		1
1	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA	
2	DEPARTMENT OF STATE	
3	BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS	
4		
5	<u>FINAL MINUTES</u>	
6		
7	MEETING OF:	
8		
9	STATE BOARD OF OPTOMETRY	
10	VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE	
11		
12	TIME: 10:30 A.M.	
13		
14	Thursday, February 3, 2022	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Г

2 1 State Board of Optometry 2 February 3, 2022 3 4 5 BOARD MEMBERS: 6 7 Luanne K. Chubb, O.D., F.A.A.O., Chairperson 8 Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs 9 10 John A. Godfrey, O.D., Vice Chair 11 Kimberly F. Boyer, O.D., Secretary 12 Tyler Ritchie, Deputy Attorney General, Consumer 13 Protection Member 14 Perry C. Umlauf, O.D. - Absent 15 16 17 BUREAU PERSONNEL: 18 19 Carole Clarke Smith, Esquire, Senior Counsel in Charge 20 Carolyn DeLaurentis, Deputy Chief Counsel, Prosecution 21 Division 22 Ariel E. O'Malley, Esquire, Board Counsel 23 Paul J. Jarabeck, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecutor 24 Gregory Liero, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison 25 Sarah E. McNeill, Board Administrator 26 27 28 ALSO PRESENT: 29 30 Ted Mowatt, CAE, Vice President, Wanner Associates, on behalf of Pennsylvania Optometric Association 31 Jen Smeltz, Republican Executive Director, Senate 32 33 Consumer Protection & Professional Licensure 34 Committee 35 Jerry J. Livingston, Democratic Executive Director, 36 Senate Consumer Protection & Professional Licensure 37 Committee 38 Lori Behe 39 David Buono, Acting Deputy Insurance Commissioner -40 Office of Market Regulation, Pennsylvania Insurance 41 Department 42 Katie Merritt, LSW, Director of Policy and Planning, 43 Pennsylvania Insurance Department 44 Sandy Ykema, J.D., Department Counsel, Pennsylvania 45 Insurance Department 46 47 48 49 50

\* \* \* 1 2 State Board of Optometry 3 February 3, 2022 \* \* \* 4 5 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State 6 Board of Optometry was held on Thursday, February 3, 7 2022. Luanne K. Chubb, O.D., F.A.A.O., Chairperson, 8 called the meeting to order at 10:30 a.m. 9 \* \* \* 10 Roll Call 11 [Chairperson Chubb requested Ms. McNeill take a roll 12 call of Board members.] \* \* \* 13 14 Meeting Instructions 15 [Sarah E. McNeill, Board Administrator, provided 16 instructions to be followed during the virtual 17 meeting.] \* \* \* 18 19 Introduction of Audience 20 [Chairperson Chubb requested the introduction of 21 audience members.] \* \* \* 22 23 Approval of Agenda 24 CHAIRPERSON CHUBB: 25 I'd like to make a motion for approval

3

4 of the agenda for today. 1 2 Is there a motion? 3 DR. GODFREY: 4 I move to approve the agenda for today. 5 CHAIRPERSON CHUBB: 6 Is there a second? 7 DR. BOYER: 8 I second. 9 CHAIRPERSON CHUBB: 10 Any additions to the agenda at this 11 time? Everyone in favor? Opposed? 12 Abstained? Hearing none. 13 [The motion carried unanimously.] 14 \* \* \* Approval of Minutes 15 16 CHAIRPERSON CHUBB: I'd like to make a motion to approve the 17 18 minutes from the December 2, 2021, 19 meeting. 20 DR. GODFREY: 21 I move to approve the minutes of the 22 December 2, 2021, meeting. 23 CHAIRPERSON CHUBB: 24 Second? 25 DR. BOYER:

5 Second. 1 2 CHAIRPERSON CHUBB: 3 Is there any discussion? I'd like to open it up for discussion, additions, 4 5 any corrections to the meeting? [The Board discussed corrections to the minutes.] 6 7 CHAIRPERSON CHUBB: Any further discussion? All those in 8 9 favor of approving the minutes, please 10 say aye? Opposed? Abstained? Hearing 11 none. 12 [The motion carried unanimously.] 13 \* \* \* 14 Report of Board Prosecutor - No Report 15 [Paul J. Jarabeck, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecutor, 16 had no formal report to offer from the prosecutorial 17 division. 18 Mr. Jarabeck introduced the new Board prosecution 19 liaison, Gregory Liero, and provided a brief summary 20 of his professional background. 21 Chairperson Chubb welcomed Mr. Liero to the State 22 Board of Optometry.] 23 \* \* \* [Ariel E. O'Malley, Esquire, Board Counsel, reminded 24 25 everyone that the meeting was being record, and those

who continued to participate were giving their consent 1 2 to be recorded.] 3 \* \* \* 4 Report of Acting Commissioner - No Report 5 [Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of 6 Professional and Occupational Affairs, introduced 7 himself and provided a brief summary of his 8 professional background.] 9 \* \* \* Report of Board Counsel - Legislative Report 10 11 [Ariel E. O'Malley, Esquire, Board Counsel, addressed 12 Act 100 of 2021, where in addition to having meetings 13 public, it would also require virtual interaction and 14 allow the quorum to be established virtually. 15 Ms. O'Malley stated Act 100 of 2021 requires 16 Boards to draft regulations to allow for distance 17 education in regards to continuing education, which 18 the Board already has. The Act would also require 19 regulations if there is a supervision requirement to 20 obtain licensure. However, as there is no supervision 21 requirement to obtain licensure as an optometrist, 2.2 this not something the Board would need to be 23 concerned with.] 24 \* \* \* 25 Report of Board Counsel - Miscellaneous

6

[Ariel E. O'Malley, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted the 1 2 Prescription Drug Monitoring Program (PDMP) vendor 3 transition for the Board's information. Ms. O'Malley referred to Recusal Guidelines and 4 5 the Sunshine Act for the Board's review and encouraged 6 Board members to contact her if they have any 7 questions.] 8 \* \* \* 9 Report of Board Chair 10 [Luanne K. Chubb, O.D., F.A.A.O., Chairperson, 11 informed the Board of revisions for the Council on Optometric Practitioner Education (COPE) approval 12 13 categories for continuing education. She commented 14 that the list is used by the states, both nationally 15 and internationally. 16 Chairperson Chubb noted that the Association of 17 Regulatory Boards of Optometry (ARBO), in which 18 Pennsylvania is a member, streamlined the categories 19 to more appropriately reflect what states require, 20 especially regarding pharmaceuticals and therapeutics. 21 Chairperson Chubb noted the 2022 Annual 22 Association of Regulatory Boards of Optometry Meeting 23 June 12-14 in Chicago, IL. CHAIRPERSON CHUBB: 24 25 I would like to request Board approval

7

8 to travel to that meeting. 1 2 DR. BOYER: 3 I move that we approve Dr. Chubb's 4 request. DR. GODFREY: 5 6 I second that. 7 CHAIRPERSON CHUBB: 8 Any discussion? All in favor? Opposed? 9 Abstained? Hearing none. 10 [The motion carried unanimously.] \* \* \* 11 12 [Luanne K. Chubb, O.D., F.A.A.O., Chairperson, asked 13 whether any other Board members had an interest in 14 also attending the meeting.] \* \* \* 15 16 Report of Regulatory Counsel - No Report [Ariel E. O'Malley, Esquire, Board Counsel, informed 17 18 everyone that there will be a Regulatory Committee 19 Meeting following the Board meeting to discuss the Act 20 41 Regulation.] 21 \* \* \* 22 Report of Board Administrator 23 [Sarah E. McNeill, Board Administrator, requested 24 approval of the 2023 Board meeting dates for February 25 2, May 11, August 24, and December 7.]

CHAIRPERSON CHUBB: 1 I would make a motion to approve the 2 3 Board meeting dates for 2023. DR. GODFREY: 4 5 I second. 6 CHAIRPERSON CHUBB: 7 Any discussion? Hearing none. All in favor? Opposed? Abstained? Hearing 8 9 none. 10 [The motion carried unanimously.] \* \* \* 11 12 New Business [John A. Godfrey, O.D., Vice Chair, requested the 13 14 Continuing Education Committee consider accepting 15 Continuous Assessment Program (CAP) assessments from 16 the American Board of Optometry (ABO). He mentioned 17 that more and more states are accepting the CAP 18 assessments as legitimate continuing education. 19 Dr. Godfrey explained the CAP assessments, noting 20 that an optometrist needs to complete seven out of 21 nine over a three-year period to maintain Board 2.2 Certification for the American Board of Optometry. Нe 23 mentioned that there are three modules a year to 24 complete, where they would have to read articles and 25 take an examination. He commented that it is a

9

1 legitimate form of continuing education (CE) that many 2 are completing and not getting credit toward their 3 state licensure.

4 Chairperson Chubb noted that the Board accepts 5 courses given by the American Board of Optometry but was not sure how they fit under the American 6 7 Optometric Association (AOA) and would be something to look at, whether it is something approved by the AOA 8 9 and would be automatic credit and whether it is 3 10 credit hours, 2 credit hours, or 1 credit hour 11 approved for it.

Dr. Godfrey stated an individual would receive 3 credits toward Board certification and whether or not the Board feels it is worth 3 full credits is a completely different issue. He commented that it is certainly worth 1 and probably legitimately worth 2 and should be considered as a legitimate form of continuing education.

Ms. O'Malley suggested the matter be placed on the agenda for further discussion at the next Board meeting after the Continuing Education Committee does their research and the Board could then vote.] \*\*\* Appointment - Prosecution Division Annual Report Presentation

[Carolyn A. DeLaurentis, Esquire, Deputy Chief 1 2 Counsel, Prosecution Division, provided a summary of 3 the prosecution division's caseload during 2021. Ms. DeLaurentis informed the Board that 58 cases 4 were opened in 2021, which is an increase from 2020 at 5 46 for the State Board of Optometry. She noted 6 7 closing 57 cases, which was an increase from 2020 8 where 40 cases were closed. Ms. DeLaurentis reported 33 open cases for the 9 10 State Board of Optometry as of January 1, 2022. 11 Ms. DeLaurentis addressed enforcement actions, noting zero cases resulted in discipline in 2021. 12 She reported 28 warning letters in 2021, which was an 13 14 increase from 4 in 2020. She explained that warning 15 letters might be something concerning staff being 16 rude, minor recordkeeping issues, or not being able to see an individual's license because it was not 17 18 properly posted. 19 Ms. DeLaurentis addressed COVID-related cases, 20 noting that one complaint may result in more than one 21 case being opened. She reported 1,223 cases were 22 opened in the prosecution division regarding COVID 23 complaints in 2020, with 6 of those cases for the 24 State Board of Optometry. She also reported a 25 significant decrease in COVID-related complaints in

> Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

2021, mostly for business-related boards but an 1 2 increase in healthcare-related boards with 543 COVID-3 related cases and 4 of those for the Optometry Board. 4 Ms. DeLaurentis noted a record number of cases 5 6 opened last year and thanked the administrative 7 assistants for processing so many files. She reported 18,363 cases were opened in 2021, which is an increase 8 9 from 2020 at 13,394. Ms. DeLaurentis reported 15,994 cases were closed 10 in 2021 and 13,274 in 2020. She thanked the Board, 11 Board counsel, and prosecutors for their collective 12 13 effort. She reported 15,141 open cases as of January 14 1, 2022.] \* \* \* 15 16 Appointment - Pennsylvania Insurance Department - No 17 Surprises Act 18 [Katie Merritt, LSW, Director of Policy and Planning, 19 Pennsylvania Insurance Department (PID), presented to 20 the Board to discuss the No Surprises Act, the 21 provisions in the law, the process for complaints, and 2.2 enforcement that became effective January 1, 2022. 23 David Buono, Deputy Insurance Commissioner, 24 Office of Market Regulation, Pennsylvania Insurance 25 Department, informed the Board that material presented

1 today was prepared by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
2 Insurance Department based on law, regulations, and
3 guidance as of December 1, 2021.

Mr. Buono addressed the No Surprises Act (NSA),
noting that the disclosure requirement, provider
directory requirement, and Good Faith Estimate applies
to all health care providers.

8 Mr. Buono commented that health plans that cover 9 any benefits for emergency services, including air 10 ambulance, under the No Surprises Act, requires 11 emergency services to be covered without any prior 12 authorization regardless of whether a provider or 13 facility is in-network.

Mr. Buono also commented that if a health plan covers any benefits for nonemergency services related to the visit in an in-network facility, the No Surprises Act requires patients be protected when they have little or no control over who provides their care.

20 Mr. Buono stated ancillary providers, such as 21 labs or doctors, involved in a surgery that the 22 patient does not select may not balance bill. He 23 noted cost-sharing for ancillary providers is treated 24 as in-network. He commented that the No Surprises Act 25 protects people from unexpected bills for emergency

services, air ambulance services, and certain 1 2 nonemergency services related to a visit to a 3 facility. He mentioned that emergency ground 4 ambulance services has been deferred for further study 5 and is being reviewed at the federal government level. 6 Mr. Buono stated nonemergency services for some 7 ancillary care at an in-network facility is treated as 8 in-network in all circumstances. He reported that 9 other nonemergency services may only be billed if they 10 were out of network with advanced notice and consent 11 from the patient.

Mr. Buono noted the No Surprises Act limits the high out-of-network cost sharing, where patient costsharing, such as coinsurance or deductible, cannot be higher than if such services were provided by an innetwork doctor and any coinsurance or deductible must be based on in-network provider rates.

Mr. Buono stated No Surprises Act billing
protection applies if coverage is through an employer,
state-based marketplace Pennie, or directly through an
individual market health insurance company. He
mentioned that the Act does not apply to Medicare,
Medicaid, Indian Health Services, Veterans Affairs, or
TRICARE.

25

Mr. Buono addressed plans that do not have the

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

balance billing protection, including indemnity or accepted benefit plan enrollees, because it is not individual market coverage and does not typically have a network. He noted short-term limited duration plan enrollees, health care sharing ministries, or the Amish are not individual market coverage.

Mr. Buono addressed uninsured individuals, noting providers are required to provide a Good Faith Estimate upon request or scheduling an item or service. He stated uninsured and self-pay patients must receive a Good Faith Estimate at least 72 hours before services.

Mr. Buono also noted that a Good Faith Estimate must be given at least 3 hours ahead of time if a service is scheduled within three days. He stated the federal government is taking a non-enforcement approach to this provision, along with Pennsylvania, due to the technological challenges affecting this provision.

20 Mr. Buono stated providers are encouraged to 21 coordinate with co-providers to present a single Good 22 Faith Estimate, but the Department of Health and Human 23 Services (HHS) is exercising enforcement discretion 24 and flexibility to allow for technical coordination 25 required.

Mr. Buono provided a summary of providers that 1 2 may not balance bill. He stated providers and 3 facilities must have a business process to give provider directory and network information to plans 4 5 anytime there is a material change. He commented that 6 providers and facilities may, by contract, impose on 7 plans the duty to keep the directory current in the event of contract termination. He noted that the 8 provider or facility must reimburse the patient plus 9 10 interest if a provider or facility bills a patient 11 more than the in-network cost-sharing amount and the 12 patient pays it.

Mr. Buono addressed continuity of care, where a contract with a plan terminates and the provider or facility is no longer in-network and the patient is a continuing care patient, the provider must accept payment, including cost-sharing calculated on an innetwork basis for the duration of the continuity of care.

20 Mr. Buono stated providers with complaints about 21 a plan should contact the Pennsylvania Insurance 22 Department because they have a process to quickly 23 review the complaint. He mentioned HHS is also 24 establishing a complaint process with the 25 acknowledgement of the complaint possibly taking 60

> Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

1 days. He mentioned that providers with complaints 2 about a patient should first make sure the patient 3 understands the Act and are encouraged to contact the 4 Pennsylvania Insurance Department.

5 Mr. Buono noted that patients who do understand 6 the Act should be handled as before with the 7 understanding in the case of a surprise medical bill 8 that the provider may not collect more than the in-9 network cost-sharing.

10 Sandy Ykema, Esquire, J.D., Department Counsel, 11 Pennsylvania Insurance Department, addressed 12 disclosure requirements, noting a one-page disclosure 13 must be available to patients with the requirements 14 and prohibitions applicable to the provider or 15 facility regarding balance billing and how to contact the appropriate state and federal agencies if the 16 17 patient believes the provider or facility has violated the law. 18

Ms. Ykema stated the information has to be publicly available from the provider and facility.
She mentioned the Pennsylvania Insurance Department has a model notice and information on their website.
Information can also be found on the federal website.
Ms. Ykema addressed notice and consent, which allows a provider to balance bill if they give notice

> Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

and receive written consent from the patient at least 1 2 3 days before the service, not later than 1 business 3 day after scheduling, or 3 business days after scheduling if scheduled 10 days in advance. 4 She noted 5 it may not be used in an emergency situation. She 6 explained that the notice has to be on a separate 7 form, signed, retained for seven years, and a copy given to the patient. 8

She commented that the notice and consent has to 9 10 give notice that the provider does not participate in 11 the consumer's health insurance plan, have a Good 12 Faith Estimate amount that the provider will charge for all of the services, explain that there might need 13 14 to be prior authorization or other approval, and be 15 clear that a person does not have to consent to an out-of-network provider. 16

Ms. Ykema emphasized that a person has to be able to get services from an available in-network provider, but if there is no available in-network provider, then notice and consent may not take the place of balance billing protections.

Ms. Ykema addressed payment, where the provider will need to confirm the patient's coverage. She explained that an out-of-network provider who furnished a surprise medical service may collect cost-

> Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

sharing from the patient and then the provider may 1 bill the patient directly for all remaining charges. 2 3 She noted a provider and plan may negotiate if the 4 provider is not satisfied with the amount directly and 5 then through a federally administered independent 6 dispute resolution process. She mentioned there is 7 litigation on the qualifying payment amount and the 8 independent dispute resolution process at the federal 9 level.

10 Ms. Ykema addressed disputes with uninsured patients, where the provider may bill the patient. 11 12 She stated the patient may access the patient provider dispute resolution process if there is a difference in 13 14 the Good Faith Estimate more than \$400. She noted that 15 the patient will pay a small administrative fee around 16 \$25 to start the process within 120 days and will 17 recoup that if the patient prevails.

18 Ms. Ykema addressed enforcement, noting that 19 anyone with concerns regarding the No Surprises Act 20 should contact the Pennsylvania Insurance Department, 21 which is a Commonwealth of Pennsylvania agency 22 coordinating enforcement with all of the state 23 agencies, including the Department of State, 24 Department of Health, and Department of Drug and 25 Alcohol. She mentioned that the Pennsylvania

> Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

Insurance Department has a process to review the complaint and expeditiously handle it, where the response time may be delayed using the federal process.

5 Ms. Ykema noted that the state law applies unless 6 it prevents the application of the federal law. She 7 mentioned that state agencies that receive a call 8 related to balance billing and the No Surprises Act 9 can go to the Pennsylvania Insurance Department's 10 webpage for guidance.

Ms. Ykema stated complaints are assigned to a consumer services representative to work with other state agencies and collaborate with the federal agency if they cannot address the issue completely.

Ms. Ykema noted the Department of Health and Human Services oversees the insurance plans, providers, and facility provisions; Department of Labor oversees self-funded plans; and the Office of Personnel Management oversees the Federal Employees Health Benefits (FEHB) program.

21 Ms. Ykema encouraged everyone to visit the 22 Pennsylvania Insurance Department webpage for more 23 information.

Chairperson Chubb thanked the PennsylvaniaInsurance Department for their presentation.]

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

21 \* \* \* 1 2 Miscellaneous 3 [Luanne K. Chubb, O.D., F.A.A.O., Chairperson, 4 announced that she would be reaching out to the Bureau 5 of Professional and Occupational Affairs (BPOA) for them to send out reminders to local societies that the 6 7 Board is seeking members, so the Board could hopefully 8 move forward in the near future with reappointments 9 and new appointments. 10 Ms. McNeill offered to provide information to 11 anyone interested in becoming a Board member.] \* \* \* 12 13 Adjournment CHAIRPERSON CHUBB: 14 15 We are going to adjourn the State Board 16 of Optometry Meeting for Pennsylvania at 17 this time. 18 Our next meeting is May 19 at the 19 same time. We will hear between now and 20 then whether or not we will be having an 21 in-person, virtual, or hybrid meeting. 2.2 Do I have a motion to adjourn? 23 DR. BOYER: 24 So moved. 25 DR. GODFREY:

Second. CHAIRPERSON CHUBB: All in favor? Opposed? Abstained? [The motion carried unanimously.] \* \* \* [There being no further business, the State Board of Optometry Meeting adjourned at 11:27 a.m.] \* \* \* [A Regulatory Committee Meeting is scheduled immediately following the regular Board meeting.] \* \* \* 

	23
1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	
4	I hereby certify that the foregoing summary
5	minutes of the State Board of Optometry meeting, was
6	reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and
7	that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of
8	the State Board of Optometry meeting.
9	
10	
11	Jun C. Bele
12	Lori A. Behe,
13	Minute Clerk
14	Sargent's Court Reporting
15	Service, Inc.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		STATE BOARD OF OPTOMETRY REFERENCE INDEX
		February 3, 2022
	TIME	AGENDA
	10:30	Official Call to Order
10 11 12	10:30	Roll Call/Introduction of Audience
12 13 14	10:33	Approval of Agenda
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	10:34	Approval of Minutes
	10:37	Report of Board Counsel
	10:38	Report of Board Chair
	10:42	Report of Board Administrator
	10:43	New Business
	10:48	Appointment - Carolyn A. DeLaurentis, Esquire, Deputy Chief Counsel, Prosecution Division Annual Report Presentation
	11:00	Appointment - Pennsylvania Insurance Department
32 33	11:25	Miscellaneous
34 35 36	11:27	Adjournment
37 38		
39 40		
41 42		
43 44		
45 46		
47 48		
49 50		