act 41
20 requires substantial equivalence in their
21 qualifications and would mean the other state would
22 need to have a substantially equivalent examination.

23 Ms. Wolfgang explained that putting a specific
24 examination in competency for qualification is
25 circumventing Act 41, noting that someone might be

1 substantially equivalent on their examination but is
2 going to have to take the examination to show
3 competency, where the person would not have a pathway
4 to licensure unless they took that specific exam.
5 She stated the Board would not be allowing the person
6 to utilize Act 41 in the way it was intended if they
7 require both that national exam or the qualifying
8 exam and experience.

9 Chair Santiago mentioned that there was a time
10 that the Board did not know that some states dropped
11 their exams and people were rushing to those states,
12 getting licenses, and immediately coming to
13 Pennsylvania. She noted that the Board does not want
14 to create any barriers but also does not want to
15 create an off ramp, where people could just go all
16 the way around and come in having met nothing except
17 for what it says.

18 Ms. Wolfgang explained that the Board could still
19 catch that on that qualification piece because they
20 would be looking whether the other state's law has a
21 substantially equivalent examination. She further
22 explained that Act 41 was designed to look at the
23 other state and their law, which is substantially
24 equivalent to Pennsylvania, where they have licensed
25 the person and trusted them to practice and so should

1 Pennsylvania.

2 Ms. Martin commented that she has not been fond
3 of practicing two of the previous five years because
4 it prevents some people who take a few years out of
5 practice, and having passed the exam within five
6 years would get around that work requirement. She
7 requested further information regarding the five-year
8 mark for competency.

9 Ms. Wolfgang explained that most boards have a
10 time limit for examinations. She mentioned the
11 importance of whether someone has been actively
12 practicing and maintaining their skills or not and
13 does taking the exam 15 years ago show they are
14 competent to practice. She stated the boards looking
15 at exams want to put a timeline on, because the
16 closer they are to taking the examination tends to
17 show some competency compared to someone who took it
18 15 years ago. She noted that Act 41 allows two
19 different options but requires one of them, whether
20 it is experience or continued education. She
21 mentioned that someone could show competency through
22 CE, but the Board chose to use experience.

23 Ms. Martin commented that Pennsylvania licensees
24 do not require proof of competency to renew their
25 license.

1 Ms. Miley explained that Pennsylvania requires CE
2 during the biennial period and individuals show
3 competency to be licensed through that CE.

4 Ms. Miley stated that was an option the Board
5 could utilize if they had any concerns about having
6 licensees who were licensed last month. She noted
7 the language that other boards had used would come in
8 the competency provision and would read, providing
9 the passing score was achieved at least two or more
10 years but no longer than five years prior to the date
11 of the application.

12 Ms. Martin commented that she did not see any
13 need for being more specific about when the exam was
14 taken.

15 Ms. Early commented that § 48.18(2)(ii) says
16 within the five and is close to what is suggested but
17 is cutting out the most immediate two years.

18 Ms. Miley explained that it is adding it in
19 because it serves to operate like subsection 1, where
20 there has to be active practice for two of the last
21 five years, and this portion would say they have to
22 pass the exam within two to five years, because
23 Pennsylvania would not be okay with someone who
24 passed the exam last month.

25 Chair Santiago commented that every state has a

1 different cutoff score for their exam, so the Board
2 could be using that as criteria where the passing
3 score is 55 percent for that state. She mentioned
4 that NCE launched other versions of the exam and
5 expressed concern if they are going to use that as
6 criteria, where some states have 52 percent as
7 passing on the exam and does not show someone is
8 competent.

9 Ms. Miley mentioned that the Board did not have
10 comments from the most recent exposure, which
11 indicates no concern about not having the language in
12 it but noted there is still an opportunity for
13 comments once the full package is proposed.

14 Chair Santiago mentioned that the topic she
15 wanted to bring up may fit in the Act 41 Annex.

16 Ms. Miley explained that it is something else and
17 would go to overall qualifications more so than
18 competency.

19 Ms. Martin commented that requiring the applicant
20 to have passed the exam two years before their
21 application would be a restriction on the Board from
22 being able to grant an Act 41 license.

23 Ms. Miley explained that it actually would not
24 because it never takes away the Board's discretion to
25 issue what they have in the past with the provisional

1 license, noting that any regulation that would do
2 that would not be implemented.

3 Ms. Miley referred to subsection 1, actively
4 practiced for two out of five years, noting they
5 already issue provisional licenses based upon that
6 subsection, which is in the act and gives the Board
7 the discretion to grant provisional licenses. She
8 noted there is no difference in that versus what they
9 are already doing.

10 Ms. Wolfgang mentioned that the difference is
11 that the person would get a license if the Board does
12 not have it in there. She stated someone could still
13 have a pathway to the license but would need a
14 provisional license.

15 Mr. DeCriscio commented that there is a pathway
16 for a provisional license, but the pathway for the
17 unrestricted license would not be available under
18 those conditions. He noted it is hard to say,
19 especially with the National Counselor Examination
20 (NCE), whether or not it would show competency. He
21 noted the National Clinical Mental Health Counseling
22 Examination (NCMHCE) is geared more toward
23 competency.

24 Mr. DeCriscio expressed concern with using the
25 exam and time frames as an indication for competency.

1 Ms. Miley again informed Board members that she
2 wanted to provide the option, but the Board could
3 continue the path they are on because it is moving
4 forward in the regulatory process either way.]

5

6 Pennsylvania Legislation Update

7 [Tyesha C. Miley, Esquire, Board Counsel, informed
8 Board members that Senate Bill 898 regarding the
9 Senate version of the House bill that was previously
10 introduced to present licensed professional music
11 therapists was referred to committee. She mentioned
12 that the Board is not permitted to provide a position
13 on legislative matters but could express concerns
14 about the license type being licensed or being under
15 the Board.

16 Mr. DeCriscio commented that it would expand the
17 Board from licensing three professions to four
18 professions.

19 Chair Santiago noted that the Board would be
20 adding two of their members and asked when they would
21 be joining the Board. She expressed concern with the
22 bill passing but not having the new members on the
23 Board, along with bringing additional CEs for review
24 for music therapists as well.

25 Ms. Miley noted that regulations would have to be

1 promulgated if enacted, and the Board could make
2 those determinations at that time.

3 Chair Santiago asked why professional music
4 therapists would not have their own Board instead of
5 bringing more onto their Board.

6 Ms. Miley commented that she did not know and did
7 not want to speculate but explained that the
8 legislation is sponsored by members of the respective
9 houses in the legislature and believed that they were
10 seeking to have some consistency with provisions of
11 the act, where licensed profession representatives
12 should be on the Board.

13 Ms. Martin commented that the Board licenses
14 three various groups of educationally prepared and
15 trained licensees and anyone who is going to practice
16 independently and not be required to just practice as
17 music therapists or art therapists should meet the
18 general qualifications of either a licensed clinical
19 social worker (LCSW), a licensed marriage and family
20 therapist (LMFT), or a licensed professional
21 counselor (LPC) because those are baseline
22 educational and training programs for being in a
23 helping profession. She noted being generally opposed
24 to licensing treatment modalities, including art
25 therapy and music therapy.

1 Mr. DeCriscio expressed concern with attaching
2 them to the Board, noting that it is too convoluted
3 and having their own board would allow them to add
4 different therapies as a license down the road and be
5 more consistent with what is going on around the
6 country.

7 Ms. Miley informed Board members that she would
8 provide the information if she receives a request to
9 do a bill analysis. She also mentioned that they
10 cannot take a position as a Board but are welcome to
11 make comments as constituents.

12 Chair Santiago supported Ms. Martin's and Mr.
13 DeCriscio's concerns. She also expressed concern
14 after investigating their certification board, where
15 people begin their study at both the undergraduate
16 and the graduate level. She noted that social work
17 is a master's level, counseling is a master's level,
18 and MFT is a master's level.

19 Chair Santiago stated music therapist students
20 may begin their study on the undergraduate or
21 graduate level, where people are getting competencies
22 at two different levels. She referred to Mr.
23 DeCriscio's comments, where they should have their
24 own board with folks who understand bachelor's level
25 music therapists and master's level music therapists.

1 She also referred Ms. Martin's comments, noting that
2 this is trying to make one board everything for
3 everybody instead of having their own board.

4 Ms. Miley informed Board members that House Bill
5 1564 was also submitted to committee, noting no
6 movement at this time but would bring that before the
7 Board if anything changes.]

8 ***

9 Report of Board Chairperson

10 [Michelle Santiago, Psy.D., LPC, Chair, provided a
11 report from the National Board for Certified
12 Counselors (NBCC) Regulatory Summit. She noted any
13 other items she has are for Executive Session.]

14 ***

15 Report of Acting Commissioner

16 [Tyesha C. Miley, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted
17 Acting Commissioner Claggett did not have a report.]

18 ***

19 Report of Board Administrator - No Report

20 ***

21 MS. MILEY:

22 At this time, I need to note, so there
23 will be a motion to go into Executive
24 Session, and I need to state on the
25 record, pursuant to Section 708(a)(5)

1 of the Sunshine Act, the Board will
2 enter into Executive Session with Board
3 Counsel to have attorney-client
4 consultations for the purpose of
5 conducting quasi-judicial deliberations
6 regarding today's agenda items.

7 I thank you all for your patience,
8 and I await, Chair Santiago, if someone
9 would make that motion.

10 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

11 I will make the motion that we go into
12 Executive Session.

13 Could I have a second, please?

14 MR. DECRISCIO:

15 Could I just pause for one quick
16 moment. I noticed that Johanna Byrd had
17 her hand raised. There were
18 discussions around the music and art
19 therapy matter.

20 MS. MILEY:

21 There's a motion on the floor. Because
22 it's active legislation, we'll have
23 another opportunity to address it.

24 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

25 It will be discussed in the future.

1 Could I have a second, please?

2 MR. DECRISCIO:

3 Second.

4 MS. MILEY:

5 No vote is required.

6 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

7 Let's move to Executive Session.

8 [The motion carried unanimously.]

9

10 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act,
11 at 2:39 p.m., the Board entered into Executive
12 Session with Tyesha C. Miley, Esquire, Board Counsel,
13 for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial
14 deliberations and to have attorney-client
15 consultation. The Board entered into public session
16 at 3:21 p.m.]

17

18 MS. MILEY:

19 Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the
20 Sunshine Act, the Board entered into
21 Executive Session with Board Counsel to
22 have attorney-client consultations for
23 the purpose of conducting quasi-
24 judicial deliberations. We are back in
25 public session.

1 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

2 Under item 43, which was the hearing in
3 the Matter of Binta Barry-Toe, Case No.
4 23-69-001381, the hearing is concluded.

5 We are waiting now for the transcript.

6 Under item 44, which was the
7 hearing in the Matter of Akiah
8 Robinson, Case No. 23-69-001379, I
9 believe that the Board is going to
10 direct Board Counsel to draft an A&O
11 consistent with discussions held in
12 Executive Session.

13 MS. MILEY:

14 You would entertain a motion for such,
15 Chair Santiago.

16 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

17 I entertain a motion. Could I get
18 someone to give me a first?

19 MS. EARLY:

20 So moved.

21 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

22 Could somebody give me a second from
23 whose left here?

24 MS. MOYER:

25 Second.

1 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

2 Anymore discussion, Board members?

3 Okay, great. Sarah, could we have a
4 roll call vote, please?

5

6 Santiago, aye; Behun, aye; Early, aye;

7 Martin, aye; Moyer, aye; Garcia, aye.

8 [The motion carried unanimously.]

9

10 New Business

11 [Michelle Santiago, Psy.D., LPC, Chair, noted the
12 Department of Veterans Affairs information regarding
13 proposed national standards of practice for art
14 therapists.]

15 Ms. Miley informed Board members to provide any
16 comments they have concerning the standards before
17 the upcoming deadline.]

18

19 Adjournment

20 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

21 Could I please have a motion to end
22 this meeting?

23 MS. EARLY:

24 I move that we conclude our meeting.

25 MS. MOYER:

1 Second.

2 CHAIR SANTIAGO:

3 The meeting is adjourned.

4 [The motion carried unanimously.]

5 ***

6 [There being no further business, the State Board of
7 Social Workers, Marriage and Family Therapists, and
8 Professional Counselors Meeting adjourned at
9 3:27 p.m.]

10 ***

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Social Workers, Marriage and Family Therapists and Professional Counselors meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Social Workers, Marriage and Family Therapists and Professional Counselors meeting.



Sophia Mahoney,

Minute Clerk

Sargent's Court Reporting
Service, Inc.

STATE BOARD OF SOCIAL WORKERS,
MARRIAGE AND FAMILY THERAPISTS,
AND PROFESSIONAL COUNSELORS
REFERENCE INDEX

September 19, 2023

	TIME	AGENDA
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	9:00	Executive Session
11	11:00	Return to Open Session
12		
13	11:10	Official Call to Order
14		
15	11:10	Introduction of Board Members
16		
17	11:10	Introduction of Attendees
18		
19	11:13	Appointment - Michael McDonald, Policy
20		Director, Department of State
21		
22	11:18	Formal Hearing - Binta Barry-Toe
23	12:14	
24		
25	12:16	Formal Hearing - Akiah Robinson
26	12:41	
27		
28	12:41	Approval of Minutes
29		
30	12:43	Report of Prosecutorial Division
31		
32	12:48	Report of Board Counsel
33		
34	12:56	Review of Applications
35		
36	1:18	Miscellaneous
37		
38	1:25	New Business
39		
40	1:30	Report of Regulatory Counsel
41		
42	2:27	Pennsylvania Legislative Update
43		
44	2:37	Report of Board Chair
45		
46	2:39	Executive Session
47	3:21	Return to Open Session
48		
49	3:21	Motions
50		

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

STATE BOARD OF SOCIAL WORKERS,
MARRIAGE AND FAMILY THERAPISTS,
AND PROFESSIONAL COUNSELORS
REFERENCE INDEX
(cont.)
September 19, 2023

TIME	AGENDA
3:24	New Business
3:27	Adjournment