State Board of Social Workers, Marriage and Family Therapists, and Professional Counselors October 13, 2020

BOARD MEMBERS:

Joy E. Corby, Ph.D., LMFT, Chair
K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of
Professional and Occupational Affairs
Michelle Santiago, Psy.D., LPC, Vice Chair
Jeanne Elberfeld, M.D., LSW
Erika Evans, Ph.D., LMFT
Christian Jordal, Ph.D., LMFT
Amy K. Keisling, LCSW
Kurt L. Kraus, Ed.D., NCC, ACS, LPC
Linda A. Martin, LCSW, RN

20 BUREAU

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Dana M. Wucinski, Esquire, Board Counsel Dean F. Picarella, Esquire, Senior Board Counsel J. Karl Geschwindt, Esquire, Board Prosecutor, on behalf of Heather J. McCarthy, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison James Ritchie Espino Ostman, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Andrea L. Costello, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Sandra E. Matter, Board Administrator Cynthia K. Montgomery, Esquire, Deputy Chief Counsel/Regulatory Counsel, Department of State Theodore Stauffer, Executive Secretary, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs Andrew LaFratte, MPA, Policy Office, Department of State Marc Farrell, Deputy Policy Director, Department of Kraig R. Kiehl, Deputy Secretary for Regulatory Programs, Department of State

ALSO PRESENT:

Athena O. Pappas, Esquire, Deasey, Mahoney, & Valentini Cara Sanner, Regulatory Support Services Coordinator, Association of Social Work Boards

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

State Board of Social Workers, Marriage and Family Therapists, and Professional Counselors October 13, 2020

ALSO PRESENT: (cont.)

Johanna Byrd, ACSW, IOM, CAE, Executive Director, National Association of Social Workers, Pennsylvania Chapter

Lisa Casler Haun, Manager of Continuing Competence and Continuing Education Services, Association of Social Work Boards

Peter M. Good, Esquire, Caldwell & Kerns, P.C.

1

2 State Board of Social Workers,

3 Marriage and Family Therapists

4 and Professional Counselors

October 13, 2020

* * *

5

6

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

7 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 9:30 a.m. the Board entered into executive session with Dana M. Wucinski, Esquire, Board Counsel, for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations on a number of matters that are currently pending before the Board and to receive the advice of counsel. The Board returned to open session at 11:00 a.m.]

14

[Dana M. Wucinski, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted the meeting was being recorded, and those who remained in the meeting were consenting to being recorded.]

* * *

The regularly scheduled meeting of the State
Board of Social Workers, Marriage and Family
Therapists, and Professional Counselors was held on
Tuesday, October 13, 2020. Joy E. Corby, Ph.D., LMFT,
Chair, called the meeting to order at 11:02 a.m.

* * *

25 | Introduction of Board Members and Public

5 [Chair Corby requested Board members and the public 1 2 introduce themselves. 3 4 Approval of minutes of the September 1, 2020 meeting 5 CHAIR CORBY: 6 We need to approve the minutes from the September 1, 2020 meeting. I believe members of the Board let Sandy know about the corrections. I am 10 thinking we can vote for approval of the 11 minutes with those corrections. 12 Do I hear a motion? DR. KRAUS: 13 14 So moved. 15 DR. JORDAL: 16 Second. 17 CHAIR CORBY: 18 All in favor? Opposed? Abstained? 19 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 20 Report of Board Prosecutorial Division 21 22 [J. Karl Geschwindt, Esquire, Board Prosecutor, on 23 behalf of Heather J. McCarthy, Esquire, Board 24 Prosecution Liaison, presented the Consent Agreement

for Case No. 20-69-004592 and No. 20-69-007326.]

25

* * *

2 | [James Ritchie Espino Ostman, Esquire, Board

3 Prosecutor, presented the Consent Agreement for Case

4 No. 18-69-007255.1

5 ***

6 | [Andrea L. Costello, Esquire, Board Prosecutor,

7 presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 19-69-

8 015683.1

1

) **|** ***

10 [Andrea L. Costello, Esquire, Board Prosecutor,

11 presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 16-69-

12 01423.

13 Athena O. Pappas, Esquire, Deasey, Mahoney, &

14 | Valentini, Counsel for the Respondent, was present and

15 participated in the discussion]

16 ***

17 Report of Board Counsel

18 | [Dana M. Wucinski, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted the

19 | Board discussed Consent Agreements presented today,

20 along with agenda items 7 through 10 and 17 through 22

21 in executive session.

22 Ms. Wucinski also noted one hearing scheduled

23 today and a presentation by Commissioner Johnson and

24 Ms. Montgomery to review the Act 53 of 2020 list of

25 crimes.

```
Ms. Wucinski referred to the Sunshine Act and
1
2
   Recusal Guidelines on the agenda for the Board's
3
   review and offered her assistance concerning any
4
   questions.
5
        Ms. Wucinski addressed the Probable Cause
6
   Screening Committee and the need to vote in an
   alternate member since Ms. Painter is temporarily off
   the Board.
9
                              * * *
10
   MS. WUCINSKI:
11
                  Since Marilyn is still temporarily off
12
                  the Board, we will need to vote on
                  alternate member.
13
14
                       Are there any nominations?
15
   DR. SANTIAGO:
16
                  I nominate myself.
17
  DR CORBY:
18
                  The motion is Michelle. Do I have a
19
                  second on that?
20
   DR. JORDAL:
21
                  Second.
22
   CHAIR CORBY:
2.3
                  All those in favor? Opposed?
24
                  Abstentions?
25
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
```

1 ***

2 Report of Regulatory Board Counsel

3 [Dana M. Wucinski, Esquire, Regulatory Board Counsel,

4 referred to 16A-6923 regarding the general revisions

5 and electronic supervision proposed rulemaking

6 package. She mentioned receiving comments from the

Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) on the

8 exposure draft. She advised the Board that the

9 preamble was on their agenda for review today and that

10 | she will be requesting a vote from the Board today to

11 approve the preamble draft, so that the draft

12 regulation could be sent out as proposed.

Ms. Wucinski addressed ASWB comments suggesting the deletion of § 47.16a (a)(1) and reworking the

15 endorsement provision to better promote social work

16 practice mobility. She stated that ASWB points out

17 that the Act 41 language relating to substantial

18 equivalence is unduly burdensome.

19 Ms. Wucinski explained that the statutory

20 language of "substantial equivalence" as outlined in

21 Act 41 must be included. She noted the Board was

22 | initially concerned about it being unduly burdensome

23 as well, which is why they already determined that the

24 licensure requirements in any other U.S. state or

25 | territory has been deemed by the Board to be

substantially equivalent. As such, there will not be an independent analysis into each the licensure requirements for each U.S. state or territory when determining substantial equivalence. She mentioned the Board will be doing an independent analysis of other countries to determine substantial equivalence.

1.3

Ms. Wucinski addressed the second comment from ASWB asking the Board to reconsider § 47.71 and the incorporation of the NASW Code of Ethics by reference in favor of standards that are enforceable, pointing out that the NASW Code of Ethics are aspirational in nature.

Ms. Wucinski commented that reworking a whole code of ethics to restate what the Board already reviewed and adopted should not be part of the general revision because that would be a lengthy revision. She noted the importance of reviewing which version of the code of ethics the Board wants to adopt and specify which year. She stated, even though it is aspirational on the part of ASWB, the Board will be adopting it and working it into their regulations as mandatory.

Ms. Wucinski explained that instead of the Board drafting their own code of ethics, they would be incorporating a national organization's code of ethics

and adopting it as their own regarding social workers, licensed professional counselors (LPCs), and licensed marriage and family therapists (LMFTs).

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

- Ms. Martin commented that the NASW Code of Ethics have both aspirational regulations written into it as well as standards, and the Board would be able to identify the difference between which ones are aspirational and more of a standard of practice.
- Dr. Elberfeld noted ethics to be important, where every licensee is required to have courses in ethics and continuing education units (CEUs) in ethics for rich education and experience.
- Dr. Kraus stated the Board is well-positioned to determine aspiration versus mandatory and believes this proposes the Board look at new ethics as they are published by these organizations and keeps this a current alive standard, which is very valuable for all of the citizens of Pennsylvania.
- Dr. Wucinski will update the preamble with the Board's responses.
 - Chair Corby recommended changing the wordage of "1 semester course" to "1 semester credit course."

 She asked how the Board would go about adopting a new code of ethics.
- 25 Ms. Wucinski explained that the Board would need

to stay on top of the new code of ethics of the various organizations and go through the regulatory process, clarifying which ones the Board would be adopting to articulate clearly to the public.

2.3

2.4

Dr. Kraus expressed his concern with the regulatory process due the amount of time it may take.

Ms. Wucinski noted that to be the downside of not having their own code of ethics and looking to the national organizations, where the Board may want to consider creating their own regulation in the future. She also noted that the Board would still have the same problem when updates are required.

Ms. Wucinski noted that updating the code of ethics is relatively easy and shouldn't take a long time to promulgate. She will address the comments discussed today and add the two changes Chair Corby mentioned.

Dr. Elberfeld referred to 47.12c (b)(5), where the supervisor or one to whom supervisor responsibility has been delegated shall meet with the supervisee for a minimum of 2 hours for every 40 hours of supervised clinical experience. She expressed her concern that this could allow the supervisor to delegate someone who may not have qualifications.

Ms. Martin noted that being placed there to give

some guidance for the supervisees and the supervisors of what to do in situations where the designated supervisor is not available.

Ms. Wucinski mentioned that it is still the supervisor who must sign off and take responsibility but questioned whether the Board would want to change it to where it could never be delegated or address the issue in the future in their supervisor regulations.

Dr. Elberfeld stated an individual must have at least 1500 hours of supervision by an LCSW to become a licensed clinical social worker (LCSW) and being able to delegate responsibilities and just sign off is not being a supervisor.

Ms. Wucinski suggested addressing the supervisory standards and delegated responsibilities in the next more comprehensive supervision package, as she is aware of the concerns and agrees it needs to be addressed but not in this package.

Chair Corby stated the issue is noted and will be discussed when reviewing the supervision regulations.]

* *

22 MS. WUCINSKI:

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.3

2.4

25

Are there any other comments on the preamble or the annex? Do you want to work on a vote to promulgate as

```
13
1
                  proposed?
2
   CHAIR CORBY:
3
                  We have a motion. Do I hear somebody?
4
   DR. KRAUS:
5
                  So moved.
6
   CHAIR CORBY:
                  Kurt, you moved it. Who is going to
8
                  second it?
   MS. KEISLING:
10
                  I'll second.
11
   CHAIR CORBY:
                  All in favor? Opposed? Abstained?
12
13
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                              * * *
14
15
   [A Formal Hearing was held from 11:42 a.m. until
16
   12:50 p.m. in the matter of the Application for a
17
   License to Practice Professional Counseling of Erik
18
   Hansen, Case No. 20-69-008642.]
                              * * *
19
20
   [The Board recessed from 12:51 a.m. until 1:01 p.m.]
                              * * *
21
22
   Appointment - Act 53 of 2020 List of Offenses Directly
2.3
     Related to the Profession
24
   [K. Kalonji Johnson, Commissioner, Bureau of
25
   Professional and Occupational Affairs, thanked the
```

Board for allowing him to present the list of actionable items. He also thanked members of the public and stakeholders in attendance.

2.3

2.4

Commissioner Johnson stated Act 53 was signed into law by Governor Wolf and was a major upheaval in terms of the way licensing entities consider the use of criminal history when determining suitability for licensure.

Commissioner Johnson commented that legislature and its wisdom laid out a very cohesive and comprehensive roadmap for each of the boards and commissions within the department's auspices to help create a system that clearly articulates to the public and regulated communities the use of criminal history.

Commissioner Johnson introduced Deputy Chief
Counsel Cynthia Montgomery who has been instrumental
in coordinating all of the massive effort around
implementing the functionality of this task within the
Pennsylvania Licensing System (PALS) and also with
creating the structure by which the Office of Chief
Counsel evaluates and analyzes the existing laws,
statutes, and regulatory frameworks within each of the
boards and commissions to help licensees and
applicants navigate the process.

Commissioner Johnson noted being tasked with

creating and administrating public forums by which the Board and members of the business communities have the opportunity to provide comment and feedback. He noted the nature of the meeting is to finalize the list of criminal offenses.

Commissioner Johnson noted it to be his responsibility to create a best practices guide for perspective and active licensees and the public to better understand the relationship between criminal history and its effect on one's own pursuit of a license.

2.2

2.3

Ms. Montgomery mentioned it to be the Commissioner's duty under § 3117 of Act 53 of 2020 to publish a schedule of criminal convictions after consultation with the licensing boards and representative of the business community with knowledge of the respective professions.

Ms. Montgomery referred to the proposed list compiled by representatives' counsel and prosecution who have knowledge of the Board's precedent and what crimes the Board considers to be directly related to the profession. She provided a definition of "directly related."

Ms. Montgomery referred to crimes that may be relevant based on other sections of Act 53, including

§ 3113(d)-(f) dealing with sexual offenses, crimes of violence, and drug trafficking crimes.

2.0

Ms. Montgomery addressed the proposed list of offences, which are categorized as a crime of violence, crimes directly related to the profession or a sexual offense, and drug trafficking.

Dr. Jordal questioned whether the Board is to presume that an applicant who has something on their record knowingly and intentionally did something or if there is any type of supposition the Board should make in interpreting the reading.

Ms. Montgomery explained that the criminal court would have made a finding that it was knowingly or intentionally done, and the Board could presume that since they have been convicted of it.

Dr. Jordal referred to making false reports, false indications, and false swearing. He questioned whether this applies to existing licensees and requested more information regarding the concept of falsification.

Ms. Wucinski mentioned removing those crimes, noting that there could be circumstances where those crimes could potentially be related to the practice of the profession but does not know if it should be a rebuttable presumption that they are related.

Ms. Montgomery provided a two-stage analysis, where crimes on the list of offenses directly related to the profession have a rebuttable presumption, and the individual has the ability to present evidence. She referred to the list of criteria in § 3113(c) that would need to be considered.

1.3

Ms. Montgomery addressed criminal convictions not determined to be directly related, where the prosecution division would have to prove that the individual does pose a substantial risk to the health and safety of the individual's patients, clients, or public or a substantial risk of further criminal convictions.

Ms. Montgomery noted the list should be limited to those crimes, which the Board believes the fact of conviction should raise the presumption that they would be a substantial risk to the safety of their clients or the public or a substantial risk of further criminal convictions.

Chair Corby commented that applicants who make a false statement on an application would be directly related to the profession because it happened in your application material.

Ms. Wucinski advised everyone to look at Dezen v. Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Bureau of Professional

and Occupational Affairs (BPOA), which specifically holds social work as not a profession that falls within the healing arts.

1.3

2.4

Chair Corby noted not seeing the crime of extortion. Ms. Wucinski will make a note of that.

Ms. Martin suggested keeping false swearing, unsworn falsification, and false reports due to possible character disorders that are very concerning.

Commissioner Johnson stated the legislative intent behind Act 53 is to find a more articulate balance between protecting public safety and health and creating a system that imposes discipline based on actions more so than character.

Commissioner Johnson commented that by attaching the action to the offense and allowing mitigating evidence, they have allowed a platform of communication between the perspective applicant or the licensee and the Board so they can communicate on the same wave length.

Ms. Montgomery stated the Commissioner has a duty to publish the list by December 27, 2020, but must follow up within 2 years with regulation. She noted being tasked with drafting the regulation to include the schedules and how they are going to be used in making preliminary determinations. She mentioned the

opportunity for public comment and going through the whole regulatory process in the following 2 years.

Ms. Montgomery explained that if a crime is on the list, it is presumed that they do pose a risk and the individual would need to prove they do not pose a risk through a hearing. She also explained that if a crime is not on the list, it could still be used, but it would have to be proven that they pose a risk based on the specific circumstances of the crime that they committed.

Ms. Montgomery addressed crimes of violence under \$ 3113(e) of Act 53, where an individual convicted of a crime of violence may be granted a license if they have been conviction-free for at least 3 years, have had no further crimes, and demonstrate rehabilitation. She stated the Board also has to find that the individual does not pose a substantial risk to the health and safety of the public, patients, and clients.

Dr. Jordal commented that the Board should consider keeping the list at a minimum, noting his concern with this having a direct implication on people of color across all licensure because they typically have less access to resources.

Ms. Wucinski addressed crimes directly related to

the profession regarding neglect of a care-dependent person, abuse of a care-dependent person, interfering with the custody of children, and custody of a committed person. She noted keeping those narrow because if a crime is not on the list, it changes the presumption but does not mean it cannot be considered.

Commissioner Johnson addressed implicit bias, noting the legislative intent to address collateral effects of inequities. He explained that Act 53 is designed to look at the context of the action and to provide a platform for the individual to mitigate on a one-to-one basis and then allow the Board within the auspices of its authority under the practice act to review that individual circumstances upon their own standing.

Dr. Santiago wanted to know who determined the Board is not under the healing arts and why.

Ms. Wucinski referred to Dezen v. BPOA for the Board's review.

Ms. Martin noted a multitude of non-physicians who are included as practitioners of the healing arts, emphasizing that it is something that has been highly disputed and politicized in their profession.

Ms. Keisling questioned whether the list will change their duties or responsibilities and if they

1 are micromanaging the list because they will not be 2 doing the initial assessment.

2.0

2.1

Ms. Wucinski stated it will not affect the Board's day-to-day work because they already consider convictions on a case-by-case basis.

Ms. Wucinski referred to § 3113(d) of Act 53 regarding sexual offenses. She explained that if the Board finds any crimes to be related to the profession as discussed in the definition, then it can remain on the list but not be categorized necessarily as a sexual offense but an offense directly related to the profession, which would raise the rebuttable presumption.

Ms. Wucinski noted crimes not on the list does not mean the Board cannot consider it, meaning they cannot assume or presume this person is a risk to the public or their patients or clients or a risk of repeat offenses. She stated the burden is on prosecution to demonstrate they pose a significant risk.

Ms. Wucinski noted that if a crime is on the list, the Board is entitled to a presumption that they do pose a substantial risk and up to the applicant or respondent to prove they are not.

Ms. Wucinski explained that the schedule is to be

used by the licensing Board when preparing preliminary 1 determinations under § 3115 regarding which criminal 2 3 convictions may result in discipline of a licensee and determining whether a criminal conviction may result 4 5 in refusing to issue a license, certificate, registration, or permit. 6 Ms. Wucinski addressed preliminary determinations, where somebody with a criminal 8 background want to know if it is going to be an 10 impediment to my becoming licensed as a social worker, 11 marriage and family therapist, or professional counselor. She explained that if the crime is on the 12 13 list, the Board would notify the individual that there 14 is a presumption that they would pose a risk; however, 15 they would have the ability to rebut that presumption based on the individualized assessment required, which 16 has a list of 11 factors that could be considered. 17 * * * 18 [Erika Evans, Ph.D., LMFT, exited the meeting at 19 20 1:56 p.m.] 2.1 * * * 22 [Ms. Wucinski mentioned the need to decide whether to 23 put sexual offenses on as related to the profession 24

and which ones, noting there could be some serious 25 sexual offenses that could be determined to be related to the practice. She suggested prostitution-related offenses, which would encompass all of those. She again emphasized that everything is going to be on a case-by-case basis.

Dr. Kraus commented that less on the list is more equitable, noting the complexity of the language in determining whether or not something should be on the list.

Commissioner Johnson stated the list is being publicly disseminated within PALS and creating this within the context of a best practices guide for the public. He noted it to benefit the public if they know what offenses are directly related to the profession and it implicates them, so they can prepare mitigating evidence and prepare themselves for the process.

Commissioner Johnson noted the preliminary determinations are designed to engage the individual early in the process and provide them with a navigable solution or at least a glimpse into how the Board perceives the information they provided, which is why it is important to make the list as comprehensive as possible.

Ms. Wucinski will update the actual citations, make sure all of the sexual and violent offenses are

1 included, and circulate the comprehensive list of 2 everything.

2.4

Ms. Montgomery stated the Commissioner has an ongoing duty to update the list, which is in the statute. She mentioned it will also be promulgated as a regulation, so there will be additional opportunity for public comment during that process as well.

Ms. Matter addressed moving the December 1, 2020 Board meeting date to November 24, 2020, at 9:30 a.m. and the Board agreed.

Johanna Byrd, ACSW, IOM, CAE, Executive Director,
National Association of Social Workers, Pennsylvania
Chapter, agreed with keeping the list as minimal as
possible in order to ensure everyone is abiding by the
intent of the law to reduce barriers to licensure.

Ms. Montgomery stated the next step is for Ms. Wucinski to finalize the list with the Board and forward that to the Commissioner to be included as part of the publication in the bulletin as well as part of the best practices guide. She noted the statute also requires that it be incorporated into the application, so individuals understand the process when they apply for the Board considering criminal history record information.

Commissioner Johnson noted working concurrently

```
25
   with technical developers to ensure PALS functionality
1
   is up and ready to go by the end of December to ensure
2
3
   the act is comprehensibly effectuated and implemented
   through all of the bureau's policies and procedures.]
                              * * *
5
6
   MOTIONS
   MS. WUCINSKI:
                  I believe the Board would entertain a
9
                  motion to approve the Consent Agreement
10
                  at Case No. 20-69-004592.
11
   CHAIR CORBY:
12
                  Could I have a motion?
   DR. ELBERFELD:
13
14
                  So moved.
15
   DR. JORDAL:
16
                  Second.
17
   CHAIR CORBY:
18
                  All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions?
19
   [The motion carried unanimously. The Respondent's
20
   name is Sara D. Gieraltowski.]
                              * * *
21
22
   MS. WUCINSKI:
2.3
                  I believe the Board would entertain a
2.4
                  motion to approve the VRP Consent
25
                  Agreement at Case No. 20-69-007326.
```

```
26
1
   CHAIR CORBY:
2
                  Could I have a motion?
3
   DR. JORDAL:
4
                  So moved.
5
   MS. KEISLING:
6
                  Second.
7
   CHAIR CORBY:
                  All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions?
   [The motion carried. Dr. Santiago opposed the
10
   motion.]
                               * * *
11
12
   MS. WUCINSKI:
                  I believe the Board would entertain a
13
14
                  motion to approve the Consent Agreement
15
                  at Case No. 18-69-007255, noting that
16
                  Kurt Kraus and Amy Keisling are recused.
17
   CHAIR CORBY:
                  Do I have a motion?
18
19
   DR. JORDAL:
20
                  So moved.
21
   DR. SANTIAGO:
22
                  Second.
23
   CHAIR CORBY:
24
                  All in favor? Opposed?
25
   [The motion carried. Dr. Kraus and Ms. Keisling
```

27 recused themselves from deliberations and voting on 1 2 the motion. The Respondent's name is Samantha Rae 3 Bartsch.] * * * 4 MS. WUCINSKI: 5 6 I believe the Board would entertain a motion to approve the Consent Agreement 8 at Case No. 19-69-015683. CHAIR CORBY: 10 Do we have a motion? 11 DR. SANTIAGO: 12 So moved. DR. KRAUS: 13 14 Second. 15 CHAIR CORBY: 16 All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? [The motion carried. Dr. Jordal abstained from voting 17 18 on the motion. The Respondent's name is Stacy Yvette 19 Haynes.] 20 * * * 21 MS. WUCINSKI: 22 I believe the Board would entertain a 23 motion to approve the Consent Agreement 24 at Case No. 16-69-01423. 25 DR. JORDAL:

28 So moved. 1 2 DR. SANTIAGO: 3 Second. CHAIR CORBY: 4 5 All in favor? Opposed? Abstained? 6 [The motion carried. Dr. Jordal and Dr. Santiago opposed the motion. The Respondent's name is Abby Shultz McGillivray.] * * * 10 MS. WUCINSKI: 11 I believe the Board would entertain a 12 motion to adopt the draft Adjudication 13 and Order as final for Brian L. Fruchtman at Case No. 20-69-002776. 14 15 DR. ELBERFELD: 16 So moved. 17 DR. KRAUS: 18 Second. 19 CHAIR CORBY: 20 All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? 21 [The motion carried unanimously.] 22 2.3 MS. WUCINSKI: 24 I believe the Board would entertain a 25 motion to adopt the draft Adjudication

29 and Order of the hearing examiner and 1 2 substitute the final Board order for 3 Marika N. Handakas, LCSW, at Case No. 20-69-007144. 4 5 DR. JORDAL: So moved. 6 7 DR. SANTIAGO: Second. 9 CHAIR CORBY: 10 All in favor? Opposed? 11 [The motion carried. Dr. Kraus and Ms. Keisling 12 recused themselves from deliberations and voting on the motion. 13 * * * 14 15 MS. WUCINSKI: I believe the Board would entertain a 16 17 motion to direct Board counsel to draft 18 the final Adjudication and Order upon 19 receipt of the transcript consistent 20 with discussions in executive session 21 for Jason Kamora, Case No. 20-69-007699. 22 DR. JORDAL: 23 So moved. 2.4 DR. ELBERFELD: 25 Second.

30 1 CHAIR CORBY: 2 All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? 3 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 4 5 MS. WUCINSKI: 6 I believe the Board would entertain a 7 motion to approve the request of Norman 8 Stovall, LCSW, Case No. 17-69-04983 to 9 complete his remedial education via home 10 studies. 11 DR. JORDAL: 12 So moved. DR. SANTIAGO: 13 14 Second. CHAIR CORBY: 15 16 All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? 17 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 18 19 MS. WUCINSKI: 20 At agenda item 17, the Board has 2.1 directed the Board administrator to send 22 a letter to Josefina Villamar regarding 2.3 her education. 2.4 At agenda item 18, the Board has 25 directed the Board administrator to send

31 a letter to Silvana Loka regarding her 1 2 education. 3 I believe the Board would entertain 4 a motion to provisionally deny the 5 education and supervised clinical 6 experience of Huma Raja. 7 DR. JORDAL: So moved. 9 DR. KRAUS: 10 Second. CHAIR CORBY: 11 12 All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? 13 [The motion carried unanimously.] * * * 14 15 MS. WUCINSKI: 16 I believe the Board would entertain a 17 motion to provisionally deny the request 18 of Kimberlee Joy Kaercher to waive her 19 supervised clinical experience. 20 DR. SANTIAGO: 21 Motion. 22 DR. JORDAL: 2.3 Second. 2.4 CHAIR CORBY: 25 All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions?

```
32
1
   [The motion carried. Dr. Kraus opposed the motion.]
                               * * *
2
3
   MS. WUCINSKI:
                  I believe the Board would entertain a
 4
5
                  motion to approve the education of
6
                  Jeanne M. Bozich.
7
   DR. JORDAL:
                  So moved.
   DR. KRAUS:
10
                  Second.
   CHAIR CORBY:
11
12
                  All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions?
13
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
14
15
   MS. WUCINSKI:
                  I believe the Board would entertain a
16
17
                  motion to grant a provisional license
18
                  under Act 41 to Jessica Rae Kurczewski
19
                  and to Heather McPaul.
20
   DR. JORDAL:
21
                  So moved.
22
   DR. ELBERFELD:
23
                  Second.
2.4
   CHAIR CORBY:
25
                  All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions?
```

33 [The motion carried unanimously.] 1 2 3 Report of Board Chair 4 [Joy E. Corby, Ph.D., LMFT, Chair, mentioned that she 5 and Dr. Jordal will be reporting on the Association of 6 Marriage & Family Therapy Regulatory Boards (AMFTRB) Conference at the next meeting.] Report from Other Board Members - No Report * * * 10 11 Report of Commissioner - No Report * * * 12 13 Report of Board Administrator - No Report 14 * * * 15 Miscellaneous 16 [Dana M. Wucinski, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted 17 correspondence from the National Board of Certified Counselors for the Board's review. She also noted 18 19 correspondence from Daniel Logsdon, Director of the 20 National Center for Interstate Compacts at the Council 21 of State Governments, regarding the counseling compact

23

22

24 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at

25 2:31 p.m. the Board entered into executive session

stakeholder review for the Board's review.]

```
with Dana M. Wucinski, Esquire, Board Counsel, the
1
   Board reentered executive session to deliberate on a
2
3
   matter that is currently pending before the Board and
   to receive the advice of counsel. The Board returned
5
   to open session at 2:45 a.m.]
                               * * *
6
7
   Adjournment
   CHAIR CORBY:
                  I am open for somebody to make a motion
10
                  for adjournment.
11
   DR. JORDAL:
12
                  So moved.
   DR. SANTIAGO:
13
14
                  Second.
15
   CHAIR CORBY:
                  All those in favor?
16
17
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
18
19
   [There being no further business, the State Board of
20
   Social Workers, Marriage and Family Therapists, and
21
   Professional Counselors Meeting adjourned at
22
   2:45 p.m.]
2.3
2.4
25
```


CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Social Workers, Marriage and Family Therapists and Professional Counselors meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Social Workers, Marriage and Family Therapists and Professional Counselors meeting.

Minute Clerk

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc.