State Board of Chiropractic February 16, 2023

BOARD MEMBERS:

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

30

33 34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

45 6 William D. Aukerman, D.C., Chairperson 7 Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

Jonathan W. McCullough, D.C., Secretary Ann R. Johnston, Senior Deputy Attorney General, Office of Attorney General, Consumer Protection Member

Doyle W. Young III, Public Member Michael S. Swank, D.C.

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Tyesha C. Miley, Esquire, Board Counsel Heather J. McCarthy, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecutor J. Karl Geschwindt, Esquire, Acting Senior Board Prosecutor Colby B. Widdowson, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison Shakeena Chappelle, Board Administrator Carolyn A. DeLaurentis, Esquire, Executive Deputy Chief Counsel, Department of State Andrew LaFratte, MPA, Executive Policy Specialist, Department of State

Deena Parmelee, Legal Office Administrator 1,

31 32 ALSO PRESENT:

Department of State

Nicole Sidle, Republican Executive Director, House Professional Licensure Committee Ted Mowatt, CAE, Vice President, Wanner Associates, on behalf of the of the Chiropractor Fellowship of Pennsylvania Keith Miller, D.C., President, Pennsylvania Chiropractic Association Andrew Heck, D.C., Vice President, Pennsylvania Chiropractic Association Kathleen McConnell, D.C., Squirrel Hill Chiropractic Edward L. Nielsen, MHS, Executive Vice President, Pennsylvania Chiropractic Association George E. Khoury, D.C., District III Director, Federation of Chiropractic Licensing Boards

Jean Laine, D.C., Chiropractor Dynamic Guy Robert Schenker, D.C. Ben Morrow

2 State Board of Chiropractic

3 February 16, 2023

4

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 9:30 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session 6 7 with Tyesha C. Miley, Esquire, Board Counsel, to have 8 attorney-client consultations and for the purpose of 9 conducting quasi-judicial deliberations. The Board 10 returned to open session at 10:30 a.m.]

11

[Tyesha C. Miley, Esquire, Board Counsel, advised 13 everyone the meeting was being recorded, and those who continued to participate were giving their 14 consent to be recorded.

Ms. Miley noted the meeting was being held pursuant to Act 100 of 2021 by way of virtual meetings. She also noted a quorum has been satisfied by the use of the virtual platform to complete and effectuate the business of the Board.

Ms. Miley informed everyone that the Board met in Executive Session to have attorney-client consultation and for the purpose of conducting quasijudicial deliberations.]

* * * 25

4 Official Call to Order 1 2 [William D. Aukerman, D.C., Chairperson, called the 3 meeting of the State Board of Chiropractic to order 4 at 10:32 a.m. 5 Jonathan W. McCullough, D.C., Secretary was not 6 present at the commencement of the meeting.] 7 * * * 8 Introduction of Board Members and Attendees [William D. Aukerman, D.C., requested an introduction 10 of Board members and attendees.] 11 12 Approval of minutes of the December 29, 2022 meeting 13 CHAIR AUKERMAN: 14 We'd like to move on for approval of 15 the draft minutes of December 29, 2022. 16 Do we have a motion to approve the minutes of the December 29, 2022 Board 17 18 meeting? 19 DR. SWANK: 20 I move to accept the Board minutes of 21 December 29, 2022. 22 CHAIR AUKERMAN: 23 Do we have a second? 24 MR. YOUNG: 25 I second that motion.

5 1 MS. MILEY: 2 Is there any discussion on this? 3 CHAIR AUKERMAN: 4 Roll call vote to approve. 5 6 Dr. Aukerman, aye; Commissioner 7 Claggett, aye; Ann Johnston, aye; Doyle 8 Young, aye; Dr. Swank, aye. 9 [The motion carried unanimously.] 10 11 Report of Prosecution 12 [J. Karl Geschwindt, Esquire, Acting Senior Board 13 Prosecutor, presented the Consent Agreement for Case 14 No. 20-43-001127.115 CHAIR AUKERMAN: 16 Do we have a motion to approve the 17 Consent Agreement and Order at Case No. 18 20-43-001127? DR. SWANK: 19 20 I'd like to approve the Consent 21 Agreement for Case No. 20-43-001127. 22 MR. YOUNG: 23 I second that motion. 24 CHAIR AUKERMAN: 25 We're going to take a voice vote from

6 1 the Board. Any discussion? 2 3 Dr. Aukerman, aye; Commissioner 4 Claggett, aye; Ann Johnston, aye; Doyle 5 Young, aye; Dr. Swank, aye. 6 [The motion carried unanimously. The Respondent's 7 name at agenda item 2 for Case No. 20-43-001167 is 8 Guy Robert Schenker, D.C.] 9 10 CHAIR AUKERMAN: I'd like to make a motion to return 11 12 Executive Session briefly. MR. YOUNG: 13 14 I second that motion. 15 16 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, 17 at 10:39 a.m. the Board entered into Executive 18 Session with Tyesha C. Miley, Esquire, Board Counsel, 19 to have attorney-client consultation and for the 20 purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations. 21 The Board returned to open session at 10:44 a.m.] 22 23 Report of Acting Commissioner 24 [Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of 25 Professional and Occupational Affairs, informed

everyone of new functionality being added to the

Pennsylvania Licensing System (PALS) in May to notify

licensees their actual license has expired.

Acting Commissioner Claggett informed Board members that he received correspondence from the Pennsylvania Chiropractic Association enquiring as to why the Board does not allow preapproved continuing education (CE) providers in Pennsylvania, including the Professional Acknowledgment for Continuing Education (P.A.C.E.), and explained that the regulations do not allow for preapproved providers.

Ms. Miley further explained that the regulatory revision process would take between 18 to 24 months to add a designation for preapproved providers to the current regulations governing the chiropractic profession in Pennsylvania.

Keith Miller, D.C., President, Pennsylvania
Chiropractic Association, informed Board members that
P.A.C.E. is the largest CE accreditation approval
program in the United States, of which roughly 30
states are a member.

Dr. Miller noted it creates two obstacles for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, including a drop in licensees versus other states that are still increasing and makes it cost prohibitive for them to

- bring other chiropractors to Pennsylvania to attend

 CE. He also stated it decreases the opportunities

 that chiropractors have to leave Pennsylvania in

 order attend national conferences to certain types of

 CE for advanced training degrees.
- Dr. Miller requested the Chiropractic Board look
 into and start adopting policies for the 18-month
 approval process the Board needs in order start
 creating something that allows P.A.C.E., so
 Pennsylvania chiropractors could be competitive in
 modern society.

- George E. Khoury, D.C., District III Director,
 Federation of Chiropractic Licensing Boards, has been involved with P.A.C.E. since 2009 and believed there has been some misconception because the Federation of Chiropractic Licensing Boards (FCLB) has been attempting to get this consummated for the last four years.
- Dr. Khoury commented that there may be some confusion regarding FCLB and P.A.C.E. and explained that P.A.C.E. is a preapproved continuing education provided by FCLB for state member boards. He mentioned that FCLB preapproves the provider who is providing the CE regarding P.A.C.E.
- 25 Dr. Khoury further explained that the ultimate

decision would still be with the State Board of
Chiropractic to either approve or not, but FCLB helps
the state boards facilitate the approval process of
the provider. He stated FCLB designates one member
of the Board to oversee all of the provider
applications trying to provide CE.

Dr. Khoury explained that P.A.C.E. could be approved by the state as a temporary bedding of the provider, so there is no need for change in legislation because it is not the ultimate responsibility of changing approval and making FCLB the approval process taking place through them.

Dr. Khoury referred to records concerning language and paperwork signed with Nicole VanOrder about three years ago, noting it is just a matter of dotting the i's and crossing the t's as far as getting the program going and offered to confirm and provide any further assistance to the Board.

Acting Commissioner Claggett commented that it was explained to him to be regulatory but would put the issue back on the agenda to be discussed in further detail at the next meeting.]

* * *

24 Report of Board Counsel

25 [Tyesha C. Miley, Esquire, Board Counsel, provided an

- 1 annual review of Recusal Guidelines and the Sunshine
- 2 Act. She addressed mandatory recusal, where the
- 3 Board member has a prosecutorial role in the matter,
- 4 including being a member of the Probable Cause
- 5 | Screening Committee, and should not participate in
- 6 the deliberation or voting regarding that matter.
- 7 | She also noted mandatory recusal, where someone has a
- 8 direct personal interest, financial or otherwise in
- 9 the outcome.
- 10 Ms. Miley addressed strongly suggested recusal,
- 11 where someone has a personal affection or some other
- 12 involvement, although simply having heard of the
- 13 person is not significant enough for recusal.
- 14 Ms. Miley noted that strongly suggested recusal
- 15 also includes having knowledge from outside of the
- 16 case, where someone could not set aside
- 17 determinations or opinions about the matter.
- 18 Ms. Miley addressed discretionary circumstances,
- 19 where someone cannot participate in a manner that is
- 20 | fair and lacks prejudice.
- 21 Ms. Miley informed Board members to contact Board
- 22 Counsel whenever they are uncertain whether to
- 23 recuse. She stated anyone who recuses from a matter
- 24 are not considered for quorum purposes in that
- 25 matter.

Ms. Miley addressed abstentions, where someone does not have enough information to provide a vote, was not present on a matter and do not have enough information, or do not want to take a position.

Ms. Miley addressed the Sunshine Act, where the Board is obligated to publish the agenda and business of the Board, as well as advising public members what items would be reviewed during the public meeting. She stated the Sunshine Act further requires the business of the meeting be preserved by having a court reporter recording what is taking place.

Ms. Miley noted the public also has a right to participate in the meeting, and any official action must be taken publicly with votes recorded efficiently. She mentioned the only exception to the public viewing would be Executive Session.

She offered to aid concerning any questions pertaining to the law enacted in Pennsylvania.]

* * *

Report of Regulatory Board Counsel

[Tyesha C. Miley, Esquire, Board Counsel, referred to 16A-4335 regarding the fee regulation and noted a minor non-substantive edit to the annex approved at the December meeting. She stated it continues to go through the internal review process and informed

1 | Board members of a language adjustment regarding the

2 | Pennsylvania Chiropractic Law Examination.]

3 ***

4 Report of Board Chair

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

5 | [William D. Aukerman, D.C., Chairperson, commented

6 that he has been on the Board for 7 years and has

7 been practicing for 40 years. He mentioned the Board

8 has two professional and one public member vacancies

9 and encouraged anyone who may be interested to

10 | contact the Board administrator.

Chair Aukerman mentioned the importance of moving forward with continuing education with P.A.C.E. He also noted being aware of exercise and rehabilitation guidelines and problems in the past and would like to move that forward this year. He commented that the Board is trying to protect the public and chiropractors also with their agendas in a timely manner and respect everybody in attendance and on the call.]

20 ***

21 Report of Board Administrator

22 | [Shakeena Chappelle, Board Administrator, referred to

23 the proposed 2024 Board meeting dates, noting the

24 August and December dates were not available but

25 provided August 22 and December 9 dates for the

13 1 Board's approval.] 2 CHAIR AUKERMAN: 3 Could we have somebody make a motion to 4 approve the August 22, 2024 date and 5 December 9, 2024 date, which was a 6 Monday. We are going to try to 7 eliminate that date for 2024. It is a Monday, so it's not going to work out 8 9 for our Board. 10 We want to take up a motion to approve the August 22 date and 11 12 disapprove the December 9, 2024 date. 13 We'd like to make a motion to approve 14 the August 22, 2024 date. 15 DR. SWANK: 16 I propose a motion to accept the August 17 22, 2024 Board meeting. 18 MR. YOUNG: 19 I second that motion. 20 CHAIR AUKERMAN: 21 Could we have a voice vote to approve 22 the August 22, 2024 date? 23 24 Dr. Aukerman, aye; Commissioner 25 Claggett, aye; Dr. McCollough, aye; Ann

```
14
1
                  Johnston, aye; Doyle Young, aye; Dr.
2
                  Swank, aye.
3
    [The motion carried unanimously.]
 4
5
   CHAIR AUKERMAN:
6
                  The second motion for scheduling is to
7
                  discontinue the Monday, December 9,
                  2024 date as far as attendance
9
                  purposes.
10
                       We need a motion to discontinue
                  that date.
11
   DR. SWANK:
12
13
                  I propose a motion to cancel the
14
                  December 9, 2024 meeting.
15
   MR. YOUNG:
                  I second that motion.
16
   CHAIR AUKERMAN:
17
18
                  Any discussion? We need a voice call
                  on it.
19
20
21
                  Dr. Aukerman, aye; Commissioner
22
                  Claggett, abstain; Dr. McCollough, aye;
23
                  Ann Johnston, aye; Doyle Young, aye;
24
                  Dr. Swank, aye.
25
    [The motion carried. Arion Claggett abstained from
```

15 1 voting on the motion.] * * * 2 3 MS. MILEY: 4 Dr. Aukerman, will you be making a 5 subsequent motion to decline the 6 previously approved December 2024 date? 7 CHAIR AUKERMAN: 8 Yes. 9 MS. MILEY: 10 You will be accepting that motion? 11 CHAIR AUKERMAN: 12 We are accepting that and had a voice 13 vote on that to disapprove the December 14 9, 2024 date. 15 16 Review of Applications CHAIR AUKERMAN: 17 18 The next item on the agenda is review 19 of applications. We discussed the 20 Reactivation Application for 21 Chiropractor and need to have a motion 22 of provisional denial of the 23 Reactivation Application for 24 Chiropractor of Jean Laine. 25 DR. SWANK:

16 1 I bring a motion to deny the 2 reactivation of Jean Laine. 3 MR. YOUNG: 4 I second that motion. 5 CHAIR AUKERMAN: 6 Do we have any discussion on this item? 7 We need a voice call on this, Shakeena. 9 Dr. Aukerman, aye; Commissioner 10 Claggett, abstain; Dr. McCollough, aye; 11 Ann Johnston, aye; Doyle Young, aye; 12 Dr. Swank, aye. 13 [The motion carried. Arion Claggett abstained from 14 voting on the motion.] 15 New Business 16 CHAIR AUKERMAN: 17 18 We have a nomination for the National 19 Board of Chiropractic Examiners, Dr. 20 Kathleen McConnell, a PA licensed 21 practitioner and past Board member, to 22 attend as a proctor for Part IV of the 23 exam in New York Chiropractic College 24 in May. 25 We need a voice vote to have her as

17 1 our state representative proctoring the 2 exam at New York Chiropractic College. 3 We need a motion to nominate Kathleen McConnell as a National Board 4 5 of Chiropractic Examiners proctor in 6 the May exam at New York Chiropractic 7 College. 8 DR. SWANK: 9 I make a motion to accept Kathleen 10 McConnell as a proctor at the New York 11 Chiropractic College National Board 12 Examination in May 2023. 13 MR. YOUNG: 14 I second that motion. 15 CHAIR AUKERMAN: 16 Any discussion? Could we have a voice 17 vote on that, Shakeena. 18 19 Dr. Aukerman, aye; Commissioner 20 Claggett, aye; Dr. McCollough, nay; Ann 21 Johnston, aye; Doyle Young, aye; Dr. 22 Swank, aye. 23 [The motion carried. Dr. McCollough opposed the 24 motion.1 25

1 New Business - Annual Federation of Chiropractic

- 2 Licensing Boards Meeting
- 3 [William D. Aukerman, D.C., Chairperson, requested
- 4 approval to attend the Annual Federation of
- 5 | Chiropractic Licensing Boards Meeting April 26-30,
- 6 2023, as the delegate and Dr. McCollough as an
- 7 | alternate delegate.]
- 8 CHAIR AUKERMAN:
- 9 Do I have a motion to approve the
- 10 delegates for the Annual Federation of
- 11 Chiropractic Licensing Boards Meeting?
- 12 DR. SWANK:
- I make a motion to accept Dr. Aukerman
- as the primary and Dr. McCollough as
- the secondary to go to the Federation
- of Chiropractic Licensing Boards
- Meeting April 26-30, 2023.
- 18 MR. YOUNG:
- I second that motion.
- 20 CHAIR AUKERMAN:
- 21 Any discussion?
- 22 DR. MCCOLLOUGH:
- I'm withdrawing my name. I won't be
- able to attend.
- 25 CHAIR AUKERMAN:

```
19
1
                  We are going to modify the proposal to
2
                  have Dr. Aukerman, myself, as a
3
                  delegate and possible replacement as an
 4
                  alternate delegate for the April 26-30
 5
                  meeting of the Federation of
                  Chiropractic Licensing Boards.
 6
7
   DR. SWANK:
8
                  I make a motion to accept Dr. Aukerman
9
                  as a member of the Board to go to the
10
                  FCLB Meeting April 26-30, 2023, and
                  will name an alternate at a later date.
11
   DR. MCCOLLOUGH:
12
13
                  I second it.
14
   CHAIR AUKERMAN:
15
                  Any other discussion?
                                          Could we have a
16
                  voice vote on this, Shakeena?
17
18
                  Dr. Aukerman, aye; Commissioner
19
                  Claggett, aye; Dr. McCollough, aye; Ann
20
                  Johnston, aye; Doyle Young, aye; Dr.
21
                  Swank, aye.
22
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
23
24
   Appointment - Prosecution Division Annual Report
25
     Presentation
```

[Carolyn A. DeLaurentis, Esquire, Executive Deputy Chief Counsel, Department of State, informed Board members that she is now the executive deputy chief counsel for the Department of State but is presenting to the Board on behalf of the prosecution division in her former role to provide the presentation.

Ms. DeLaurentis presented to the Board to provide a summary of the prosecution division's role and present annual numbers for 2022. She informed Board members that the Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs provides administrative and legal support to all 29 licensing boards and commissions with the mission to protect the health and safety of the public and the integrity of the profession. She noted legal support includes the prosecution division, counsel division, hearing examiners, and staff.

Ms. DeLaurentis explained that the Office of Chief Counsel is under the Governor's Office of General Counsel and assigned to the Department of State, noting the prosecution division is one division under the Office of Chief Counsel with about 38 prosecuting attorneys and support staff. She noted the prosecution division works with the Bureau of Enforcement and Investigation (BEI), along with

the Professional Compliance Office (PCO).

Ms. DeLaurentis stated BEI, PCO, and prosecution are all tasked with receiving complaints, investigating allegations, ensuring public safety, and enforcing compliance with the acts and regulations that govern the 29 licensing boards and commissions.

Ms. DeLaurentis stated the prosecution division has five senior prosecuting attorneys with Karl Geschwindt as the acting senior prosecutor who handles their Board, along with Paul Jarabeck, Heather McCarthy, Ray Michalowski, and William Newport as senior counsel for other boards. She mentioned there are currently 32 prosecuting attorneys serving under the senior attorneys, along with supervisors watching over those people and those boards.

Ms. DeLaurentis explained that prosecution is a complaint-driven agency, noting complaints are received from any source but prefer complaints be submitted through the Pennsylvania Licensing System (PALS) at pals.pa.gov because it is the most efficient. She noted prosecution also accepts complaints from sister agencies, state and federal agencies, law enforcement, and through media outlets.

Ms. DeLaurentis discussed levels of review, including jurisdiction checks to see if the complaint falls under their jurisdiction. She mentioned complaints are referred to the appropriate agency if prosecution does not have jurisdiction.

Ms. DeLaurentis stated the prosecution staff works with BEI inspectors and investigators to determine whether there is a violation. She noted BEI interviews witnesses, gathers documents, and social media evidence.

Ms. DeLaurentis addressed the various levels of review and determining whether there was a violation. She explained that matters could be closed if there is not a violation and focus attention on cases where there may be a violation.

Ms. DeLaurentis noted attorneys make charging decisions and determine whether to file an order to show cause or issue a citation. She addressed due process rights with formal charges through a hearing process, noting it is similar to a regular trial, where both sides are able to present evidence by way of witness testimony, documents, and legal arguments.

Ms. DeLaurentis noted hearing examiners issue a proposed adjudication and order and then the final decision is made by the Board. She addressed consent

agreements, where both sides have negotiated and reached terms they wished to present before the Board, but the Board has the final authority of whether to accept or reject the consent agreement.

Ms. DeLaurentis addressed the prosecution division's role at Board meetings, including presenting consent agreements and listening to concerns of the Board. She pointed out that prosecution has a confidentiality statute that applies to their files, where all of the contents within their files is confidential under the law and is why prosecution cannot speak on some matters. She noted all of the prosecuting attorneys and staff sign confidentiality affidavits indicating they understand all records are confidential and privileged and may be disciplined for any violations.

Ms. DeLaurentis stated BEI conducts interviews for certain boards and inspections to obtain evidence. She noted BEI also serves subpoenas and deliver orders and letters, along with testifying to the results of their investigations and inspections. She noted BEI is not considered law enforcement in Pennsylvania and does not have search warrant authority.

Ms. DeLaurentis addressed document authority,

where some boards have regulations that require cooperation if a request is made to review their records but not everyone complies, where a subpoena may be warranted.

Ms. DeLaurentis addressed post-investigation, where BEI is gathering facts and present the evidence to the legal office for review. She stated BEI is not determining whether or not a law has been violated or determining whether discipline should be issued because that is the role of the prosecution division. She noted prosecuting attorneys work with legal analysts to determine whether there was a violation to decide whether to close the matter or file charges.

Ms. DeLaurentis addressed immediate temporary suspensions, where a license is immediately suspended if someone is an immediate danger to the health and safety of the public. She noted automatic suspensions include 302 commitments, drug act violations, and orders for examination for some boards. She also noted petitions for appropriate relief are when someone has violated a board order.

Ms. DeLaurentis stated the levels of discipline include revocations, suspensions, probations, reprimands, fines, remedial education, and cost of

the investigation.

Ms. DeLaurentis referred to the confidentiality statute under 63 Pa.C.S. § 3109, where prosecution and investigative files are deemed privileged and confidential under the law. She explained that investigative records are deemed noncriminal investigative records and exempt from disclosure under the Right-to-Know Law.

Ms. DeLaurentis stated information could be shared with other agencies in furtherance of investigative efforts but only their final action could be shared publicly, including final adjudications and orders, final consent agreements, and closing letters.

Ms. DeLaurentis provided data as of January 3, 2023, where the number of open cases for the prosecution division was 13,154, which is down from 2022 at 15,141. She stated 16,084 cases were opened in 2022 and is down from 2021 at 18,363 cases. She reported closing 17,826 files in 2022 and is up from 2021, where 15,994 cases were closed. She thanked the prosecution division, counsel division, hearing examiners, BEI, and the Board for their group effort.

Ms. DeLaurentis informed Board members that an annual report is issued to the General Assembly,

2.6

- which includes a breakdown of case categories, and that counsel would be notified when the report is finalized.
- Ms. DeLaurentis addressed specific information
 for the State Board of Chiropractic as of January 3,
 2023, noting 108 open cases and 97 at the same time
 last year. She reported 104 cases were opened in
 2022 and 112 in 2021. She also noted 93 cases were
 closed in 2022 and 118 in 2021.

- Ms. DeLaurentis addressed a question in chat from Dr. Miller and explained that the number of active licensees pulled from PALS includes permits to do certain things and every type of category, but the licensee population presented by the Bureau of Finance and Operations (BFO) would be the accurate number.
- Ms. DeLaurentis referred to disposition of closed cases, including discipline and non-discipline, noting the Board is a compliant licensee population. She reported 11 Act 48 fines or citations in 2022 and 3 in 2021, 2 probations in 2022 and 3 in 2021, 8 suspensions in 2022 and 1 in 2021.
- Ms. DeLaurentis addressed the disposition of closed cases without discipline and referred to Z codes that are used when closing cases in their

system. She referred to code Z18, which are warning letters, and explained that warning letters are not disciplinary but used for de minimis violations. She noted warning letters are a great tool for prosecutors on behalf of the Board to remind licensees to follow the act and regulations.

Dr. Miller presented questions from the

Pennsylvania Chiropractic Association members. He

asked whether physicians, chiropractors, and medical

licensees are allowed to have a medical cannabis card

and practice without fear as long as it is properly

being used and not affecting their ability to work or

is it a concern for their license.

Ms. DeLaurentis informed Dr. Miller that she was unable to provide an advisory opinion at this time.

Ms. Miley also stated they are prohibited from providing advisory opinions.

Dr. Miller stated the Pennsylvania Chiropractic Association (PCA) has been asking, as an association representing 3,900 chiropractors, that question for years and believed it is appropriate at some point to have something in writing that addresses the issue.

Dr. Miller commented that it is better to let people know the rules versus having to punish them,

noting it to be a major issue that would benefit tens
of thousands of licensees in the Commonwealth of
Pennsylvania.

- Ms. DeLaurentis informed Dr. Miller that she and Acting Commissioner Claggett would relay that to their leadership and follow up on that.
- Dr. Miller asked what happens to files deemed to be a false claim and closed without prosecution.
- Ms. DeLaurentis stated a false claim is not one of their closing codes, but cases could be closed under prosecution not warranted and maintained pursuant to their record retention policy for around 20 years. She offered to provide the policy for Dr. Miller's review.
- Dr. Miller noted being aware of a lot of false claims that get filed, not just for chiropractors but across the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, on different licensees, where it is clear and concluded that the report was done in a vindictive manner and asked whether those cases are ever referred to law enforcement as perjury cases because they are filing claims through a state agency.
- Ms. DeLaurentis noted there is a section on the complaint indicating someone is providing information to the best of their knowledge, information, and

- 1 belief subjecting themselves to potential penalties.
- 2 | She stated the prosecution division reviews all cases
- 3 on a case-by-case basis and takes the appropriate
- 4 action. She mentioned that anyone who feels their
- 5 reputation is damaged could seek counsel from their
- 6 private attorney.
- 7 Dr. Miller asked whether cases in prejudicial
- 8 filings clearly done for vindictive and malicious
- 9 reasons are ever referred to law enforcement.
- 10 Ms. DeLaurentis could not speak to what may
- 11 happen in every case but noted that the prosecution
- 12 division would refer any matter to law enforcement if
- 13 appropriate. She reiterated that the prosecution
- 14 division is looking at everything with an open mind
- 15 to make sure the right thing happens.
- Dr. Miller asked whether false claim metrics are
- 17 | tracked like other metrics.
- 18 Ms. DeLaurentis noted that they do not have a
- 19 | false pretenses code in their system.
- 20 Dr. Miller asked how false claim metrics could be
- 21 created, noting there is a need for the public and
- 22 other providers to have the ability to file a claim
- 23 against a licensee but also a need for some kind of
- 24 checks and balances to protect the licensee against
- 25 false claims.

Dr. Miller commented that anyone could file a claim knowing the worst thing that happens is it just gets closed, and with no penalty system in place and no tracking metric, there is no disincentive for people to file them.

Ms. DeLaurentis trusted the prosecution review, noting discipline levels for the licensee populations overall are quite low because the prosecution division is appropriately reviewing the cases and protecting the licensees.

Dr. Miller mentioned that prosecution is doing a good job at removing false claim cases but expressed concern that prosecution is not referring someone purposely trying to harm a licensee to law enforcement.

Dr. Miller stated there should be a mechanism in place when it is clearly a malicious complaint, where something happens to the person filing the complaint and then followed up on, along with having a metric prosecution track.

Dr. McCollough asked why the report is indicating 8,139 active licensees, because it seemed to be double the amount of chiropractic licenses.

Acting Commissioner Claggett explained that the Board does not have 8,139 active licensees and is an

```
31
   issue on the numbers pulled through PALS.
1
2
        Ms. DeLaurentis stated everyone should go by the
3
   number the Bureau of Finance and Operations provides
4
   and informed everyone that the issue would be
5
   addressed for the next fiscal year.
        Chair Aukerman thanked Ms. DeLaurentis for the
6
7
   presentation.]
8
                              * * *
9
   Adjournment
   CHAIR AUKERMAN:
10
                  Do we have a motion to adjourn the
11
12
                  meeting of February 16, 2023, for the
13
                  State Board of Chiropractic?
14
   DR. MCCOLLOUGH:
15
                  I'd like to make a motion that we
16
                  adjourn.
   CHAIR AUKERMAN:
17
18
                  Do we have a second?
   MR. YOUNG:
19
20
                  Second.
21
   CHAIR AUKERMAN:
22
                  This concludes the meeting.
23
   [There being no further business, the State Board of
24
25
   Chiropractic Meeting adjourned at 11:56 a.m.]
```

		33
$\begin{smallmatrix} 1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&5&6&7&8&9&0&1&2&3&4&4&4&4&4&4&4&4&4&4&4&4&4&4&4&4&4&4$		STATE BOARD OF CHIROPRACTIC REFERENCE INDEX
		February 16, 2023
	TIME	AGENDA
	9:30 10:30	Executive Session Return to Open Session
	10:32	Official Call to Order
	10:33	Introduction of Board Members/Attendees
	10:34	Approval of Minutes
	10:35	Report of Prosecution
	10:39 10:44	Executive Session Return to Open Session
	10:45	Report of Acting Commissioner
	10:51	Report of Board Counsel
	10:58	Report of Regulatory Board Counsel
	10:59	Report of Board Chair
	11:01	Report of Board Administrator
	11:06	Review of Applications
	11:08	New Business
	11:13	Appointment - Carolyn A. DeLaurentis, Esquire, Executive Deputy Chief Counsel, Department of State, Annual Prosecutorial Division Presentation
	11:56	Adjournment