State Board of Psychology August 9, 2021

BOARD MEMBERS:

 Richard F. Small, Ph.D., Chairman K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of

Professional and Occupational Affairs Catherine S. Spayd, Ph.D., Vice Chair Katherine Bradley, Ph.D., Secretary

Vito J. DonGiovanni, Psy.D. Steven K. Erickson, Ph.D.

LaJewel Harrison, MBA, Public Member

Dean C. Maynard, Ed.D., Public Member - Absent Donald McAleer, Psy.D.

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Jaime D. Black, Esquire, Board Counsel Nathan C. Giunta, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison Chris Stuckey, Board Administrator Arion Claggett, Deputy Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

ALSO PRESENT:

Allan M. Tepper, J.D., Psy.D., Esquire Dianne L. Chambless, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Psychology, University of Pennsylvania Thomas Olino, Ph.D., Associate Professor, Department of Psychology, Temple University Michael F. Poque-Geile, Ph.D., Professor and Clinical Program Chair, Department of Psychology, University of Pittsburgh

3 * * * 1 2 State Board of Psychology 3 August 9, 2021 * * * 4 5 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 6 9:00 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session with Jaime D. Black, Esquire, Board Counsel, to have attorney-client consultation and for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberation regarding the 10 items on the agenda. The Board began open session at 11 10:30 a.m.] * * * 12 13 Meeting Instructions 14 [Chris Stuckey, Board Administrator, provided 15 instructions to be followed during the virtual 16 meeting.] * * * 17 18 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State Board of Psychology was held on Monday, August 9, 19 20 2021. Richard F. Small, Ph.D., Chairman, called the meeting to order at 10:39 a.m. 21 * * * 22 2.3 Introduction of Board Members/Counsel 24 [Chairman Small requested Board members and counsel 25 introduce themselves.]

* * * 1 2 [Chris Stuckey, Board Administrator, noted the meeting 3 was being recorded, and those who continued to participate were giving their consent to be recorded.] * * * 5 6 Approval of minutes of the June 7, 2021 meeting CHAIRMAN SMALL: The next order of business is the 9 minutes. Does anybody have any 10 suggestions, changes, et cetera? 11 Anybody want to make a motion to 12 approve? 13 DR. DONGIOVANNI: 14 I'll move to approve. 15 DR. MCALEER: 16 Second. 17 CHAIRMAN SMALL: 18 All in favor? Opposed? 19 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 20 Report of Board Prosecution 21 22 [Nathan C. Giunta, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison, 23 presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 18-63-2.4 004227.1 25 Allan M. Tepper, J.D., Psy.D., Esquire, counsel

```
for the respondent, was present and participated in
1
2
   the discussion. 1
3
4
   [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at
5
   10:55 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session
6
   with Jaime D. Black, Esquire, Board Counsel, for the
   purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations and
   to receive advice regarding item 3 on the agenda. The
   Board returned to open session at 11:04 a.m.]
10
11
   Appointment - Psychological Clinical Science
12
     Accreditation System
   [Dianne Chambless, Ph.D., Professor and Director of
13
14
   Clinical Training, Department of Psychology,
15
   University of Pennsylvania, stated there are six
   accredited PhD doctoral programs in clinical
16
17
   psychology in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and
18
   they represent four of those that are accredited by
19
   the Psychological Clinical Science Accreditation
20
   System.
21
        Dr. Chambless presented to the Board requesting
22
   an amendment to its regulations for educational
23
   qualifications to include the Psychological Clinical
2.4
   Science Accreditation System (PCSAS) as a coequal
25
   accrediting organization to the American Psychological
```

1 Association (APA) and Canadian Psychological 2 Association (CPA) for the purposes of licensure.

3 | noted language changes to Pa Code § 41.31 on

2.3

4 educational qualifications in § 41.31(1) and §

5 41.31(3) to read accreditation by APA, PCSAS, or CPA.

Dr. Chambless stated almost all PCSAS programs are also accredited by APA and it is onerous for their universities and faculties. She noted that their programs in Pennsylvania and other PCSAS programs across the country and Canada would like the option of being solely accredited by PCSAS. She mentioned that Berkeley and Stony Brook, which are PCSAS programs, have already announced their intention to give up APA accreditation at the end of their current period.

Dr. Chambless stated PCSAS has been accrediting programs since 2009 and is self-accredited by the Council for Higher Education Accreditation (CHEA), which is the same organization that accredits the APA. She mentioned here are 44 PCSAS programs in the United States and Canada, and a great majority of top-ranked PhD programs in clinical psychology in the United States are PCSAS programs.

Dr. Chambless noted being on the Review Committee of PCSAS since its inception, where their reviewers and site visitors take the evaluation of clinical

training very seriously by examining programs

carefully and reviewing letters of evaluation from

internship directors to make sure student performance

has been positive.

Dr. Chambless addressed pass rates, noting students are well-trained and prepared for ultimate licensure once they have accrued the necessary supervised hours. She stated PCSAS has been granted coequal status with APA for Association of Postdoctoral and Psychology Internship Centers (APPIC) internships, VA training and VA employment, Health Resources & Services Administration programs at Health & Human Services (HHS), and by the licensing boards of eight other states.

Dr. Chambless stated their APPIC internship match rate is between 90 and 98 percent and a pass rate of 97 percent on the Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards (ASPPB) licensing exam with 98 percent of their students are going to accredited internships.

Dr. Erickson questioned whether the process of accreditation through the two bodies are essentially equivalent.

Dr. Chambless addressed how the two are comparable and differences, where PCSAS requires a lot

of information about outcomes of the program of graduates for the last 10 years.

2.0

Dr. Chambless addressed the reason for starting the program, noting there was struggle between programs that wanted to focus more on clinical science and those that did not. She mentioned APA accreditation has to span three different training models, and PCSAS wanted the freedom to evaluate programs according to whether they were doing a good job training clinical scientists.

Dr. Chambless noted wanting students to be able to move into more advanced courses right away instead of taking general courses because they know that general stuff already, so APA moved in the direction of requiring one course that integrates across areas of psychology.

Dr. Chambless mentioned PCSAS would like students to be able to take more clinical application training courses from the beginning, but there is not room in the schedule because of meeting APA requirements and no one can afford to add more courses to the schedule.

Michael F. Pogue-Geile, Ph.D., Professor and Clinical Program Chair, Department of Psychology, University of Pittsburgh, addressed areas of difference between PCSAS and APA accreditation.

stated they basically all require the same areas to be covered in applied areas of training, but APA is very rigid and detailed and inflexible in their requirements.

Dr. Pogue-Geile stated PCSAS focuses more on the outcomes and the importance of the very rigorous review given by reviewers, such as Dr. Chambless and other esteemed colleagues, so it allows for the programs to innovate and add and change classes, where APA is much more rigid on that. He mentioned it all hinges on the extremely careful and rigorous review given by the Review Committee and the focus on outcomes of students being able to pass the licensing exam, get their internships, and do well.

Thomas Olino, Ph.D., Associate Professor,

Department of Psychology, Temple University, stated that integration across areas of psychology between clinical, developmental, and social with having more comprehensive courses that are integrating that information formally rather than having artificial distinctions between the coursework leads to better learning and training opportunities for our students.

Dr. DonGiovanni questioned whether there has been any impetus or connection with ASPPB and any opinion from them on the programs.

1 Dr. Chambless noted ASPPB has not agreed to meet 2 with them yet.

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

- Dr. Spayd questioned what Dr. Chambless projects will happen with the institution to maintain an affiliation with APA status versus PCSAS and how that bifurcation is going to play out.
- Dr. Chambless explained that it is hard to predict but PCSAS will continue to focus on excellence, evidence-based clinical training, excellent science training, and that students have a broad knowledge of a discipline. She noted expecting to accredit around 60 programs and requiring programs provide individualized training for student 14 development.
 - Dr. Chambless stated there are excellent scientist-practitioner programs that could from their outcomes call themselves clinical science programs, but it is important to their identity that they want to be scientist-practitioner programs and will continue to be a mix.
 - Dr. Bradley questioned whether PCSAS accredits any PsyD programs and if APA is more rigorous.
- Dr. Chambless stated PCSAS only accredits 24 clinical science PhD programs. She explained that APA 25 is rigorous about whether particular courses have been

offered and PCSAS is rigorous in expanding the content of every syllabus, training, examining whether practicum supervisors are training in evidence-based practice, and whether students are getting training in research from day one.

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Dr. Chambless commented that PCSAS focuses on a particular kind of program and accredits a relatively small number of organizations, enabling them to be extremely detailed in their review of the quality of the training of the program.

Dr. Chambless noted also focusing rigorously on the outcomes of the students of the program regarding what they are doing with their degrees and whether it meet their criteria for a clinical scientist. She mentioned PCSAS requires 50 percent of program graduates be operating as clinical scientists before they accredit a program.

Dr. Pogue-Geile commented that PCSAS is much more rigorous, especially with the focus of outcomes and the careful review from the Review Committee. He stated APA is more focused on detailed precise hours and less focused on the end result, which is much harder to accomplish.

Dr. McAleer requested more information regarding how many states recognize PCSAS and whether PCSAS

1 considered licensure mobility for those licensed in a
2 PCSAS state but move to a non-PCSAS state and the
3 impact on future employability.

Dr. Chambless noted eight states recognize PCSAS.

Dr. Olino commented that part of PCSAS

presentation to the Board is about trying to increase

mobility and to be able to recruit clinical scientists

to Pennsylvania. He mentioned some mobility also for

students who would have employment with Veterans

Affairs, which is a federal system and fully covered

across all 50 states and territories.

Dr. Chambless commented that most PCSAS programs will not drop APA accreditation until they believe there is a critical mass of states that will license PCSAS graduates come to their own decision about what is enough, where some have decided, like Berkeley and Stony Brook, not to wait, noting those two programs produce university faculty members at a high rate.

Chairman Small thanked the universities for their presentation, noting it to be very helpful.

Ms. Stuckey noted PCSAS will be placed on the agenda for the October 18 meeting for further discussion.]

24 **

25 Report of Board Counsel

2.3

1 MS. BLACK:

5

9

10

11

12

2 Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the 3 Sunshine Act, the Board entered into 4 Executive Session prior to today's meeting, as well as during the meeting, 6 for the purpose of conducting quasijudicial deliberations and to receive

advice of counsel.

Regarding item 3, I believe the Board would entertain a motion to accept the Consent Agreement at Case No. 18-63-004227.

- DR. DONGIOVANNI: 13
- 14 So moved.
- DR. SPAYD: 15
- 16 Second.
- 17 CHAIRMAN SMALL:
- 18 All in favor? There is nobody opposed?
- 19 [The motion carried unanimously.]
- 20 MS. BLACK: The Respondent's name is Jo Ann Curcio,
- 21 Ph.D.
- * * * 22
- 23 [Jaime D. Black, Esquire, Board Counsel, addressed
- 2.4 House Bill 1690 that was introduced to the House
- 25 Health Committee on June 24, 2021, and would establish

the Emerging Health Service Psychologist Program. She stated the program would provide commitment stipends to qualified applicants to continue to practice psychology in mental health profession shortage areas and would be established within and administered by

Ms. Black stated the individual must be a resident of the Commonwealth and be a graduate of an accredited college or university holding a degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Psychology, Doctor of Psychology, Doctor of Education in Psychology, or a doctoral degree in a field related to psychology.

Ms. Black addressed the process, where an individual would apply to the Department of Health for an internship, including entering into a contract with the Department requiring the recipient to successfully complete an internship position and be employed by a psychologist in a designated mental health professional shortage area for no less than 12 months.

Ms. Black informed the Board that the legislation would be brought back for further discussion if it gains any sort of traction.]

the Department of Health.

24 MS. BLACK:

25 I believe the Board would entertain a

15 motion to direct Board counsel to draft 1 2 an Adjudication and Order in due course 3 consistent with discussions held in Executive Session regarding Case No. 21-4 63-001344, Darla Timbo, Psy.D. 5 6 DR. MCALEER: 7 So moved. DR. DONGIOVANNI: 9 Second. 10 CHAIRMAN SMALL: 11 All in favor? Opposed? 12 [The motion carried unanimously.] 13 * * * Report of Board Administrator - Applications 14 15 MS. BLACK: Regarding item 9, I believe the Board 16 17 would entertain a motion to approve the 18 Application to Practice Psychology for 19 Persons Licensed in Other States of 20 Patricia Elaine Taylor, Ph.D. 21 DR. SPAYD: 22 I'll make that motion. 2.3 DR. MCALEER: 24 Second. 25 CHAIRMAN SMALL:

```
16
1
                  All in favor? Opposed?
2
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
3
4
   MS. BLACK:
5
                  Regarding item 10, the Board is
6
                  directing the Board Administrator to
                  contact Dr. Kelly for additional
8
                   information.
9
10
   MS. BLACK:
11
                  Regarding item 11, would the Board
12
                  entertain a motion accept one of the
13
                  three Supervised Experiences of Sofia
14
                  Alvarez, Psy.D.?
15
16
   CHAIRMAN SMALL:
17
                  Do we have a motion?
   DR. DONGIOVANNI:
18
19
                  So moved.
20
   DR. BRADLEY:
21
                  Second.
22
   CHAIRMAN SMALL:
2.3
                  All in favor? Opposed?
24
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
25
```

```
17
1
   MS. BLACK:
2
                  Would the Board entertain a motion to
3
                  approve the Supervised Experience at
 4
                  item 12, Aliona Tsypes, Ph.D.?
5
   DR. MCALEER:
6
                  So moved.
7
   DR. BRADLEY:
                  Second.
9
   CHAIRMAN SMALL:
10
                  All in favor? Opposed?
11
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
12
13
   MS. BLACK:
14
                  Additionally, item 13, the Board is
15
                  directing the Board Administrator to
                  reach out to Dr. Michael for additional
16
                   information.
17
                               * * *
18
19
   MS. BLACK:
20
                  Regarding item 14, would the Board
21
                  entertain a motion to approve the
22
                  Supervised Experience of Nykole Marie
23
                  Gonzalez-Mestres, Psy.D.?
2.4
   DR. DONGIOVANNI:
25
                  So moved.
```

```
18
1
   DR. BRADLEY:
2
                  Second.
3
   CHAIRMAN SMALL:
                  All in favor? Opposed?
 4
   [The motion carried. Dr. McAleer recused himself from
5
6
   deliberations and voting on the motion.]
                               * * *
   MS. BLACK:
                  I believe the Board would entertain a
10
                  motion to approve the Supervised
11
                  Experience of item 15, Loreen Rugle,
12
                  Ph.D.
13
   DR. MCALEER:
14
                  So moved.
15
   DR. SPAYD:
16
                  Second.
17
   CHAIRMAN SMALL:
18
                  All in favor? Opposed?
19
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
20
21
   MS. BLACK:
22
                  Would the Board entertain a motion to
23
                  approve the Supervised Experience of
24
                  item 16, Jessica Dee Rhodes, Ph.D.?
25
   DR. BRADLEY:
```

19 I'll move. 1 2 DR. DONGIOVANNI: 3 Second. 4 CHAIRMAN SMALL: 5 All in favor? Opposed? 6 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * MS. BLACK: I believe the Board would entertain a 10 motion to approve the Applications of 11 items 17 through 26; Brandywine 12 Psychotherapy LLC; Generation of Change 1.3 LLC; Agate LLC; Calmfocus.com; Young 14 Leader Project LLC; The Lighthouse 15 Evaluation and Psychotherapy (LEAP) 16 Clinic; Heartwood Independent 17 Practitioners; Equilibria Mental Health 18 Services LLC; PhilaPsy PLLC; and Center 19 for Pediatric and Adult Anxiety and 20 Depression PLLC. 21 DR. BRADLEY: I'll move. 22 2.3 DR. MCALEER: 2.4 Second. 25 CHAIRMAN SMALL:

20 All in favor? Opposed? 1 2 [The motion carried unanimously.] 3 Report of Board Chairman 4 5 [Richard F. Small, Ph.D., Chairman, announced the 2021 6 Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards (ASPPB) Annual Meeting will be held virtually October 15 - 16.1CHAIRMAN SMALL: 10 I make a motion that all of us be 11 approved to attend the meeting, and 12 Chris has the description of the meeting 13 and can make that application. 14 DR. MCALEER: 15 So moved. 16 DR. DONGIOVANNI: 17 Second. 18 CHAIRMAN SMALL: 19 All in favor? Opposed? 20 [The motion carried unanimously.] 21 * * * 2.2 CHAIRMAN SMALL: 2.3 A second motion would be to appoint a 2.4 delegate because there is one delegate 25 who is a voting delegate who will be at

21 the meeting. 1 2 DR. MCALEER: 3 I'd like to make a motion that we 4 nominate Dr. Spayd to be our official 5 delegate to the ASPPB Annual Meeting. DR. BRADLEY: 6 I'll second. 7 CHAIRMAN SMALL: All in favor? Opposed? 10 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 11 12 CHAIRMAN SMALL: 13 A third motion that somebody might want 14 to make would be about making a 15 recommendation for a nomination to the board of directors. 16 DR. MCALEER: 17 I have a motion that the Board directs 18 19 Dr. Spayd to draft a letter as Vice 20 Chair nominating Dr. Small to serve on 21 the ASPPB Board. 22 DR. BRADLEY: 23 I'll second that. 2.4 CHAIRMAN SMALL: 25 All in favor? Opposed?

[The motion carried unanimously.] 1 2 [Richard F. Small, Ph.D., Chairman, requested the 3 4 Board's input of whether they would prefer all 5 meetings be in person versus virtual if COVID 6 decreases and Delta does not become a concern. Ms. Black commented that there is going to be some sort of physical presence once the waivers expire, and the Board should prepare to be in person 10 at the October meeting but the legislation or 11 interpretation of various things remains to be seen. 12 Chairman Small questioned whether there has been 13 any discussion regarding hybrid meetings with some in 14 person and some not. 15 Ms. Black noted there has been discussion, but it 16 depends on requirements of individual boards, where a physical presence requirement for the Board may 17 18 require some sort of act from the legislature to 19 interpret or change that. 2.0 Chairman Small believed the Board has a physical presence requirement because a prior attempt at some 21 22 type of hybrid call was not approved.

Ms. Stuckey confirmed the physical presence requirement for the Board in the Act.

2.3

24

25

Ms. Black noted the importance of the Board

23 expressing concerns because their position would be 1 2 helpful with any sort of change moving forward. 3 4 [K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of 5 Professional and Occupational Affairs, exited the 6 meeting at 11:45 a.m.] * * * Chairman Small commented that a lot of things are going to be changed to be more video-friendly after 10 COVID. He referred to Section 3.1(c) of the act, where a majority of the members of the board serving 11 12 in accordance with law shall constitute a quorum for 13 purposes of conducting the business of the board. 14 Except for temporary and automatic suspensions under 15 section 8(d) and (e), a member may not be counted as part of a quorum or vote on any issue unless he or she 16 17 is physically in attendance at the meeting.] * * * 18 19 Report of Board Administrator 20 [Chris Stuckey, Board Administrator, addressed her 21 participation at the Psychology Interjurisdictional 22 Compact (PSYPACT) on behalf of Pennsylvania.

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

receive and be able to practice under the E. Passport

or Interjurisdictional Practice Certificate (IPC), the

informed the Board of an issue, where in order to

2.3

2.4

25

requirement is completion of a doctoral program accredited by APA.

2.4

Ms. Stuckey addressed the PSYPACT issue, where some jurisdictions are concerned because not every state requires APA accreditation and some individuals may have been licensed and in practice for quite some time but may not have completed an APA-accredited program.

Ms. Stuckey provided a statement from the ASPPB Mobility Committee, where applicants who have been continuously licensed, active or inactive, to practice psychology at the independent level in an ASPPB member jurisdiction since January 1, 1985, based on a doctoral degree in psychology from a regionally accredited institution, are deemed to have met the educational requirements for the E. Passport and/or the Interjurisdictional Practice Certificate.

Ms. Stuckey requested the Board's input and whether the Board would be in agreement.

Dr. McAleer questioned how ASPPB arrived at 1985.

Ms. Stuckey commented that she is unaware of where 1985 came from, noting regulations for Pennsylvania changed in 2008 requiring APA accreditation. She stated Pennsylvania has some psychologists who hold a license as it currently

1 stands and would not qualify for the E. Passport or 2 the IPC.

Dr. DonGiovanni mentioned opening the possibility for non-accredited programs to come in now because they will meet this requirement.

6 Ms. Stuckey informed the Board that PSYPACT is 7 requesting feedback on August 13, 2021, by noon.

Chairman Small provided a response for Ms.

Stuckey, where the Board had concerns of it being a loophole for unqualified programs.]

11 ***

12 [K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of 13 Professional and Occupational Affairs, entered the 14 meeting at 11:55 a.m.]

15

16 Report of Commissioner

3

4

5

10

17 [K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of

18 Professional and Occupational Affairs, addressed

19 physical presence requirements, noting waivers

20 precipitated as a result of the emergency declaration

21 are slated to expire on September 30, including the

22 suspension of the physical presence requirement. He

23 stated everyone will be returning to the physical

24 meeting space at Penn Center beginning October 1,

25 provided the general assembly does not extend or

present some type of an amendment to the physical presence requirement.

2.0

2.2

Commissioner Johnson noted the Department will be providing information in the intervening weeks with regard to safety and sanitation concerns and are watching the weekly guidance from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) to make sure Board members feel comfortable returning back to physical space.

Commissioner Johnson informed the Board that suspensions on travel have been lifted, and the department is allowing for the same pre-COVID level of up to two Board members for regional and national conferences. He strongly encouraged virtual attendance because of health and safety concerns.

Commissioner Johnson addressed recent statutory changes, where all travel requests have to be formally Sunshined and voted on in the public meeting and asked members to provide as much notice as possible to account for that Sunshined formal discussion and allow for the formal approval.

Dr. DonGiovanni asked Commissioner Johnson whether he was aware of any amendments for the boards to attend in physical presence.

25 Commissioner Johnson explained that it is on the

1 table along with all of the other regulatory and

- 2 statutory suspensions. He noted the Department would
- 3 benefit at an administrative level because of
- 4 increased transparency and increased participation
- 5 | with virtual platforms. He stated the utility of
- 6 virtual meetings have been proven, but the mechanics
- 7 and procedure involved in making sure the flexibility
- 8 is permanent requires working with the general
- 9 assembly to make it happen.]
- 10 ***
- 11 | Correspondence
- 12 [Chris Stuckey, Board Administrator, noted the
- 13 correspondence item was taken off the agenda because
- 14 | the waiver request was no longer needed.]
- 15 ***
- 16 Discussion Items
- 17 | [Richard F. Small, Ph.D., Chairman, informed the Board
- 18 that Dr. Spayd would be chairing the October 18
- 19 meeting because he would not be in attendance.]
- 20 ***
- 21 Adjournment
- 22 CHAIRMAN SMALL:
- Does anybody want to make a motion to
- 24 adjourn?
- 25 DR. DONGIOVANNI:

```
28
                   So moved.
 1
 2
   DR. BRADLEY:
 3
                   Second.
 4
   CHAIRMAN SMALL:
 5
                   All in favor? Thank you very much.
                                                           The
 6
                   meeting is adjourned.
 7
    [The motion carried unanimously.]
   [There being no further business, the State Board of
10
   Psychology Meeting adjourned at 12:05 p.m.]
                                * * *
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

		30
1 2	2 REFERENCE INDEX	
3 4	4 August 9, 2021	
5 6	6 TIME AGENDA	
7 8 9	9:00 Executive Session 10:30 Return to Open Session	
10 11	1 10:39 Official Call to Order	
12 13	3 10:40 Introduction of Board N	Members/Counsel
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	5 10:42 Approval of Minutes	
	7 10:42 Report of Prosecutorial	l Division
	9 10:55 Executive Session 0 11:04 Return to Open Session	
	2 11:06 Appointment - Psycholog 3 Science Accreditation	
24 25 26	5 11:32 Report of Board Counse.	1
27 28	7 11:36 Report of Board Adminis	strator
29 30	9 11:40 Report of Board Chairma	an
31 32	1 11:49 Report of Board Adminis	strator (cont.)
33 34	3 11:55 Report of Commissioner	
35 36 37	5 12:03 Correspondence	
	7 12:04 Discussion Items	
38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49	9	