

State Board of Chiropractic

July 30, 2020

BOARD MEMBERS:

Joseph Gerard Halloran, D.C., Chair K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of

Professional and Occupational Affairs John E. McCarrin, D.C., Secretary William D. Aukerman, D.C.

Michael S. Swank, D.C. - Absent

Kelsie Coats, Consumer Protection Member Miriam Merry Woods, Public Member - Absent

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Nicole L. VanOrder, Esquire, Board Counsel Kimberly A. Adams, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison Peter D. Kovach, Esquire, Senior Prosecutor in Charge Michelle Roberts, Acting Board Administrator Theodore Stauffer, Executive Secretary, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

ALSO PRESENT:

Keith Miller, D.C., Advanced Chiropractic & Spine Center of Souderton

 Ted Mowatt, CAE, Vice President, Wanner Associates

3 * * * 1 2 State Board of Chiropractic 3 July 30, 2020 * * * 4 5 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State 6 Board of Chiropractic was held on Thursday, July 30, 7 2020. * * * Official Call to Order 10 [Joseph Gerard Halloran, D.C., Chair, called the 11 meeting to order at 10:29 a.m.] * * * 12 13 [Nicole L. VanOrder, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted the 14 meeting was being recorded, and those who remain on 15 the line are giving their consent to be recorded. 16 17 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, 18 prior to the meeting, the Board entered into Executive 19 Session with Nicole L. VanOrder, Esquire, Board 20 Counsel, to have attorney-client consultations and for 21 the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial 22 deliberations. The Board returned to open session at 2.3 10:29 a.m.] 24 25 Approval of minutes of the May 14, 2020 meeting

```
1
   CHAIR HALLORAN:
2
                  I would like to open up and talk about
3
                  approval of minutes.
   [The Board discussed corrections to the minutes.]
5
                       Does anybody else have any
                  corrections to the minutes?
6
                       We need a motion to accept the
8
                  Board minutes with the correction.
9
   DR. MCCARIN:
10
                  I motion to accept the minutes.
   CHAIR HALLORAN:
11
12
                  Do I have a second?
   DR. AUKERMAN:
1.3
                  I second the motion.
14
15
   CHAIR HALLORAN:
                  There has been a motion on the floor and
16
17
                  seconded that the minutes be approved
18
                  with the amended change. All in favor,
19
                  say aye.
20
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
21
                               * * *
22
   Report of Board Prosecutor
23
   [Peter D. Kovach, Esquire, Senior Prosecutor in
24
   Charge, presented the Consent Agreement for Case No.
25
   19-43-001979.]
```

5 * * * 1 2 Introduction 3 [Joseph Gerard Halloran, D.C., Chair, requested Board members introduce themselves.] * * * 5 6 CHAIR HALLORAN: I make a motion on Case No. 19-43-8 001979, that we accept the Consent 9 Agreement for Ervin Schlabach. 10 I would like a second. Dr. 11 McCarrin, you wanted to step in there. 12 DR. MCCARIN: 13 I second the motion. CHAIR HALLORAN: 14 Dr. McCarrin seconded the motion. 15 16 have a motion on the floor to accept the Consent Agreement on Case No. 19-43-17 001979. We will need a voice vote on 18 19 this. 20 21 Dr. Halloran, aye; Aukerman, aye; 22 McCarrin, aye; Coats, aye. 23 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 2.4 25 Report of Commissioner

[K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs, thanked Board members and members of the public for joining the meeting today and also noted representatives from the policy office and Senate Oversight Committee.

Commissioner Johnson reported receiving correspondence from the Pennsylvania Chiropractic Association regarding guidance that was issued on behalf of the Department of Health and under the offices of the administration. He noted responding to that correspondence and meeting with the Pennsylvania Chiropractic Association (PCA) to show the professional community that the department, on behalf of the Board, was willing to interface and collaborate with stakeholders and provide an informative and educational voice for stakeholders and the public when they have questions.

Chair Halloran requested Commissioner Johnson explain to the members of the chiropractic community that the Governor's website was constantly being updated as to best practices for chiropractors and other medical professions on how to safely run their practice through the Governor's Office.

Commissioner Johnson stated the Board was precluded from providing advisory opinions to members

of the public, and all of the guidance related specifically to the profession and scope of practice can be found on the Board's website.

Commissioner Johnson commented that guidance relating to the emergency declaration implemented in March related to COVID-19 and the Governor's emergency declaration, Department of Health guidance, and federal guidance from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) can be found on the State Board of Chiropractic website.

information box on the Board's website and on the Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs (BPOA) homepage that will direct individuals to all of the COVID-19 waivers that the department had issued since March 2020. He encouraged members of the public to refer to the website frequently because information changes, and it was in their best interest to acclimate themselves to that guidance and to the existing rules and regulations.]

* *

22 Report of Board Counsel

23 [Nicole L. VanOrder, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted the

24 | Board will need to vote on several items discussed in

25 executive session. She also mentioned several

```
regulatory items for discussion, including Act 41.
1
   She announced Cynthia Montgomery will be addressing
2
3
   the proposed child abuse reporting regulation.
   noted action from the Board will be required regarding
4
5
   generating a list for Act 53 of 2020.]
                              * * *
6
7
   MOTIONS
   CHAIR HALLORAN:
9
                  We are now on Case No. 17-43-11885,
10
                  Maria McElwee, D.C.
                       I make a motion to table this
11
12
                  pending further evaluation and review so
1.3
                  that we can come up with the proper
14
                  decision in the next Board meeting.
15
                       Do I have a second on that?
16
   MS. COATS:
17
                  Second.
   CHAIR HALLORAN:
18
19
                  Kelsie Coats seconded that motion.
2.0
                  will have to be a voice vote. I propose
2.1
                  that we table the discussion on this
2.2
                  case number for Maria McElwee, D.C. for
2.3
                  the next meeting after we get more
2.4
                  information on this case.
25
```

9 Dr. Halloran, aye; Dr. Aukerman, aye; 1 2 McCarrin, aye; Coats. 3 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 4 5 CHAIR HALLORAN: 6 We are now on Case No. 18-43-011786, 7 Larry Allen Sabel, D.C. I make a motion that we send this 9 case to a hearing examiner for more 10 information on the particulars of this 11 matter. 12 Dr. Aukerman, I think you wanted to 13 weigh in there with a second. DR. AUKERMAN: 14 I'll second. 15 16 CHAIR HALLORAN: 17 I have made the motion, and Dr. Aukerman seconded that we will refer Case No. 18-18 19 43-011786 to a hearing examiner in 2.0 regards to Larry Allen Sabel, D.C. Wе 2.1 would like to take a vote on that. Ιt will be a voice vote. 2.2 2.3 2.4 Halloran, aye; Aukerman, aye; McCarrin, 25 aye; Coats, aye.

	10
1	[The motion carried unanimously.]
2	***
3	CHAIR HALLORAN:
4	We are now on Case No. 18-43-01433 in
5	the case of <u>Dr. Robert C. Wise II</u> .
6	I would like to make a motion that
7	we draw up an Adjudication and Order in
8	accordance to the parameters of the
9	discussion we held in executive session
10	considering this case number and $\underline{\text{Dr.}}$
11	Robert C. Wise II, a chiropractor.
12	Dr. McCarrin, I believe you wanted
13	to weigh in on this.
14	DR. MCCARRIN:
15	I'll second that motion.
16	CHAIR HALLORAN:
17	We have a motion to make an Adjudication
18	and Order in accordance to the
19	discussion held and the parameters we
20	set in executive session. It was
21	seconded by Dr. McCarrin. We will do a
22	voice vote on that.
23	
24	Halloran, aye; Aukerman, aye; McCarrin,
25	aye; Coats, aye.

11 [The motion carried unanimously.] 1 2 3 CHAIR HALLORAN: We are now on Case No. 13-43-08370. 4 This is the case of Joshua Michael 5 6 Rosinski, D.C. I make a motion to grant the Petition for Reinstatement filed by Dr. 9 Rosinski to probationary status. Dr. Aukerman, do you want to weigh 10 in there on that? 11 12 DR. AUKERMAN: I'd like to second the motion. 13 CHAIR HALLORAN: 14 15 Dr. Aukerman seconds that motion. will do a voice vote on that motion. 16 17 18 Halloran, aye; Aukerman, aye; McCarrin, 19 aye; Coats, aye. 20 [The motion carried unanimously.] 21 * * * 22 Report of Regulatory Counsel 23 [Cynthia K. Montgomery, Esquire, Deputy Chief 24 Counsel/Regulatory Counsel, Department of State,

referred to the proposed rulemaking for 16A-4322

25

regarding child abuse reporting requirements, which is required by the Child Protective Services Law.

Ms. Montgomery stated the law had been amended numerous times from 2014 through 2019, and those amendments need to be incorporated into the Board's regulations, including the requirement from Act 31 of 2014, which required all health-related Boards to require applicants to complete at least 3 hours of training in child abuse recognition and reporting as a condition of licensure and for all licensees to complete at least 2 hours of their continuing education biennially in the area of child abuse recognition and reporting.

Ms. Montgomery reported that the bureau implemented Act 31 of 2014, which requires child abuse education, and this was just updating regulations to conform with the Child Protective Services Law.

Ms. Montgomery stated licensure, certification, and registration provisions have been updated for licensure by exam, licensure by reciprocity, and volunteer licenses to incorporate the requirement that applicants complete 3 hours of training in child abuse recognition and reporting and noted biennial renew requirements in § 5.17 had been updated to incorporate the 2 hours of continuing education that was required.

Ms. Montgomery stated the child abuse reporting requirements have been substantially updated to incorporate the new standards, where a mandated reporter is required to make a report.

- Ms. Montgomery stated those who are making the report in the capacity as a member of an institution or a facility make the report and then report it to the person in charge of the institution, school, facility, or agency.
- Ms. Montgomery commented that the reporting procedure had been updated because the Department of Human Services now had an electronic reporting system that makes it much easier to report and all the information required to report.
- Ms. Montgomery noted updates to the immunity and confidentiality section.
- Ms. Montgomery noted the noncompliant section had been updated because the General Assembly had increased the penalties for failure to report suspected child abuse mostly for continued failures to report and subsequent offenses.
- Ms. Montgomery stated the biggest change was the addition of the child abuse recognition and reporting mandatory training requirement. She discussed the exemption section, where the law permits the Board to

grant exemptions to people who have already either completed similar child abuse training mandated by the Public School Code or by the Human Services Code.

Ms. Montgomery stated the child abuse recognition course approval process was set up by the bureau in consultation with the Department of Human Services
Office of Children, Youth, and Families for courses to be approved. She noted approximately 70 approved courses available and one free online course available to applicants and licensees.

Ms. Montgomery commented that the 2-hour requirement of renewal is incorporated in the existing continuing education requirement and was not an additional requirement. She also believes the Board previously voted to accept the entire 3 hours if someone completes a 3-hour course.

Ms. Montgomery requested a motion and approval to promulgate the proposed rulemaking through the regulatory review process with the correction to change Department of Public Welfare to Department of Human Services because they changed their name.]

* * *

23 CHAIR HALLORAN:

2.2

2.4

I make a motion that we accept the language that has just been talked to us

```
15
                  about, accepting the regulations with
1
2
                  the correct.
3
                       Do I have a second?
   DR. AUKERMAN:
 4
5
                  I'll second it.
6
   CHAIR HALLORAN:
                  Dr. Aukerman seconds. We are going to
                  have to do a voice vote on that motion.
9
10
                  Dr. Halloran, aye; Dr. Aukerman, aye;
11
                  McCarrin, aye; Coats, aye.
12
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
13
                              * * *
14
   Report of Regulatory Counsel
15
   [Nicole L. VanOrder, Esquire, Board Counsel, referred
   to the annex for Act 41. She stated the Board
16
17
   previously had the test listed under the competency
18
   requirement but informed the Board it would be better
19
   under substantial equivalence because Act 41 was
20
   supposed to make licensure easier and remove bars from
21
   licensure for people to move from other states,
22
   countries, and jurisdictions.
2.3
        Ms. VanOrder provided two options for the Board
24
   to review, noting that option 1 was basically what the
25
   Board asked to be drafted, and option 2 moves the
```

testing from the competence bucket to the substantial equivalence bucket. She mentioned by moving the testing into the substantial equivalency analysis the Board can make the comparison within the law and then not need to do that as to the individual person. She explained that the individual person analysis would be whether an individual had been practicing two of the last five years and have they been doing the continuing education (CE).

Ms. VanOrder stated the specific competency requirements would be to demonstrate competency by practicing two of five years for a minimum of 500 hours, successful completion of the Special Purpose Examination in Chiropractic (SPEC), or successful completion of the National Board Examination within the last five years.

2.0

2.4

Ms. VanOrder reported general updates to the regulation, including the requirement of the licensee to provide a copy of the law translated into English if it was in another language.

Ms. VanOrder noted option 2 to currently read, an individual would need either two of the five years in practice or SPEC within the last five years or National Board Examination within five years. She stated the fact that originally the chiropractor would

17

1 have passed the National Board Examination would still 2 be analyzed as part of the law.

Ms. VanOrder cautioned the Board against making the regulation so onerous as to eviscerate the intention of this law, which will cause difficulty at the Governor's Office.

Ms. VanOder mentioned the Board still had the protection where the states are substantially equivalent in their law and had that additional check of one of the three buckets where the individual is competent to get fully through the analysis and able to get a license under Act 41.]

13

14 CHAIR HALLORAN:

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

I make a motion to move forward with option 2 of the Act 41 Annex proposals and direct Board counsel to complete the regulatory package for option 2 so we can be in compliance with Act 41 regulations.

Does anybody want to second that?

22 DR. AUKERMAN:

23 I'll second it.

24 CHAIR HALLORAN:

25 Dr. Aukerman seconded that. We have a

motion to direct our Board counsel to accept option 2 for Act 41 and direct her to complete the regulatory package for what we are asking her to change.

We will start with a voice vote.

Halloran, aye; Aukerman, aye; McCarrin, aye; Coats, aye.

[The motion carried unanimously.]

Ms. VanOrder will prepare the regulatory package with regulatory counsel and bring it back to the Board one more time along with the proposed preamble, which is the explanatory document to the legislation saying what the Board was trying to do and why.

Ms. VanOrder referred to Act 53 of 2020 regarding criminal convictions and how the BPOA, boards, and commissions consider criminal convictions regarding applications. She noted the definition of directly related as the "nature of the criminal conduct for which the person is convicted and has direct bearing on the fitness or ability to perform one or more duties or responsibilities necessarily related to the profession, trade, or occupation for which the individual seeks licensure." She referred to § 3117

of the act, which requires the commissioner to publish a list of criminal offenses that may constitute grounds to refuse a license for each profession or occupation licensed by BPOA.

2.2

2.4

Ms. VanOrder reported the Board would need to provide a list of crimes that it considers directly related to the profession by the end of August. She noted Board counsel was meeting with the prosecution liaison and working on putting together a list for other Boards and offered that to the Board. She also would like the Board to provide a list of crimes they believe are directly related or not.

Ms. VanOrder addressed rebuttable presumption, where the individual poses a substantial risk to individual patients or to the public or risk of further criminal conviction if the crime is on the list.

Ms. VanOrder mentioned that individuals could be issued a provisional denial letter and still come forward for a hearing and maybe in that specific person's circumstances a different decision could be made. She stated if the crime is not on the list, the Board would still consider circumstances and decide whether the person is not safe.

Ms. VanOrder addressed sexual offenses, where

individuals committing crimes from a list of 17 different offenses may not ever have a license.

2.0

2.2

2.4

Ms. VanOrder noted that the general thrust of this law is to remove interpretations of good moral character and crimes of moral turpitude to allow individuals who have served their time to return and be functioning members of society and obtain professional licensure.

Ms. VanOrder reported that crimes listed in the Clean Slate Act are not to be considered at all.

Commissioner Johnson commented that the bulk of the list will be generated from the list of disciplinary actions in terms of crimes directly related to the profession. He stated the preliminary determination piece involves individuals who may not have even started their education or experience yet and are requesting a determination based on a particular conviction and whether that would impact their suitability for licensure.

Commissioner Johnson stated the Board will receive a list in the middle or end of August for Board review before the September meeting, which will allow the Board to create a committee and have a fuller discussion moving into the late fall.]

* *

MS. VANORDER:

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

I will put together a list for Act 53
directly related crimes for the next
agenda.

CHAIR HALLORAN:

Our Board counsel, Nicole VanOrder, will work with the prosecutor's office to help us with this new law of having responsibilities of defining related crimes, and Nicole and the prosecutor's office will work on that list and share it with members of the Board for us to study and be ready to discuss it and possibly vote on it at the September meeting.

Does anybody second that?

17 MS. COATS:

I second that.

19 CHAIR HALLORAN:

We have a motion on the floor to direct our Board counsel, Nicole VanOrder, to work with the prosecutor's office to develop a list of directly related crimes that will help us prepare ourselves to implement Act 53 that will

define the Board's role in determining directly related crimes that could affect licensure.

2.0

2.4

Halloran, aye; Aukerman, aye; McCarrin, aye; Coats, aye.

[The motion carried unanimously.]

*

9 Report of Board Counsel (cont.)

[Nicole L. VanOrder, Esquire, Board Counsel, referred to the regulatory status report, noting the need for updates and deciding what the priorities are in terms of whether to devote resources in trying to move some of these regulations forward.

Chair Halloran referred to 16A-4312 regarding chiropractic specialties. He mentioned prior discussion regarding expanding what a specialist could do and expanding agencies as to who could certify a chiropractic specialist for purposes of advertising.

Chair Halloran referred to 16A-4325 regarding government employee liability coverage. He stated the Chiropractic Practice Act requires chiropractors to maintain professional liability including selfinsurance, and the regulation would permit a licensee who practices exclusively on behalf of the federal

government to meet this requirement by reliance on the Federal Tort Claims Act rather than having to get separate malpractice.

Chair Halloran referred to 16A-4328 and 49 Pa.

Code § 5.81 regarding sexual misconduct. He stated the rulemaking would amend the regulations to provide clearer and broader standards in prohibiting sexual misconduct with a patient, rather than simply prohibiting willfully engaging in sexual activity with a patient within the scope of the chiropractor-patient relationship.

Chair Halloran noted the regulation was still under review by regulatory counsel, noting the Board is one of the only health-related Boards that does not have sexual misconduct regulations. He mentioned the regulation needs updated prior to being distributed to the Office of General Counsel and Budget and Policy for approval.

Ms. VanOrder mentioned some of the regulations are mandated and others are not, where the Board may want to decide to go in a different direction. She noted reviewing some of the comments from the Independent Regulatory Review Commission (IRRC) and could bring more information to the next Board meeting. She suggested having a committee meeting to

1 discuss the regulations.

Ms. Roberts mentioned that Dr. Halloran, Dr.

Aukerman, and Dr. Swank are in their USQs and all

three expire September 14, so the meeting would have

to take place sometime in the next six weeks to have

6 enough Board members.]

* * *

CHAIR HALLORAN:

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

I make a motion that we form a

Regulatory Review Committee for the

State Board of Chiropractic. I would

like to be part of that committee.

Do I have a second on that?

14 DR. AUKERMAN:

15 I'll second it.

16 CHAIR HALLORAN:

Dr. Aukerman seconds that we will form a regulatory committee to review the regs and make the priorities. Let's voice vote this and then we will talk about who is going to be on the committee. We are going to form a regulatory committee to review regulations and make priorities of what we want to work on first. There is a motion on the floor

25 1 that we make a regulatory committee. 2 3 Halloran, aye; Aukerman, aye; McCarrin, 4 aye; Coats, aye. 5 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 6 Dr. McCarrin and Dr. Aukerman also volunteered for the committee. Chair Halloran questioned Commissioner Johnson 10 regarding movement on reappointments. Commissioner Johnson stated the administration 11 12 was working internally to prepare the next round of 13 appointments and are well aware of the concern about 14 Board operations having to cease with respect to 15 quorum issues. Ms. Roberts will email the three members of the 16 17 committee with proposed dates and work in conjunction with the commissioner's office to have Mr. Stauffer 18 19 set that up.] 2.0 * * * 21 Report of Board Chair 22 [Joseph Gerard Halloran, D.C., Chair, addressed 23 procedures when members of the community have a 24 complaint concerning a licensed chiropractor. 25 stated complaints should be filed with the

Pennsylvania State Board of Chiropractic but emphasized not to call any Pennsylvania State Board of Chiropractic Board members. He explained that Board members would need to recuse themselves at the time of looking at the complaint because they would have been contacted and made aware of the complaint.

2.1

2.3

Chair Halloran stated the complaint should be sent to their Board administrator, who would send it to the Professional Compliance Office to investigate the complaint and inform the Board administrative office how to proceed, possibly even going to the state prosecutor's office to offer an administrative fix for the complaint. He commented that Board members cannot give an advisory opinion over the phone to anybody or attend meetings.

Chair Halloran informed licensed chiropractors in the state of Pennsylvania to review their advertising office materials, office procedures, and office practices to make sure they are compliant with the state of Pennsylvania's Chiropractic Practice Act.

Chair Halloran noted this to include medical emergencies, such as treatment of patients under COVID-19. He mentioned that the Governor had allowed expanded practice rights to chiropractors during the COVID-19 emergency but to be aware that the expanded

practice rights are for the time of the emergency only. He stated the Governor will notify the Board as to when those expanded practice rights will end, but everyone should frequently check the Board's website on COVID-19 to be fully aware.

Chair Halloran discussed the Veterinarian

Medicine Practice Act, where chiropractors under

regulation § 31.21 of the Veterinarian Practice Act

may treat animals but only be done in conjunction with

the practice of veterinary medicine. He explained

that any animal chiropractic procedures must be

performed under the direct supervision of a

veterinarian subject to a limitation provided by law

or regulation.

Chair Halloran referred to correspondence from Dr. Thomas Garg, the Chairman of the Pennsylvania State Board of Veterinary Medicine, on July 19, 2019, which provided the regulation showing how chiropractors could legally treat animals with animal chiropractic but a veterinarian must be present.

Chair Halloran addressed Senate Bill 982 of 2019, which was passed and signed into law by Governor Wolf and became lawful for licensed practicing chiropractors. He stated chiropractors, as the preceptor, must abide by the rules of the chiropractic

college or university preceptorship program and must fully document the student doctor's preceptorship program for that chiropractic college or university.

2.0

2.2

Chair Halloran stated most programs will include the student in their malpractice coverage, though not all, and it was the responsibility of the preceptor to ensure the student doctor is covered. He also stated it was the preceptor's responsibility to make sure they comply with all the rules of the chiropractic institution sponsoring the preceptorship as well as all Pennsylvania state laws.

Chair Halloran commented that the Board does not think any regulatory rules need to be added to the preceptorships since the chiropractic academic institutions have a vested interest in maintaining proper lawful preceptorship programs, and as long as chiropractors follow the rules of the college, they should have no problems operating lawfully in the state of Pennsylvania.

Chair Halloran and the State Board of
Chiropractic thanked Governor Wolf and Dr. Rachel
Levine for recognizing the need for chiropractic care
during the COVID-19 crisis.

Chair Halloran addressed continuing education, noting chiropractors have an extension due to COVID-19

until November 30, 2020, to get their 24 hours of Board-approved continuing education, which must include at least 2 hours of child mandated reporter training. He also informed the Board that there is no grace period this year.

2.0

2.2

Chair Halloran stated all CE must be completed and documented by November 30, 2020. He noted everyone renew their license on the Pennsylvania Licensing System (PALS). He emphasized the importance of double checking the documentation, making sure accreditation hours are correct, and the license renewal payment is received by November 30, 2020.

Chair Halloran stated chiropractors cannot use continuing education course credits twice, where a dedicated course may be used up through November 30 or for the next cycle, but you cannot use it for both.

Chair Halloran addressed opioid abuse, noting chiropractors stand ready to help the state control the problem with drugless chiropractic treatment.

Chair Halloran reminded members of the Board to send in their yearly financial statement. He noted it to also be acceptable to send financials in electronically at www.fd.state.pa.us.

Chair Halloran informed members of a new Board help desk number at 1-833-723-2733. He reminded

everyone to change their email password every two months and provided. He noted using webmail.pa.gov to change passwords through the webmail application.

2.3

Chair Halloran advised the Board that they cannot provide an advisory opinion on cannabidiol (CBD) oil out of their office.

Chair Halloran discussed the Governor's expanded orders, where chiropractors could give COVID-19 testing with nasal and throat swabs only at a recognized testing center under the direction of a DO or MD.

Chair Halloran cautioned members to be careful when buying hand sanitizer due to the recent flood of methanol-based hand sanitizers that can be absorbed into the skin and considered toxic.

Chair Halloran stated it was the responsibility of the chiropractic entity or educator that had obtained Pennsylvania State Board approval for state Board-approved continuing education courses to make sure there is monitoring of the Pennsylvania state Board-approved course. He asked Ms. VanOrder to further explain those rules.

Ms. VanOrder explained that there is a CE committee and several regulations that deal with the CE process. She mentioned Section 507 of the act also

deals with the CE process. She stated there is a process where CE is to be approved and part of that process details about how that course would be submitted, and it was decided whether that will be approved or denied based on that information.

2.2

Ms. VanOrder noted that courses approved for an in-person experience with sign-in sheets or certain verifications and then changed substantially would need to go back through the review process because that essentially changes the experience, and that experience had not been approved.

Ms. VanOrder encouraged everyone to make sure they are going through the appropriate process to have CE approved for the manner the CE was being delivered and that they are clear about what safeguards are in place if that had been switched to an online experience versus an in-person experience due to COVID-19 conditions.

Chair Halloran referred to Form 2-2003, where the State Board of Chiropractic issued a written directive on continuing education information for chiropractors. He noted requirements of chiropractic license renewal of at least 24 hours of Board-approved continuing education courses approved by the Pennsylvania Board and the person taking the course obtain official

32

1 certificates of attendance from the sponsor of the 2 course, which is mandatory.

Chair Halloran noted it to be okay to give a recorded course approved by the Board several times, but it had to be monitored.

Chair Halloran stated members of the Pennsylvania State Board of Chiropractic continue to be proud of chiropractors for their hard work in adapting their office to a safe environment during the COVID-19 crisis.

Chair Halloran commented that chiropractic professionalism is noted by the state for treating patients in a safe manner during the COVID-19 crisis.]

14

15 | New Business

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

16 [Joseph Gerard Halloran, D.C., Chair, noted the last

17 update to the Federation of Chiropractic Licensing

18 Boards was March 2019. He mentioned he will be

19 working with Ms. Roberts to update the fact that

20 Pennsylvania does allow preceptorships now and matters

21 like that, so there was an accurate representation of

22 their state Board to other state Boards.]

23 ***

24 Miscellaneous

25 [Joseph Gerard Halloran, D.C., Chair, noted remaining

33

1 2020 Board meeting dates.]

2

3 [The Board recessed from 12:16 p.m. until 12:26 p.m.]

4 ***

5 Public Comment Period

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

6 | [Joseph Gerard Halloran, D.C., Chair, a public member

questioned where regulations on patient license

8 | relations are posted for review and comment.

Ms. VanOrder commented that those have not been posted for review yet and are still in the formative stages.

Chair Halloran read a question by Dr. Keith
Miller questioning the previous vet ruling by the
Board where a doctor of chiropractic needed to be
under supervision or in conjunction with the vet.

Chair Halloran specifically sent a letter from the Board to the Board of Veterinary Medicine to see their interpretation of a chiropractic working with a vet. He commented that by their law, the Board of Veterinary Medicine can use any medical specialist who normally would see a human being if the animal would benefit from that procedure. He mentioned a statute in the law stating that there must be a veterinarian presence during the procedure when utilizing outside medical services.

Ms. VanOrder commented that the Board cannot provide any advisory opinions, and the law is what the law states and cannot be interpreted any further.

2.0

Chair Halloran noted Dr. Miller asked whether the Board can share a written response for the Board of Veterinary Medicine with the public.

Chair Halloran stated the Board cannot share that publically, and there may be some pending litigation soon.

Ms. VanOrder explained that the Board cannot provide advisory opinions, which applies across the board to CE, advertising, or vet questions. She stated those interpretations will need to be made by a private attorney.

Chair Halloran also explained the Board is a quasi-judicial and regulatory body and not an advisory Board. He stated the Board can promulgate and change regulations and act to judge things that come to the level of investigating a chiropractor for perceived violations. He mentioned that none of the Boards in the state function as an advisory body. He stated the Board understands the problem and looked into the problem; however, the vet law is the vet law.

Chair Halloran commented that every Board member was honorably working for the state on the State Board

of Chiropractic, reviewing documentation before meetings to be prepared to discuss the issues.

2.0

2.4

Mr. Stauffer read a private message from Dr. Daniel Schatzberg, where he noted that there are some things in the practice act that gives the Board the right to provide a comment according to Jason Martin, PCA General Counsel.

Ms. VanOrder believed Mr. Marin was referring to the scientific instrument. She stated the Board had not ruled on specific instruments as to what is and what is not approved by the Board. She noted general guidance within the regulation about scientific instruments. She believes the one in question was regarding COVID-19 in particular.

Chair Halloran suggested Dr. Schatzberg direct his attention to the State Board of Chiropractic COVID-19 response and the Governor's written response to the chiropractic expansion of what a chiropractor can and cannot do.

Ms. VanOrder mentioned a list of inquiries that came from the Pennsylvania Chiropractic Association (PCA) that refers to available guidance. She noted specific suspensions and discussion/waiver language constantly updated on the website.]

* *

36 Adjournment 1 2 CHAIR HALLORAN: 3 Can I entertain a motion to adjourn? DR. AUKERMAN: 4 5 I'll make a motion to adjourn. 6 CHAIR HALLORAN: Dr. Aukerman has motioned to adjourn. 8 Is there a second? MS. COATS: 10 I second. 11 CHAIR HALLORAN: 12 Kelsie Coats seconds the motion for 13 adjournment. We can do this by voice acclamation. All in favor of 14 15 adjournment, say aye. We are adjourned. 16 [The motion carried unanimously.] 17 18 [There being no further business, the State Board of 19 Chiropractic Meeting adjourned at 12:43 p.m.] * * * 20 21 22 CERTIFICATE 2.3

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary
minutes of the State Board of Chiropractic meeting,

```
37
   was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision,
 1
 2
   and that the minutes accurately summarize the
 3
   substance of the State Board of Chiropractic meeting.
 4
 5
 6
                                Morgan McKendrick,
                                Minute Clerk
 9
                                Sargent's Court Reporting
10
                                   Service, Inc.
11
                  STATE BOARD OF CHIROPRACTIC
12
                         REFERENCE INDEX
13
                          July 30, 2020
14
15
16
         TIME
                             AGENDA
17
18
        00:00
                   Executive Session
19
        10:29
                   Return to Open Session
20
                   Official Call to Order
21
        10:29
22
23
        10:32
                   Approval of Minutes
24
25
        10:33
                   Report of Prosecutor
26
27
        10:36
                   Introduction of Board Members
28
29
        10:39
                   Report of Commissioner
30
31
        10:45
                  Report Board Counsel
32
        10:47
33
                   Motions
34
35
        11:06
                   Report of Regulatory Counsel
36
37
        11:55
                   Report of Board Chair
38
39
        12:14
                  New Business
40
```