State Board of Social Workers, Marriage and Family Therapists, and Professional Counselors August 2, 2021

BOARD MEMBERS:

 Joy E. Corby, Ph.D., LMFT, Chair
K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of
Professional and Occupational Affairs
Michelle Santiago, Psy.D., LPC, Vice Chair
Jeanne Elberfeld, M.D., LSW
Erika Evans, Ph.D., LMFT - Absent
Christian Jordal, Ph.D., LMFT
Amy K. Keisling, LCSW
Kurt L. Kraus, Ed.D., NCC, ACS, LPC
Linda A. Martin, LCSW, RN - Absent
Marilyn L. Painter, Public Member

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Jaime D. Black, Esquire, Board/Regulatory Counsel Dean F. Picarella, Esquire, Senior Board Counsel Nathan C. Giunta, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison Adam Williams, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Sandra E. Matter, Board Administrator

ALSO PRESENT:

Annette Deigh, MSW, LCSW, President, Pennsylvania
Society for Clinical Social Work

Cara Sanner, Regulatory Support Services Coordinator,
Association of Social Work Boards

Carla Krash, LSW, Council for Relationships

Jen Smeltz, Republican Executive Director, Senate
Consumer Protection & Professional Licensure
Committee

Johanna Byrd, ACSW, IOM, CAE, Executive Director,
National Association of Social Workers, Pennsylvania
Chapter

Lucy Roth, LCSW, Walnut Psychotherapy Center

Nicole Sidle, Republican Executive Director, House
Professional Licensure Committee

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

Stephanie Vituccio, LCSW, Walnut Psychotherapy Center

1

State Board of Social Workers,Marriage and Family Therapists

4 and Professional Counselors

August 2, 2021

7 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 9:30 a.m., the Board entered into Executive Session 9 with Jaime D. Black, Esquire, Board Counsel, for the 10 purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations on 11 a number of matters before the Board today and to 12 receive advice of counsel. The Board entered into 13 public session at 11:31 a.m.]

14

The regularly scheduled meeting of the State
Board of Social Workers, Marriage and Family
Therapists, and Professional Counselors was held on
Monday, August 2, 2021. Joy E. Corby, Ph.D., LMFT,
Chair, called the meeting to order at 11:31 a.m.

20 ***

21 | Meeting Instructions

22 [Joy E. Corby, Ph.D., LMFT, Chair, provided

23 instructions to be followed during the virtual

24 meeting.

5

6

15

16

17

18

19

25 Chair Corby noted the meeting was being recorded,

```
and those who continue to participate were giving
1
2
   their consent to being recorded.]
3
   Introduction of Board Members and Members of the
4
5
   Public.
   [Chair Corby requested Board members introduce
   themselves.
        Ms. Matter provided an introduction of members of
   the public.]
10
11
   Approval of minutes of the June 15, 2021 meeting
12
   CHAIR CORBY:
13
                  We will approve the draft minutes from
                  the June 15 Board meeting. I believe
14
15
                  that Board members sent the Board
16
                  administrator corrections, so I will ask
17
                  for a motion to approve the Board
                  minutes with the corrections?
18
19
   DR. ELBERFELD:
20
                  So moved.
21
   DR. JORDAL:
22
                  Second.
2.3
   CHAIR CORBY:
2.4
                  All those in favor, raise your hand.
25
                  Opposed? Abstentions?
```

5 [The motion carried unanimously.] 1 2 3 Report of Board Prosecutorial Division 4 [Nathan C. Giunta, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison, 5 presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 18-69-000061 and Case No. 21-69-003817.] 6 * * * [Adam Williams, Esquire, Board Prosecutor, presented the VRP Consent Agreement for Case No. 21-69-007054.] * * * 10 11 Report of Board Counsel 12 MS. BLACK: 13 Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the 14 Sunshine Act, the Board entered into 15 Executive Session before today's meeting 16 for the purpose of conducting quasi-17 judicial deliberations and to discuss 18 the matters that are currently on 19 today's agenda, specifically items 2 2.0 through 4 and 10 through 25. 2.1 Regarding item 2, I believe the 2.2 Board would entertain a motion to accept 2.3 the Consent Agreement at Case No. 18-69-2.4 000061.

MS. PAINTER:

```
6
                  So moved.
1
2
   DR. SANTIAGO:
3
                  Second.
   CHAIR CORBY:
4
5
                  All those in favor, raise your hand.
6
                  Opposed? Abstentions?
   [The motion carried unanimously. Case No. 18-69-000061
   is Timothy Robert Test, Sr., LPC]
                               * * *
10
   MS. BLACK:
11
                  Regarding item 3, I believe the Board
12
                  would entertain a motion to reject as
                  too lenient Case No. 21-69-003817.
13
14
   DR. SANTIAGO:
15
                  Motion.
   DR. JORDAL:
16
17
                  Second.
   CHAIR CORBY:
18
19
                  All those in favor, raise your hand.
20
                  Those opposed? Abstentions? Recused?
   [The motion carried. Dr. Kraus and Ms. Painter
21
22
   opposed the motion.]
23
2.4
   MS. BLACK:
25
                  Would the Board entertain a motion to
```

```
accept the VRP agreement at item 4 at
1
2
                  Case No. 21-69-007054?
3
   DR. JORDAL:
 4
                  So moved.
5
   MS. KEISLING:
6
                  Second.
7
   CHAIR CORBY:
                  All those in favor, raise your hand.
9
                  Opposed? Abstentions?
10
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
11
12
   Review of Applications
13
   MS. BLACK:
                  Item 10. I believe the Board would
14
15
                  entertain a motion to provisionally deny
16
                  the Application of Marriage and Family
                  Therapist by Examination of Emma Carter.
17
   DR. SANTIAGO:
18
19
                  Motion.
20
   DR. KRAUS:
21
                  Second.
   CHAIR CORBY:
22
2.3
                  All those in favor, raise your hand.
24
                  Opposed? Abstentions?
25
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
```

8 * * * 1 2 MS. BLACK: 3 I believe the Board would entertain a motion to provisionally deny the 4 5 Application for Clinical Social Worker 6 by Examination at item 11 for Michele 7 Cigna. DR. JORDAL: 9 Motion. 10 MS. KEISLING: 11 Second. CHAIR CORBY: 12 13 All those in favor, raise your hand. 14 Opposed? Abstentions? [The motion carried unanimously.] 15 16 17 MS. BLACK: 18 I believe the Board would entertain a 19 motion to provisionally deny the 20 Application at item 12 for Professional 21 Counselor by Examination of Nicole 22 Carrita. 2.3 DR. SANTIAGO: 24 Motion. 25 DR. JORDAL:

9 Second. 1 2 CHAIR CORBY: 3 All those in favor, raise your hand. 4 Opposed? Abstentions? 5 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 6 7 MS. BLACK: For item 13, the Application for Danial 9 Haverstock, the Board administrator will 10 be sending a letter to this applicant based on discussions held in Executive 11 12 Session. * * * 13 MS. BLACK: 14 15 For item 14, I believe the Board would 16 entertain a motion to approve the 17 education for the Application for Professional Counselor by Examination 18 19 for Sven Hof. 20 DR. JORDAL: 21 So moved. 22 DR. KRAUS: 23 Second. 2.4 CHAIR CORBY: 25 All those in favor, raise your hand.

10 Opposed? Abstentions? 1 2 [The motion carried unanimously.] 3 MS. BLACK: 4 5 At item 15, I believe the Board would 6 entertain a motion to provisionally deny Application of Professional Counselor by Exam - Request to Review Application 8 9 Under Act 41 for Alisa Sheinin. 10 DR. JORDAL: 11 So moved. 12 DR. SANTIAGO: Second. 13 CHAIR CORBY: 14 15 All those in favor, raise your hand. 16 Opposed? Abstentions? [The motion carried unanimously.] 17 * * * 18 19 MS. BLACK: 20 I believe the Board would entertain a 2.1 motion to approve a provisional license 22 under Act 41 as a Professional Counselor 2.3 for items 17, 18, and 19 on the agenda, 2.4 which are Matthew Scarduzio, Brendan 25 O'Connor, and Chelsey Bayles.

```
11
1
   DR. SANTIAGO:
2
                  Motion.
3
   DR. JORDAL:
4
                  Second.
5
   CHAIR CORBY:
                  All those in favor, raise your hand.
6
7
                  Opposed? Abstentions?
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
9
10
   MS. BLACK:
11
                  I believe the Board would entertain a
12
                  motion to approve as a provisional
                  license under Act 41 for a Professional
13
14
                  Counselor for item 16, Kathleen Steele.
15
   CHAIR CORBY:
                  Do I have a motion?
16
17
   DR. KRAUS:
18
                  So moved.
19
   DR. JORDAL:
20
                  Second.
21
   CHAIR CORBY:
22
                  All those in favor? Opposed?
23
                  Abstentions?
24
   [The motion carried. Dr. Jordal, Dr. Kraus, and Dr.
25
   Santiago opposed the motion.]
```

```
12
                               * * *
1
2
   MS. BLACK:
3
                   I believe the Board would entertain a
 4
                  motion to approve as a provisional
5
                  license under Act 41 for a Professional
6
                  Counselor for Miao Tian.
7
   DR. JORDAL:
                  So moved.
9
   MS. PAINTER:
10
                  Second.
   CHAIR CORBY:
11
12
                  All those in favor, raise your hand.
13
                  All those opposed? Abstentions?
14
   [The motion carried. Dr. Jordal, Dr. Elberfeld, and
15
   Dr. Santiago opposed the motion.]
                               * * *
16
17
   MS. BLACK:
18
                  Would the Board entertain a motion to
19
                  approve a provisional license under Act
20
                  41 for Clinical Social Worker for Robert
21
                  Hills?
22
   DR. JORDAL:
23
                  So moved.
2.4
   CHAIR CORBY:
25
                  Do I hear a second?
```

13 1 MS. PAINTER: 2 Second. 3 CHAIR CORBY: All those in favor, raise your hand. 4 5 Opposed? Abstentions? [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * MS. BLACK: Would the Board entertain a motion to 10 approve a provisional license under Act 11 41 for Clinical Social Worker for Holly 12 Krymis at item 22? DR. JORDAL: 13 So moved. 14 15 MS. KEISLING: Second. 16 17 CHAIR CORBY: All those in favor, raise your hand. 18 19 Those opposed? Abstentions? 20 [The motion carried. Dr. Santiago abstained from voting on the motion.] 21 * * * 22 2.3 MS. BLACK: At item 23, would the Board entertain a 24 25 motion to approve a provisional license

```
14
                  under Act 41 as Clinical Social Worker
1
2
                  for Nestor Acevedo?
3
   DR. JORDAL:
4
                  So moved.
5
   DR. KRAUS:
6
                  Second.
7
   CHAIR CORBY:
                  All those in favor, raise your hand.
9
                  Opposed? Abstentions?
10
   [The motion carried. Dr. Santiago abstained from
11
   voting on the motion.]
                               * * *
12
   MS. BLACK:
13
14
                  I believe the Board would entertain a
15
                  motion to approve a provisional Act 41
16
                  license for Social Work for item 24,
17
                  Deena Seidler.
   DR. JORDAL:
18
19
                  So moved.
20
   MS. PAINTER:
21
                  Second.
22
   CHAIR CORBY:
23
                  All those in favor, raise your hand.
24
                  Opposed? Abstentions?
25
   [The motion carried. Dr. Elberfeld and Dr. Santiago
```

15 opposed the motion.] 1 * * * 2 3 MS. BLACK: 4 At item 25, I believe the Board would 5 entertain a motion to approve a 6 provisional license under Act 41 for Social Work for MarLynn Terrell-8 Gallman. 9 DR. JORDAL: 10 So moved. 11 MS. KEISLING: 12 Second. 13 CHAIR CORBY: 14 All those in favor, raise your hand. 15 Those opposed? Abstentions? 16 [The motion carried. Dr. Elberfeld and Dr. Santiago 17 opposed the motion.] * * * 18 19 Report of Board Counsel - Miscellaneous 20 [Jaime D. Black, Esquire, Board Counsel, stated the 21 Board received numerous inquiries regarding whether 22 supervisory responsibilities would no longer be 23 permitted to occur electronically once the waiver 24 suspending certain regulatory requirements during the 25 COVID-19 emergency expire on September 30. She noted

- 1 the policy statements were intended to clarify the
 2 Board's current interpretation of the phrase "in
- 3 person" and the Board's current interpretation of
 4 "direct."

physically present in the same room.

2.2

- Ms. Black referred to §§ 47.12c, 48.13(b), and
 49.13(b) of the regulations and read the proposed
 interpretation, where supervision hours accumulated in
 person are not limited to those acquired while being
 - She noted it would include that technological advances have enabled individuals to communicate in real time regardless of their geographic proximity to one another; therefore, the Board considers in-person supervision to be met if a Health Insurance Portability Accountability Act (HIPAA)-compliant electronic platform is used that allows for synchronous audio and video communication between the supervisor and supervisee.
 - Ms. Black noted this would not include supervision by television, email, instant messaging, online chat, or other non-secure electronic communications that do not include real-time or synchronous video and audio communications. She noted the setting in which the supervisor and supervisee are located when using electronic supervision must be

- 1 professional and secure. She stated electronic
- 2 | supervision may not be conducted from public
- 3 locations, such as coffee shops, libraries, or other
- 4 locations where inadvertent disclosures of
- 5 | confidential information could occur.
- 6 Dr. Jordal questioned whether additional
- 7 disclosure around communication occurring between
- 8 | supervisor and supervisee in anticipation of
- 9 supervision that may occur via email or text should
- 10 also be included.
- 11 Ms. Black explained that the Board has to be
- 12 careful that the policy statements do not turn into
- 13 the drafting of unpromulgated regulations, which is
- 14 | not permitted, because they are just interpreting the
- 15 current language of that portion of "in person" of the
- 16 current regulation. She commented that those concerns
- 17 | may be covered in other portions of the regulations
- 18 and could be explored to see how it could be added if
- 19 it is not addressed somewhere else.
- 20 Ms. Black recommended the Board provide suggested
- 21 language for her review with the final product being
- 22 brought back to the Board at the September meeting for
- 23 a vote and approval.
- 24 Chair Corby stated the Board wants to continue to
- 25 provide virtual supervision past the waivers and

1 explaining the definition of "in person" would allow 2 that.

2.4

Ms. Black addressed the second policy statement regarding the clarification or interpretation of "direct" in § 48.2, which very much mirrors the policy statement for "in person" to have the same consistency between the two and read the proposed interpretation for the purposes of § 48.2(6).

Dr. Kraus suggested changing supervisee to counselor/therapist because it pertains to direct service and not the supervisory process. He noted it is regarding direct client contact, so the language should reflect therapist and patient.

Chair Corby commented that it does have to do with educational requirements of the supervised client contact, so that language needs to be reflective of that.

Ms. Black noted she would apply the revisions and do a final review and provide a proposed final at the September meeting for the Board to vote on and adopt.

Chair Corby commented that the definition of "direct" helps people understand that practicums and internships could take place virtually.

Ms. Black addressed Act 65 of 2021 regarding strengthening the Sunshine Act to require agencies

make meeting agendas available to the public and prohibits official action or any item not listed on the notice. She informed the Board that Act 65 of 2021 goes into effect August 29, 2021, requiring agendas be posted 24 hours prior to the start of the meeting with no changes to the agenda once it has been posted within that 24 hours, aside from de minimis changes.

Ms. Black stated the Board could amend the agenda at a meeting if a new topic is raised but the Board would have to make a motion to amend the agenda, a vote would have to take place, and then the revised agenda would have to be posted within 24 hours of the Board meeting.

2.3

Dr. Santiago mentioned that the American
Association of State Counseling Boards announce their
date fairly early but do not give out their agenda
until two weeks before and questioned whether an
addendum would be necessary.

Ms. Black suggested placing the date of the conference on the agenda for discussion and a vote and then placing any other information received at a later time concerning the conference on the next agenda.

Commissioner Johnson stated, as long the initial request to send Board members was sunshined, he agreed

with approving administrative, and logistic details would also have to be sunshined at the next available meeting. But the Department should not be precluded from identifying the members that can travel or tentatively approving the participation at the earliest available point. He noted being dependent on organizations to providing registration details.

Ms. Black explained that the point of the legislation is to be transparent to the public. She recommended the Board place any information they receive on the agenda for the next Board meeting and then provide any other information or details at the following meeting.

Chair Corby questioned whether Board members are now required to present agendas of events in addition to requesting permission to attend.

Commissioner Johnson commented that the submission of agendas to the Board administrators are publically available documents and have always been available upon request, and he does not think Act 65 now makes it a requirement for approval. He noted the department retains agendas submitted by the Board as part of their retention policy, and the agenda will be available to the public. He stated the Board can keep business as usual.

Ms. Black informed the Board that the only change affecting the Board is it has to be on the agenda before the Board can get approval to vote. She noted the agenda could be amended, but the Board cannot just bring up and vote on a new issue not on the published agenda without first making a motion to amend the agenda to add the new topic.

1.3

2.1

2.2

Ms. Black addressed House Bill 1176, which ensures health care providers are providing inclusive care and would require all health-related boards in the department to complete implicit bias training as part of each professions continuing education requirement.

Ms. Black stated each Board would be tasked with promulgating regulations regarding competencies that each Board must achieve through the curriculum, delivery of training specified, and the minimum number of credit hours necessary for training.

Ms. Black mentioned that she would require the Board's input on a bill analysis if the bill gains traction.

Ms. Black addressed Senate Bill 705 regarding telemedicine, noting it would authorize the regulation of telemedicine by professional licensing boards and provide for insurance coverage of telemedicine if it

She stated the bill defines telemedicine and 1 passes. 2 offers quidelines outlining who can provide 3 telemedicine services and provides clarity around 4 insurance company reimbursement. She stated, although 5 the legislation requires payment for telemedicine 6 services, those payments would be established between the provider and the insurer. She provided the definitions of health care provider and health care 9 services.

Ms. Black commented that each Board would be required to promulgate telehealth regulations if the bill passes and would be brought back to the Board for further discussion if it moves forward.

Dr. Jordal mentioned the importance of considering an interpretation where the definition would consider students who are unlicensed and/or degreed individuals who are seeking licensure but there is no associate-level licensure for them to matriculate through the process when reviewing the health care provider definition.]

21 **

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

22 Report of Board Chair - No Report

23

24 Report from Other Board Members - No Report

*

Report of Commissioner

- 2 | [K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of
- 3 Professional and Occupational Affairs, addressed
- 4 COVID-19 waivers, noting the next Board meeting on
- 5 | September 7, 2021, would still likely be virtual, but
- 6 waivers put in place due to the emergency declaration
- 7 | will be officially over October 1, 2021, and physical
- 8 meetings will resume.
- 9 Commissioner Johnson also addressed physical
- 10 travel requests, noting physical travel is accepted up
- 11 to the pre-COVID limit of two members with the rest of
- 12 the Board having the option of attending virtually.
- 13 He encouraged everyone to submit travel requests as
- 14 soon as possible so any concerns can be addressed at
- 15 the higher administrative level.
- 16 Chair Corby noted prior discussion regarding
- 17 increased public attendance and reduced costs and
- 18 asked Commissioner Johnson what the likelihood is of
- 19 some of the meetings taking place virtually.
- 20 Commissioner Johnson commented that it would
- 21 depend on the language in the respective practice act.
- 22 He stated the general assembly is actively working on
- 23 examining all of the COVID flexibilities and is
- 24 developing a workable strategy for enabling all of the
- 25 benefits of virtual platforms.

Commissioner Johnson mentioned the importance of maintaining the benefits of virtual meetings but also the need for procedural changes and is hoping to have more information at the September 7 meeting.

2.2

2.3

Dr. Elberfeld noted the regulations state that the Board shall meet at least four times per year in Harrisburg and suggested half of the meetings be in person and half virtually to still be compliant.

Commissioner Johnson commented that the original intent was probably to spread those meetings out across the commonwealth, but meetings have changed with the advent of technology. He thinks the Board would ultimately be making the decision regarding scheduling meetings.

Ms. Black referred to Section 5 of the practice act, where individuals have to be physically present in order to vote and this is where the language may need to be addressed. She noted the regulations state that at least four meetings have to occur in Harrisburg, and the language of the act requires individuals to be physically present for a quorum to be counted.

Commissioner Johnson commented that it would require a legislative change and is something being worked on with the general assembly to identify those

factors.] 1 2 Report of Board Administrator - No Report 3 * * * 4 For Your Information 5 6 [Joy E. Corby, Ph.D., LMFT, Chair, referred to the Counseling Compact Legislative Summit invitation. Dr. Kraus encouraged the Board to review the Council of State Governments (CSG) National Center for 10 Interstate Compacts (NCIC) document for possible 11 discussion at the September meeting. He noted it would require legislative action but mentioned there 12 13 is some merit in promoting interstate parity for 14 licensed professional counselors and would be in 15 support of such an action. 16 Johanna Byrd, ACSW, IOM, CAE, Executive Director, 17 National Association of Social Workers, mentioned that 18 the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB), National 19 Association of Social Workers (NASW), and others in 20 connection with the Department of Defense are also 21 beginning the process of looking at an interstate 22 compact relating to social work. She noted it is an

Commissioner Johnson commented that the Board

before there is even draft language to review.

FYI at this point because it would be at least a year

2.3

2.4

should have a fair and active voice as that consortium begins to take shape and form. He noted language around other compacts has caused difficulty and mentioned additional administrative and legislative burdens that the commonwealth has to remedy even though they are technically part of those compacts.

2.3

2.4

Commissioner Johnson stated there is still an inordinate amount of technological development and policy and legislative work. He mentioned that the technology with some of the organizations is not fair, along with noting different types of licensing systems.

Ms. Keisling noted it makes sense to place it on the agenda for the next meeting to at least discuss where things stand, and Dr. Kraus suggested putting it on the September agenda after receiving support from other Board members.

Commissioner Johnson read a question from Annette Deigh, MSW, LCSW, President, Pennsylvania Society for Clinical Social Work, requesting information on the plan for clinical supervision and the need for online going forward.

Chair Corby commented that the Board addressed that with the definition but would provide further information should Ms. Deigh require more explanation.

1 Ms. Black offered her email address to those who 2 wished to contact her directly at jaiblack@pa.gov.

Ms. Deigh read a statement for clarification purposes, where supervisees working toward their clinical licenses can still meet virtually as long as it is a HIPAA-compliant synchronous platform being utilized and this is to be voted on at the September meeting.

Commissioner Johnson presented a comment from Stephanie Vituccio, LCSW, Walnut Psychotherapy Center, noting she is advocating a policy shift for telehealth hours to be included toward licensure hours for LSWs.

Chair Corby noted that has been addressed in the definitions discussed earlier and would be voted on at the next meeting but offered to answer further questions should Ms. Vituccio require more

18

19 Adjournment

information.1

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20 CHAIR CORBY:

I will entertain a motion for

22 adjournment.

23 DR. JORDAL:

24 So moved.

25 MS. PAINTER:

```
28
                  Second.
1
2
   CHAIR CORBY:
                  All in favor, say aye.
3
4
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                              * * *
5
   [There being no further business, the State Board of
6
   Social Workers, Marriage and Family Therapists, and
   Professional Counselors Meeting adjourned at
   12:40 p.m.]
10
11
12
                          CERTIFICATE
13
14
        I hereby certify that the foregoing summary
15
   minutes of the State Board of Social Workers, Marriage
16
   and Family Therapists and Professional Counselors
17
   meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my
18
   supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize
19
   the substance of the State Board of Social Workers,
20
   Marriage and Family Therapists and Professional
21
   Counselors meeting.
22
                               Evan Bingaman,
2.3
                               Minute Clerk
2.4
                               Sargent's Court Reporting
25
                                  Service, Inc.
```

STATE	BOARD	ΟF	SOCI	AL	WORKE	RS,
MARRIA	GE ANI	FA:	MILY	TH:	ERAPIS	STS,
AND	PROFES	SSIO	NAL (COU	NSELOE	RS
	REFE	REN	CE II	1DE	X	

August 2, 2021

1 2 3 4	STATE BOARD OF SOCIAL WORKERS, MARRIAGE AND FAMILY THERAPISTS, AND PROFESSIONAL COUNSELORS REFERENCE INDEX					
5 6 7		August 2, 2021				
8 9 10	TIME	AGENDA				
11 12 13	9:30 11:31	Executive Session Return to Open Session				
14 15	11:31	Official Call to Order				
16 17	11:31	Introduction of Board/Public Members				
18 19	11:36	Approval of Minutes				
20 21	11:37	Report of Prosecutorial Division				
22 23	11:48	Report of Board Counsel				
24 25	11:51	Review of Applications				
26 27 28	11:59	Report of Board Counsel (Continued)				
29 30	12:18	Report of Commissioner				
31 32	12:26	For Your Information				
33	12:34	Public Session				
34 35 36 37 38 39	12:40	Adjournment				